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Abstract

Chagas’ disease is caused by Trypanosoma cruzi, a protozoan transmitted to humans by blood-feeding insects, blood transfusion or congenitally. Previous research led us to discover a parasite proline racemase (TcPRAC) and to establish its validity as a target for the design of new chemotherapies against the disease, including its chronic form. A known inhibitor of proline racemases, 2-pyrolecarboxylic acid (PYC), is water-insoluble. We synthesized soluble pyrazole derivatives, but they proved weak or inactive TcPRAC inhibitors. TcPRAC catalytic site is too small and constrained when bound to PYC to allow efficient search for new inhibitors by virtual screening. Forty-nine intermediate conformations between the opened enzyme structure and the closed liganded one were built by calculating a transition path with a method we developed. A wider range of chemical compounds could dock in the partially opened intermediate active site models in silico. Four models were selected for known substrates and weak inhibitors could dock in them and were used to screen chemical libraries. Two identified soluble compounds, (E)-4-oxopent-2-enoic acid (OxoPA) and its derivative (E)-5-bromo-4-oxopent-2-enoic acid (Br-OxoPA), are irreversible competitive inhibitors that presented stronger activity than PYC on TcPRAC. We show here that increasing doses of OxoPA and Br-OxoPA hamper T. cruzi intracellular differentiation and fate in mammalian host cells. Our data confirm that through to their binding mode, these molecules are interesting and promising as lead compounds for the development of chemotherapies against diseases where active proline racemases play essential roles.

Introduction

Chagas’ disease is one of the main causes of death by heart failure in South and Central America. With at least 12 million people infected and 100 million at risk, this is both a major health concern and a socioeconomic problem in Latin America. As a “Most Neglected Disease”, it is the third largest health burden after malaria and schistosomiasis [1,2]. Of the affected adult population, 10% will die from this chronic disease, which is incurable and fatal in children aged less than two years. No vaccine is available and current therapies are only effective in the acute phases of the disease, while their success in chronic phases remains a matter of debate. Recently, French Guyana experienced an outbreak of the disease and this per se had a substantial impact on European authorities that implemented eligibility criteria for donors of blood, blood components, cells and tissues [3]. Recent increases in congenital transmission, blood transfusion and transplantation have drawn the attention of Public Health actors both in Europe and the USA [4–6].

Until recently, only two drugs were available to treat infected patients: Nifurtimox ([3-methyl-N-[(E)-5-nitrofuran-2-yl]methylene]thiomorpholin-4-amine-1,1-dioxide) and Benznidazole, a nitromidazole derivative ([N-benzyl-2-(2-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)acetamide] [7]. Because of the side effects it causes, such as neurological and gastrointestinal disorders the use of Nifurtimox has been limited in favor of Benznidazole. Chagas disease treatment, Benznidazole is today the only nitro-bearing medicine [8]. Although its mechanism of action is not fully elucidated, the drug is known to interfere with the redox cycles of intracellular and extracellular parasite forms [9,10]. Several toxic but reversible effects have been described such as general allergic dermopathy, peripheral polyneuropathy, leucopenia, anorexia and convulsions [8]. Major complications include agranulocytosis and thrombocypenic purple. In addition, Benznidazole is mostly effective in the early stages while most individuals afflicted with Chagas disease are diagnosed once the chronic phases is already installed. Consequently, although eradication of the parasite’s insect vector reduce its incidence in
humans, new and more efficient treatments are needed and are considered a priority [11,12].

Our previous research led to the identification and characterization of several isoforms of T. cruzi proline racemases (TcPRAC) [13,14]. Secreted and intracellular forms of the enzyme were detected at all stages of the parasite life cycle. The secreted form was shown to be a B-cell mitogen, which contributes to parasite avoidance of the host immune system, and to its persistence [13]. TcPRAC is a promising target for the development of a new therapy against Chagas disease since parasites are no longer viable when PRAC genes are knocked down or more virulent if PRAC genes are over expressed [15]. Moreover, our current results using the 2-pyrolecarboxylic acid (PYC), the competitive (water insoluble) inhibitor of PRAC [16], indicate that the infection of host cells in vitro reduces in a clearly dose-dependent manner when PYC is added at parasite-host cell interaction step in vitro. Lower mean numbers of parasites per cell are also noted [17]. Interestingly, we demonstrated that PYC binding closes the catalytic crevice and impacts on the overall structure of the enzyme, precluding its interaction with B-cells.

