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Zinc, aluminum and group 3 metal complexes supported by tridentate naphthoxy-pyridine ligands were prepared and used as initiators/catalysts for the ring-opening polymerization of racemic-lactide and racemic-β-butyrolactone, affording heterotactic- enriched PLAs and atactic PHBs, respectively.
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Abstract: New potentially tridentate, bulky ortho-Ph3Si-substituted naphthol-pyridine proligands a–f were synthesized and introduced onto zinc, aluminum and group 3 metal centers (M = Sc, Y, La) using straightforward one-step alkane or amine elimination protocols. The solid-state structures of these mononuclear zinc (1a, 1c, 1d, 2c and 2e) and aluminum (3c) complexes were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, while the solution structures were established using 1H, 13C{1H} and 29Si/29Si{1H} (when appropriate) NMR spectroscopy. For all complexes, only one species (isomer) of C1 symmetry was observed by NMR spectroscopy in the broad temperature range. Most of these complexes are effective initiators for the ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of racemic lactide (rac-LA) at 25–100 °C, affording poly(lactides) (PLAs) generally with unimodal dispersities and molecular weights in good agreement with calculated values. An yttrium complex (4e) proved the most active (TOF = 1840 mol(LA)·mol(Y)−1·h−1 at 25 °C) and yielded heterotactic-enriched PLAs (P, up to 0.80) in toluene, while atactic PHBs are formed in the ROP of racemic β-butyrolactone (rac-BBL) under the same conditions.
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Introduction

Stereocontrolled ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of *racemic* cyclic esters (*e.g.*, lactide (*rac*-LA) and β-butyrolactone (*rac*-BBL)), mediated by discrete organometallic precursors, has been the subject of numerous studies in the last years.\(^1\) Polymerization of these renewable monomers under appropriate conditions can lead to polymers exhibiting a wide range of microstructures (iso/hetero(syndio)/atactic, and also stereoblock and gradient), which may confer, in each specific case, high crystallinity, improved elastomeric properties and controlled biodegradability. The ligand nature (geometry) plays a pivotal role in the polymerization stereocontrol, even if stereoselection during coordination/insertion of the monomer onto a metal center occurs via a chain-end control (CEM) rather than an enantiomorphic site control mechanism (SCM), which is the more “natural” process for chirality transfer onto a growing polymeric chain.\(^1,2\)

In our ongoing survey of new ancillaries for engineering of potent catalysts/initiators for stereocontrolled ROP of cyclic esters, we have designed a bis(naphthoxy)pyridine ligand platform (Scheme 1).\(^3,4\) The non-coplanar orientation of the bridging pyridine and adjacent naphthoxy groups within the latter system, upon stereoselective coordination with group 4 metals (Ti, Zr and Hf), can give rise to two distinct geometries, namely, \(C_s\)-symmetric (*meso*-like) and \(C_2\)-symmetric (*rac*-like) isomers.\(^5\) This interconversion between two geometries is anticipated to exert some special influence on the stereocontrollablity of the metal complexes towards the polymerizations of cyclic esters such as *rac*-LA and *rac*-BBL, leading to polyesters with different tacticities (*e.g.*, atactic or hetero/syndiotactic). Also, if such interconversion would occur on the timescale of polymerization propagation (“oscillating” behavior), it could lead to stereoblock architectures (*i.e.*, macromolecules enchaining segments of different tacticities). In fact, reversible interconversion between such \(C_s\) and \(C_2\)-symmetric isomers of group 4 metal complexes has been demonstrated to take place in
toluene solution with a moderate activation barrier ($\Delta G_{298}^{\ne} = 13.8$–$15.6$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$). Yet, in our previous attempts intended to extend this coordination chemistry to groups 3 (Sc, Y and La) and 13 (Al) metals, for each compound, solely the isomer exhibiting the $C_s$-symmetric coordination of the ligand has been observed and isolated. For all of these different complexes, interconversion between the $C_s$- and a putative $C_2$-symmetric isomers was not observed in solution using VT NMR spectroscopic studies. The latter behavior may stem either from a much higher interconversion barrier (kinetic control), intrinsic to such bis(naphthoxy)pyridine complexes of groups 3 and 13 metals, or from basic instability of the associated $C_2$-isomer (thermodynamic control). When used as initiators/precatalysts in ROP of rac-LA and rac-BBL, these group 3 metal bis(naphthoxy)pyridine complexes were found to be moderately heteroselective and syndioselective, respectively, while the aluminum complexes appeared to be non-stereoselective.

Scheme 1. Ph$_3$Si-substituted bis(naphthoxy)pyridine-based polymerization systems.

Thus, we decided to set up more flexible ligand platforms that combine the conformationally stable naphthoxy-pyridine scaffold and pending heteroatom-containing donating groups. We surmised that the implementation of these ligands would provide a less
sterically rigid binding with metal center\(^6\) and would allow preparation of complexes featuring relatively easy dynamic interconversion between isomers of different geometries.

In this contribution, two main categories of asymmetrically substituted pyridine-naphthol proligands were considered (Scheme 2): (i) proligands \(a-d\) bearing O-based pendant donating groups, which would result in monoanionic \(\{\text{ONO}\}^-\) ligand platforms, and (ii) proligands incorporating N-based pendant donating groups for the synthesis of complexes with both monoanionic \(\{\text{ONN}\}^-\) \((e)\) and dianionic \(\{\text{ONN}\}^{2-}\) \((f)\) ligand platforms. The synthesis of the corresponding complexes of zinc, aluminum and group 3 metals (Sc, Y and La) was investigated, and the obtained compounds were studied as initiators in the ROP of \(\text{rac-LA}\) and \(\text{rac-BBL}\).

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 2.} Tridentate pyridine-linked naphthol-based proligands \(a-f\) used in this study.
\end{center}

\textbf{Results and Discussion}
Synthesis of Proligands. Asymmetric pyridine-linked proligands $a$ and $b$ were prepared starting from the diproteo bis(naphthol)pyridine proligand previously described by our group (Scheme 3). The two-step procedure involves deprotonation of the latter precursor with PhCH$_2$K in THF followed by methylation or benzylation reaction with MeI or BnBr/Bu$_4$NI to afford $a$ and $b$, respectively. Analytically pure proligands were obtained after column chromatography in 49% and 46% yields, respectively.

![Scheme 3. Synthesis of proligands $a$ and $b$.](image)

The synthesis of $c$ and $d$ was accomplished via Suzuki coupling between 2-bromo-6-(2-(methoxymethoxy)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)pyridine (see the Experimental Section) and the corresponding boronic acid, followed by deprotection (Scheme 4). Both compounds were obtained in 66% and 94% yields, respectively, after recrystallization.
Scheme 4. Synthesis of proligands c and d.

Compound e was obtained in 76% yield by a standard condensation of 6-(2-hydroxy-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)picolinaldehyde (see the Experimental Section) with 2,6-diisopropylaniline (Scheme 5). Further reaction of isolated e with a 3-fold excess of PhLi, followed by hydrolysis, gave compound f in 90% yield.

Scheme 5. Synthesis of proligands e and f.

Proligands a–f are stable compounds, readily soluble in aromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene) and other common solvents (CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, THF). These compounds were fully characterized by $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and X-ray crystallography (Figures S57 and Tables S1 and S2).
Synthesis of Zinc, Aluminum and Group 3 Metal Complexes. The preparation of alkyl- and amido-zinc complexes supported by these bulky naphthoxy-based ligands was carried out by direct σ-bond metathesis of ZnEt₂ and Zn[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂, respectively, with proligands a–d. Generally, the reactions took place in toluene at room temperature, concomitantly eliminating 1 equiv of ethane or HN(SiMe₃)₂, respectively.

1. Ligands of ONO-type. Within the series of complexes of {ONO}⁻ ligands (Scheme 2, top), both the corresponding ethyl-zinc (1a, 1c and 1d) and amido-zinc (2c and 2d) compounds were isolated in high yields. The reaction of b with Zn[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂ appeared to be problematic, and mixtures of the desired complex 2b with the initial proligand were systematically obtained. The outcome of these tests did not change even upon heating at 80 °C over one week. The reasons for such reactivity remained unclear, and 1b was not isolated pure. The reaction of b with Et₂Zn did not take place in benzene or toluene even upon reflux.

In a similar manner, dimethyl-aluminum complex 3c was prepared by treatment of the parent proligand with a small excess of AlMe₃ in toluene at room temperature and isolated as a red crystalline solid in 88% yield (Scheme 6). Due to difficulties encountered for Zn and Ln (vide infra) chemistries, neither a nor b were tested with Al.

The complexes were characterized by NMR spectroscopy in solution and elemental analysis and X-ray crystallography in the solid state (vide infra). The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of 1a, 1c, 1d, 2c, 2d and 3c confirm the nature of the compounds. In particular, the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (less sensitive than ¹H signals to anisotropic effects quite likely in those complexes having multiple aromatic rings) for the Zn–CH₂CH₃ (δ −1.8 and 11.8 (1a), −1.2 and 12.8 (1c), −2.4 and 12.3 (1d) ppm), Zn–N(SiMe₃)₂ (δ 5.4 (2c), 5.5 (2d) and 4.9 (2e) ppm) and Al–Me (δ −9.7 (3c) and −14.2 (3e) ppm) groups are in line with those reported in the literature for analogous Zn-ethyl,⁷ Zn-amido⁸ and Al-methyl⁴,b,c complexes. These NMR data also all testify of the asymmetric nature of these complexes in solution. Due to the substantial bulkiness of the ligand scaffolds, some of the complexes (1a and 1d) exhibited a
The room-temperature $^1$H NMR spectrum of 1a in toluene-$d_8$ (Figure S23) displayed a series of broadened signals due to fluxional dynamics arising from a hindered reorganization of the geometry (presumably, via reversible decooordination and rotation of the bulky MeO-naphthyl moiety and/or reciprocal reorganization of the adjacent naphthoxy and pyridine moieties). Upon lowering the temperature, all signals sharpened and a well-resolved NMR spectrum for this compound was recorded at –20 °C (Figures S20–S22), consistent with the presence of a single $C_1$-symmetric species. For other compounds in this series, this reorganization process appeared to be more facile and the corresponding $^1$H NMR spectra all displayed sharp resonances at room temperature (see the SI).

We also investigated the coordination of an {ONO} ligand on group 3 metals through aminolysis of Ln[N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$]$_3$THF ($Ln = Y, La$) with an equimolar amount of a. The reactions were monitored by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in toluene-$d_8$ at 25 °C. Under these conditions, complete consumption of the proligand, accompanied with concomitant release of 1 equiv of HN(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$, was observed within 18 h for both yttrium and lanthanum. Unfortunately, the $^1$H NMR spectra of both products appeared to remain very broad over the large temperature range –50 to +80 °C, and these NMR data were not conclusive as to the nature of the product(s) formed. Also, all attempts to crystallize these materials failed. Due to these difficulties, the coordination chemistry of other {ONO} ligands with group 3 metals was not further investigated.
Scheme 6. Synthesis of \(\text{ONO}^-\) complexes of Zn (1a–d, 2d and 2c) and Al (3c).

2. Ligands of ONN-type. Analogous reactivity studies were performed for the \{ONN\}-type proligand e (Scheme 7). Reaction of e with 1 equiv of Zn[\(\text{N(SiMe}_3\text{)}_2\)] gave the desired complex 2e with high yield. On the other hand, using a similar protocol as that employed for the synthesis of 3c, the reaction of e with 1 equiv of AlMe₃ afforded after a standard workup, instead of the desired 3e, the monomethyl-aluminum complex 3e' containing a new dianionic \{ONN\}²⁻ ligand. This result is in line with previous observations made on the coordination of imino-based ligands on AlMe₃, which typically resulted in nucleophilic addition of a methyl group on the C=N bond.⁹
Reaction of e with 1 equiv of Y[N(SiHMe₂)₂]₃THF successfully yielded the corresponding THF-free diamido compound 4e (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7. Synthesis of {ONN}⁻ and {ONN}²⁻ complexes of Zn (2e), Al (3e') and Y (4e).

