



**HAL**  
open science

## Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and indicators of early immune stimulation: the Estelle study (SFCE)

R Ajrouche, J Rudant, L Orsi, A Petit, A Baruchel, A Lambilliotte, M Gambart, G Michel, Y Bertrand, S Ducassou, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

R Ajrouche, J Rudant, L Orsi, A Petit, A Baruchel, et al.. Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and indicators of early immune stimulation: the Estelle study (SFCE). *British Journal of Cancer*, 2015, 112 (6), pp.1017-1026. 10.1038/bjc.2015.53 . hal-01143257

**HAL Id: hal-01143257**

**<https://hal-univ-rennes1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01143257>**

Submitted on 3 Jun 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and indicators of early immune stimulation:**

### **The Estelle study (SFCE)**

R. Ajrouche<sup>1 2</sup>, J. Rudant<sup>1 3 4</sup>, L. Orsi<sup>1 3</sup>, A. Petit<sup>5 6</sup>, A. Baruchel<sup>7 8</sup>, A. Lambilliotte<sup>9</sup>, M. Gambart<sup>10</sup>, G. Michel<sup>11</sup>, Y. Bertrand<sup>12</sup>, S. Ducassou<sup>13</sup>, V. Gandemer<sup>14</sup>, C. Paillard<sup>15</sup>, L. Saumet<sup>16</sup>, N. Blin<sup>17</sup>, D. Hémon<sup>1 3</sup>, J. Clavel<sup>1 3 4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Epidemiology of childhood and adolescent cancers, CRESS, INSERM U1153, Villejuif, France;

<sup>2</sup>Paris-Sud University, Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France;

<sup>3</sup>Paris-Descartes University, Paris France;

<sup>4</sup>RNHE - National Registry of Childhood Hematopoietic Malignancies, Villejuif, France;

<sup>5</sup>AP-HP, Hôpital Armand Trousseau, Paris, France;

<sup>6</sup>Université Paris 6 Pierre et Marie Curie;

<sup>7</sup>AP-HP, Hôpital Robert Debré, Paris, France;

<sup>8</sup>Université Paris 7;

<sup>9</sup>Hôpital Jeanne de Flandre, CHRU, Lille, France;

<sup>10</sup>Hôpital des Enfants, Toulouse, France;

<sup>11</sup>AP-HM, Hôpital la Timone, Marseille, France;

<sup>12</sup>Institut d'Hématologie et d'Oncologie Pédiatrique, Lyon, France;

<sup>13</sup>Hôpital Pellegrin Tripode, Bordeaux, France;

<sup>14</sup>CHU Hôpital Sud, Rennes, France;

<sup>15</sup>Hôpital de Hautepierre, Strasbourg, France

<sup>16</sup>Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, Montpellier, France;

<sup>17</sup>Hôpital Mère-Enfant, CHU-Nantes, France;

### **Abbreviations**

|      |  |
|------|--|
| ALL  | Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia                              |
| CL   | Childhood acute Leukaemia                                  |
| CATI | Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing                   |
| CLIC | Childhood Leukaemia International Consortium               |
| NRCH | National Registry of Childhood Haematopoietic Malignancies |
| NRST | National Registry of Solid Tumours                         |
| ENT  | Ear, Nose and Throat                                       |

### **Corresponding author:**

Jacqueline Clavel

[jacqueline.clavel@inserm.fr](mailto:jacqueline.clavel@inserm.fr), CRESS, INSERM U1153, Villejuif, France.

### **Running title:**

Childhood leukaemia and early immune stimulation

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background.** Factors related to early stimulation of the immune system (breastfeeding, proxies for exposure to infectious agents, normal delivery, and exposure to animals in early life) have been suggested to decrease the risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL).

**Methods.** The national registry-based case-control study, ESTELLE, was carried out in France in 2010–2011. Population controls were frequency matched with cases on age and gender. The participation rates were 93% for cases and 86% for controls. Data were obtained from structured telephone questionnaires administered to mothers. Odds ratios (OR) were estimated using unconditional regression models adjusted for age, gender and potential confounders.

**Results.** In all, 617 ALL and 1,225 controls aged  $\geq 1$  year were included. Inverse associations between ALL and early common infections (OR = 0.8, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.6, 1.0), non-first born ( $\geq 3$  vs. 1; OR = 0.7, 95% CI: 0.5, 1.0), attendance of a day-care centre before age 1 year (OR = 0.7, 95% CI: 0.5, 1.0), breastfeeding (OR = 0.8, 95% CI: 0.7, 1.0) and regular contact with pets (OR = 0.8, 95% CI: 0.7, 1.0) in infancy were observed.

**Conclusion.** The results support the hypothesis that conditions promoting the maturation of the immune system in infancy play a protective role with respect to ALL.

**Keywords .** Animals, pets; caesarean section, day-care, breast feeding; infections; childhood leukaemia

## Introduction

Childhood acute leukaemia (CL) is the most common cancer in children, with approximately 450 new cases per year in France (Lacour *et al*, 2010a). In developed countries, the incidence of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL), accounting for 80% of CL, markedly peaks at age 2-5 years. This particular age pattern has led to the hypothesis that environmental agents acting in early childhood may be involved.

