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Abstract

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons constitute a large family of organic environmental pollutants. Hence, a particular attention has been attributed to all approaches involved in the reduction of their contamination in water and natural ecosystems. Plant tolerance, absorption, accumulation, and likely biodegradation of these pollutants, known as phytoremediation, have emerged as an efficient technique to remediate environments contaminated with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. The present work was aimed to compare the tolerance to phenanthrene (a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon) in the two model plants: Arabidopsis thaliana (glycophyte) and Thellungiella salsuginea (halophyte). Our study showed that the development of these two species was reduced under phenanthrene stress, the effect being more pronounced in Arabidopsis thaliana than in Thellungiella salsuginea. In parallel, results from the intrinsic quantum yield of photosystem II and chlorophyll concentrations were concomitant with those of growth and phenotypic changes, and confirmed the higher tolerance of Thellungiella salsuginea compared to that of Arabidopsis thaliana. The intrinsic quantum yield of photosystem II was drastically decreased in the glycophyte, which indicates a marked disturbance in photosystem II performance. This induced a severe oxidative stress as shown by the utilization of specific reactive oxygen species probes. In parallel, the activities of glutathione reductase, gaiacol peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase were increased by 95, 73, and 36%, respectively, which indicates a marked phenanthrene-induced oxidative stress. In Thellungiella salsuginea, photosystem II performance was not significantly affected. This species showed less accumulated reactive oxygen species than Arabidopsis thaliana. Its enzymatic antioxidant system showed few changes as superoxide dismutase was the only enzyme whose activity was enhanced (+34%). A
much higher capacity of recovery was also noticed in this halophyte as compared to the glycophyte. Indeed, it seems that *Thellungiella salsuginea* accumulated phenanthrene in stomata, which suggests its possible volatilization. All these data, taken together, add new insight to the mechanisms involved in halophytic plant tolerance to abiotic stresses and their potential use in phytoremediation.

**Graphical abstract**

Phytoremediation of PAHs by halophytic plants
Keywords: antioxidant enzymes, phytoremediation, phytovolatilization, photosystem II performance, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

Glossary:

\(^1\text{O}_2\): Singlet oxygen

Car: carotenoids

CAT: Catalase

Chla: Chlorophyll \( a \)

Chlb: Chlorophyll \( b \)

DAB: 3,3'diaminobenzidine

EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid

\( F_0 \): Minimal Fluorescence

\( F_m \): Maximal Fluorescence

\( F_v/F_m \): Maximal quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry

\( F_v \): Variable Fluorescence

FW: Fresh Weights

GPX: Gaiacol Peroxidase

GR: Glutathione Reductase

GSSG: Oxidized Glutathione

GST: Glutathione-s-transferase

\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \): Hydrogen peroxide

MDA: Malondialdehyde

MS: Murashige and Skoog

NADPH: Reduced Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate

NBT: Nitroblue Tetrazolium

\( \text{O}_2^- \): Superoxide anion

PAHs: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

POD: Peroxidase

PSII: Photosystem II

PVPP: Polyvinyl Pyrrolidone phosphate

ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species
**SOD**: Superoxide Dismutase