Here, we describe our approach to identifying new and more effective TcPRAC inhibitors, which could be active in chronic phases of Chagas disease. First, we used classical medicinal chemistry approaches to improve PYC’s solubility and its affinity for the PRAC catalytic pocket. Several PYC analogues were synthesized and although they proved to be soluble in water they presented little activity toward PRAC. Two of the most soluble PYC derivatives, with a Chloro- or a Bromo- at C-4 position, weakly inhibited TcPRAC. Next, since functional, biophysical and structural data were available for TcPRAC [18,19], virtual screening of chemical libraries to identify new types of inhibitors seemed to be an option. However, previous findings by crystallography and ITC calorimetry showed that PYC is completely buried within an extremely small and specific pocket in the “closed” ligand-bound structure of the enzyme [10]. Therefore, attempts to use pharmacophores designed by computational chemistry based on the crystallography of the complex “TcPRAC/PYC” were unsuccessful because no ligand has been identified through the virtual screening of several databases of chemical compounds. Consequently, restricting our investigations to catalytic-site based models limited the virtual screening of chemical libraries to the identification of small and metabolite-like compounds. To overcome these restrictions, we built conformational transition paths between the closed (complexed with the inhibitor) and opened (inhibitor-free) shapes adopted by the TcPRAC, which yielded a number of plausible intermediate structures of the catalytic site. Increasingly opened and wider active site models were used for the virtual screening of two accessible chemical libraries. Two of the selected products, OxsoPA and its derivative, Br-OxsoPA [20], were shown to be respectively 2- and 4-fold more potent than PYC in inhibiting TcPRAC. Our results showed that OxsoPA and Br-OxsoPA have lower apparent Kᵢ values than PYC and are irreversible competitive inhibitors. Finally, increasing doses of OxsoPA and Br-OxsoPA in DMSO caused greater decreases in mammalian host cell infection than those previously observed with PYC [17]. These findings confirmed these compounds as promising leads for the optimization and development of new therapies against diseases where proline racemases play an essential role.

Materials and Methods

Synthesis of Pyrazole Analogues

Figure 1 shows PYC (1), the two commercially available imidazole-bearing analogues (2) Imidazole-4-carboxylic acid and (3) 1H-imidazole-2-carboxylic acid, and the pyrazole-bearing analogues 4a–c. These were obtained from potassium permanganate oxidation of the corresponding 3-methylpyrazoles using previously described [21–23] and specific procedures [24].

Analogues 4a, 1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid is called PZC here. Analogues 4X-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acids (X-PZC) for X = Cl (4b, Cl-PZC), X = Br (4c, Br-PZC); X = CH₂CH₃ (5, Et-PZC) and 4-Cl-1-methyl-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (13, M-Cl-PZC) bearing faint activity are reported in Table 1. Other 3-carboxylypyrazoles derivatives bearing larger substituted groups on carbons 4 and 5 were also prepared but were all devoid of effects in the racemization assays (not shown).

Building a Transition Path between Open and Closed Forms of TcPRAC and Virtual Screening

The structure of hemi-bounded TcPRAC (1W62 hemi complex PDB) is asymmetric and shows one closed subunit binding PYC (chain A), and one unbound opened subunit (chain B) [18]. By swapping the chain labels and reorientation on the original structure we built an inverted structure where chain A is opened and chain B is closed (see Figure 2A). Internal coordinates and Cartesian coordinates of the two extreme structures were compared to remove unnecessary symmetric side chain flips between the two structures. Then, we built a transition path connecting the two structures, hence modeling opening of chain A and closing of chain B when moving from the original structure to the inverted one (see schematic view in Figure 2B). For that, we used the “Path Optimization and Exploration” approach (POE) described in ref. [25]. This approach iteratively uses the Conjugated Peak Refinement (CPR) [26] method of the CHARMM program [27] to refine the path, explore possible shorter local paths and reassembles them to reconstruct the whole path. The transition path was built on all atomic degrees of freedom (here 19488), as a curved trajectory formed by a series of intermediate states (Xi, i in [1,.,N]) avoiding energetic barriers. Following CPR construct, it ensures the absence of “hidden” barriers by probing the energy of structures along a straight line between consecutive intermediates of the path (Xi, Xᵢ₊₁), with i in [1,.,N−1], and checks that it is lower than a given (low) threshold (E[1−λXᵢ+2Xᵢ₊₁]< Eᵢ in [0,1]). To facilitate the first iteration, the procedure was initiated with a Molecular Dynamics (MD) trajectory starting from the original structure and drawn towards the inverted structure by a linear constraint of 0.5 kcal/