One area that has been the subject of much interest, but remains controversial, is the role of the immune system and exposure to infections in early life in relation to the aetiology of ALL. The illness could be promoted indirectly by an abnormal or deregulated immune response to one or more common infections (Ford *et al*, 2009; Greaves, 1988; Kinlen, 2012). In this context, minimum previous exposure to infectious agents during infancy, and inappropriate immune system modulation may modulate the risk of ALL later in childhood in the event of infection (Greaves, 2006). Associations with several proxies of exposure to infectious agents in infancy have been reported. The proxies include day-care attendance (Chan *et al*, 2002; Gilham *et al*, 2005; Infante-Rivard *et al*, 2000; Jourdan-Da Silva *et al*, 2004; Kamper-Jorgensen *et al*, 2008; Ma *et al*, 2005; Neglia *et al*, 2000; Perrillat *et al*, 2002; Petridou *et al*, 1993; Petridou *et al*, 1997; Rosenbaum *et al*, 2000; Rudant, 2014; Rudant *et al*, 2010; Urayama *et al*, 2010; Urayama *et al*, 2008) and birth order (Altieri *et al*, 2006; Dockerty *et al*, 1999; Gilham *et al*, 2005; Hjalgrim *et al*, 2004; Infante-Rivard *et al*, 2000; Jourdan-Da Silva *et al*, 2004; Kamper-Jorgensen *et al*, 2008; Little, 1999; Ma *et al*, 2005; MacArthur *et al*, 2008; McKinney *et al*, 1999; Murray *et al*, 2002; Naumburg *et al*, 2002; Neglia *et al*, 2000; Perrillat *et al*, 2002; Petridou *et al*, 2001; Reynolds *et al*, 2002; Rosenbaum *et al*, 2000; Rudant, 2014; Rudant *et al*, 2010; Schuz *et al*, 1999; Simpson *et al*, 2007; Wong & Dockerty, 2006), while the association with a history of early infection is less consistent (Cardwell *et al*, 2008; Chan *et al*, 2002; Chang *et al*, 2012; Dockerty *et al*, 1999; Jourdan-Da Silva *et al*, 2004; Ma *et al*, 2005; Ma *et al*, 2009; Neglia *et al*, 2000; Perrillat *et al*, 2002; Roman *et al*, 2007; Rosenbaum *et al*, 2005; Rudant *et al*, 2010; Urayama *et al*, 2011; van Steensel-Moll *et al*, 1986; Vestergaard *et al*, 2013). However, because children who develop ALL may have a deregulated immune response from birth and present with more symptomatic events in the event of infection (Chang *et al*, 2012; Chang *et al*, 2011; Crouch *et al*, 2012), the overall direction of the association between ALL and infections may be less predictable, and may depend on the intensity of the symptoms considered.

Other factors, like breastfeeding, mode of delivery or early exposure to animals, are also related to early immune stimulation and may influence the risk of CL. Meta-analyses suggest that prolonged breastfeeding has a protective effect with respect to CL (Kwan *et al*, 2005; Martin *et al*, 2005; Rudant, 2014). Indeed, mounting evidence suggests a key role of the microbiome in human health, especially the induction of immune tolerance and adaptive and innate function (Lee & Mazmanian, 2010; Round & Mazmanian, 2009). Mode of delivery and breastfeeding have a profound impact on the composition of the microbiome (Fernandez *et al*, 2013; Siggers *et al*, 2008), which is a determinant of early immune programming and subsequent response to infections (Madan *et al*, 2012). Only one previous study, conducted by the authors' group, suggested that exposure to animals during infancy, which may also stimulate maturation of the naïve immune system, protected against leukaemia (Rudant *et al*, 2010).

The aim of the present study was to investigate the links between ALL (immunological and cytogenetic subtypes) and various indicators of early immune modulation: breastfeeding, mode of delivery, proxies for exposure to infectious agents (history of common infections in infancy, day-care attendance and birth order), and exposure to animals in early life, on the basis of the ESTELLE study.

## Materials and methods

The ESTELLE study was conducted to investigate the role of infectious, environmental, and genetic factors in childhood acute leukaemia, lymphoma, neuroblastoma, and brain tumour. This paper focuses on CL.

### *Case and Control Ascertainment*

The design of the ESTELLE study has been reported elsewhere (Ajrouche *et al*, 2014). The cases were directly identified by the investigators of the National Registries of Childhood Haematopoietic Malignancies (NRCH) and Solid Tumours (NRST), in all the paediatric oncology units in France (Lacour *et al*, 2010b). The eligible CL cases consisted in children newly diagnosed with CL between 1st January 2010 and 31st December 2011 who were less than 15 years old and residing in mainland France at the time of diagnosis. Cases were not eligible if they had been adopted (n = 5), or if their biological mother had died (n = 4), was absent (n = 1), did not speak French (n = 31), or had a serious social problem (n = 7) or

psychiatric disorder (n = 5), or if the child was in palliative care or had died (n = 8). Information on the leukaemia subtype was obtained subsequently from the NRCH.

The population controls were children free from cancer selected between 2010 and 2011 in France, using a quota sampling method. One million telephone numbers were randomly generated, 312,022 of which were allocated numbers. The numbers were distributed in forty successive sets over the two-year subject recruitment period. Of the 312,022 numbers that were dialled, 34,983 resulted in a contact with a household. Up to 15 call attempts were made for each number and up to 12 ring tones for each call. Quotas were used to obtain, overall, at least one control per case for each year of age, gender, and type of cancer, based on the numbers expected on the basis of the national registries. Controls aged less than 1 year were overrepresented in order to increase power in that age category. The quotas also ensured that the control group had the same distribution as the overall population for the number of children aged less than 15 years living in the household, conditional on age. Like the cases, children who had been adopted, or whose biological mother had died or did not speak French were not eligible as controls. In all, 747 of the 803 eligible CL cases (93%), including 714 cases of ALL, and 1,421 of the 1,662 eligible controls (86%) participated in the ESTELLE study.