Complexes 2e, 3e' and 4e were characterized by ¹H, ¹³C{¹H} and ²⁹Si (for 4e) NMR spectroscopy in solution, and elemental analysis and X-ray diffraction study (for 2e) in the solid state. The fluxional dynamic behavior observed for 2e and 4e in benzene solution (Fig. S32, S38 and S40) may arise from hindered rotation associated to the bulky diisopropylphenyl and amido groups. All three complexes featured asymmetric structures in solution, as revealed by ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. For instance, the iPr groups of the diisopropylphenyl groups gave rise to two sets of signals in the corresponding NMR spectra. In 4e, the two N(SiHMe₂)₂ groups were also found non-equivalent and appeared as two sets of signals in the corresponding ¹H, ¹³C and ²⁹Si NMR spectra (Figures S38, S39 and S42, respectively); those signals do not coalesce, even upon heating at 60 °C.
The amine elimination reactions between f and 1 equiv of Ln[N(SiHMe₂)₂]₃THF (Ln = Sc, Y and La) were investigated (Scheme 8). The reactions took place at 50 °C overnight with complete consumption of the proligand and concomitant release of 2 equiv of HN(SiHMe₂)₂ to afford 5f (Y) and 6f (La). For the Sc analogue 4f, an extended reaction time (one week) was required for completion of the reaction. This reactivity trend is in agreement with the larger ionic character of Y and La (Y⁺³ (CN = 6): 0.90 Å, La⁺³ (CN = 6): 1.03 Å) as compared to that of Sc (Sc⁺³ (CN = 6): 0.75 Å). After a standard workup, the monoamido complexes 4−5f of the dianionic {ONN}-type ligand were isolated in good yields (67−83%).

Scheme 8. Synthesis of {ONN}²⁻ complexes of Sc (4f), Y (5f) and La (6f).

These compounds are readily soluble in aromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene) and in THF. The structures of these complexes were established on the basis of ¹H, ¹³C{¹H}, ²⁹Si{¹H} and ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. By analogy with group 3 metal complexes of dianionic bis(naphthoxy)pyridine ligands,³ the mono-THF adducts 4f−6f are most probably mononuclear and five-coordinate in benzene or toluene solutions. The chemical shifts of the SiH moiety (δ 4.70−4.84 ppm at room temperature in C₆D₆) for 4f, 5f and 6f and the ¹J₃Si−H coupling constants (180, 165 and 163 Hz, respectively) were found in the regular range of values, arguing against strong β-Si−H−Ln agostic interactions with the metal centers in solution.¹¹ The structures of all complexes are asymmetric in solution over a broad
temperature range, as judged from the $^1$H NMR data obtained for 5f (Figure S51). Also, no
interconversion between asymmetric species having different geometries was evidenced for
the latter species by variable-temperature $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{12}

**Solid-State Structures of Zinc and Aluminum Complexes.** Single crystals of zinc
and aluminum complexes suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from the
following mixtures: C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6}/heptane (1d\textsuperscript{1}1.5C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6}), THF/hexane (1c and 3cC\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{8}O) and
toluene/hexane (1a\textsuperscript{0.5}C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8}, 2c and 2e). The crystallographic data of these complexes are
summarized in Table S3 and important bond distances and angles are given in the
corresponding Figures 1–6.

In the solid state, all the complexes feature a monomeric structure with the metal
center in a distorted tetrahedral geometry. The common feature observed in these molecular
structures lies in the non-coplanarity of the naphthoxy and pyridine planes. The twist angles
between these two fragments range from 42.04 to 55.8°, which falls within the range of values
(38.7–64.4°) observed for related bis(naphthoxy)pyridine complexes of group 3 and 4
metals.\textsuperscript{3,5}

In zinc complexes 1a, 1d, 2c and 2e, the metal center lies in a (distorted) tetrahedral
environment, coordinated by the tridentate ligands and the ethyl or bis(trimethylsilyl)amido
group. In 1c, the ligand is only \( \kappa^2 \)-coordinated by its O(naphthoxy) and N(pyridine) and the
metal center is also four-coordinated by an additional THF molecule (instead of the ligand
methoxy, in contrast to 1d). The Zn–O(naphthoxy) (1.912(4)–1.979(3) Å), Zn–N(pyridine)
(2.037(3)–2.133(2) Å), Zn–C(ethyl) (1.956(3)–1.977(3) Å) and Zn–N(amido)
(1.9015(16)–1.902(3) Å) bond lengths are in the range of, or are close to those observed in
related compounds (2.021(2)–2.029(2),\textsuperscript{13} 1.962(2)–2.054(4),\textsuperscript{7,8,14} 1.958(3)–1.981(2)\textsuperscript{7,14,15} and
1.869(2)–1.920(4) Å,\textsuperscript{8} respectively). Noteworthy, the Zn–N(imino) bond distance in 2e
(2.162 (3) Å) is ca. 0.1 Å longer than that reported for related compounds (1.872(1)–1.977(4)
Å). This elongation can probably be a result of a significant steric hindrance in 2e.

**Figure 1.** Solid-state molecular structure of 1a·0.5C₇H₈ (thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability; all solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zn(1)–O(1), 1.912(4); Zn(1)–O(2), 2.238(4); Zn(1)–N(1), 2.103(2); Zn(1)–C(2), 1.956(3); Zn(1)–C(2)–C(3), 112.0(2); O(1)–Zn(1)–O(2), 97.2(2); Zn(1)–O(2)–C(1), 125.3(2); O(1)–Zn(1)–N(1), 90.3(7); O(2)–Zn(1)–N(1), 79.5(7); C(2)–Zn(1)–N(1), 116.55(11).
Figure 2. Solid-state molecular structure of 1c (thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability; all hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zn(1)–O(1), 1.9386(18); Zn(1)–N(1), 2.133(2); Zn(1)–C(2), 1.977(3); Zn(1)–O(3), 2.138(2); Zn(1)–C(2)–C(3), 119.6(2); O(1)–Zn(1)–O(3), 91.08(8); O(3)–Zn(1)–N(1), 89.60(8); O(1)–Zn(1)–N(1), 91.23(8); C(2)–Zn(1)–N(1), 133.03(11).

Figure 3. Solid-state molecular structure of 1d1.5C6H6 (thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability; all solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zn(1)–O(1), 1.9390(18); Zn(1)–O(2), 2.265(2); Zn(1)–N(1), 2.065(2); Zn(1)–C(2), 1.960(3); Zn(1)–C(2)–C(3), 116.4(2); O(1)–Zn(1)–O(2), 95.52(8); Zn(1)–O(2)–C(1), 116.23(18); O(1)–Zn(1)–N(1), 88.65(8); O(2)–Zn(1)–N(1), 79.05(8); C(2)–Zn(1)–N(1), 130.94(11).
Figure 4. Solid-state molecular structure of 2c (thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability; all hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zn(1)–O(1), 1.9363(13); Zn(1)–O(2), 2.1454(13); Zn(1)–N(1), 2.0422(16); Zn(1)–N(2), 1.9015(16); N(1)–Zn(1)–N(2), 131.71(7); O(1)–Zn(1)–O(2), 97.65(5); Zn(1)–O(2)–C(1), 118.08(12); O(1)–Zn(1)–N(1), 90.57(6); O(2)–Zn(1)–N(1), 84.98(6); O(1)–Zn(1)–N(2), 127.68(7), O(2)–Zn(1)–N(2), 112.96(6).

Figure 5. Solid-state molecular structure of 2e (thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability; all hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zn(1)–O(1), 1.979(3); Zn(1)–N(1), 2.037(3); Zn(1)–N(2), 2.162(3); N(3)–C(1), 1.276(5); N(1)–Zn(1)–N(2), 130.35(13); O(1)–Zn(1)–N(3), 119.03(11); Zn(1)–
N(3)–C(1), 111.2(3); O(1)–Zn(1)–N(1), 84.80(12); N(1)–Zn(1)–N(3), 78.22(13); O(1)–Zn(1)–N(2), 122.61(13), N(2)–Zn(1)–N(3), 112.51(13).

The overall geometry and metal coordination environment in 3c are the same as those observed before in four-coordinated dimethyl-aluminum complexes of both bi- and tridentate $\sigma$-Ph$_3$Si-substituted phenoxy-imino ligands.$^{16}$ The Al–O(naphthoxy) (1.781(2) Å), Al–N(pyridine) (2.023(2) Å), and Al–C(methyl) (1.943(3)–1.968(4) Å) bond distances are in the normal range found for the abovementioned complexes (1.772(2)–1.861(3), 2.027(3) and 1.951(2)–1.979(3) Å).$^{16}$

**Figure 6.** Solid-state molecular structure of 3c·C$_4$H$_8$O (thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability; all solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Al(1)–O(1), 1.781(2); Al(1)–N(1), 2.023(2); Al(1)–C(2_1), 1.968(4); Al(1)–C(2_2), 1.943(3); O(1)–Al(1)–N(1), 92.13(9); O(1)–Al(1)–C(2_1), 111.78(12); O(1)–Al(1)–C(2_2), 106.12(13), N(1)–Al(1)–C(2_1), 110.30(12); N(1)–Al(1)–C(2_2), 112.21(12).
Recrystallization of 3e' from a solution in a toluene/hexanes mixture unexpectedly resulted in isolation of crystals of product 3e'', arising from adventitious hydrolysis of the parent monomethyl complex. The molecular structure of 3e'' is depicted in Figure 7. In the oxo-bridged structure of this molecule, each of the two tetrahedral aluminum centers is $\kappa^3$-coordinated by a tridentate ligand. The Al–O and Al–N bond lengths in 3e'' are comparable to those observed in 3c and other related complexes of o-Ph$_3$Si-substituted phenoxy-imino ligands.\textsuperscript{16}

**Figure 7.** Solid-state molecular structure of 3e'' (thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability; all hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Al(1)–O(1), 1.7794(15); Al(1)–N(1), 1.9318(17); Al(1)–N(2), 1.817(2); N(2)–C(1), 1.473(3); Al(1)–O(3), 1.690(2); N(1)–Al(1)–N(2), 86.52(8); O(1)–Al(1)–N(1), 89.15(7); Al(1)–N(2)–C(1), 115.64(14); N(2)–C(1)–C(2), 112.6(2); O(1)–Al(1)–N(2), 124.66(8); Al(1)–O(3)–Al(2), 154.80(9).

**Studies on Ring-Opening Polymerization of rac-Lactide (rac-LA) and rac-Butyrolactone (rac-BBL).** The new zinc, aluminum and group 3 metals complexes have
potentially active nucleophilic groups (i.e., alkyl and amido) and their performances as initiators of the ROP of racemic lactide and \( \beta \)-butyrolactone were assessed. Representative results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

(insert Table 1 here)

**Zinc-based Initiators.** Not surprisingly in light of the poor nucleophilicity of the ethyl group, zinc ethyl complexes 1a, 1c and 1d proved to be quite poor initiators in the ROP of \( \text{rac-LA} \). The polymerization did not take place at room temperature and substantial heating (60−100 °C) was required to achieve high conversions. Complex 1a containing the \( \text{Ph}_3\text{Si} \)-disubstituted bis(naphthoxy) ligand was not active even under these conditions (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). When combined with isopropanol (5 equiv), 1a appeared to be active at 100 °C, slowly converting 100 and 1,000 equiv of lactide (entries 3 and 4, respectively). The atactic PLAs formed under those conditions had unimodal, although broadened molecular distributions with the experimental number-average molecular weights being close to the calculated ones (based on the converted monomer-to-alcohol ratio).