![Figure 1. Structure of initial compounds and analogues.](image-url)
mol/Å/atom. MD was 200,000 steps long recorded every 100 steps with a Langevin thermostat at 300 K and a friction coefficient of 100 ps$^{-1}$ to remove excess heat. The solvent is modeled implicitly for CPR by an optimization method and, thus, requires instantaneous solvent equilibration at room temperature while the structure energy is being minimized, which corresponds to a low temperature. The analytical continuum electrostatics (ACE2) potential [28] was used with default dielectric constants (1 inside the protein and 80 outside) to avoid formation of artificial cavities. POE includes various procedures above simple CPR to reduce the number of intermediates for the same level of optimization (all intermediate have energies lower than a given threshold, here −34000 kcal/mol). (i) Intermediate structures are systematically removed if any linear interpolations between remaining consecutive structures are still below an energy threshold (−34000 kcal/mol) in a procedure implemented as “backward reduction” [25]. (ii) Sub-paths are recalculated with CPR between intermediate X$i$ and X$j$, $j>i$, maintaining the list of i,j pairs lower than 1000. Distance between X$i$ and X$j$, $d_{i,j}$ is the RMS difference between respective coordinates $X_{i}^{d}$ and $X_{j}^{d}$. The volume of the cavity was calculated with a cavity search coefficient of 100 ps$^{-1}$.

### Table 1. PYC derivatives and their capacity to inhibit $^{*}$ TcPRAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound, Name (Abbreviation and #)**</th>
<th>Solubility</th>
<th>Inhibition</th>
<th>Ki (μM)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid (PYC, 1)</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>+++++++</td>
<td>6-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (PZC, 4a)</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chloro-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Cl-PZC, 4b)</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Bromo-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Br-PZC, 4c)</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Ethyl-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Et-PZC, 5)</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chloro-5-methyl-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (M-Cl-PZC)</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As determined by polarimetry and DAAD methodologies (see Materials and Methods).

**See Supporting Table 1 for compound formula.

***As determined by classical Michaelian approaches.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060955.t001

was used [31] with the default scoring function as recommended by the provider for novel type of binding site and because the goal was to select different type of compounds than the known ones. Acidic amino acids and amines were deprotonated and protonated respectively. Dockings were performed twice with His 132 protonated on N$_1$ and then, on N$_2$. Doubly protonated His 132 gave similar geometries in redocking than His 132 singly protonated on N$_2$ (pointing inwards the binding site) and was not used for screening. Compounds were assigned their best score obtained with the two His 132 protonation states. The 2D compound structure definition files (sdf) from two accessible chemical libraries: ChemDiv, Inc (San Diego, California, USA; 630,000 compounds at the time) and Chimiothèque Nationale (CNRS, France; 31,000 compounds at the time; library devoted to chemical diversity and not only to drugable compounds,) were processed with the CORINA program [32] to develop their 3D structure and add hydrogen atoms. No filters were applied to the library of compounds as their combination with the little size of the targeted pocket would most likely have obliterated any chance of finding discernible inhibitors. Ligands were selected if their FlexX score was below −20, −20, −25 and −33, respectively for transition path structures 1, 4, 10 and 49. Cutoff values were adjusted to trim selection to similar number of compounds as a compensation of the differences in volume and limit the number of candidates. (see Figure 3C: 219, 345, 385 and 430 compounds thus selected respectively). The compounds from the different screenings were combined (1199 unique compounds and 180 found more than once), clustered by chemical similarity (linear fingerprints) with an UP-Clustering classification and a 0.55 threshold in the ICM program [33], and the best compound in each cluster was selected.

### Preparation of Recombinant TcPRAC

Recombinant Trypanosoma cruzi proline racemase (EC 5.1.1.4) was produced in E. Coli BL21 (DE3) (Invitrogen) and purified by immobilized metal affinity chromatography on nickel columns, as previously described [13].

### Racemization of L-Proline and Inhibition Assays

Optimum Proline racemization conditions for TcPRAC were determined using 10–300 mM L-Proline in 0.2 M NaOAc over a range of pH values, as described [13] and L- to D- proline conversion took place in 1.5 mL reaction. Concentrations of D- proline formed were determined by optical rotation of the solution at 365 nm in a 10 cm optical path cell, thermostated at 37°C, using a polarimeter (Perkin Elmer 241 MC). Assays were also performed into microtiter plates (100 μL), as follows: dilutions of
L-Proline (40 mM to 2.5 mM) in 0.2 M sodium acetate pH 6.0 and 0.25 mM TcPRAC were loaded into microtiter plate wells with 0–20 μM PYC or similar concentrations of potential inhibitors. Controls omitted TcPRAC and/or PYC. The microtiter plates were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C. The enzyme was inactivated by heating in a microwave oven for 15 s at 900 Watts and shifting the pH to 8.3 by adding 6.8 μL of 0.235 M sodium pyrophosphate. The presence of D-Proline was tested in 50 μL from each well using the D-amino oxidase - Horse Radish Peroxidase/OPD combined test [34]. Interference caused by the possible reactivity of amino acid L-forms with DAAOx was taken into account by using standards consisting of serial dilutions of an equimolar mixture of D- and L-proline ranging from 0 to 10 mM (10 mM total). For instance, DAAOx reacts with L-Proline 300 times slower than with D-Proline. Optical densities, OD_{490} nm – OD_{650} nm were recorded on a microtiter plate reader (Molecular Devices), and the signals from the blank control wells were deducted.