#### *Data Collection*

The same trained interviewers carried out the interviews with the cases' and controls' mothers using structured questionnaires with computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). The cases' mothers were interviewed on average 6 months after diagnosis. The questionnaire elicited information on demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, childhood environment and lifestyle, family and personal medical history, maternal reproductive history and childcare history as determined by maternal statements, the mother's reading of her child's healthcare record, or both sources.

In particular, the interviews included questions on mode of delivery and motivation for caesarean section; breastfeeding and duration of breastfeeding; history of common childhood infections during the first year of life (tonsillitis, otitis, upper respiratory tract infections, gastroenteritis, bronchiolitis and other lower respiratory tract infections, and urinary tract infections) with the frequency of episodes for each type of infection; ear, nose and throat (ENT) surgery for repeated common infections (adenoidectomy, tonsillectomy, paracentesis) before the age of 4 years; neonatal infection; history of paediatric infections (measles, rubella, chicken pox, mumps, whooping cough, scarlet fever, hand, foot and mouth disease,

meningitis, mononucleosis); and history of hospitalization for infections and other causes. Detailed information was collected on the type of childcare (day-care centre “crèche”, nanny), the age when care started, and the magnitude of childcare attendance (duration of stay, mean hours per week, total number of children attending the centre). Cumulative exposure to a type of childcare was calculated for each child (number of months attending child care\*mean hours per week at that child care\*number of other children at childcare multiplied by 4.35 (number of weeks per month)). Data on the number of other children in the household and birth order were also collected. Mothers were also asked how often the child had visited a farm and whether the child had been in regular contact, at least once a week, with animals (cats, dogs, domestic birds, domestic rodents, poultry, rabbits, pigs, cows, sheep, goats, horses and other animals).

### *Statistical Analysis*

The analyses were restricted to children aged 1 year or older: first, in order to ensure that all the cases and controls had had a complete opportunity to encounter the exposures of interest, which take place in the first year of life; and, secondly, because common infections occurring before one year of age may have been related to a pre-diagnostic phase of the disease in the ALL cases aged less than 1 year at diagnosis.

Odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals were estimated using unconditional logistic regression models including the stratification variables age and gender used for quota sampling. Systematic adjustment was conducted for parental professional category, maternal age, and urban/rural status of the place of residence, and, for animal exposure, housing (apartment or house) during the first year of life. The stability of the results was tested after additional adjustments for parental education, paternal smoking, and after exclusion of cases and controls with chromosomal abnormalities. Analyses were carried out by CL subtype and by ALL cytogenetic and immunologic subtype. The SAS software package (version 9, Cary, NC) was used for all the analyses.

### *Results*

A total of 617 ALL cases, including 485 B-cell precursor ALL (BCP-ALL), 94 T-cell ALL and 26 Burkitt ALL, and 1,225 controls aged 1 year or older were included in the analyses (Supplemental table 1).

### *Case-control comparability*

The controls were similar to the whole case group with respect to age and gender (Ajrouche *et al*, 2014) but not to the leukaemia cases considered alone because of overrepresentation of the age group 2–4 years. Nevertheless, all quota sampling strata included at least one control per ALL case (Supplemental table 2). Overall, educational level and professional category were similar for the cases' and controls' parents. The case mothers were significantly more often < 25 years old at the time of the index child's birth than the control mothers. The residences of the cases were slightly more often in urban areas than those of the controls (Table 1).

#### *Description of the exposure of interest for the controls*

The controls whose parents had a higher social-professional category or a higher education degree attended a day-care centre in their first year of life more often and were breastfed for at least 6 months more often than the other controls.

The non-firstborn children had attended a day-care centre in the first year of life less often (13.5% vs. 17.2%; OR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.47, 0.85), and were breastfed for 6 months or more slightly more often (19.7% vs. 14.2%; OR = 1.18, 95% CI: 0.88, 1.58) than first-born children. Children delivered by caesarean section were breast fed slightly less often than those born by normal delivery (55.6% vs. 60.2%; OR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.59, 1.10).

Four or more common infections in the first year of life were reported more often for the children who had attended a day-care centre in their first year of life, and reported slightly more frequently for non-firstborn and less frequently for children breastfed for 6 months or more. In addition, the second-born children had visited a farm more than first-born children.

#### *Early common infections, birth order, day-care attendance, breastfeeding, and mode of delivery*

Overall, a history of any common infections before one year old was significantly negatively associated with ALL (OR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.57, 0.99); the odds ratios decreased with increasing frequency of episodes (P trend = 0.02) and were also significant for ENT surgery before age 4 years (OR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.40, 0.99), while hospitalization for common infection was positively, but not significantly, associated with ALL (OR = 1.48, 95% CI: 0.91, 2.41) (Table 2). When the analysis was restricted to mothers to whom the child's health record was available at the time of interview, the results were not substantially changed (Supplemental table 3). Regarding the specific site of infection, ALL was negatively and significantly associated only with early conjunctivitis (OR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.58, 0.99) and gastroenteritis (OR = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.56, 0.89) (Supplemental table 4).



Firstborn status was associated with ALL (Table 3), and the odds ratios decreased significantly with increasing birth order ( $P$  trend = 0.03). Day-care centre attendance in infancy was negatively associated with ALL (OR = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.53, 0.96). The results were similar for part-time (OR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.42, 1.07) and full-time (OR = 0.73, 95% CI: 0.51, 1.04) attendance. With regard to the other characteristics of day-care attendance, ALL was significantly and negatively associated with attending day-care before 6 months. No association with the other types of childcare (parents, nanny), with child-hours or with the number of other children at the nanny's was observed.

Breastfeeding was significantly associated with ALL (OR = 0.80, 95%CI: 0.66, 0.99). There was no significant difference between the cases and controls regarding caesarean section (Table 3) whatever its motivation (Supplemental table 5). The OR was slightly greater than the unit (OR = 1.28, 95%CI: 0.88, 1.87) when the analysis was restricted to first-borns.