**SOSG**: Singlet Oxygen Sensor Green
1. Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) form a large family of persistent hydrophobic environmental pollutants. They are originated from the incomplete carbon combustion and they have natural (e.g., forest fires and volcano eruption) and anthropogenic (by-products in petroleum-based manufacturing) sources. They are present in coal tar, asphalt, tires, and other oil-containing products (Harvey, 1991). Their hydrophobicity and the two or more benzene rings they contain make them highly persistent in the environment (Posada-Baquero and Ortega-Calvo, 2011), very obstreperous, and difficultly degraded (Liu et al., 2009). In 2011, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR, USA) ranked PAHs number 9 on the priority list of hazardous substances for the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) with respect of their frequency, toxicity, human exposure and need of decontamination (See http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/spl/resources/index.html). Sixteen of the most prevalent PAHs thereby were classified as Priority Pollutants (Seo et al, 2009) by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (Wilson and Jones, 1993). PAHs have many effects on humans and animals like DNA mutation, cancer, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, and cell death (Burritt, 2008; Li et al 2014; Das et al, 2014). They are classified as organic carcinogenic pollutants (Liu et al., 2009). In plants, they cause oxidative stress with a high level of generation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), cell death, and necrosis (Burritt, 2008; Paskova et al., 2006). They affect growth by reducing photosynthesis activity, transpiration rate, and nutrient uptake (Liu et al., 2009; Marwood et al., 2001; Redondo-Gómez et al., 2011; Witting et al., 2003).
All these detrimental effects of PAHs make them of high priority for remediation efforts (Liu et al., 2009). There are many techniques to clean up PAH-contaminated soils, but they are very expensive and disturb the environment if they need a transfer of pollutants to another site for treatment (Gan et al., 2009). Interestingly, the emerging, low-cost, and environment-friendly green technology phytoremediation is beginning to be used for the decontamination of soils, waters, and sediments (Vangronsveld et al., 2009). According to Kathi and Khan (2011), organic compounds are subjected to several processes in phytoremediation. They can be 1) taken up from the contaminated substratum (soil or water), 2) accumulated or processed through lignification, volatilization, metabolization, or mineralization, or 3) broken down, if they are complex molecules, into simpler molecules by plant enzymes or microbial/fungal activity. These authors cited 5 basic phytoremediation techniques: 1) Rhizofiltration, a water phytoremediation technique based on contaminant uptake by plant roots, 2) Phytoextraction, a soil phytoremediation technique involving contaminant uptake from soil. 3) Phytotransformation, a soil and water technique that involves contaminant degradation via plant metabolism 4) Phyto-stimulation, a plant-assisted bioremediation of water and soil based on the stimulation of microbial biodegradation at the root zone. 5) Phytostabilization, a phytoremediation technique that consists in the reduction of contaminant mobility and migration potential in soil. The phytoremediation of PAHs requires plant capacity to tolerate oxidant stress and to metabolize and compartmentalize PAHs in its cells and tissues (Bhargava et al., 2012; Cheema et al., 2010; Shim et al., 2012).

Responses of glycophytes to PAHs were well studied and most of them showed significant sensitivity to these constraints (Liu et al., 2009; Muratova et al., 2009; Song
et al., 2005; Weisman et al., 2010). In this context, several investigations were performed on the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* for a better understanding of the mechanisms involved in PAH tolerance with the aim to identify selection criteria or useful tools in phytoremediation and/or amelioration programs thanks to its high intraspecific diversity and to the abundance of its developed molecular tools. The responses of this glycophyte to PAHs were studied at the physiological and morphological levels (Alkio et al., 2005) as well as at the transcriptional one (Weisman et al., 2010). Although *Arabidopsis thaliana* is sensitive to PAHs, it was shown to be a model plant for the understanding of phytoremediation process. However, this criterion is not sufficient as phytoremediation of a PAH-polluted site requires species showing, among other criteria, a high tolerance to PAH stress and to the PAH-induced oxidant stress (Batish et al., 2006; Herbinger et al. 2002; Selote et al., 2004). Indeed, ROS production and subsequent oxidative damage may be an important mechanism of toxicity in plants exposed to xenobiotics (Livingstone, 2001). To limit damage caused by ROS, plant cells produce antioxidant enzymes (e.g. superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and glutathione-s-transferase (GST)) as well as non-enzymatic antioxidants (e.g. glutathione and ascorbate). They represent molecular bioindicators for contaminant-mediated oxidative stress (Shao et al., 2002).