**Parasites, Cell Cultures and Cellular Extracts**

Cell culture-derived trypomastigotes from T. cruzi CL Brener (clone F11-F5) were isolated from the supernatant of bulk cultures.

### Figure 2. PYC-induced structural rearrangement of TcPRAC prompted a virtual screening strategy

(A) In the crystal structure (1W62.PDB), the substrate-binding cavity of the “closed” protomer, shown as red ribbons and cylinders, is completely buried, as illustrated by the small green volume surrounding PYC whose nitrogen, oxygen and carbon appear as blue, red and cyan small spheres, respectively. In the absence of PYC, the TcPRAC protomer has a more relaxed, open structure, shown as blue ribbons and cylinders, with the void volume of the active site accessible from the bulk solvent shown in green. (B) Schematic overview of the virtual screening strategy. The 3D structure of the hemi saturated complex shown in A is represented by circles of the same color on the left. It was used to build the symmetric form of the complex as depicted by the circles on the right. Molecular mechanics and molecular dynamics were used to model TcPRAC motion (horizontal arrow) triggered by inhibitor binding. Known (weak) inhibitors derived from PYC were used to select intermediate conformations on the basis of their docking scores.
**Figure 3. Transition path characteristics.** (A) Energy profile and metric quantities for the TcPRAC transition path. The energy profile (full line) shows that the intermediate states have low energy and do not present any energy barriers. Dotted and dashed lines show the distance from the first structure ($d_{1,i}$) and the cumulative distance covered from the first structure ($l_{1,i}$), respectively (RMS in Å, see Material and Methods). Little swerving was necessary to avoid the energy barriers. The points corresponding to the intermediate structures used in the screening are marked by crosses. (B) Scores of known ligands, synthesized analogues, and new inhibitors when docked in the selected binding site models. Br-OxoPA could not be docked.
Results

Two Synthesized PYC Analogues are New (but Weak) Inhibitors of Proline Racemase

We used conventional medicinal chemistry approaches in an attempt to improve the solubility of the proline racemase competitive inhibitor pyrrole carboxylic acid (PYC) and its affinity for the TcPRAC catalytic pocket (Figure 1, Table 1 and Table S1). Primarily, several pyrazole analogues were synthesized [23], [24], [37], but while some showed better solubility in water by qualitative standards, none showed greater affinity for TcPRAC than PYC (K_i = 6–10 μM). Two of the soluble PYC derivatives, namely 4-chloro-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Cl-PZC, 4b) and 4-bromo-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Br-PZC, 4e), weakly inhibited TcPRAC (K_i = 0.3 mM and 1 mM, respectively). Two other derivatives Ethyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (Et-PZC, 5) and 4-chloro-5-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (M-CI-PZC, 13) seemed to exert weak inhibition (K_i > 2 mM). These results are in agreement with the failure of the classical docking approach. Hence, the synthesis of a transition state analogue, more potent than PYC, is certainly not the best way to find an efficient TcPRAC inhibitor for Chagas disease treatment.

Building Intermediate TcPRAC Conformations to Broaden the Chemoinformatic Search for Inhibitors

The TcPRAC catalytic site is small (the volume of a proline) and makes extremely specific contacts with the ligand [18]. Several pharmacophores were designed based on the features of the crystal PYC-bound to the catalytic site of TcPRAC and used for the virtual screening of several chemical libraries. However, the search has identified only small, metabolite-like molecules, and failed to identify any new inhibitors [Minoprio, P. and Afshar, M., unpublished; see Table S2]. To overcome this limitation, we built plausible intermediate structures to model the functional transition path of the TcPRAC between its closed/liganded and its opened/unliganded forms [18] (see Figure 2A). Use of intermediate structures of the catalytic site broadened the search to larger and chemically more diverse inhibitor candidates.

Molecular mechanics methods detailed in Material and Methods section were used to infer the plausible conformational intermediate states adopted by TcPRAC when switching between the two symmetric hemi-bound states found in the 1W62 PDB entry (Figure 2B). The transition proved to be relatively simple compared with other cases (e.g. [38], [25]) and the process converged after 3 full cycles of the procedure, described in Materials and Methods section. The resulting path consisted of 49 low-energy structures (or conformations) (see Figure 3A). The two subunits followed different transition paths, offering a larger number of possible intermediate conformations for the active site (96 = 49×2–2). Thus, the path is asymmetric and its length (l1,49) is 4.78 Å, to connect the two extreme conformations at 2.62 Å distance (d1,49) (see Figure 3A). The ratio of the length over the distance for the whole path (4.78/2.62 = 1.82) indicates that the transition path is moderately, but still significantly, curved [a linear transition would give a ratio of 1 and a semi-circle, π/2].