#### *Contacts with pets and farm animals, farm visits*

Out of 1225 control children, 539 (44%) had had contact with a dog and 432 (35%) with a cat at home before the age of one year. Regular contacts with pets in the first year of life were negatively associated with ALL (OR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.68, 1.04) and the relationship was significant for regular contact with cats (OR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.59, 0.96). Regular contacts with farm animals in the first year of life tended to be negatively associated with ALL (OR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.65, 1.32), but not significantly (Table 4). The proportion of children who visited a farm at least once a month before age 1 year was lower for the ALL cases than for the controls, but without any dose-response relationship.

#### *Subtype analysis*

The odds ratios for BCP-ALL were similar to those for all ALL (Table 5). There was no significant heterogeneity of BCP-ALL with respect to *hyperdiploidy* or *ETV6-RUNX1* status.

#### *Adjustments and sensitivity analysis*

The associations were very similar after adjustment for education, maternal age at birth, and paternal smoking, and after exclusion of the children with Down's syndrome (6 cases of ALL and no control). Stratified analyses showed that the relationships with the main exposures of interest were stable across 5-year age groups. There was no interaction with age. The results were not substantially changed when all of the variables of interest were adjusted for each other (Supplemental table 6). The association between ALL and early common infections was stronger for non-breastfed children (OR = 0.61, 95%CI: 0.38, 0.98) than for breastfed children

(OR = 0.79, 95% CI: 0.55, 1.12), but there was no significant interaction. Further, the association between ALL and day-care attendance tended to decrease, although not significantly, with increasing birth order (first-born children: 0.59 [0.40-0.87], second-born children: 0.75 [0.44-1.25]; third-born children 1.00 [0.52-1.92]. In addition, the associations between common infection, breastfeeding, day-care attendance, birth order and ALL were unchanged when the children delivered by caesarean section were excluded from the analysis.

## Discussion

In the present study, ALL was negatively associated with several factors generally considered to stimulate the immune system in infancy: having an older sibling, attending a day-care centre before age 1 year, being breastfed, early common infections and regular contacts with animals. One of the main strengths is that the study concomitantly investigated those indicators of early immune stimulation with respect to ALL. The size of the study generated sufficient statistical power for most of the associations under study, but the power was limited for analyses by subtype.

The cases were identified in all the paediatric cancer units in mainland France through the data collection system of the French NRCH, which has a high degree of completeness. Thus, very few diagnosed cases are likely to have escaped inclusion because of non-identification. Moreover, the participation of the eligible case mothers was very high (93%). Control selection was based on random generation of listed and unlisted telephone numbers. This procedure may have induced bias because households with no landline number were not accessible for control selection, while the cases with a cell phone only (10% of the cases) were included. Compared to the cases' mothers, the controls' mothers were aged less than 25 years less often, which may be related to the fact that the households with cell phones only could not be accessed by the selection procedure. However, having a cell phone only was not associated with the exposures of interest for the cases and the analyses excluding the cases with no landline number led to similar results. In addition, the analyses were adjusted for maternal age. Bias could also have occurred if greater participation of eligible controls with higher socioeconomic status led to over-representation of day-care attendance among participating controls, and then to overestimation of the inverse associations with ALL. Actually, in this study the participation rates among the eligible controls and cases were high and adjustments for educational or professional social category, which were made in all the analyses, had no impact on the estimates. In fact, a Danish registry-based study, based on 176

ALL cases and 1571 controls with complete childcare registration and thus free from participation bias, also showed an inverse association between ALL and childcare attendance during the first 2 years of life (Kamper-Jorgensen *et al*, 2008). In addition, the distribution of the responding controls by birth order and breastfeeding was similar to that of the French national perinatal surveys (15,000 births each) carried out in 1998, 2003, and 2010 (Blondel *et al*, 2012). On average, 43.3% of the newborns in those surveys were firstborn, 34.1% were second born, and 24.5% were third born or more, compared with 41.0%, 35.0%, and 23.9%, respectively, of the included controls born between 1998 and 2010. Similarly, 61.2% of the newborns in the surveys were breastfed from birth compared with 60.9% of the study controls.

Misclassification of infections is likely to have occurred since they were described retrospectively and were reported by maternal interview. However, in the present study, 94% of the control mothers vs. 84% of the case mothers had their child's health records available during the interview to help them recall medical events. In the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study (Simpson *et al*, 2007) medical information contemporaneously recorded in medical records differed from maternal recall of infections confirmed by a general practitioner: an episode of any infection recorded by the general practitioner was slightly more underreported by the cases' mothers (66%) than by the controls' mothers (72%). Due to the difficulty of retrospectively measuring the occurrence of childhood infectious diseases, indicators of the opportunities for exposure to infection through physical and social contacts, such as birth order and type of childcare, were used. These variables are easier to obtain, less prone to recall bias, and probably track contacts with infectious agents that result in symptomatic or asymptomatic infections. The findings of a validation study also suggest that young adults reliably report contact with pets for children aged 0-6 years (Nicholas *et al*, 2009).

The present study showed an inverse association between maternally-reported common infections and ALL, but the association between hospitalization for common infection and ALL was positive (based on a small number). This finding supports the hypothesis that infections may be more symptomatic in children who will subsequently develop ALL if a deregulated immune response already exists in infancy (Wiemels, 2012), and that the link may depend on the intensity of the symptoms considered.