Extremophile plants may constitute interesting candidates since they have evolved, over millions of years, efficient ways to survive and sustain growth in challenging environments, which makes their study a potential source of insights for the elucidation of the mechanisms involved in their stress tolerance (Amtmann, 2009). Ben Hamed et al. (2013) reported that halophytes (a group of extremophile plants that tolerate severe salinity), especially the model plant *Thellungiella salsuginea*, anticipated stresses with
high levels of antioxidants and higher defense responses to abiotic stresses compared to *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Halophytes have been suggested by several studies to substitute salt-sensitive crop plants commonly chosen for purposes of heavy metal phytoremediation (Manousaki and Kalogerakis, 2011). By contrast, data about halophyte adaptions to PAHs are scarce and there are only few works dealing with the use of halophytes in PAH phytoremediation (Howes and Ungar, 2002; El-Bakatoushi; 2011; Redondo-Gómez et al., 2011; Youssef, 2002). Hence a comparative study of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Thellungiella salsuginea* responses to PAHs and their induced oxidant stress is a valuable tool to elucidate mechanisms involved in the tolerance of excess pollutant within plant tissues. For this reason, we studied in the present work some morpho-physiological and antioxidant responses of these two plants to phenanthrene with the aim to check whether halophytes are more suitable for PAH phytoremediation than glycophytes.

2. **Material and methods**

2.1. **Plant material and growth conditions**

Seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Columbia-0) and *Thellungiella salsuginea* (Shandong wild-type) were surface-sterilized with a solution containing bayrochlore/ethanol (1/1, v/v) for 10 min, rinsed in absolute ethanol, then dried overnight. Seed germination and plant growth were performed in square Petri dishes under axenic conditions. Stratification at 4°C for 10 days was needed to enhance germination rate and frequency and young seedling growth particularly for *Thellungiella salsuginea*. Thereafter, seedlings were transferred into a growth chamber under controlled conditions (temperature: 22°C/17°C, light period: 16 h, PAR: 85 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Growth medium consisted of 2% sucrose, 0.8% (w/v) agar in Murashige and Skoog (MS) basal salt mix.
(M5519, Sigma-Aldrich) adjusted to pH 5.7. Plantlets were then grown vertically for 3 weeks on fresh MS/2 agar medium containing: 0 µM (control), 25, 50, or 100 µM phenanthrene dissolved in ethanol. After 21 days of treatment, plants were analyzed before or after harvest according to the considered parameter. Control plants were grown under identical conditions in the presence of the same volume of ethanol as treated plants.

2.2. Plant harvest and recovery experiment

At the harvest, plants of the two species were cut into shoots (rosette leaves) and roots. Samples were weighed for growth measurements. A recovery experiment was also realized for both species. Plants were subjected to 100 µM phenanthrene for 2 weeks then transferred onto a control medium and grown for 4 additional weeks. Thereafter, they were harvested and their fresh weights (FW) were determined.

2.3. Pigment analysis

For pigment analysis, previously-weighed rosette leaves were incubated overnight in 80% acetone at 4°C under stirring. The absorbance of their extracts was measured at three wavelengths: 663 nm, 646 nm, and 470 nm. The concentrations of chlorophylls and total carotenoids (xanthophylls and carotenes) were determined following the equations given by Lichtenthaler and Wellburn (1983). Measurements were performed in 3 replicates of 6-9 pooled seedlings each.

2.4. Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements

Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured (n = 10, two measurements per plant) using a portable modulated fluorimeter (Mini-PAM, Heinz Walz, Germany) after 3 weeks of treatment. Dark-adapted fluorescence parameters were measured at mid-day (1600
µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ ambient light). Plants were dark-adapted for 30 min then the minimal ($F_0$) (<0.05 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for 1.8 µs) and the maximal fluorescence levels ($F_m$) (10,000 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for 0.8 s) were measured. The variable fluorescence ($F_v = F_m - F_0$) and the maximal quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry ($F_v/F_m$) were calculated for each measurement couple of $F_m$ and $F_0$.