Known ligands and analogues, PYC, L-PRO, D-PRO, Cl-PZC, Br-PZC, Et-PZC and M-CI-PZC were docked in both catalytic sites on each of the 49 conformations using FlexX [30]. The
pocket corresponding to Chain A in the 1W62 PDB entry gave the best results both in terms of docking score and RMSD in the case of PYC. Figure 3B gives the main scores obtained with the 4 selected docking models (i.e. 1, 4, 10 and 49). As the goal was to extend docking to other types of ligands, no attempt was made to optimize the docking of all those compounds. Conformation 4 was retained as it gave good scores for some ligands that did not score well in conformation 1 (closed catalytic site for chain A in the 1W62 PDB entry), especially for Et-PZC which is one of the largest known ligand and which had its best score in conformation 4. Conformation 10 gave favorable scores compared to neighboring conformations, and was the last “closed conformation” (subsequent conformations had opened access to bulk solvent) and showed an organized hydrogen bond network at the catalytic site, which was not the case for subsequent conformations. Hence, it was selected as it was expected to allow the capture of larger and more diverse ligands although the known ligands which are small could not bind so tightly in this slightly opened conformation. The volume and maximum extension of the cavities for chain A, which appeared the most interesting for docking, are shown in Figure 3C. Beyond intermediate number 10, the cavity is opened to the bulk solvent so its extension and volume are infinite according to the way these quantities are calculated. Conformations 4 and 10 together with the closed (1) and opened (49) crystallographic structures were selected as docking models for the virtual screening (Figure 4A).

Virtual Screening, Candidate Ligand Selection and Testing

The 4 docking models were used in the two His 132 protonation states for the virtual screening of 31,000 compounds from the Chimiothèque Nationale (CN) and 630,000 compounds from the ChemDiv Chemical library (ChemDiv). The first two conformations led to the selection of small ligands, while conformations 10 and 49 selected compounds that were larger than PYC. This was reflected by the average molecular weight of the successfully docked compound in cavity 1, 4 and 10 (see Figure 3C). The average mass of compounds successfully docked in cavity 49 (302 Da = 191×2 Da) is more due to average mass of molecules in libraries than to the size of the cavity, which is unlimited. Noticeably however, conformation 4 selected slightly larger and heavier ligands than conformation 1. Following the procedure explained in Materials and Methods, 113 compounds were ordered from the ChemDiv and 37 from the CN and respectively 104 (92%) and 26 (70%) compounds were made available and tested for their ability to inhibit TcPRAC.

Only two novel TcPRAC inhibitors, both provided by the CN, were identified among these (Figure 4B). These compounds, both poorly reactive Michael acceptors - OxoPA (C₅H₆O₃, MW 114,10, λmax = 6.07 Å, CSID:4515976, http://www.chemspider.com/Chemical-Structure.4515976.html) and its derivative BrOxoPA (C₅H₅O₃Br, MW 193,00, λmax = 6.10 to 6.80 Å, [20] (Figure 4B) proved to be more potent than PYC (C₅H₄NO₂, MW 110.09, λmax = 4.60 Å), in terms of TcPRAC inhibition. Thus, based on a DAAOx test [34], equivalent concentrations of OxoPA and BrOxoPA inhibit more than 5 times the conversion of L- into D-proline catalyzed by TcPRAC than that obtained with PYC (Table 2). Correspondingly, 3 µM of OxoPA and 2.5 µM of BrOxoPA are required for 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) of TcPRAC in vitro, as compared to 10 µM of PYC, as determined by polarimetry (Table 3).