The variables considered as proxy measures of the opportunities for exposure to infectious agents are less subject to misclassifications. Among them, being firstborn was positively

associated with ALL in several studies (Dockerty *et al*, 2001; Hjalgrim *et al*, 2004; Infante-Rivard *et al*, 2000; Ma *et al*, 2005; McKinney *et al*, 1999; Schuz *et al*, 1999; Urayama *et al*, 2011; van Steensel-Moll *et al*, 1986), but not in other studies (Dockerty *et al*, 1999; Murray *et al*, 2002; Neglia *et al*, 2000; Okcu *et al*, 2002; Oksuzyan *et al*, 2012; Perrillat *et al*, 2002; Petridou *et al*, 1993; Reynolds *et al*, 2002; Rosenbaum *et al*, 2000), and even negatively in 2 studies (Jourdan-Da Silva *et al*, 2004; Ou *et al*, 2002).

Since day-care centre attendance greatly increases the likelihood of being exposed to infectious agents in infancy (Enserink *et al*, 2012; Kamper-Jorgensen *et al*, 2011; Lu *et al*, 2004; Nesti & Goldbaum, 2007), day-care in early life has also been considered a good surrogate for investigation of the role of early immune system stimulation. The results of this study were consistent with those of the meta-analysis (Urayama *et al*, 2010) and pooled analysis (Rudant, 2014) that reported negative associations between CL and day-care centre attendance. In the present study, a significant and negative association with day-care centre attendance before age 6 months was also observed, and is consistent with the inverse trend reported in the CLIC study. The association with day-care attendance was not observed for third-born children, which is compatible with the assumption that older siblings may be a source of exposure to infectious agents. Interestingly, another strength of the study is that it provides detailed information on the type of childcare. However it showed no association with other types of childcare (nanny). Thus, based on the present data, reverse causality is not a likely explanation for the inverse relationship between ALL and day-care centre attendance. Indeed, the cases were not reported to have had more infections than the controls. In addition, in France, registration at a day-care centre usually takes place before birth because the number of places is limited. This makes it unlikely that hospitalization for infection could have prevented attendance of a day-care centre.

With regard to breastfeeding, the present study and the literature point to an inverse association with prolonged breastfeeding (Kwan *et al*, 2004; Martin *et al*, 2005; Rudant, 2014). In fact, breast milk protects the child against infections, boosts the immune system and contributes to its modulation either by factors with anti-infective, hormonal, enzymatic, tropic or biological activity, or through a modulating effect on the neonatal immune system exerted by cells, cytokines and other immune agents in human milk (Chirico *et al*, 2008), or by affecting the infant's gut microflora (Wold & Adlerberth, 2000).

Perinatal exposures to microorganisms is also determined by the mode of delivery, which results in significant differences in the composition of the gut microflora during the first 6 to

12 months of extrauterine life (Gronlund *et al*, 1999). This period is critical in adaptive immune development. However, to date, there is no clear evidence for an association between ALL and caesarean section. This association was not observed in the present study. Only two studies found a positive and significant association between caesarean section and ALL (Francis *et al*, 2014; Kaye *et al*, 1991), while three other studies found no statistically significant association (Johnson *et al*, 2008; Podvin *et al*, 2006; Reynolds *et al*, 2002).

Regular contact with animals during infancy may also be considered a factor stimulating the immune system. It has been suggested that keeping pets, especially dogs, may increase exposure to bacterial compounds such as endotoxins, which may enhance type 1 lymphocyte (T-helper 1) development in children (Campo *et al*, 2006). In the past, a few studies have reported either positive (Bross & Gibson, 1970; Nishi & Miyake, 1989; Petridou *et al*, 1997) or null (Buckley *et al*, 1994; Dockerty *et al*, 1999; Swensen *et al*, 2001) associations between CL and contacts with pets during childhood. However, none of those studies specifically addressed exposure in infancy, which is the critical period in that context. Two of the studies also reported results for exposure to animals other than cats and dogs during childhood and showed significant positive associations (Buckley *et al*, 1994; Swensen *et al*, 2001), although not specifically for exposure in early life. Lastly, one paper reported a negative association with living on a farm during childhood (Dockerty *et al*, 1999). The possibility of residual confounding also remains a possible issue. Farm-related exposures may include a multitude of potentially carcinogenic factors such as fungi, microbes, and pesticides, which are suspected of being associated with childhood cancer in general (Infante-Rivard & Weichenthal, 2007). The authors' previous study - Escalé (2003-2004) - similarly evidenced the protective role of being in contact with animals in the first year of life and the influence of early mixing with others in day-care nurseries.

The underlying mechanism for this observation and the microorganism exposures that might be the root cause need further investigation. In addition, genes involved in the immune system, such as those coding for human lymphocyte antigen polymorphisms, have been found to be associated with childhood acute leukaemia (Taylor *et al*, 2009) and investigation of the way in which the polymorphisms of identified genes in GWAS, such as (*ARID5B*, *IKZF1*, *GATA3*, *CEBPE*, *etc...*), and other immunity genes interact with early common infections, breastfeeding, and other factors linked to early stimulation of the immune system also constitutes a promising prospect.