Complexes with the less bulky ligands 1c and 1d exhibited higher activity than 1a and enabled the conversion of 100 equiv of \( \text{rac-LA} \) within a few minutes at 80 °C in toluene or at 60°C in THF solution, even without addition of isopropanol (entries 6, 7 and 5, respectively). The resulting slightly heterotactic-enriched PLAs (\( P_t = 0.65−0.75 \)) have relatively broad molecular distributions (\( D_M = 1.5−2.1 \)).

As expected, the more nucleophilic amide-zinc complexes 2c, 2d and 2e proved to be active at room temperature, enabling the conversion of 100−2,000 equiv of \( \text{rac-LA} \) within relatively short periods of time (entries 8−12, 15−17). The PLAs obtained with 2c and 2d under these conditions had also unimodal, yet rather broad molecular weight distributions (\( D_M = 1.3−2.0 \)), which argues for their unoptimal initiation efficiency and/or propensity to promote side-reactions such as transesterification. Remarkably, 2e even without iPrOH (entries 16 and 17) afforded PLAs with rather narrow polydispersities (\( D_M = 1.11 \)) and good agreement
between the experimental and calculated molecular weights. The presence of isopropanol turned out to be detrimental for the activities of these systems. For instance, the combinations of 2c or 2e with iPrOH (one equiv) were completely inactive at room temperature (entries 13, 18 and 19). Actually, an NMR monitoring of the reaction of 2c with one equiv of isopropanol in toluene-$d_8$ at room temperature indicated decomposition of the complex with release of protio c. Homo-decoupled $^1$H NMR spectroscopy showed that the PLAs obtained with systems 2c, 2d and 2e all had a slightly heterotactic-enriched microstructures ($P_r = 0.65–0.76$).

The performance of 2c and 2e, the most active complexes towards rac-LA, were next assessed in the ROP of rac-BBL. Polymerization occurred only upon heating at 60–80 °C and complete conversions were reached after longer time periods (Table 2, entries 2 and 3). Despite the absence of co-initiator / chain-transfer agent (iPrOH), the initiation with these systems appeared remarkably efficient and PHBs featuring narrow molecular weight distributions were isolated ($D_M = 1.07–1.23$). Yet, the experimental number average molecular weights were not systematically close to the calculated ones. Also, polymerizations with 2c and 2e were found to be essentially nonstereoselective.

Aluminum-based Initiators. ROP of rac-LA with dimethyl-aluminum 3c and monomethyl-aluminum 3e proceeded at 100 °C in toluene (Table 1, entries 20–23). In general, a good control over the polymerization was achieved with both systems in the presence of isopropanol, as evidenced by the relatively narrow molecular weight distribution of the resulting polymers ($D_M = 1.17–1.37$) and the good agreement between observed and theoretical molecular weights calculated on the assumption of the growth on one macromolecular chain per metal center. The homo-decoupled $^1$H NMR experiments conducted on the PLAs samples produced with these aluminum compounds indicated that all
of them are atactic. On the other hand, complexes 3c and 3e’ were found completely inactive towards rac-BBL (Table 2, entries 7–9), which is not unsurprising for aluminum complexes.1

Group 3 Metal-based Initiators. The group 3 diamido 4e and monoamido 5f and 6f were found very active toward the ROP of rac-LA at room temperature (Table 1, entries 24–28, 30–35); nearly quantitative conversions of the monomer were achieved within a few minutes (for 4e, TOF up to 1840 mol(LA)·mol(Y)−1·h−1 at 25 °C). Without the addition of isopropanol, yttrium compound 4e gave PLAs with broad molecular weight distributions (ÐM = 2.1, entries 24–26) and number-average molecular weight values generally twice larger with respect to those calculated from the monomer-to-yttrium ratio. The reactions proceeded even faster in the presence of isopropanol (compare entries 24/27 and 26/28) and all the PLAs formed under those conditions had unimodal narrow molecular distributions (ÐM = 1.21–1.35) and experimental number-average molecular weights (Mn) quite close to the calculated values (entries 27 and 28), indicating a more controlled behavior.

Within the f series, the activity of complexes clearly follows the order of ionic radii of metals La(6f) > Y(5f) > Sc(4f). Thus, for scandium complex 4f, a substantial heating was required to achieve a good conversion (entry 29). Such trend was already observed for a similar series of group 3 metal amino-alkoxy-bis(phenolate) complexes and was explained on account of a more sterically hindered metal center.17 These lanthanide systems provided relatively broad molecular weight distributions (ÐM = 1.4–2.0), which do not improve much if isopropanol is used as chain-transfer agent (compare entries 30/33 and 34/35). Homo-decoupled 1H NMR spectroscopy showed that the PLAs formed with these systems have heterotactic-enriched microstructures with the probability of racemic linkage ranging from 0.78–0.80 for 4e to 0.57–0.69 for 4–6f.

In line with previous reports,5b,17 the activities of complexes 4e and 4–6f in the ROP of rac-BBL were found inferior to those of rac-LA (Table 2, entries 10–14). For instance, polymerization reactions with 5f and 6f required longer periods of time, while with 4f they
did not take place at all even at 60 °C. For the most active 4e, the TOF was up to 900 mol(BBL)·mol(Y)$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$ at 25 °C. The resulting PHBs had molecular weights distributions that were unimodal, although somewhat large ($D_M = 1.5–1.9$). None of these complexes proved to be stereoselective, giving mostly atactic PHB polymers ($P_r = 0.42–0.66$).

**Conclusions**

Several new series of bulky Ph$_3$Si-substituted tridentate naphthol-pyridine proligands have been conveniently prepared and straightforwardly installed onto Zn, Al and group 3 metals (Sc, Y and La) as alkyl and amido complexes. These monomeric and monometallic complexes all adopt $C_1$-symmetry both in the solid state and in solution (benzene, toluene or THF).

Studies in the ROP of rac-LA and rac-BBL indicated that some of these systems exhibit significant activity, good molecular weight control and narrow molecular weight distributions. On the other hand, modest degrees of stereocontrol were achieved with these systems. The performances of the complexes obtained in this study compare well with that of their corresponding imino-phenoxide and related analogues.$^{5b,15,16,18}$

**Experimental Section**

**General Considerations.** All manipulations were performed under a purified argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or in a glovebox (< 1 ppm O$_2$, < 5 ppm H$_2$O). Solvents were distilled from Na/benzophenone (THF, Et$_2$O) or Na/K alloy (toluene, hexane and pentane) under argon, degassed thoroughly and stored under argon prior to use. Deuterated solvents were stored over Na/K alloy (benzene-$d_6$, toluene-$d_8$, THF-$d_8$; >99.5% D, Eurisotop) and vacuum-transferred just before use. CDCl$_3$ was dried over a mixture of 3 and 4Å molecular sieves. Precursors Ln[N(SiHMMe$_2$)$_2$]$_3$(THF) (Ln = Sc, Y, La)$^{19}$ and Zn[N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$]$^{20}$ were prepared as described in the literature. Other starting materials were
purchased from Acros, Strem, Alfa and Aldrich and used as received. *Racemic* lactide (*rac*-LA) and *racemic* β-butyrolactone (*rac*-BBL) were received from Aldrich and TCI, respectively. Purification of *rac*-LA required a three-step procedure involving first a recrystallization from a hot, concentrated iPrOH solution (80 °C), followed by two subsequent recrystallizations in hot toluene (100 °C). *Rac*-BBL was freshly distilled from CaH2 under nitrogen and degassed thoroughly by freeze-thaw-vacuum cycles prior to use. After purification, *rac*-LA and *rac*-BBL were stored at –30 °C in the glove-box.

**Instrumentation and Measurements.** NMR spectra of complexes were recorded on Bruker Avance DRX 400 and AM-500 spectrometers in Teflon-valved NMR tubes at 25 °C unless otherwise indicated. 1H and 13C NMR chemical shifts are reported in ppm vs. SiMe4 and were determined by reference to the residual solvent peaks. Assignment of resonances for organometallic complexes was made from 2D 1H-13C HMQC and HMBC NMR experiments. 29Si chemical shifts were determined with respect to the external reference (Me4Si).

Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed on recrystallized samples that were thoroughly dried under vacuum, using a Flash EA1112 CHNS Thermo Electron apparatus and are the average of two independent determinations.

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analyses of PLAs and PHBs were performed in THF (1.0 mL min⁻¹) at 20 °C using a Polymer Laboratories PL-GPC 50 plus apparatus equipped with two ResiPore 300 × 7.5 mm columns, and RI and Dual angle LS (PL-LS 45/90) detectors. The number-average molecular masses (Mn) and polydispersity index (Mw/Mn) of the polymers were calculated with reference to a universal calibration vs. polystyrene standards. Reported experimental SEC molar mass values (Mn,SEC) for PLA samples were corrected by a factor of 0.58 as previously established; those of PHBs are uncorrected. Unless otherwise stated, the SEC traces of the polymers all exhibited a unimodal, and usually symmetrical, peak.
(3-(Methoxymethoxy)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)naphthalen-2-yl)triphenylsilane. To a solution of [3-(methoxymethoxy)-2-naphthyl)](triphenylsilane)\(^3\) (5.00 g, 11.19 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was added TMEDA (1.67 mL, 11.21 mmol) followed by addition of sec-BuLi (9.12 mL of a 1.3 M solution in hexane/cyclohexane, 11.86 mmol) at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Then, 2-isopropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (3.65 mL, 17.90 mmol) was added via syringe at −78 °C. After 30 min at −78 °C, the flask was removed from the cooling bath and allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring; the reaction mixture was stirred at 70°C overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated to yield a yellowish solid. The product was purified by passing through a short silica column using CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) as eluent, to afford the product as an off-white solid (5.45 g, 85%). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298 K): \(\delta\) 8.00 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{H-H}\) = 8.4, 1H, aryl-CH\(_2\)), 7.76 (s, 1H, aryl-CH\(_3\)), 7.61 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{H-H}\) = 7.6, 6H, aryl-CH\(_2\)), 7.47–7.44 (m, 1H, aryl-CH\(_3\)), 7.41–7.38 (m, 4H, aryl-CH\(_2\)), 7.36–7.33 (m, 6H, aryl-CH\(_2\)), 7.31–7.28 (m, 1H, aryl-CH\(_3\)), 4.67 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)OCH\(_3\)), 2.89 (s, 3H, CH\(_2\)OC\(_3\)H\(_3\)), 1.48 (s, 12H, BOC(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)). \(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298 K): \(\delta\) 162.2 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 142.3 (aryl-CH\(_2\)), 139.0 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 136.4 (aryl-CH), 136.3 (aryl-CH), 135.2 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 129.4 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 129.2 (aryl-CH), 128.8 (aryl-CH), 128.1 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 127.0 (aryl-CH), 126.9 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 126.6 (aryl-CH), 123.8 (aryl-CH), 96.0 (CH\(_2\)OCH\(_3\)), 84.3 ((BOC(CH\(_3\))\(_2\))), 55.4 (CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\)), 25.9 (BOC(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{36}\)H\(_{37}\)BO\(_4\)Si: C, 75.52; H, 6.51. Found: C, 75.48; H, 6.54.

2-Bromo-6-(2-(methoxymethoxy)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)pyridine. An oven-dried 250 mL Schlenk flask, equipped with a stirring bar and a Teflon valve, was charged with 2,6-dimethylpyridine (2.47 g, 10.47 mmol), (3-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)naphthalen-2-yl)triphenylsilane (5.45 g, 9.52 mmol),
Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (0.55 g, 0.47 mmol) and K$_3$PO$_4$ (4.05 g, 19.11 mmol) under argon. Then, dry toluene (100 mL) was added via syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, during this time the bright yellow color faded to pale yellow (with insoluble white K$_3$PO$_4$). The flask was placed in an oil bath at 115 °C with stirring for 3 days. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the suspension was filtered through celite with the aid of Et$_2$O. Volatiles were removed under vacuum and the resulting residue was purified by recrystallization using an Et$_2$O/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ mixture (1:1) to give a solid (3.00 g, 52%).