![Figure 4. Selection of active site conformations for virtual screening.](image-url)
Kinetic reaction assays using fixed amounts of dimeric PRAC (22 µg, 0.24 µM final), 40 mM substrate and 10 µM of the identified inhibitors were performed to characterize their effect on enzymatic activity (Figure 5). PYC, the transition state analogue of proline, slows the racemization of L-proline (~37% of original speed in these conditions). As it has been shown before PYC is a classical competitive and reversible TcPRAC inhibitor and such as the reaction proceeds until racemic mixture is obtained [16]. The establishment of PYC inhibition is fast as shown by the slope of the curve at the origin and promptly reaches the steady-state (Figure 5A). In contrast, as compared with the curve obtained with PYC, TcPRAC inhibition by OxoPA and BrOxoPA appears to be time-dependent since the initial velocity is not correlated with the global reduction of D-proline formation. For both OxoPA and BrOxoPA the curves of enzyme reaction progress are not linear with a final velocity close to zero. The rate of enzyme inactivation observed is faster for BrOxoPA than for OxoPA, since the plateau is reached at 250 seconds for BrOxoPA when it requires 350 seconds for OxoPA. The rate of inactivation reaction and the slope of the inhibition curves obtained with these two compounds suggest that these molecules present distinct affinities and/or reactivity for the catalytic site of the enzyme. In addition, excess of substrate (300 mM of L-proline, data not shown) induced observable protection from inactivation by these two compounds, which suggested that the inactivation process took place in the catalytic pocket. To further characterize the mode of action of this two molecules, the enzyme was pre-incubated (concentration 22 µg – 3.6 µM) with different concentration of inhibitor, for 5 or 10 min to determine inhibition dependence to inhibitor concentration and pre-incubation time. Then, the reaction mixture was diluted 15 fold and residual activity was determined in an excess of substrate (300 mM of L-proline). As can be seen in Figure 5B, BrOxoPA inactivation is faster than the one observed for OxoPA and in both cases the rates of inactivation are dependent on the inactivator concentration and time, suggesting a classical irreversible reaction. Besides, irrespective of the fact that BrOxoPA and OxoPA bind to the catalytic site and cause rapid or slow inactivation of the enzyme both compounds are very strong and irreversible TcPRAC inhibitors. The presence in OxoPA and BrOxoPA of a carboxylic acid function like in Proline and PYC is an important element of recognition of these compounds. Furthermore, the sp2 C-2 carbon of both OxoPA and BrOxoPA, matching the inverted Cα of the proline and the sp2 C-2 of PYC, is an electrophilic center that can be covalently bounded with a nucleophilic amino acid such as a cysteine residue. One can suppose that the carboxylic acid moiety holds the electrophilic C-2 reacting group at a mutual distance of the two cysteine residues (Cys-130 and Cys-270 that are involved in the proline isomerisation), which highly favors the reaction. In fact, in the docking leading to the selection of the OxoPA and BrOxoPA, their carboxylate group was close to the moieties making hydrogen bonds to the PYC carboxylate in the X-ray structure. Noticeably, the FlexX program, which is based on fragmentation-reassembly of the ligand, rebuilt ligands in poses with a cis C3–C4 bond (Figure 6). Those shorter conformers allowed positioning of the C2 atom close to the middle of the pair of catalytic sulfur atoms with an occupied volume slightly larger than that of the modeled PYC (drawn in lines; l_max = 5.27 and 5.38). Although docking poses are usually poorly reliable, this shows that these molecules could make highly specific interactions with limited adjustment. Finally, we pursue enzyme crystallization with OxoPA and BrOxoPA to elucidate whether they are covalently attached to target catalytic residues of TcPRAC (experiment in progress).

Mammalian Cell Invasion by the Parasite is Hampered by New Inhibitors of TcPRAC

To verify the potential of these new PRAC inhibitors as lead compounds in drug design strategies, we compared their effects on cultures of host Vero cells infected or not with T. cruzi, with that of PYC. Our results showed that when increasing PYC concentrations (3, 10 µM, 100 µM, 1000 µM) are added concomitantly with trypomastigotes and host cells in vitro, a clear dose-dependent effect was noted resulting in fewer infected host cells, and lower mean numbers of parasites per infected host cell (Table 4). In comparison, lower doses of OxoPA or Br-OxoPA (100- and 30-fold, respectively) were necessary to obtain the same endocytic index (EI) as that observed with culture cells treated with PYC. For instance, while control samples treated with comparable amounts of DMSO did not show any particular alterations, equivalent EI were observed for 1000 µM PYC and 10 µM OxoPA or 30 µM of Br-OxoPA. It is noteworthy that neither OxoPA nor Br-OxoPA considerably affected the viability of non infected Vero cells, which maintained adhesion to the support at the tested concentrations (3, 10 and 30 µM). To confirm the effect of the inhibitors in the initial steps of the host-parasite interaction (parasite uptake), cultures were infected for 17 h at 37 °C at a 10:1 parasite/cell ratio with or without increasing doses of freshly prepared dilutions (5, 10, 30 µM) of OxoPA, Br-OxoPA, or (10, 100, 1000 µM) of PYC, previously dissolved in DMSO and further analyzed by capture ELISA. Although no particular differences were observed in cultures treated with PYC diluted in DMSO, as in Table 4 a dose dependent inhibition of cellular infection was induced by both inhibitors, most particularly with Br-OxoPA. To determine whether the treatment of these cultures with the new compounds would indeed affect intracellular TcPRAC and as such interfere with parasite intracellular fate cells were then washed after parasite uptake and the same ligand concentrations as above (10 µM – 30 µM) were added to the cultures in fresh medium. The degree of infection was determined over 48 hours by capture ELISA. As
expected, the number of parasites per well decreased in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7). In vitro and in vivo experiments using mutant parasites that carry the luciferase gene are now in progress to support those data. In conclusion, these experiments indicate that TcPRAC inhibition by OxoPA and Br-OxoPA affects both cellular infection by T. cruzi and parasite development in tissues.