Overall, the present study does not support the hypothesis that caesarean section is related to ALL, but has generated further evidence that breastfeeding, day-care attendance, common infections and regular contacts with animals in infancy, all of which are conditions promoting the maturation of the immune system, may play a protective role with respect to ALL.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors are grateful to: Noureddine Balegroune, Sofiène Ben Salha and the team of clinical research associates who contributed to the recruitment of the cases; Laure Faure and the staff of the French National Registry of Childhood Blood Malignancies, who contributed to case detection and verification; Christophe David and the team of interviewers (Institut IPSOS), who recruited the controls and interviewed the cases and controls, and Elsa Charles for her technical assistance. The authors would like to thank all of the Société Française de lutte contre les Cancers de l'Enfant et de l'Adolescent (SFCE) principal investigators: André Baruchel (Hôpital Saint-Louis/Hôpital Robert Debré, Paris), Claire Berger (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Saint-Etienne), Christophe Bergeron (Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon), Gérard Michel (Hôpital La Timone, Marseille), Yves Bertrand (Hôpital Debrousse, Lyon), Pascal Chastagner (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Nancy), Patrick Boutard (Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire, Caen), Gérard Couillault (Hôpital d'Enfants, Dijon), Christophe Piguet (Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire, Limoges), Anne-Sophie Defachelles (Centre Oscar Lambret, Lille), François Demeocq (Hôpital Hôtel-Dieu, Clermont-Ferrand), Alain Fischer (Hôpital des Enfants Malades, Paris), Virginie Gandemer (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire – Hôpital Sud, Rennes), Dominique Valteau-Couanet (Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif), Philippe Colombat (Centre Gatien de Clocheville, Tours), Frederic Millot (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Jean Bernard, Poitiers), Guy Leverger (Hôpital Armand-Trousseau, Paris), Patrick Lutz (Hôpital de Hautepierre, Strasbourg), Nicolas Sirvent (Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, Montpellier), Xavier Rialland (Hôpital Mère et Enfants, Nantes), Martine Münzer (American Memorial Hospital, Reims), Brigitte Nelken (Hôpital Jeanne de Flandre, Lille), François Doz (Institut Curie, Paris), Brigitte Pautard (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Amiens), Yves Perel (Hôpital Pellegrin Tripode, Bordeaux), Alain Pierre-Kahn (Hôpital Enfants Malades, Paris), Emmanuel Plouvier (Centre Hospitalier Régional, Besançon), Xavier Rialland (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Angers), Alain Robert (Hôpital des Enfants, Toulouse), Hervé Rubie (Hôpital des Enfants, Toulouse), Nicolas Sirvent (L'Archet, Nice), Marilyne Poiree (Fondation Lenval, Nice), Jean-Pierre Vannier (Hôpital Charles Nicolle, Rouen), Dominique Plantaz (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Grenoble), Philippe Lemoine (Hôpital Morvan, Brest) and Christian Sainte Rose (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Necker, Paris). The authors would like also to thank all of the Lebanese university. This work was supported by grants from the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer (LNCC), the PNREST Anses, the

Cancer TMOI AVIESAN, 2013/1/248, the Institut National du Cancer (INCa) and the association Enfants et santé.

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- Ajrouché R, Rudant J, Orsi L, Petit A, Baruchel A, Nelken B, Pasquet M, Michel G, Bergeron C, Ducassou S, Gandemer V, Lutz P, Saumet L, Rialland X, Hemon D, Clavel J (2014) Maternal reproductive history, fertility treatments and folic acid supplementation in the risk of childhood acute leukemia: the ESTELLE Study. *Cancer Causes Control*
- Altieri A, Castro F, Bermejo JL, Hemminki K (2006) Number of siblings and the risk of lymphoma, leukemia, and myeloma by histopathology. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**(7): 1281-6
- Blondel B, Lelong N, Kermarrec M, Goffinet F (2012) Trends in perinatal health in France from 1995 to 2010. Results from the French National Perinatal Surveys. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod* **41**(4): e1-e15
- Bross ID, Gibson R (1970) Cats and childhood leukemia. *J Med* **1**(3): 180-7
- Buckley JD, Buckley CM, Ruccione K, Sather HN, Waskerwitz MJ, Woods WG, Robison LL (1994) Epidemiological characteristics of childhood acute lymphocytic leukemia. Analysis by immunophenotype. The Childrens Cancer Group. *Leukemia* **8**(5): 856-64
- Campo P, Kalra HK, Levin L, Reponen T, Olds R, Lummus ZL, Cho SH, Khurana Hershey GK, Lockett J, Villareal M, Stanforth S, Lemasters G, Bernstein DI (2006) Influence of dog ownership and high endotoxin on wheezing and atopy during infancy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **118**(6): 1271-8
- Cardwell CR, McKinney PA, Patterson CC, Murray LJ (2008) Infections in early life and childhood leukaemia risk: a UK case-control study of general practitioner records. *Br J Cancer* **99**(9): 1529-33
- Chan LC, Lam TH, Li CK, Lau YL, Yuen HL, Lee CW, Ha SY, Yuen PM, Leung NK, Patheal SL, Greaves MF, Alexander FE (2002) Is the timing of exposure to infection a major determinant of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in Hong Kong? *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **16**(2): 154-65
- Chang JS, Tsai CR, Tsai YW, Wiemels JL (2012) Medically diagnosed infections and risk of childhood leukaemia: a population-based case-control study. *Int J Epidemiol*
- Chang JS, Zhou M, Buffler PA, Chokkalingam AP, Metayer C, Wiemels JL (2011) Profound Deficit of IL10 at Birth in Children Who Develop Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention* **20**(8): 1736-1740



Chirico G, Marzollo R, Cortinovis S, Fonte C, Gasparoni A (2008) Antiinfective properties of human milk. *Journal of Nutrition* **138**(9): 1801S-1806S

Crouch S, Lightfoot T, Simpson J, Smith A, Ansell P, Roman E (2012) Infectious illness in children subsequently diagnosed with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: modeling the trends from birth to diagnosis. *Am J Epidemiol* **176**(5): 402-8

Dockerty JD, Draper G, Vincent T, Rowan SD, Bunch KJ (2001) Case-control study of parental age, parity and socioeconomic level in relation to childhood cancers. *Int J Epidemiol* **30**(6): 1428-37