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 298 K): δ 7.88 (s, 1H, aryl-C$_H$), 7.69–7.67 (m, 2H, aryl-C$_H$), 7.64 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.4, 7H, aryl-C$_H$), 7.53–7.47 (m, 3H, aryl-C$_H$), 7.43–7.42 (m, 3H, aryl-C$_H$), 7.39–7.37 (m, 1H, aryl-C$_H$), 3.91 (s, 2H, CH$_2$OCH$_3$), 2.62 (s, 3H, CH$_2$OCH$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 298 K): δ 157.9 (aryl-C$_q$), 157.2 (aryl-C$_q$), 141.6 (aryl-C$_H$), 141.4 (aryl-C$_q$), 138.6 (aryl-C$_H$), 136.5 (aryl-C$_H$), 134.8 (aryl-C$_q$), 134.6 (aryl-C$_q$), 130.2 (aryl-C$_q$), 129.5 (aryl-C$_H$), 128.9 (aryl-C$_q$), 128.5 (aryl-C$_H$), 127.8 (aryl-C$_H$), 127.7 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.7 (aryl-C$_q$), 126.6 (aryl-C$_H$), 125.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.8 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.7 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.6 (aryl-C$_H$), 125.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.8 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.7 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.6 (aryl-C$_H$), 125.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.8 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.7 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.6 (aryl-C$_H$), 125.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.8 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.7 (aryl-C$_H$), 126.6 (aryl-C$_H$), 125.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.9 (aryl-C$_H$), 124.8 (aryl-C$_H$), 99.4 (CH$_2$OCH$_3$), 56.0 (CH$_2$OCH$_3$).

Anal. Calcd for C$_{35}$H$_{28}$BrNO$_2$Si: C, 69.76; H, 4.68; N, 2.32. Found: C, 69.72; H, 4.56; N, 2.30.

6-(2-(Methoxymethoxy)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)picolinaldehyde.

(3-(Methoxymethoxy)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)naphthalen-2-yl)triphenylsilane (5.00 g, 8.7 mmol) and Na$_2$CO$_3$ (1.91 g, 18.1 mmol) were dissolved in a degassed H$_2$O/MeOH mixture (4:1, 60 mL). The resulting solution was added via cannula to a solution of 6-bromopicolinaldehyde (1.35 g, 7.2 mmol) and Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (416 mg, 0.36 mmol) in degassed toluene (50 mL). The biphasic mixture was heated to 90 °C for 48 h under vigorous stirring. After cooling to room temperature, the organic phase was separated and washed with Et$_2$O (3 × 25 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with H$_2$O (3 × 25 mL) and brine (1 × 20 mL), and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. Volatiles were removed under vacuum, and the resulting brown oil was chromatographed on silica using CH$_2$Cl$_2$ as eluent.
The early fractions containing (3-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)naphthalen-2-yl)triphenylsilane and 6-bromopicinaldehyde were separated. The remaining fractions were combined and volatiles were removed under vacuum to provide 6-(2-(methoxymethoxy)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)picinaldehyde as a yellow solid (2.50 g, 63%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 10.19 (s, 1H, CHO), 8.04–8.03 (m, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.96 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.79–7.75 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.70–7.68 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.49–7.40 (m, 13H, aryl-CH), 3.89 (br s, 2H, CH₂OCH₃), 2.55 (s, 3H, CH₂OC₃H₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 193.7 (CHO), 157.7 (aryl-Cq), 152.6 (aryl-Cq), 141.7 (aryl-CH), 137.3 (aryl-CH), 136.5 (aryl-CH), 134.7 (aryl-Cq), 134.6 (aryl-Cq), 131.6 (aryl-CH), 130.4 (aryl-Cq), 129.6 (aryl-CH), 129.1 (aryl-Cq), 128.7 (aryl-CH), 127.9 (aryl-CH), 127.8 (aryl-CH), 127.1 (aryl-Cq), 125.0 (aryl-CH), 124.6 (aryl-CH), 120.0 (aryl-CH), 99.5 (CH₂OCH₃), 55.9(CH₂OCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₂₉NO₃Si: C, 78.37; H, 5.30; N, 2.54. Found: C, 78.42; H, 5.35; N, 2.60.

6-(2-Hydroxy-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)picinaldehyde. 6-(2-(Methoxymethoxy)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)picinaldehyde (2.50 g, 4.53 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of concentrated HCl (20 mL), CHCl₃ (30 mL), and EtOH (40 mL), and the solution was refluxed for 4 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and then carefully diluted with a concentrated solution of NaOH (50 mL). Then, a concentrated solution of NH₄Cl was added to adjust the pH value to 7–8. The product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to afford the desired compound as a yellow solid (1.20 g, 52%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 12.01 (s, 1H, OH), 10.09 (s, 1H, CHO), 8.28 (d, ³J_H,H = 8.6 Hz, 1H, aryl-CH), 8.14 (t, ³J_H,H = 8.1, 2H, aryl-CH), 8.00–7.92 (m, 5H, aryl-CH), 7.85 (d, ³J_H,H = 6.5, 5H, aryl-CH), 7.76 (d, ³J_H,H = 7.9, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.73 (d, ³J_H,H = 8.1, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.65 (d, ³J_H,H = 7.4, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.55–7.45 (m, 5H, aryl-CH), 7.39–7.32 (m, 2H, aryl-CH).
**Proligand a.** A Schlenk flask was charged with a 1,1’-(pyridine-2,6-diyl)bis(3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-2-ol)\(^3\) (1.00 g, 1.13 mmol) and PhCH\(_2\)K (0.148 g, 1.13 mmol), and THF (ca. 10 mL) was vacuum transferred in at –78 °C. The reaction mixture was gently warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 h at 60 °C. To this mixture, MeI (77.8 μL, 1.25 mmol) was added dropwise at –78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at 60 °C, cooled to room temperature, diluted with water (50 mL), and extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO\(_4\) and evaporated to dryness. The crude material contained ca. 50% of the desired product, 1-(6-(2-methoxy-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)pyridin-2-yl)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-2-ol, as judged by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy. This crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica, heptane/EtOAc (9:1), R\(_f\) = 0.10) and recrystallized from an heptane/EtOAc (9:1) mixture to give, after drying under vacuum, an analytically pure yellow microcrystalline material (0.50 g, 49%). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298 K): δ 12.87 (br s, 1H, O\(\text{H}\)), 8.22 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{H-H}\) = 8.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.91–7.87 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.78 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.67 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.64–7.60 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.56–7.51 (m, 12H, aryl-CH), 7.44–7.39 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.32–7.16 (m, 22H, aryl-CH), 2.29 (s, 3H, OCH\(_3\)). \(^13\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298 K): δ 160.5 (aryl-Cq), 160.4 (aryl-Cq), 156.9 (aryl-Cq), 154.1 (aryl-Cq), 142.8 (aryl-CH), 141.1 (aryl-CH), 137.2 (aryl-CH), 136.6 (aryl-CH), 136.4 (aryl-CH), 134.8 (aryl-Cq), 134.7 (aryl-Cq), 134.6 (aryl-Cq), 133.2 (aryl-Cq), 130.2 (aryl-Cq), 129.4 (aryl-CH), 129.3 (aryl-CH), 129.2 (aryl-CH), 129.1 (aryl-Cq), 128.8 (aryl-Cq), 128.7 (aryl-CH), 127.8 (aryl-CH), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 125.9 (aryl-Cq), 125.2 (aryl-Cq), 124.7 (aryl-CH), 124.6 (aryl-CH), 124.5 (aryl-CH), 124.4 (aryl-CH), 123.6 (aryl-CH), 122.8 (aryl-CH), 113.0 (aryl-Cq), 60.4 (CH\(_3\)). Anal. Caled for C\(_{62}\)H\(_{47}\)NO\(_2\)Si\(_2\): C, 83.28; H, 5.30; N, 1.57. Found: C, 83.35; H, 5.16; N, 1.53.

**Proligand b.** Using a procedure similar to that described above for a, proligand b was obtained 1,1’-(pyridine-2,6-diyl)bis(3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-2-ol) (1.00 g, 1.13
mmol), PhCH₂K (0.148 g, 1.13 mmol), Bu₄NI (0.461 g, 1.25 mmol) and BnBr (148.5 µL, 1.25 mmol). The crude material contained ca. 50% of desired product, 1-(6-(2-(benzyl oxy)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)pyridin-2-yl)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-2-ol, as judged by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy. This crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica, heptane/toluene (6:4), Rᵣ = 0.33). Pure compound \textbf{b} was recovered as a pale yellow powder (0.50 g, 46%). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 298 K): δ 12.94 (s, 1H, O\textsubscript{H}), 8.15 (d, 3\textit{J}_{H,H} = 8.5, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.84 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.72 (d, 3\textit{J}_{H,H} = 8.0, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.68 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.62 (d, 3\textit{J}_{H,H} = 7.4, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.63–7.52 (m, 16H, aryl-CH), 7.41–7.37 (m, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.32–7.15 (m, 21H, aryl-CH), 6.88 (t, 3\textit{J}_{H,H} = 7.3, 1H, OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 6.78 (t, 3\textit{J}_{H,H} = 7.5, 2H, OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 6.23 (d, 3\textit{J}_{H,H} = 7.3, 2H, OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 6.23 (d, 3\textit{J}_{H,H} = 7.3, 2H, OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 3.81 (s, 2H, OC\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2}Ph). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 298 K): δ 160.4 (aryl-Cq), 159.4 (aryl-Cq), 156.5 (aryl-Cq), 154.0 (aryl-Cq), 142.8 (aryl-CH), 141.4 (aryl-CH), 137.5 (aryl-Cq), 137.1 (aryl-CH), 136.6 (aryl-CH), 136.5 (aryl-CH), 134.8 (aryl-Cq), 134.7 (aryl-Cq), 134.6 (aryl-Cq), 133.2 (aryl-Cq), 130.3 (aryl-Cq), 129.5 (aryl-CH), 129.4 (aryl-CH), 129.3 (aryl-CH), 129.0 (aryl-Cq), 128.9 (aryl-Cq), 128.7 (aryl-CH), 127.8 (aryl-CH), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 127.3 (aryl-CH, OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 127.1 (aryl-Cq, OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 126.6 (aryl-CH, OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 126.2 (aryl-CH, OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 125.2 (aryl-Cq), 125.1 (aryl-CH), 124.7 (aryl-CH), 124.6 (aryl-CH), 124.5 (aryl-CH), 123.7 (aryl-CH), 122.9 (aryl-CH), 113.3 (aryl-Cq), 75.9 (OCH\textsubscript{2}Ph). Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{68}H\textsubscript{51}NO\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}: C, 84.17; H, 5.30; N, 1.44. Found: C, 84.55; H, 5.22; N, 1.47. Yellow crystals of \textbf{b} suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by prolonged crystallization from CHCl\textsubscript{3} at room temperature.