Discussion

The data presented herein substantiate previous findings showing that PRAC plays a role in both T. cruzi development and infectivity and further support its use as a original target for the development of a new chemotherapy against Chagas’ disease [7,15,39,40].

Modeling the protein functional trans-conformation by molecular mechanics and using the plausible intermediate conformers thus built for virtual screening happened to be a fruitful approach since OxoPA and Br-OxoPA would not have been selected if the screening had been performed on the crystallographic structure alone. This puts forward and substantiates the idea that targeting putative functional intermediate structures could open new doors in rational drug design and gives access to molecules with novel mode of action [25], [41]. However, intermediate structures build by modeling methods remain hypothetical and many examples such as the work presented here and others [25], [41] will be necessary to fully establish this type of approach. Other methods to explore structural transition such as umbrella sampling and other free energy based methods could be used. However, they require a previous knowledge of a relevant geometrical description of the mechanism (e.g. by collective variables), and the transition path model is given as an ensemble of millions intermediate structures, which may be difficult to exploit in a virtual screening approach. POE (see Materials & Methods) almost unavoidably requires use of an implicit solvent model to ensure immediate and room temperature equilibration of the latter in the context of

Figure 5. Kinetics of D-proline formation with time in the presence or absence of TcPRAC inhibitors. (A) Concentrations of D-proline formed were determined by polarimetry in reaction assays containing 0.15 μM of TcPRAC and 40 mM L proline (see Material and Methods). Optical rotation was measured every 10 s for 8 min, with 10 μM PYC competitive inhibitor (black circles), 10 μM OxoPA (black squares) and 10 μM Br-OxoPA (black triangles), or without inhibitor (white circles). (B) Percentage of residual activity after pre-incubation of the enzyme with different concentrations of OxoPA and BrOxoPA for 5 minutes (upper panel) and 10 minutes (lower panel).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060955.g005

Figure 6. Close view of the docking pose of BrOxoPA and OxoPa in configuration 4. Enzyme residues are displayed in “licorice” with CPK colors and Carbons in cyan. Small spheres highlight sulfur atoms. Same representation with carbon in grey for inhibitor docking pose. Atoms forming bonds with the carboxylate of PYC in 1W62 structure are connected to corresponding pose inhibitor atoms. PYC molecule modeled by superposition of carboxylate and C2 atoms on that of BrOxoPA is displayed in lines, carbons in cyan.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060955.g006
optimization. ACE2 is a highly robust generalized Born implicit model. It is approximately 10 times slower that fast implicit models like sigmoidal electrostatic models or EEF1 implemented in CHARMM [42], but it is also about 10 times faster than conventional explicit water simulations. It has proved to be very effective with POE since optimization performs better than with cruder models with better convergence properties and building of better conformations, which is important for docking [25].

Interestingly, the keto-acids compounds identified in this work and acting as Michael acceptors like in many drugs actually in development [43,44], differ considerably from PYC and proline residues. Noticeably, many drugs appear to be covalent inhibitors and up to 35% of the current drug targets could be covalently inhibited although the discovery programs were not seeking such mechanism [45]. The suitability of developing covalent inhibitors appears to be favorably reconsidered [46]. Noteworthy, the current inhibitors would have been discarded if a classical “drug-like” filter had been applied. It had been decided not to apply such classical filters due to small size of the targeted site and the previous unsuccessful attempts to identify TcPRAC inhibitor, substantiating the idea that no chances to identify an inhibitor for this unconventional site should be put aside. Additionally, it would have been difficult to identify those compounds by classical medical chemistry or QSAR methods. Another interesting contextual consideration to have, bearing in mind the suitability of covalent drugs, is that virtual screening methods are mostly conceived for non covalent interactions. In the current work, a slight leap helped us in finding the current inhibitors. The detailed topology of the molecule described in the virtual libraries did not clearly specified the conjugated nature of the molecule and the program most likely fragmented the molecule between C3 and C4 before reconstruction in the cis conformation allowing closer superposition with PYC structure (e.g. Figure 6). We maintained this compound in the order list, considering that some spontaneous isomerization could occur. This reconstruction together with the larger volume offered in conformation 4 probably give sufficient flexibility to accommodate the current compounds, hence effectively enlarging the chemical search space.