2.5. Phenanthrene localization by fluorescence microscopy

Microscopy analysis was performed at the Imagif plateform, at the Cellular Biology Pole, (CNRS, Gif sur Yvette, France). Used Arabidopsis tahaliana and Thellungiella salsuginea plants were grown on MS/2 medium for 2 weeks then transferred onto MS/2 medium containing 200 µM phenanthrene (data not shown). In order to avoid leaf contamination, a plastic film was applied to separate them from the medium. After one week of phenanthrene treatment, plants of the two species were observed with a Zeiss LSM510 META microscope under UV-light (excitation at 364 nm and acquisition with 32 channels between 362 and 704 nm). Data were acquired using Zen2008 software developed by Zeiss (Germany). Leaves were viewed with a compound microscope under bright field or UV illumination and images were captured with a digital camera. Phenanthrene has a specific fluorescence with blue color. In parallel, to check if phenanthrene was localized in similar tissues or cells in plants grown under low levels of phenanthrene in the medium, leaves from 3-week-old plants subjected to 25 µM phenanthrene were also observed. Fluorescence emission was then detected by an Olympus BX41 spectrofluorometer coupled with a camera.
2.6. **Singlet oxygen visualization**

Plants treated for 3 weeks with 25 µM phenanthrene were immersed and infiltrated in the dark under vacuum in a solution of 100 µM Singlet Oxygen Sensor Green® reagent (SOSG) (S36002, Invitrogen) in 50 mM phosphate potassium buffer (pH 7.5). Thereafter, they were placed again on control (MS/2) and treatment (MS/2 + 25 µM phenanthrene) media during 30 min in the light before being photographed under microscope. Following excitation at 480 nm, the fluorescence emission at 530 nm was then detected by an Olympus BX41 spectrofluorometer coupled with a camera. The presence of red chlorophyll autofluorescence from chloroplasts did not alter the green fluorescence of SOSG (Flors et al., 2006).

2.7. **Superoxide radical visualization**

The nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) (N6876, Sigma-Aldrich) staining method of Rao and Davis (1999) was used to detect superoxide radical in control and treated plants of the two species that were immersed and infiltrated under vacuum with 3.5 mg ml\(^{-1}\) NBT staining solution in potassium phosphate buffer (10 mM). After three hours of reaction, plants were bleached in acetic acid-glycerol-ethanol (1/1/3) (v/v/v) solution at 100°C during 5 min. The precipitation of NBT with \(\text{O}_2^-\) present in plant tissues produces a blue color (Ramel et al., 2009). Plants were then stored in a glycerol-ethanol (1/4) (v/v) solution and photographed.

2.8. **Hydrogen peroxide visualization**

Peroxide radical was detected using 3,3′diaminobenzidine (DAB) (D5637, Sigma-Aldrich) according to Thordal-Christensen et al. (1997). Plants were immersed and infiltrated under vacuum with 1.25 mg ml\(^{-1}\) DAB staining solution then were bleached.
in acetic acid-glycerol-ethanol (1/1/3) (v/v/v) solution at 100°C during 5 min. Due to DAB polymerization, H₂O₂ showed a brown color. Plants were stored in glycerol-ethanol (1/4) (v/v) solution and were photographed.

2.9. Determination of MDA concentration

Leaf malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration was determined using the method of thiobarbituric acid colorimetry (Hodges et al., 1999).

2.10. Enzymatic assays

After three weeks of treatment with 25 µM phenanthrene, shoots of the two species were harvested and lyophilized. The extraction of soluble proteins was made from 100 mg of lyophilized material chopped in micro-tubes using 1 ml buffer extraction (50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, PVPP 5%, 2-mercaptoethanol 4 mM, ascorbate 5 mM, protease inhibitor cocktail Sigma P9599 to 5 µl ml⁻¹ added at the time of the extraction). The tubes were placed on a rotary shaker for 1 h at 4°C. After centrifugation for 20 min at 12 000 g, the supernatants were retrieved and kept at -80°C until the measurement of antioxidant enzyme activities: guaiacol peroxidase (GPX), glutathione reductase (GR), and superoxide dismutase (SOD). Protein concentration was determined in each enzyme extract according to the colorimetric method of Bradford (Bradford, 1976) using albumin bovine serum as standard.