\textbf{Proligand c.} A solution of 2-bromo-6-(2-(methoxymethoxy)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)pyridine (1.00 g, 1.66 mmol), (3-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)boronic acid (0.335 g, 1.66 mmol), Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} (20 mL of a 2 M solution in water), EtOH (20 mL) and toluene (50 mL) was stirred under argon. After 20 min, Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4} (0.095 g, 0.08 mmol) was added and the solution was refluxed at 90 °C for 48 h. The reaction mixture was
allowed to cool down to room temperature, added to water (50 mL), and extracted with
\( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate and
evaporated to yield a yellow solid. This solid was dissolved in a mixture of concentrated HCl
(20 mL), CHCl\(_3\) (30 mL), and EtOH (40 mL), and the solution was refluxed for 4 h. The
reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and then carefully diluted with a concentrated solution of
NaOH (50 mL). Then, a concentrated solution of NH\(_4\)Cl was added to adjust the pH value to
7–8. The product was extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 × 20 mL), and the combined organic extracts
were dried over MgSO\(_4\) and evaporated to afford desired compound as a yellow solid. This
material was recrystallized using an Et\(_2\)O/CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) mixture (9:1) to afford pure
\( \text{c} \) (0.70 g, 66%).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298 K): \( \delta \) 12.56 (br s, 1H, OH), 8.25 (d, \( J_{H-H} = 8.5 \), 1H, aryl-
CH), 8.06 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.95 (t, \( J_{H-H} = 7.8 \), 1H, aryl-CH), 7.87 (d, \( J_{H-H} = 7.9 \), 1H, aryl-
CH), 7.83–7.81 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.74 (d, \( J_{H-H} = 6.8 \), 7H, aryl-CH), 7.69–7.67 (m, 2H, aryl-
CH), 7.49–7.46 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.44–7.37 (m, 10H, aryl-CH), 7.29 (t, \( J_{H-H} = 7.4 \), 1H, aryl-
CH), 7.18 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 3.57 (s, 3H, OCH\(_3\)). \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298 K): \( \delta \)
159.6 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 155.9 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 155.5 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 155.2 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 142.3 (aryl-CH), 137.5
(aryl-CH), 136.5 (aryl-CH), 135.2 (aryl-CH), 135.1 (aryl-CH), 134.8 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 133.2 (aryl-
C\(_q\)), 130.7 (aryl-CH), 130.6 (aryl-CH), 129.5 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 129.4 (aryl-CH), 129.2 (aryl-CH),
128.8 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 128.6 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 128.1 (aryl-CH), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.1 (aryl-CH), 126.4
(aryl-CH), 125.4 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 124.3 (aryl-CH), 124.2 (aryl-CH), 123.5 (aryl-CH), 122.8 (aryl-
CH), 121.9 (aryl-CH), 114.7 (aryl-C\(_q\)), 106.3 (aryl-CH), 55.0 (CH\(_3\)). Anal. Calcd for
C\(_{44}\)H\(_{33}\)NO\(_2\)Si: C, 83.12; H, 5.23; N, 2.20. Found: C, 83.10; H, 5.19; N, 2.28.

**Proligand d.** Using a procedure similar to that described above for \( \text{c} \), compound \( \text{d} \)
was obtained from 2-bromo-6-(2-(methoxymethoxy)-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-
yl)pyridine (1.00 g, 1.66 mmol), 2-methoxyphenylboronic acid (0.252 g, 1.66 mmol) and
Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_4\) (0.095 g, 0.08 mmol). The resulting yellow solid was washed with pentane and
recrystallized from CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) to afford \( \text{d} \) (0.91 g, 93%). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298 K): \( \delta \)
12.55 (s, 1H, OH), 8.24 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.5$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.92 (t, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.8$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.84 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.9$, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.74 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.7$, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.67 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.1$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.61–7.57 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.48–7.38 (m, 11H, aryl-CH), 7.29 (t, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.4$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.06 (t, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.5$, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.95 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.3$, 1H, aryl-CH), 3.49 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 298 K): δ 159.6 (aryl-C$q$), 157.0 (aryl-C$q$), 155.8 (aryl-C$q$), 155.6 (aryl-C$q$), 142.3 (aryl-C$q$), 137.4 (aryl-CH), 136.5 (aryl-CH), 135.2 (aryl-C$q$), 133.3 (aryl-C$q$), 130.7 (aryl-CH), 129.4 (aryl-CH), 129.2 (aryl-CH), 128.9 (aryl-C$q$), 127.9 (aryl-C$q$), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 125.3 (aryl-C$q$), 124.0 (aryl-CH), 123.5 (aryl-CH), 122.7 (aryl-CH), 121.7 (aryl-CH), 120.8 (aryl-CH), 114.7 (aryl-C$q$), 111.5 (aryl-CH), 54.9 (CH$_3$). Anal. Calcd for C$_{44}$H$_{33}$NO$_2$Si: C, 82.02; H, 5.33; N, 2.39. Found: C, 82.06; H, 5.40; N, 2.29.

**Proligand e.** A solution of 6-(2-hydroxy-3-(triphenylsilyl)naphthalen-1-yl)picolinaldehyde (1.20 g, 2.36 mmol) and 2,6-diisopropylaniline (0.54 g, 3.07 mmol) in THF (50 mL) containing 3Å molecular sieves and a catalytic amount of TsOH was heated to reflux under argon for 12 h. After filtration and removal of the volatiles under vacuum, the crude material was recrystallized from a CH$_2$Cl$_2$/pentane mixture (1:1) to afford e as a microcrystalline yellow solid (1.20 g, 76%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 298 K): δ 11.86 (br s, 1H, OH), 8.19 (s, 1H, CH=N), 8.15–8.12 (m, 1H, aryl-CH), 8.05 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.5$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.92–7.91 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.73 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.59–7.55 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.31–7.18 (m, 12H, aryl-CH), 7.07–7.03 (m, 3H, aryl-CH), 2.87–2.84 (sept, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.4$, 2H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.07 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.4$, 12H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$).

$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 298 K): δ 161.8 (CH=N), 159.4 (aryl-C$q$), 156.9 (aryl-C$q$), 152.7 (aryl-C$q$), 148.3 (aryl-C$q$), 142.9 (aryl-CH), 137.8 (aryl-CH), 137.1 (aryl-C$q$), 136.6 (aryl-CH), 136.5 (aryl-CH), 134.7 (aryl-C$q$), 133.2 (aryl-C$q$), 129.5 (aryl-CH), 129.4 (aryl-CH), 127.9 (aryl-CH), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 125.1 (aryl-C$q$), 124.7 (aryl-CH), 123.3 (aryl-CH), 123.2 (aryl-CH), 119.5 (aryl-CH), 113.9
(aryl-Cq), 28.0 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 22.4 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). Anal. Calcd for C$_{46}$H$_{42}$N$_2$OSi: C, 82.84; H, 6.35; N, 4.20. Found: C, 82.80; H, 6.39; N, 4.27.

**Proligand f.** To a solution of e (1.00 g, 1.49 mmol) in anhydrous, degassed Et$_2$O (5 mL) cooled to -30 °C under argon was added phenyllithium (2.25 mL of a 2 M solution in dibutyl ether, 4.49 mmol). After warming to room temperature, the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h. The reaction was then quenched with aqueous NH$_4$Cl. The product was extracted with Et$_2$O (3 × 20 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO$_4$ and evaporated to afford f as a microcrystalline yellow solid (1.00 g, 90%).

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 298 K): δ 11.66 (br s, 1H, O-H), 8.17 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 8.5, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.88 (s, 1H, aryl-Cq), 7.79 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 4.2, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.71–7.68 (m, 7H, aryl-Cq), 7.67–7.65 (m, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.50–7.46 (m, 5H, aryl-CH), 7.43–7.39 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.33–7.31 (m, 2H, aryl-Cq), 7.23–7.20 (m, 3H, aryl-CH), 7.05–7.02 (m, 3H, aryl-CH), 5.24 (s, 1H, C-HPh), 2.95–2.90 (sept, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.8, 2H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.05 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.8, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.02 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 6.7, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 298 K): δ 161.1 (aryl-Cq), 159.7 (aryl-Cq), 156.7 (aryl-Cq), 142.4 (aryl-CH), 142.1 (aryl-Cq), 141.9 (aryl-Cq), 141.1 (aryl-Cq), 137.4 (aryl-CH), 136.6 (aryl-CH), 134.8 (aryl-Cq), 133.1 (aryl-Cq), 129.4 (aryl-CH), 129.2 (aryl-CH), 128.9 (aryl-CH), 128.7 (aryl-CH), 128.6 (aryl-CH), 128.5 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 127.4 (aryl-CH), 127.2 (aryl-CH), 127.1 (aryl-CH), 126.1 (aryl-Cq), 125.4 (aryl-Cq), 124.5 (aryl-CH), 123.7 (aryl-CH), 123.6 (aryl-CH), 123.3 (aryl-CH), 122.8 (aryl-CH), 119.9 (aryl-CH), 113.9 (aryl-Cq), 69.6 (CPh), 27.7 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 24.1 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 24.0 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). Anal. Calcd for C$_{52}$H$_{48}$N$_2$OSi: C, 83.83; H, 6.49; N, 3.76. Found: C, 83.90; H, 6.45; N, 3.71.

**Complex 1a.** A Schlenk flask was charged with proligand a (0.100 g, 0.112 mmol) and dried toluene (ca. 10 mL) was transferred in. ZnEt$_2$ (0.12 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 0.12 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was gently warmed to room temperature and stirred 12 h. The solution was filtered, evaporated,
and the residue was washed with pentane and dried under vacuum to give \( \text{1a} \) as a yellow microcrystalline material (0.100 g, 90%). \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR (500 MHz, toluene-\( d_8 \), 253 K): \( \delta \) 8.31 (s, 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 8.07 (s, 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.96–7.94 (m, 6H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.91 (d, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 8.5 \), 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.79 (d, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 8.3 \), 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.74 (d, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 7.2 \), 6H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.37 (d, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 8.1 \), 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.33 (d, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 8.1 \), 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.26–7.21 (m, 10H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.05 (t, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 7.6 \), 8H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 6.77 (t, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 7.9 \), 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 6.45 (d, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 7.5 \), 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 2.34 (s, 3H, OC\( _\text{H}_3 \)), 0.45 (t, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 8.1 \), 3H, ZnCH\( _2 \)C\( _\text{H} \)), –0.06––0.14 (m, 1H, ZnC\( _\text{H}_2 \)CH\( _3 \)), –0.53––0.46 (m, 1H, ZnC\( _\text{H}_2 \)CH\( _3 \)). \( ^{13}\text{C} \{^1\text{H} \} \) NMR (125 MHz, toluene-\( d_8 \), 298 K): \( \delta \) 169.3 (aryl-\( C_\text{q} \)), 158.1 (aryl-\( C_\text{q} \)), 143.8 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 142.2 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 137.0 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 136.8 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 130.1 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 129.5 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 129.2 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 128.9 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 128.8 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 128.1 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 128.0 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 127.7 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 127.2 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 127.0 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 125.7 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 124.5 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 124.2 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 113.7 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 59.2 (O\( _\text{C}_3 \)), 11.8 (ZnCH\( _2 \)C\( _\text{H} \)), –1.8 (ZnCH\( _2 \)CH\( _3 \)). Anal. Calcd for C\( _{64} \)H\( _{51} \)NO\( _2 \)Si\( _2 \)Zn: C, 77.83; H, 5.20; N, 1.42. Found: C, 77.95; H, 5.02; N, 1.53. Yellow crystals of \( \text{1a} \) suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by prolonged crystallization from a C\( _7 \)H\( _8 \)/heptane mixture (1:1) at room temperature.