Dockerty JD, Skegg DC, Elwood JM, Herbison GP, Becroft DM, Lewis ME (1999) Infections, vaccinations, and the risk of childhood leukaemia. *Br J Cancer* **80**(9): 1483-9

Enserink R, Noel H, Friesema IHM, de Jager CM, Kooistra-Smid AMD, Kortbeek LM, Duizer E, van der Sande MAB, Smit HA, van Pelt W, Sentinel Surveillance Network I (2012) The KIzSS network, a sentinel surveillance system for infectious diseases in day care centers: study protocol. *Bmc Infectious Diseases* **12**

Fernandez L, Langa S, Martin V, Maldonado A, Jimenez E, Martin R, Rodriguez JM (2013) The human milk microbiota: origin and potential roles in health and disease. *Pharmacol Res* **69**(1): 1-10

Ford AM, Palmi C, Bueno C, Hong D, Cardus P, Knight D, Cazzaniga G, Enver T, Greaves M (2009) The TEL-AML1 leukemia fusion gene dysregulates the TGF-beta pathway in early B lineage progenitor cells. *J Clin Invest* **119**(4): 826-36

Francis SS, Selvin S, Metayer C, Wallace AD, Crouse V, Moore TB, Wiemels JL, Buffler PA (2014) Mode of delivery and risk of childhood leukemia. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **23**(5): 876-81

Gilham C, Peto J, Simpson J, Roman E, Eden TO, Greaves MF, Alexander FE (2005) Day care in infancy and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: findings from UK case-control study. *Bmj* **330**(7503): 1294

Greaves M (2006) Infection, immune responses and the aetiology of childhood leukaemia. *Nat Rev Cancer* **6**(3): 193-203

Greaves MF (1988) Speculations on the cause of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* **2**(2): 120-5

Gronlund MM, Lehtonen OP, Eerola E, Kero P (1999) Fecal microflora in healthy infants born by different methods of delivery: permanent changes in intestinal flora after cesarean delivery. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **28**(1): 19-25

Hjalgrim LL, Rostgaard K, Hjalgrim H, Westergaard T, Thomassen H, Forestier E, Gustafsson G, Kristinsson J, Melbye M, Schmiegelow K (2004) Birth weight and risk for childhood leukemia in Denmark, Sweden, Norway, and Iceland. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **96**(20): 1549-56

Infante-Rivard C, Fortier I, Olson E (2000) Markers of infection, breast-feeding and childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Br J Cancer* **83**(11): 1559-64

Infante-Rivard C, Weichenthal S (2007) Pesticides and childhood cancer: an update of Zahm and Ward's 1998 review. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* **10**(1-2): 81-99

Johnson KJ, Soler JT, Puumala SE, Ross JA, Spector LG (2008) Parental and infant characteristics and childhood leukemia in Minnesota. *BMC Pediatr* **8**: 7

Jourdan-Da Silva N, Perel Y, Mechinaud F, Plouvier E, Gandemer V, Lutz P, Vannier JP, Lamagnere JL, Marguerite G, Boutard P, Robert A, Armari C, Munzer M, Millot F, De Lumley L, Berthou C, Rialland X, Pautard B, Hemon D, Clavel J (2004) Infectious diseases in the first year of life, perinatal characteristics and childhood acute leukaemia. *Br J Cancer* **90**(1): 139-45

Kamper-Jorgensen M, Benn CS, Wohlfahrt J (2011) Childcare and health: A review of using linked national registers. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* **39**: 126-130

Kamper-Jorgensen M, Woodward A, Wohlfahrt J, Benn CS, Simonsen J, Hjalgrim H, Schmiegelow K (2008) Childcare in the first 2 years of life reduces the risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* **22**(1): 189-93

Kaye SA, Robison LL, Smithson WA, Gunderson P, King FL, Neglia JP (1991) Maternal reproductive history and birth characteristics in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer* **68**(6): 1351-5

Kinlen LJ (2012) An examination, with a meta-analysis, of studies of childhood leukaemia in relation to population mixing. *Br J Cancer* **107**(7): 1163-8

Kwan ML, Buffler PA, Abrams B, Kiley VA (2004) Breastfeeding and the risk of childhood leukemia: a meta-analysis. *Public Health Rep* **119**(6): 521-35

Kwan ML, Buffler PA, Wiemels JL, Metayer C, Selvin S, Ducore JM, Block G (2005) Breastfeeding patterns and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Br J Cancer* **93**(3): 379-84

Lacour B, Guyot-Goubin A, Guissou S, Bellec S, Desandes E, Clavel J (2010a) Incidence of childhood cancer in France: National Children Cancer Registries, 2000-2004. *Eur J Cancer Prev* **19**(3): 173-81

Lacour B, Guyot-Goubin A, Guissou S, Bellec S, Desandes E, Clavel J (2010b) Incidence of childhood cancer in France: National Children Cancer Registries, 2000-2004. *European journal of cancer prevention : the official journal of the European Cancer Prevention Organisation* **19**(3): 173-81

Lee YK, Mazmanian SK (2010) Has the microbiota played a critical role in the evolution of the adaptive immune system? *Science* **330**(6012): 1768-73

Little J (1999) *Epidemiology of childhood cancer*. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on cancer

Lu N, Samuels ME, Shi L, Baker SL, Glover SH, Sanders JM (2004) Child day care risks of common infectious diseases revisited. *Child Care Health and Development* **30**(4): 361-368

Ma X, Buffler PA, Wiemels JL, Selvin S, Metayer C, Loh M, Does MB, Wiencke JK (2005) Ethnic difference in daycare attendance, early infections, and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **14**(8): 1928-34