The activity of SOD was determined by calculating the percentage of inhibition of the reaction followed by the increase of absorbance at 560 nm according to the method of Giannopolitis and Ries (1977) adapted to 96-well plates. An aliquot of 5 µl of enzyme extract was deposited in each well then added with 30 µl 10 X potassium phosphate buffer, 30 µl methionine, and 30 µl NBT. The mixture was adjusted to 300 µl
with milliQ water. The final composition of the reaction medium was: 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.8), 0.1 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid (EDTA), 75 µM NBT, 13 mM methionine, and 2 µM riboflavin. In order to calculate the percentage of inhibition, three wells were prepared with all reagents but without enzyme extracts that were replaced by distilled water. They allowed determining the maximum absorbance of NBT after photochemical reduction. The reaction was initiated by the addition of riboflavin then the mixture was placed under white light, which gave a violet product. The absorbance was measured at 560 nm before and after 8 minutes of reaction under light. One unit of SOD activity was defined as the amount of enzyme required to inhibit the reduction rate of NBT by 50%.

The activity of GR was measured as maximal rate of Reduced Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate (NADPH) disappearance following Vanacker et al. (1998) adapted to 96-well plates. An aliquot of 50 or 100 µl enzyme extract was added with the necessary reagents to obtain a reaction mixture with the following final composition: 50 mM Hapes buffer (pH 8), 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM GSSG, and 0.25 mM NADPH. The reaction was started by adding NADPH, H⁺. The activity of GR was followed as a function of time by absorbance measurement at 340 nm (a measure every minute for 30 min at 25°C) following up NADPH disappearance spectrophotometrically at 340 nm (E = 6.2 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

The activity of GPX was determined from the maximum speed emergence of tetragaiacol as described by Srivastava and van Huystee (1977) adapted to 96-well plates. Ten microlitres of the enzyme extract were deposited in each well then added with 30 µl phosphate buffer, 30 µl gaiacol, and 30 µl H₂O₂. Thereafter, the mixture was completed to 300 µl with milliQ water to obtain a final reaction medium as follows: 100
mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5), 15 mM guaiacol, and 0.05% H_{2}O_{2} (v/v). The reaction was started with the addition of H_{2}O_{2} in the reaction mixture. The activity of GPX was followed as a function of time to the VERSA max spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices) by absorbance measurement at 470 nm (a measurement every 15 seconds for 5 min at 25°C).

2.11. Statistical analysis

A one-way ANOVA analysis was performed using SPSS 16.0 for Windows and means were compared according to Duncan’s test at P≤0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Growth response to phenanthrene-induced stress

Increased phenanthrene concentration in the culture medium induced a noticeable development inhibition in both species that showed a significant decrease in their sizes, the effect being more pronounced in Arabidopsis thaliana (Fig. 1). Indeed, the glycophyte exhibited a hypersensitive phenotype as all treated plants showed dramatic changes in their sizes and in their fresh weights (FW) that ranged from 22 to 27% of the control (Fig. 2). By contrast, Thellungiella salsuginea maintained 50% of its fresh biomass at 25 µM phenanthrene and 32-38% beyond this concentration.

3.2. Capacity of recovery after phenanthrene treatment

At the morphological level, Thellungiella salsuginea plants showed a high biomass production after their transfer from the medium containing the highest phenanthrene concentration (100 µM phenanthrene) to the control one (Fig. 3A). This capacity of recovery was estimated on the basis of plant fresh weight (FW) expressed in percent of the control (plant FW in the beginning of the recovery treatment). Results showed that
Thellungiella salsuginea FW increased by 150% during the recovery treatment. By contrast, no recovery was noticed in Arabidopsis thaliana (Fig. 3B).

3.3. Chlorophyll fluorescence

Fluorescence measurements revealed no variation with the treatment in the intrinsic quantum yield of PSII (expressed as Fv/Fm ratio) in Thellungiella salsuginea (Fig. 4). In Arabidopsis thaliana, however, this parameter significantly decreased (about -8.0%) beyond 25 µM phenanthrene.

3.4. Pigment contents

In Arabidopsis thaliana, the concentrations of chlorophyll a (Chla), chlorophyll b (Chlb), and their sum (Chl(a+b)) showed the same tendency as found for plant growth; no significant difference was observed between plants treated with 25, 50, and 100 µM phenanthrene (Table 1). Reductions in these pigments varied from 54.4 to 71.8%. As regards carotenoid concentration, it was substantially affected at 25 µM phenanthrene and less affected at 50 and 100 µM phenanthrene. The contents of all pigments were less decreased in Thellungiella salsuginea than in Arabidopsis thaliana, except those of carotenoids at 50 and 100 µM phenanthrene. Nevertheless, in Thellungiella salsuginea, Chlb concentration was maintained at the same level of the control regardless of the treatment.