**Complex 1c.** Using a procedure similar to that described above for \( \text{1a} \), compound \( \text{1c} \) was obtained from \( c \) (0.100 g, 0.157 mmol) and ZnEt\( _2 \) (0.17 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 0.17 mmol) and isolated as a yellow microcrystalline material (0.111 g, 97%). \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR (500 MHz, THF-\( d_8 \), 298 K): \( \delta \) 7.95–7.91 (m, 2H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.80–7.74 (m, 4H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.71 (s, 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.63 (d, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 7.1 \), 6H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.54 (d, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 7.8 \), 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.46–7.44 (m, 2H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.37 (s, 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.33–7.32 (m, 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 7.26–7.21 (m, 10H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 6.98 (t, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 7.4 \), 1H, aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 3.90 (s, 3H, O\( _\text{CH}_3 \)), 0.52 (t, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 8.0 \), 3H, ZnCH\( _2 \)C\( _\text{H} \)), –0.61 (q, \( ^3J_{H,H} = 8.0 \), 2H, ZnCH\( _2 \)CH\( _3 \)). \( ^{13}\text{C} \) NMR (125 MHz, THF-\( d_8 \), 298 K): \( \delta \) 170.8 (aryl-\( C_\text{q} \)), 159.6 (aryl-\( C_\text{q} \)), 156.9 (aryl-\( C_\text{q} \)), 155.7 (aryl-\( C_\text{q} \)), 143.3 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 138.0 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 137.6 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 137.4 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 137.3 (aryl-\( C_\text{H} \)), 136.9 (aryl-
Cq), 136.1 (aryl-Cq), 132.9 (aryl-CH), 131.8 (aryl-Cq), 130.7 (aryl-Cq), 129.7 (aryl-CH), 129.6 (aryl-Cq), 129.5 (aryl-Cq), 129.2 (aryl-CH), 128.9 (aryl-CH), 128.4 (aryl-CH), 128.1 (aryl-CH), 128.0 (aryl-CH), 127.9 (aryl-CH), 127.8 (aryl-Cq), 127.4 (aryl-CH), 127.3 (aryl-CH), 126.0 (aryl-Cq), 124.9 (aryl-CH), 124.2 (aryl-CH), 122.9 (aryl-CH), 120.8 (aryl-CH), 114.3 (aryl-Cq), 106.9 (aryl-CH), 55.85 (OCH$_3$), 12.75 (ZnCH$_2$C$_3$H$_3$), –1.15 (ZnCH$_2$CH$_3$).

Anal. Calcd for C$_{46}$H$_{37}$NO$_2$SiZn: C, 75.76; H, 5.11; N, 1.92. Found: C, 75.63; H, 5.13; N, 1.95. Yellow crystals of 1c suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by prolonged crystallization from a THF/hexane mixture (1:1) at room temperature.

Complex 1d. Using a procedure similar to that described above for 1a, compound 1d was obtained from proligand d (0.100 g, 0.170 mmol) and ZnEt$_2$ (0.19 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 0.19 mmol) and isolated as a yellow microcrystalline material (0.080 g, 69%).$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): δ 8.11 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.98–7.96 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.86 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 8.5, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.44 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 8.2, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.36 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 8.0, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.21–7.20 (m, 8H, aryl-CH), 7.17 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.9, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.09 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.3, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.02 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.98 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.1, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.93 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.9, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.85 (t, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.9, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.75 (t, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.4, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.50 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 7.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.40 (d, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 8.3, 1H, aryl-CH), 3.12 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 0.78 (t, $^3$J$_{H-H}$ = 8.1, 3H, ZnCH$_2$CH$_3$), 0.02 (s, 2H, ZnCH$_2$CH$_3$).$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): δ 170.2 (aryl-Cq), 156.9 (aryl-Cq), 155.4 (aryl-Cq), 153.9 (aryl-Cq), 144.5 (aryl-CH), 138.2 (aryl-CH), 137.2 (aryl-Cq), 137.1 (aryl-CH), 136.2 (aryl-Cq), 132.5 (aryl-Cq), 131.3 (aryl-CH), 130.8 (aryl-CH), 129.9 (aryl-CH), 129.3 (aryl-Cq), 129.1 (aryl-CH), 128.6 (aryl-Cq), 128.3 (aryl-Cq), 128.2 (aryl-Cq), 127.9 (aryl-CH), 127.4 (aryl-CH), 126.9 (aryl-Cq), 125.7 (aryl-Cq), 123.3 (aryl-CH), 123.0 (aryl-Cq), 121.8 (aryl-CH), 121.5 (aryl-CH), 114.2 (aryl-Cq), 113.6 (aryl-CH), 56.4 (OCH$_3$), 12.3 (ZnCH$_2$CH$_3$), –2.44 (ZnCH$_2$CH$_3$). Anal. Calcd for C$_{42}$H$_{35}$NO$_2$SiZn: C, 74.27; H, 5.19; N, 2.06. Found: C, 74.51;
H, 5.22; N, 2.13. Yellow crystals of 1d suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by prolonged crystallization from a C₆H₆/hexane mixture (1:1) at room temperature.

**Complex 2c.** Using a procedure similar to that described above for 1a, compound 2c was obtained from proligand e (0.100 g, 0.157 mmol) and Zn[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂ (0.067 g, 0.173 mmol) and isolated as a yellow microcrystalline material (0.110 g, 81%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 8.09 (s, 1H, aryl-C₆H), 7.98 (d, ³J_H-H = 7.4, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.93 (d, ³J_H-H = 7.5, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.72 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.55 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.0, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.52 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.3, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.48 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.1, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.32 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.0, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.23–7.20 (m, 12H, aryl-C₆H), 6.97 (t, ³J_H-H = 7.4, 2H, aryl-CH), 6.89 (t, ³J_H-H = 7.9, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.64 (t, ³J_H-H = 7.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 3.15 (s, 3H, OC₆H₃), 0.14 (s, 18H, ZnN(SiMe₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 171.5 (aryl-Cq), 158.0 (aryl-Cq), 153.1 (aryl-Cq), 152.8 (aryl-Cq), 144.9 (aryl-CH), 138.1 (aryl-CH), 137.1 (aryl-CH), 136.9 (aryl-Cq), 136.3 (aryl-Cq), 134.8 (aryl-Cq), 131.8 (aryl-Cq), 131.4 (aryl-CH), 131.3 (aryl-Cq), 129.7 (aryl-CH), 129.4 (aryl-Cq), 129.3 (aryl-Cq), 129.2 (aryl-CH), 128.6 (aryl-Clq), 128.5 (aryl-CH), 128.3 (aryl-CH), 128.2 (aryl-CH), 128.1 (aryl-CH), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 127.3 (aryl-CH), 126.6 (aryl-CH), 125.7 (aryl-Cq), 121.9 (aryl-CH), 121.8 (aryl-CH), 117.5 (aryl-CH), 113.0 (aryl-Cq), 61.4 (OCH₃), 5.4 (ZnN(SiMe₃)₂). Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₅₀N₂O₂Si₁₂Zn: C, 69.78; H, 5.86; N, 3.26. Found: C, 69.86; H, 5.91; N, 3.21. Yellow crystals of 2c suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were prepared by prolonged crystallization from a toluene/hexane mixture (1:1) at room temperature.

**Complex 2d.** Using a procedure similar to that described above for 1a, compound 2d was obtained from proligand d (0.100 g, 0.170 mmol) and Zn[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂ (0.072 g, 0.187 mmol) and isolated as a yellow microcrystalline material (0.099 g, 72%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 8.11 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.98 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.94 (d, ³J_H-H = 5.5, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.49 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.1, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.35 (d, ³J_H-H = 7.9, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.25–7.23 (m, 10H, aryl-CH), 7.17 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.01–6.97 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 6.89–6.85
(m, 2H, aryl-CH), 6.73 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.0$, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.56 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.5$, 1H, aryl-CH), 3.04 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), –0.04 (s, 18H, ZnN(SiMe$_3$)$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): δ 171.4 (aryl-Cq), 157.9 (aryl-Cq), 155.4 (aryl-Cq), 152.5 (aryl-Cq), 144.9 (aryl-CH), 138.0 (aryl-Cq), 137.1 (aryl-CH), 136.9 (aryl-Cq), 136.3 (aryl-Cq), 131.8 (aryl-Cq), 131.5 (aryl-CH), 130.7 (aryl-CH), 129.9 (aryl-Cq), 129.6 (aryl-CH), 129.1 (aryl-CH), 128.3 (aryl-CH), 128.1 (aryl-Cq), 128.0 (aryl-CH), 127.9 (aryl-Cq), 126.6 (aryl-CH), 126.5 (aryl-CH), 126.1 (aryl-CH), 123.4 (aryl-CH), 121.8 (aryl-CH), 121.3 (aryl-CH), 121.1 (aryl-CH), 113.0 (aryl-Cq), 61.5 (OCH$_3$), 5.49 (ZnN(SiMe$_3$)$_2$). Anal. Calcd for C$_{46}$H$_{48}$N$_2$O$_2$Si$_3$Zn: C, 68.16; H, 5.97; N, 3.46. Found: C, 68.09; H, 5.91; N, 3.52.

Complex 2e. Using a procedure similar to that described above for 1a, compound 2e was obtained from proligand e (0.100 g, 0.149 mmol) and Zn[N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$]$_2$ (0.069 g, 0.178 mmol) and isolated as a yellow microcrystalline solid (0.105 g, 79%). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): δ 8.08 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 8.04 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.2$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.86–7.84 (m, 6H, aryl-Cq), 7.67 (s, 1H, C=H=N), 7.64 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.4$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.32–7.28 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.19–7.18 (m, 8H, aryl-CH), 7.07 (t, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.5$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.01–6.99 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 6.68 (t, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.8$, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.22 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.1$, 1H, aryl-CH), 3.15 (br s, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.95 (br s, 1H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.39 (br s, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.12 (br s, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.04 (br s, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 0.87 (br s, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), –0.13 (s, 18H, ZnN(SiMe$_3$)$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): δ 173.2 (aryl-Cq), 162.5 (CH=N), 157.7 (aryl-Cq), 146.4 (aryl-CH), 143.9 (aryl-Cq), 143.3 (aryl-Cq), 137.3 (aryl-CH), 136.7 (aryl-CH), 136.4 (aryl-Cq), 134.8 (aryl-Cq), 132.2 (aryl-Cq), 130.9 (aryl-CH), 129.4 (aryl-CH), 128.8 (aryl-CH), 128.2 (aryl-Cq), 127.9 (aryl-CH), 127.8 (aryl-Cq), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 127.5 (aryl-CH), 127.2 (aryl-Cq), 122.5 (aryl-CH), 121.8 (aryl-CH), 121.7 (aryl-CH), 112.8 (aryl-Cq), 28.9 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 27.5 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 26.1 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 24.8 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 23.8 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 20.9 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 4.86 (ZnN(SiMe$_3$)$_2$). Anal. Calcd for C$_{52}$H$_{59}$N$_3$OSi$_3$Zn: C, 70.04; H, 6.67; N, 4.71. Found: C, 69.92; H, 6.69; N, 4.79.
Complex 3c. Using a procedure similar to that described above for 1a, compound 3c was obtained from proligand c (0.100 g, 0.157 mmol) and AlMe₃ (0.17 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 0.17 mmol) and isolated as a yellow microcrystalline material (0.096 g, 88%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 8.31 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.92–7.90 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.51 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.3, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.41 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.0, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.23 (q, ³J_H-H = 7.4, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.14–7.12 (m, 8H, aryl-CH), 7.09–7.06 (m, 4H, aryl-CH), 6.98 (d, ³J_H-H = 7.7, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.85 (br s, 1H, aryl-CH), 3.23 (s, 3H, OC₃H₃), –0.91 (s, 3H, Al(C₃H₃)₂), –1.33 (s, 3H, Al(C₃H₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 164.3 (aryl-Cq), 156.6 (aryl-Cq), 155.7 (aryl-Cq), 154.2 (aryl-Cq), 144.2 (aryl-CH), 137.0 (aryl-CH), 136.9 (aryl-CH), 136.7 (aryl-CH), 135.4 (aryl-Cq), 135.2 (aryl-Cq), 134.2 (aryl-Cq), 130.1 (aryl-Cq), 129.9 (aryl-CH), 129.1 (aryl-CH), 129.0 (aryl-Cq), 128.9 (aryl-CH), 128.3 (aryl-CH), 128.2 (aryl-CH), 128.1 (aryl-Cq), 128.0 (aryl-CH), 127.9 (aryl-Cq), 127.8 (aryl-CH), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-Cq), 127.5 (aryl-CH), 127.2 (aryl-CH), 126.5 (aryl-CH), 125.3 (aryl-CH), 124.7 (aryl-CH), 122.5 (aryl-CH), 114.7 (aryl-Cq), 106.8 (aryl-CH), 55.0 (OCH₃), –9.7 (AlCH₃), –11.3 (AlCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₄₆H₃₈AlNO₂Si: C, 79.86; H, 5.54; N, 2.02. Found: C, 79.89; H, 5.56; N, 2.15. Yellow crystals of 3c suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by prolonged crystallization from a THF/hexane mixture (1:1) at room temperature.