OxoPA and Br-OxoPA not only inhibit TcPRAC biochemically but also have effects both on cellular infection by T. cruzi and on parasite intracellular development. This shows their efficacy against essential parasite processes and consequently supports their therapeutic potential. These compounds have also been shown to reach their intracellular target, clearly demonstrating their diffusion through both cell and parasite membranes. Finally, no significant, detectable toxicity against mammalian cells was observed in vitro. Altogether, these properties indicate that OxoPA and Br-OxoPA are promising leads for the development of new therapies against the chronic phases of the disease.

Ongoing experiments aim to design and synthesize even more effective OxoPA and Br-OxoPA derivatives with improved affinity and specificity for TcPRAC and appropriate pharmacokinetic properties. One line of improvement is to synthesize compounds
with lower electrophilic reactivity to decrease potential sources of toxicity in a complex physiological environment. From these two promising inhibitors, a set of candidate structures can be synthesized that will improve both the non-covalent binding affinity and the positioning of the electrophilic site with respect to the nucleophilic partner (Cys residues) in the catalytic site to optimize potency and selectivity. The nature of the electrophilic moiety will be investigated. Ideally, this electrophile should be poorly reactive with nucleophiles under physiological conditions but upon appropriate orientation should selectively react in the catalytic site. A key step in this process is to refine the crystallographic structures of the enzyme complexed with these inhibitors to better support medicinal chemistry approach. Such studies would strengthen the structural hypotheses used to identify these compounds and provide more robust data for the lead optimization process. Since we have recently shown that important pathogens of the T. cruzi family [47] and also nosocomial bacteria produce functional proline racemases [48], our data suggest that these chemicals may also be useful in other diseases where proline racemases are vital.

**Supporting Information**

Table S1 Pyrazole-bearing analogues of PYC were synthesized by conventional and specific procedures, as described in the Material and Methods. Compound abbreviations used throughout the manuscript text are shown in parenthesis. (ZIP)

Table S2 Initial attempts to identify inhibitors based on a pharmacophoric approach under TcPRAC crystallographic structure insight. Six pharmacophoric models were built and tested (software Catalyst 4.11 (1252), Accelrys Software, Inc) with by then known weak inhibitors: PYC, PZC, CI-PZC, Br-PZC and non-inhibitor but also hydro soluble related compounds built by medicinal chemistry (see Materials and Methods). The selectivity of the pharmacophore and the three-dimensional analysis in terms of size and volume of the TcPRAC catalytic site cavity (1W61 and 1W62 PDB codes, publicly available) guided the choice. The binding of PYC to TcPRAC is maintained by a network of hydrophobic and hydrophobic interactions, as it has been reported in details by crystallographic studies [18]. An initial pharmacophore was automatically generated based on PYC using the “Hypogen” procedure. It displayed 1 hydrogen bond donor, 2 hydrogen bond acceptors and 1 aromatic ring features. This pharmacophore was refined incrementally by the study of the TcPRAC catalytic site structure to avoid numbers of false positive/negatives in the known inhibitor/non-inhibitor set. Hence, the analysis of the best inhibitor, PYC, showed that Phe-290 benzene ring forming one of the binding pocket wall lead to steric constraints that could disallow the binding of larger molecules and impose hydrophobicity restrictions. Thus, the overlay of the pharmacophore 3D-coordinates on the PYC/TcPRAC complex 3D structure guided the definition and constraints of the exclusion volume and shape added to the initial pharmacophore. More than 2 200 K molecules from 7 chemical compound libraries were considered. Respecting the volume constraints, a molecular mass filter (MW <350) was applied and 361093 molecules were thus selected from 3 major providers (Asinex, LifeChemical and ChemDiv) themselves selected according to the number of filtered compounds and availability criteria. 3D conformations of the selected compounds were generated using the “FAST” algorithm of DiscoveryStudio. Two other filters, acuous solubility features (>−4<logSw<0) and refined pharmacophore, were applied to those molecules and allowed the selection of molecules similar to PYC with relaxed shape restraints (CatSearch). Then, compounds were filtered for the Lipinski rule of 5 (well represented in the selected libraries) in order to extract drug-like molecules. Finally, compounds were clustered hierarchically (FCFP fingerprint) to allow classification at different level and define diversity subsets of to desired sizes. A first experimental screening campaign has applied to the 1000 diversity subset of the “ChemDiv, Inc” chemical library with no success in finding an inhibitory activity for TcPRAC, as assayed by polarimetry and by D-amino acid oxidase- based method. Another set of 374 best-fit compounds was selected among the proposed options and compounds were purchased, 330 received, and as previously, no TcPRAC inhibitor was identified among them (Minoprio, P. and Afshar, M., unpublished). (ZIP)
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