3.5. Visualization of superoxide production

In the two species, NBT detection showed an increasing blue color with the increasing phenanthrene concentration, indicating a raise of superoxide production with the stress severity (Fig. 5). The effect was more pronounced in Arabidopsis thaliana than in Thellungiella salsuginea.
3.6. Visualization of hydrogen peroxide production

Similarly to NBT detection, DAB staining (brown color) revealed more peroxide production in the glycophyte than in the halophyte; the effect increased as the pollutant concentration increased (Fig. 6). These results suggest that *Thellungiella salsuginea* involved more efficient ROS scavanging mechanisms as compared to *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

3.7. Visualization of singlet oxygen

The detection of singlet oxygen was performed in plants grown on MS/2 added (Fig. 7B, D, F and H) or not (Fig. 7A, C, E, and G) with 25 µM phenanthrene. The fluorescence of SOSG corresponds to the green coloration, while the red color stands for chlorophyll autofluorescence. *Arabidopsis thaliana* fluorescence increased in trichomes, in particular in their basal cells (Fig. 7D) as compared to the control (Fig. 7C). However, in *Thellungiella salsuginea*, singlet oxygen was mainly localized in stomata cells and the fluorescence of this species (Fig. 7F) showed lower intensity in comparison with that of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Fig. 7B).

3.8. SOD, GR, and GPX activities

Phenanthrene treatment increased SOD activity by 36.6 and 33.7% respectively in the glycophyte and the halophyte (Fig. 8). As regards GR activity, a marked phenanthrene-induced amplification was noticed in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (95.3%), whereas no variation was recorded in *Thellungiella salsuginea* (Fig. 8). Similar results were found for GPX activity that showed no significant variation in *Thellungiella salsuginea* and an augmentation of 73.5% in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Fig. 8).
3.9. MDA concentration

MDA concentrations were maintained constant (3.2-4.0 nmol g\(^{-1}\) FW) in both species regardless of the treatment (Fig. 9).

3.10. Localization of phenanthrene accumulation

At 25 µM phenanthrene, the observations of the two species using fluorescence microscopy showed no PAH accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* tissues but an obvious accumulation in stomata of *Thellungiella salsuginea* (Fig. 10C, D, E, F, and G). However, at 200 µM, blue trypan specific fluorescence allowed the detection of phenanthrene in trichomes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (data not shown).

4. Discussion

This comparative study was performed to check whether halophytes are better candidates for PAH phytoremediation than glycophytes. To make the comparison more reasonable, the glycophytic model plant *A. thaliana* and its close relative halophytic *T. salsuginea* (Orsini et al., 2010) were used. Short life cycle, self-fertility, and being genetically transformable are criteria that make *T. salsuginea* exemplary (Inan et al., 2004). Relatively small genome size, about twice that of *A. thaliana*, and the availability of ecotypes with a range of stress responses constitute additional criterion that make *T. salsuginea* an excellent model for a better understanding of abiotic stress tolerance in plants (Wu et al., 2012). In this study, the two model species were grown on MS medium under controlled conditions to avoid any involvement of biotic and/or abiotic factors that can influence plant responses to phenanthrene stress. Our investigation could form a first step in the understanding of the higher PAH tolerance in halophytes.
as compared to glycophytes and make halophytism among the major criterion for the selection of useful plants for PAH phytoremediation.