Reaction of proligand e with AlMe₃. Synthesis of Complex 3e’ and Isolation of X-ray Suitable Crystals of 3e”.

A Schlenk flask was charged with proligand e (0.100 g, 0.149 mmol) and toluene (ca. 10 mL) was transferred in. AlMe₃ (0.16 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 0.165 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at –78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solution was filtered and volatiles were evaporated under vacuum affording 3e’ as a pale yellow microcrystalline powder (0.098 g, 91%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 8.19 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.8, 1H, aryl-CH), 8.09 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.83–7.79 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.46 (d, ³J_H-H = 8.0, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.32–7.29 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.17–7.01 (m, 10H, aryl-CH), 7.06 (t, ³J_H-H = 8.0, 3H, aryl-CH), 6.87 (t, ³J_H-H =
8.0, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.44 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.8$, 1H, aryl-CH), 4.81 (q, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.0$, 1H, CHCH$_3$), 3.70 (sept, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.6$, 1H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 3.40 (sept, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.6$, 1H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.36 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.6$, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.21 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.6$, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.20 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.6$, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.03 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.0$, 3H, CHCH$_3$), 0.58 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.6$, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), –0.85 (s, 3H, AlCH$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): δ 165.4 (aryl-C$q$), 163.9 (aryl-C$q$), 152.7 (aryl-C$q$), 148.5 (aryl-C$q$), 146.6 (aryl-C$q$), 145.3 (aryl-CH), 142.3 (aryl-C$q$), 139.2 (aryl-CH), 136.7 (aryl-C$q$), 133.5 (aryl-C$q$), 131.0 (aryl-C$q$), 129.7 (aryl-C$q$), 129.1 (aryl-CH), 128.8 (aryl-C$q$), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 124.4 (aryl-CH), 124.1 (aryl-CH), 123.4 (aryl-CH), 123.0 (aryl-CH), 122.7 (aryl-CH), 118.0 (aryl-CH), 114.9 (aryl-C$q$), 61.2 (CHCH$_3$), 27.9 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 27.3 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 26.7 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 25.3 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 25.0 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 22.5 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 21.8 (CHCH$_3$), –14.2 (AlCH$_3$).

Anal. Calcd for C$_{48}$H$_{47}$AlN$_2$OSi: C, 79.74; H, 6.55; N, 3.87. Found: C, 79.81; H, 6.50; N, 3.80.

Recrystallization of 3e’ from a solution in a toluene/hexanes mixture at room temperature resulted in isolation of crystals of partial hydrolysis product 3e’’

**Complex 4e.** A Schlenk flask was charged with proligand e (0.100 g, 0.149 mmol) and Y[N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$]$_3$THF (0.084 g, 0.149 mmol), and toluene (ca. 10 mL) was vacuum transferred in. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature, then filtered and evaporated under vacuum. The solid residue was washed with pentane (10 mL) and dried under vacuum to give 4e as a red microcrystalline material (0.133 g, 87%). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 333 K): δ 8.08 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.99 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.91–7.90 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.79 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.7$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.36 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 8.0$, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.25–7.24 (m, 10H, aryl-CH), 7.12 (s, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.01–6.99 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 6.89 (t, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.7$, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.53 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 6.2$, 1H, aryl-CH), 4.67 (br s, 4H, N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$), 3.16 (br s, 2H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.39 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 5.2$, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.04 (d, $^3J_{H,H} = 5.2$, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), –0.09 (s, 12H, N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$), –0.16 (s, 12H, N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 333 K): δ 171.2 (CH=N), 168.9 (aryl-C$q$), 159.1 (aryl-C$q$), 147.8 (aryl-C$q$), 147.3 (aryl-C$q$), 146.4
(aryl-CH), 140.3 (aryl-Cq), 136.9 (aryl-CH), 136.7 (aryl-CH), 136.2 (aryl-Cq), 135.1 (aryl-Cq), 133.1 (aryl-CH), 129.3 (aryl-CH), 128.9 (aryl-CH), 128.8 (aryl-Cq), 127.9 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 127.1 (aryl-CH), 125.2 (aryl-Cq), 124.6 (aryl-CH), 124.1 (aryl-CH), 123.3 (aryl-CH), 121.9 (aryl-CH), 114.9 (aryl-Cq), 28.5 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 26.2 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.8 (N(SiHM$_e$)$_2$)$_2$, 2.2 (N(SiHM$_e$)$_2$)$_2$. $^{29}$Si{$_1^1$H} NMR (79 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 333 K): $\delta$ –11.5 (s, 1Si, Ph$_3$Si), –22.7 (br s, 2Si, SiHM$_e$), –22.8 (br s, 2Si, SiHM$_e$). $^{29}$Si NMR (79 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 333 K): $\delta$ –22.7 (d sept, $^1J_{Si-H}$ = 165, $^2J_{Si-H}$ = 6.8, 2Si), –22.8 (d sept, $^1J_{Si-H}$ = 165, $^2J_{Si-H}$ = 6.8, 2Si).

Anal. Calcd for C$_{54}$H$_{69}$N$_4$OSi$_5$Y: C, 63.62; H, 6.82; N, 5.50. Found: C, 63.70; H, 6.89; N, 5.60.

Complex 4f. Using a procedure similar to that described above for 4e, compound 4f was obtained from proligand f (0.050 g, 0.067 mmol) and Sc[N(SiHM$_e$)$_2$]$_3$THF (0.0345 g, 0.067 mmol). Compound 4f was isolated as an orange microcrystalline material (0.045 g, 67%). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$ 298 K): 8.26 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 8.5, 1H, aryl-CH), 8.01 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.87–7.85 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.81–7.79 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.63 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 7.2, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.53 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 7.9, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.45 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 7.5, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.34 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 8.0, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.19–7.15 (m, 9H, aryl-CH), 7.03–7.00 (m, 4H, aryl-CH), 6.83 (t, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 7.8, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.55 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 7.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 5.58 (s, 1H, C=Ph), 4.84 (sept, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 3.0, 2H, N(SiHM$_e$)$_2$)$_2$, 3.85 (sept, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 6.8, 1H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 3.17–3.14 (m, 3H, α-CH$_2$, THF + CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 3.01–2.99 (m, 2H, α-CH$_2$, THF), 1.47 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 6.8, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.22 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 6.8, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.01 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 6.8, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 0.71–0.67 (m, 4H, β-CH$_2$ THF), 0.43 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 6.8, 3H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 0.07 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 3.0, 6H, N(SiHM$_e$)$_2$)$_2$, 0.05 (d, $^3J_{H-H}$ = 3.0, 6H, N(SiHM$_e$)$_2$)$_2$. $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): $\delta$ 166.6 (aryl-Cq), 165.3 (aryl-Cq), 154.1 (aryl-Cq), 151.6 (aryl-Cq), 147.0 (aryl-Cq), 146.1 (aryl-Cq), 145.5 (aryl-Cq), 145.3 (aryl-CH), 141.4 (aryl-Cq), 141.1 (aryl-Cq), 136.8 (aryl-CH), 136.6 (aryl-CH), 136.5 (aryl-CH), 135.1 (aryl-Cq), 129.8 (aryl-CH), 129.1 (aryl-CH), 128.7 (aryl-CH), 128.3 (aryl-Cq), 128.1 (aryl-CH), 127.7 (aryl-CH), 127.6 (aryl-CH), 127.2 (aryl-CH), 127.1
(aryl-CH), 127.0 (aryl-CH), 126.9 (aryl-CH), 126.4 (aryl-Cq), 125.9 (aryl-Cq), 125.0 (aryl-
CH), 124.4 (aryl-CH), 123.9 (aryl-CH), 123.7 (aryl-CH), 123.5 (aryl-CH), 121.9 (aryl-CH),
119.3 (aryl-CH), 117.3 (aryl-Cq), 79.2 (CHPh), 71.7 (α-CH, THF), 27.5 (CH(CH₃)₂), 27.1
(CH(CH₃)₂), 26.9 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.2 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.1 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.0 (CH(CH₃)₂), 24.3 (β-
CH₂, THF), 3.1 (N(SiHMe₂)₂), 3.0 (N(SiHMe₂)₂). ²⁹Si¹H] NMR (79 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K)
(the signal from the Ph₃Si group was not observed): δ –21.4 (s, 2Si).

²⁹Si NMR (79 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ –21.3 (d m, ¹JSi-H = 180, 2Si).  Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₆₇N₃O₂Si₃Sc: C, 72.69;
H, 6.81; N, 4.24.  Found: C, 72.61; H, 6.89; N, 4.30.

**Complex 5f.** A Schlenk flask was charged with proligand f (0.100 g, 0.134 mmol)
and Y[N(SiHMe₂)₂]₃THF (0.075 g, 0.134 mmol), and toluene (ca. 10 mL) was vacuum
transferred in.  The reaction mixture was stirred 12 h at 50 °C, then filtered and evaporated
under vacuum.  The residue was washed with pentane (10 mL) and dried under vacuum to
give 5f as an orange microcrystalline material (0.110 g, 81%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, toluene-
d₈, 298 K): δ 8.09 (d, ³JH-H = 8.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.93 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.83–7.81 (m, 6H,
arly-CH), 7.70 (d, ³JH-H = 7.1, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.45–7.40 (m, 2H, aryl-CH), 7.35 (d, ³JH-H = 8.0,
1H, aryl-CH), 7.28–7.24 (m, 3H, arly-CH), 7.23–7.21 (m, 7H, aryl-CH), 7.13–6.91 (m, 6H,
arly-CH), 6.71 (d, ³JH-H = 7.1, 1H, aryl-CH), 5.34 (s, 1H, CHPh), 4.70 (sept, ³JH-H = 3.0, 2H,
N(SiHMe₂)₂), 3.77 (sept, ³JH-H = 6.8, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.12 (sept, ³JH-H = 6.8, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂),
2.87–2.83 (m, 2H, CH₂, THF), 2.75–2.71 (m, 2H, CH₂, THF), 1.42 (d, ³JH-H = 6.8, 3H,
CH(CH₃)₂), 1.18 (d, ³JH-H = 6.8, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.02 (d, ³JH-H = 6.8, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂),
0.74–0.71 (m, 4H, CH₂, THF), 0.49 (d, ³JH-H = 6.8, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.18 (d, ³JH-H = 3.0, 6H,
N(SiHMe₂)₂), 0.11 (d, ³JH-H = 3.0, 6H, N(SiHMe₂)₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, toluene-d₈,
298 K): δ 168.0 (aryl-Cq), 164.8 (aryl-Cq), 155.8 (aryl-Cq), 152.5 (aryl-Cq), 147.8 (aryl-Cq),
147.2 (aryl-Cq), 146.6 (aryl-Cq), 145.2 (aryl-CH), 137.3 (aryl-Cq), 136.9 (aryl-CH), 136.7
(aryl-CH), 136.4 (aryl-Cq), 129.3 (aryl-CH), 129.2 (aryl-CH), 129.1 (aryl-CH), 128.9 (aryl-
CH), 128.5 (aryl-CH), 128.0 (aryl-CH), 127.7 (aryl-Cq), 127.4 (aryl-CH), 127.2 (aryl-CH),
127.0 (aryl-CH), 126.2 (aryl-CH), 125.3 (aryl-Cq), 124.9 (aryl-CH), 124.3 (aryl-CH), 123.7 (aryl-CH), 123.0 (aryl-CH), 121.9 (aryl-CH), 119.8 (aryl-CH), 117.8 (aryl-Cq), 81.0 (CH/Ph), 70.8 (α-CH₂, THF), 27.9 (CH(CH₃)₂), 27.5 (CH(CH₃)₂), 26.6 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.8 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.6 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.0 (CH(CH₃)₂), 24.7 (β-CH₂, THF), 3.7 (N(SiHMe₂)₂), 3.3 (N(SiHMe₂)₂), 29Si[¹H] NMR (79 MHz, toluene-d₈, 298 K): δ –11.8 (s, 1Si, Ph₃Si), –21.9 (s, 2Si, SiHMe₄).

29Si NMR (79 MHz, toluene-d₈, 298 K): δ –21.9 (d m, J₆H-H = 165, 2Si). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₆N₃O₂Si₂: C, 69.60; H, 6.52; N, 4.06. Found: C, 69.85; H, 6.57; N, 4.11.

**Complex 6f.** Using a procedure similar to that described above for 5f, compound 6f was obtained from proligand f (0.100 g, 0.134 mmol) and La[N(SiHMe₂)₂]THF (0.091 g, 0.134 mmol). Compound 6f was isolated as an orange microcrystalline material (0.120 g, 83%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 7.99 (s, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.84–7.82 (m, 6H, aryl-CH), 7.74 (d, J₆H-H = 7.1, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.46–7.44 (m, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.35 (d, J₆H-H = 8.1, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.32 (d, J₆H-H = 8.1, 1H, aryl-CH), 7.27 (t, J₆H-H = 7.6 Hz, 3H, aryl-CH), 7.20–7.15 (m, 9H, aryl-CH), 7.07–7.01 (m, 5H, aryl-CH), 6.96–6.93 (m, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.86 (t, J₆H-H = 7.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 6.69 (d, J₆H-H = 7.6, 1H, aryl-CH), 5.40 (s, 1H, CH/Ph), 4.78 (br s, 2H, N(SiHMe₂)₂), 3.77 (sept, J₆H-H = 6.5, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.03 (sept, J₆H-H = 6.5, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.67 (br s, 4H, α-CH₂, THF), 1.31 (d, J₆H-H = 6.5, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.21 (d, J₆H-H = 6.5, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.93 (d, J₆H-H = 6.5, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.83 (br s, 4H, β-CH₂, THF), 0.55 (d, J₆H-H = 6.5, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.13 (d, J₆H-H = 3.0, 6H, N(SiHMe₂)₂), 0.11 (d, J₆H-H = 3.0, 6H, N(SiHMe₂)₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 168.4 (aryl-Cq), 162.8 (aryl-Cq), 155.2 (aryl-Cq), 148.6 (aryl-Cq), 148.4 (aryl-Cq), 147.8 (aryl-Cq), 147.2 (aryl-Cq), 144.4 (aryl-CH), 141.4 (aryl-Cq), 141.1 (aryl-Cq), 137.1 (aryl-Cq), 136.5 (aryl-CH), 129.0 (aryl-CH), 128.9 (aryl-Cq), 128.8 (aryl-CH), 128.7 (aryl-CH), 128.5 (aryl-CH), 128.4 (aryl-CH), 128.3 (aryl-CH), 127.8 (aryl-CH), 127.2 (aryl-CH), 127.1 (aryl-CH), 126.9 (aryl-CH), 126.6 (aryl-CH), 124.5 (aryl-CH), 124.3 (aryl-CH), 123.5 (aryl-CH), 122.9 (aryl-CH), 121.5 (aryl-CH), 119.6 (aryl-CH), 117.9 (aryl-Cq), 81.9 (CH/Ph), 68.9 (α-CH₂, THF), 27.5 (CH(CH₃)₂), 27.4
(CH(CH$_3$)$_2$)$_2$, 26.1 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 25.7 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 25.6 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 24.6 (β-CH$_2$, THF), 3.1 (N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$), 0.2 (N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$). $^{29}$Si{$_1$H} NMR (79 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): δ –11.5 (s, 1Si, Ph$_3$Si), –24.7 (s, 2Si, SiHMe$_2$). $^{29}$Si NMR (79 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 298 K): δ –24.7 (d sept, $^1$J$_{Si-H}$ = 163, $^2$J$_{Si-H}$ = 6.6, 2Si). Anal. Calcd for C$_{60}$H$_{67}$N$_3$O$_2$Si$_3$La: C, 66.40; H, 6.22; N, 3.87. Found: C, 66.49; H, 6.19; N, 3.92.

General Procedure for Polymerization of rac-Lactide. In a typical experiment (Table 1, entry 5), in a glovebox, a Schlenk flask was charged with a solution of complex 1c (10.0 mg, 13.7 µmol) in toluene (1.0 mL). To this solution, rac-lactide (0.197 g, 1.37 mmol, 100 equiv vs. Zn) was added rapidly. The mixture was immediately stirred with a magnetic stirrer bar at 80 °C for 30 min. Aliquots of the crude material were periodically sampled by pipette for determining the monomer conversion by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$, from the integration (Int.) ratio Int$_{polymer}$/[Int$_{polymer}$+Int$_{monomer}$], using the methyl hydrogen resonances for PLA at δ 1.49 ppm and for LA at δ 1.16 ppm. The reaction was quenched with a solution of H$_2$O in THF (ca. 1 mL, 10 wt-%), and the polymer was precipitated with an excess amount of methanol (ca. 100 mL). The polymer was then filtered and dried under vacuum to a constant weight. The microstructure of PLAs was determined by homodecoupling $^1$H NMR spectroscopy (methine region) at 20 °C in CDCl$_3$ on a Bruker AC-500 spectrometer.

General Procedure for Polymerization of rac-β-Butyrolactone. In a typical experiment (Table 2, entry 2), in a glovebox, a Schlenk flask was charged with a solution of complex 2c (10.0 mg, 11.6 µmol) in toluene (1.1 mL). To this solution, rac-β-butyrolactone (0.99 g, 1.16 mmol, 100 equiv vs. Zn) was added rapidly. The mixture was immediately stirred with a magnetic stirrer bar at 80 °C for 4.5 h. The reaction was processed and worked-up similarly to that described above for lactide polymerization. Monomer conversions were calculated from $^1$H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures in CDCl$_3$, from the integration (Int.) ratio Int$_{polymer}$/[Int$_{polymer}$+Int$_{monomer}$], using the methylene hydrogen
resonances for PHB at $\delta$ 1.49 ppm and for BBL at $\delta$ 1.16 ppm. The microstructure of PHBs was determined by $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy (methylene region) at 20 °C in CDCl$_3$ on a Bruker AC-500 spectrometer.

Crystal Structures Determination. Diffraction data for a, bCHCl$_3$, c, d, eC$_5$H$_{12}$ and complexes 1a0.5C$_2$H$_8$, 1c, 1d1.5C$_2$H$_6$, 2c, 2e, 3cC$_4$H$_8$O, 3e$''$ were collected at 100(2) K using a Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized MoK$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). A combination of $\omega$ and $\phi$ scans was carried out to obtain at least a unique data set. The crystal structures were solved by direct methods, remaining atoms were located from difference Fourier synthesis followed by full-matrix least-squares refinement based on F2 (programs SIR97 and SHELXL-97$^{22}$ with the aid of the WINGX program.$^{23}$ In most cases, many hydrogen atoms could be found from the Fourier difference analysis. Other hydrogen atoms were placed at calculated positions and forced to ride on the attached atom. The hydrogen atom contributions were calculated but not refined. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The locations of the largest peaks in the final difference Fourier map calculation as well as the magnitude of the residual electron densities were of no chemical significance. Crystal data and details of data collection and structure refinement for proligands a, bCHCl$_3$, c, d, eC$_5$H$_{12}$ and complexes 1a0.5C$_2$H$_8$, 1c, 1d1.5C$_2$H$_6$, 2c, 2e, 3cC$_4$H$_8$O, 3e$''$ are summarized in Tables S2 and S3.
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### Table 1. ROP of racemic lactide promoted by complexes 1a, 1c, 1d, 2c, 2e, 3c, 3e', 4e and 4−−−6f.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>[LA]₀/[M]/[iPrOH]₀</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>(M_{n,\text{theor}}) (g·mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>(M_{n,\text{NMR}}) (g·mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>(M_{n,\text{SEC}}) (g·mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>(D_M)</th>
<th>(P_i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>100:1:5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1,000:1:5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>250:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>500:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>1,000:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>2,000:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>222.2</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>216.5</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>100:1:1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>1,000:1:1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>500:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>100:1:1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>500:1:1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>100:1:2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3e'</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3e'</td>
<td>100:1:1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>500:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>140.3</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>100:1:2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>500:1:2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>Polymerization Conditions</td>
<td>Monomer Conversion</td>
<td>Mw (g/mol)</td>
<td>Mn (g/mol)</td>
<td>Polydispersity Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>4f 100:1:0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5f 100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31‡</td>
<td><strong>5f</strong> 100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td><strong>5f</strong> 500:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td><strong>5f</strong> 100:1:1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td><strong>6f</strong> 100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td><strong>6f</strong> 100:1:1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Polymerization conditions otherwise stated: [rac-LA]₀ = 1.0 M, solvent = toluene. ‡ Reaction times were not necessarily optimized. § Monomer conversion determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (CDCl₃, 298 K). † Theoretical molecular weight calculated using \( M_{n,\text{theo}} = \text{conv(LA)} \times [\text{rac-LA}]₀ / \text{[M or iPrOH]} \times M_{\text{LA}}. \) ‡ Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ‡ Experimental molecular weight determined by SEC vs. polystyrene standards and corrected by a factor of 0.58; \( D_M = M_w / M_n. \) \* \( P_r \) is the probability of racemic linkage between monomer units, as determined from the methine region of the homonuclear decoupled ¹H NMR spectrum. ‡ Carried out in THF.
Table 2. ROP of racemic β-butyrolactone promoted by complexes 2c, 2e, 3c, 3e’, 4e and 4−6f.\(^{a}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>[BL]/[M]/[iPrOH]</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Time(^{b}) (h)</th>
<th>Conv.(^{c}) (%)</th>
<th>(M_{n,\text{theo}}) (g·mol(^{-1})) (× 10(^3))</th>
<th>(M_{n,\text{SEC}}) (g·mol(^{-1})) (× 10(^3))</th>
<th>(D_M)</th>
<th>(P_i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>500:1:0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>500:1:0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(^{h})</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>500:1:0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>100:1:2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3e’</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>289.3(^{f})</td>
<td>1.54(^{g})</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4f</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5f</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1 traces</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5f</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6f</td>
<td>100:1:0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) All reactions performed with [rac-BL]\(_0\) = 1.0 M.  
\(^{b}\) Reaction times were not necessarily optimized.  
\(^{c}\) BL conversion to PHB determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy (CDCl\(_3\), 298 K) on the crude reaction mixture.  
\(^{d}\) Theoretical molecular weight calculated using \(M_{n,\text{theo}} = \text{conv(BL)} \times [\text{rac-BL}]_0/\text{[M or iPrOH]} \times M_{\text{BL}}.\)  
\(^{e}\) Experimental (uncorrected) molecular weight determined by SEC in THF; \(D_M = M_w/M_n.\)  
\(^{f}\) Experimental (uncorrected) molecular weight determined by SEC in CHCl\(_3\); \(D_M = M_w/M_n.\)  
\(^{g}\) \(P_i\) is the probability of racemic linkage between monomer units and is determined by \(^1\)\(^3\)C[{\(^1\)H}] NMR spectroscopy.  
\(^{h}\) Carried out in THF.
n \text{rac-LA} + \text{rac-BBL} \xrightarrow{\text{toluene or THF} \ 25-100 \ ^\circ \text{C}} \text{heterotactic-enriched PLA (} P_r \text{ up to 0.80)}

\text{TOF up to 1840 mol.mol}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}

\text{atactic PHB}

\text{TOF up to 900 mol.mol}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}
Highlights:

- New tridentate ortho-Ph₃Si-substituted naphthol-pyridine ligands were synthesized
- Zn, Al, Y and La complexes were prepared
- Some of these complexes exhibit high activity in ROP of lactide and β-butyrolactone
- Good molecular weight control and narrow distributions are achieved