*Arabidopsis thaliana* responses to phenanthrene have already been investigated. Phenanthrene-treated plants exhibited many sensitive symptoms, such as growth and leaf number reduction and root and trichome deformation (Liu et al., 2009; Weisman et al., 2010). Concentrations higher than 0.05 mM were very toxic and lethal for plants after 30 d of treatment (Alkio et al., 2005). However, no data on halophytic plant responses to this pollutant and to PAHs in general are available. Hence, this is the first report describing the behavior of a halophytic model plant subjected to phenanthrene. Comparing the two species, we found that *Thellungiella salsuginea* showed higher tolerance to phenanthrene-induced stress than *Arabidopsis thaliana*. This was observed at 3 different levels: 1) growth and pigment content, 2) oxidant stress severity and antioxidant response, and 3) phenanthrene localization.

Biomass production and pigment concentrations were significantly more affected in the glycophyte than in the halophyte. In literature, different degrees of sensitivity to phenanthrene were described depending on plant species. For instance, in a comparative study performed on 4 crops (corn, groundnut, cow pea, and mungbean) grown on acidic soil, Chouychai et al. (2007) found the lowest phenanthrene phytotoxicity in corn. They concluded that it is the most suitable crop species to be grown on PAH-contaminated acidic soil. Song et al. (2005) also compared the phytotoxicities of phenanthrene, pyrene, and their mixture in five crops (Chinese cabbage, green onion, tomato, turnip, and wheat). They found phenanthrene more phytotoxic than pyrene and wheat the most sensitive species. Phytotoxicity is in fact due to an excessive accumulation of phenanthrene in plant tissues and plants respond to pollutants only after being exposed
to the “threshold concentration” that varies with both pollutant and species (Muratova et al., 2009). In this context, it was established that a fast accumulation phase of phenanthrene occurs during the first hours (the first two hours in the case of wheat) of treatment, followed by a slower one. Its uptake by plant roots is certainly realized through a passive mechanism; however an involvement of active mechanisms is also likely (Zhan et al., 2010). This suggests that *Thellungiella salsuginea* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* accumulated high quantities of the pollutant during the first hours of treatment, which can explain the noticeable reduction in their fresh weights. But, the differences in their responses to the stress in terms of biomass production can be attributed to a probable lower accumulation and/or a better management of the accumulated quantity of phenanthrene by the halophyte in comparison with the glycophyte, including metabolism by cell-enzymes, vacuole-sequestration, and volatilization by stomata (Muratova et al., 2009). The lipophilic PAH nature can indirectly disturb biomembrane structure as a consequence of the formation of free radicals induced by these molecules and their derivatives (Tukaj and Aksmann, 2007). To limit damages caused by ROS, plant cells produce antioxidant enzymes (e.g. superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), gaiacol peroxidase (GPX), glutathione reductase (GR), and glutathione-s-transferase (GST)), as well as non-enzymatic antioxidants (e.g. glutathione and ascorbate). They represent molecular bioindicators for contaminant-mediated oxidative stress (Alkio et al., 2005; Shao et al., 2002). In this study, *Arabidopsis thaliana* showed higher superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and singlet oxygen accumulation under phenanthrene stress conditions as compared to *Thellungiella salsuginea*. These results were concomitant with those of chlorophyll fluorescence that indicated a maintained functional integrity of PSII in the halophyte and its slight disturbance in the glycophyte.
To maintain MDA concentration constant under phenanthrene stress conditions, *Arabidopsis thaliana* experienced a marked increase in SOD, GR, and GPX activities, whereas *Thellungiella salsuginea* showed only a slight increase in SOD activity. These data confirm that phenanthrene phytotoxicity was higher in the glycophyte than in the halophyte.

As regards phenanthrene localization in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, it was mainly accumulated in trichomes. However, its accumulation is function of time and concentration in the medium. This can explain why this pollutant was not detected in trichomes of plants at 25 µM after 3 weeks of treatment. It can be due to its degradation by plant cells (Alkio et al., 2005). In *Thellungiella salsuginea*, an obvious phenanthrene accumulation was observed in stomata where it may be probably diffused in the atmosphere. It can also explain the higher recovery potential of the halophyte as compared to the glycophyte that did not recover, which suggests that the two species adopt two different strategies to cope with phenanthrene. Indeed, phenanthrene bioaccumulation depends on its concentration and on treatment period. Fismes et al. (2002) proposed that the transport of low molecular weight PAHs like phenanthrene from root system to leaves could be passive and driven by transpiration flux. The halophytic model plant *Thellungiella salsuginea* experienced higher stomata density than *Arabidopsis thaliana* and the transpiration rate of halophytic species was shown to be generally lower (about 60%) than that of the glycophytic plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Orsini et al., 2010). This morphological difference offered to the halophytic model plant the possibility to better manage phenanthrene transport and could explain its localization in stomata. Gutierrez-Alcala and colleagues (2000) demonstrated that *Arabidopsis thaliana* trichomes contain at least 300-fold increased glutathione
concentrations compared to other epidermal cells and suggested that trichome function consists of a site of xenobiotic conjugation.

In conclusion, all these data taken together, add new insights into 1) the mechanisms evolved by halophytic plants to tolerate abiotic stresses, in particular PAH stress, and 2) the usefulness of this ecological group as potential bioresource for phytoremediation programs. Hence, halophytism can be used as a major criterion for the selection of candidate plants for PAH phytoremediation. Moreover, the need of halophytes becomes inevitable if the contaminated site is salt-affected.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** The effects of increasing phenanthrene concentrations (0, 25, 50, and 100 µM) on *Arabidopsis thaliana* (A) and *Thellungiella salsuginea* (B). Scale bars = 1 cm.

**Figure 2.** The effects of increasing phenanthrene concentrations (0, 25, 50, and 100 µM) on fresh weights. Bars are means of 20 replicates ± SE. Bars labeled by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s test at $P\leq0.05$.

**Figure 3.** Recovery potential in plants grown for 2 weeks at 100 µM phenanthrene then for 4 weeks on control medium. **A.** Phenotype recovery after phenanthrene-induced stress. **B.** Fresh weights of recovered plants in percent of their initial ones before their transfer onto phenanthrene-free medium (control). Bars are means of 3 replicates ± SE. Bars labeled by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s test at $P\leq0.05$.

**Figure 4.** The effects of increasing phenanthrene concentrations (0, 25, 50, and 100 µM) on the intrinsic quantum yield of PSII (expressed as Fv/Fm). Bars are means of 10 replicates ± SE. Bars labeled by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s test at $P\leq0.05$.

**Figure 5.** Visualization of leaf superoxide production under increasing phenanthrene-induced stress (0, 25, 50, and 100 µM) by NBT detection.

**Figure 6.** Visualization of leaf production of hydrogen peroxide under increasing phenanthrene-induced stress (0, 25, 50, and 100 µM) by DAB staining.

**Figure 7.** Visualization of leaf singlet oxygen with SOSG fluorescent probe detection at 0 µM (A, C, E, and G) and 25 µM phenanthrene (B, D, F, and H). Scale bars = 100 µm.
**Figure 8.** Leaf enzymatic activities of SOD, GR, and GPX under moderate phenanthrene treatment (25 µM).

**Figure 9.** The effects of a moderate phenanthrene concentration (25 µM) on leaf MDA accumulation. Bars are means of 4 replicates ± SE. Bars labeled by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s test at $P \leq 0.05$.

**Figure 10.** Phenanthrene fluorescence in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (A and B) and *Thellungiella salsuginea* (C, D, E, F, and G) leaves after 3 weeks of growth at 25 µM phenanthrene. **A.** *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaf showing no phenanthrene fluorescence. **B.** A detail of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* trichome showing no phenanthrene fluorescence. **C.** Different stages of fluorescence accumulation on a *Thellungiella salsuginea* leaf. **D.** Evolution of fluorescence accumulation in stomata (shown by circles) of *Thellungiella salsuginea*. **E, F, and G:** Details of *Thellungiella salsuginea* stomata showing increasing phenanthrene accumulation. Scale bars correspond to 100 µm (A and C), 10 µm (B and D), and 20 µm (E, F, and G).
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![Graph showing the effect of Phenanthrene on MDA production in Arabidopsis thaliana and Thellungiella salsuginea. The graph compares MDA levels at different concentrations of Phenanthrene (0 and 25 µM). The data is marked with 'a' to indicate significant differences.](image-url)
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