Ma XM, Urayama K, Chang J, Wiemels JL, Buffler PA (2009) Infection and pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood Cells Molecules and Diseases* **42**(2): 117-120

MacArthur AC, McBride ML, Spinelli JJ, Tamaro S, Gallagher RP, Theriault GP (2008) Risk of childhood leukemia associated with vaccination, infection, and medication use in childhood: the Cross-Canada Childhood Leukemia Study. *Am J Epidemiol* **167**(5): 598-606

Madan JC, Farzan SF, Hibberd PL, Karagas MR (2012) Normal neonatal microbiome variation in relation to environmental factors, infection and allergy. *Curr Opin Pediatr* **24**(6): 753-9

Martin RM, Gunnell D, Owen CG, Smith GD (2005) Breast-feeding and childhood cancer: A systematic review with metaanalysis. *Int J Cancer* **117**(6): 1020-31

McKinney PA, Juszczak E, Findlay E, Smith K, Thomson CS (1999) Pre- and perinatal risk factors for childhood leukaemia and other malignancies: a Scottish case control study. *Br J Cancer* **80**(11): 1844-51

Murray L, McCarron P, Bailie K, Middleton R, Davey Smith G, Dempsey S, McCarthy A, Gavin A (2002) Association of early life factors and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in childhood: historical cohort study. *Br J Cancer* **86**(3): 356-61

Naumburg E, Bellocco R, Cnattingius S, Jonzon A, Ekblom A (2002) Perinatal exposure to infection and risk of childhood leukemia. *Med Pediatr Oncol* **38**(6): 391-7

Neglia JP, Linet MS, Shu XO, Severson RK, Potter JD, Mertens AC, Wen W, Kersey JH, Robison LL (2000) Patterns of infection and day care utilization and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Br J Cancer* **82**(1): 234-40

Nesti MMM, Goldbaum M (2007) Infectious diseases and daycare and preschool education. *Jornal De Pediatria* **83**(4): 299-312

Nicholas C, Wegienka G, Havstad S, Ownby D, Johnson CC, Zoratti E (2009) How accurately do young adults recall childhood pets? A validation study. *Am J Epidemiol* **170**(3): 388-92

Nishi M, Miyake H (1989) A case-control study of non-T cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia of children in Hokkaido, Japan. *J Epidemiol Community Health* **43**(4): 352-5

Okcu MF, Goodman KJ, Carozza SE, Weiss NS, Burau KD, Bleyer WA, Cooper SP (2002) Birth weight, ethnicity, and occurrence of cancer in children: a population-based, incident case-control study in the State of Texas, USA. *Cancer Causes Control* **13**(7): 595-602

Oksuzyan S, Crespi CM, Cockburn M, Mezei G, Kheifets L (2012) Birth weight and other perinatal characteristics and childhood leukemia in California. *Cancer Epidemiol* **36**(6): e359-65

Ou SX, Han D, Severson RK, Chen Z, Neglia JP, Reaman GH, Buckley JD, Robison LL (2002) Birth characteristics, maternal reproductive history, hormone use during pregnancy, and risk of childhood acute lymphocytic leukemia by immunophenotype (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **13**(1): 15-25

Perrillat F, Clavel J, Auclerc MF, Baruchel A, Leverger G, Nelken B, Philippe N, Schaison G, Sommelet D, Vilmer E, Hemon D (2002) Day-care, early common infections and childhood acute leukaemia: a multicentre French case-control study. *Br J Cancer* **86**(7): 1064-9

Petridou E, Dalamaga M, Mentis A, Skalkidou A, Moustaki M, Karpathios T, Trichopoulos D (2001) Evidence on the infectious etiology of childhood leukemia: the role of low herd immunity (Greece). *Cancer Causes Control* **12**(7): 645-52

Petridou E, Kassimos D, Kalmanti M, Kosmidis H, Haidas S, Flytzani V, Tong D, Trichopoulos D (1993) Age of exposure to infections and risk of childhood leukaemia. *Bmj* **307**(6907): 774

Petridou E, Trichopoulos D, Kalapothaki V, Pourtsidis A, Kogevinas M, Kalmanti M, Kolioukas D, Kosmidis H, Panagiotou JP, Piperopoulou F, Tzortzatos F (1997) The risk profile of childhood leukaemia in Greece: a nationwide case-control study. *Br J Cancer* **76**(9): 1241-7

Podvin D, Kuehn CM, Mueller BA, Williams M (2006) Maternal and birth characteristics in relation to childhood leukaemia. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **20**(4): 312-22

Reynolds P, Von Behren J, Elkin EP (2002) Birth characteristics and leukemia in young children. *Am J Epidemiol* **155**(7): 603-13

Roman E, Simpson J, Ansell P, Kinsey S, Mitchell CD, McKinney PA, Birch JM, Greaves M, Eden T (2007) Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and infections in the first year of life: a report from the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study. *Am J Epidemiol* **165**(5): 496-504

Rosenbaum PF, Buck GM, Brecher ML (2000) Early child-care and preschool experiences and the risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Am J Epidemiol* **152**(12): 1136-44

Rosenbaum PF, Buck GM, Brecher ML (2005) Allergy and infectious disease histories and the risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **19**(2): 152-64

Round JL, Mazmanian SK (2009) The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* **9**(5): 313-23

Rudant J (2014) Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and indicators of early immune stimulation: a Childhood Leukemia International Consortium (CLIC) Study. *Am J Epidemiol*

Rudant J, Orsi L, Menegaux F, Petit A, Baruchel A, Bertrand Y, Lambilliotte A, Robert A, Michel G, Marguerite G, Tandonnet J, Mechinaud F, Bordigoni P, Hemon D, Clavel J (2010) Childhood Acute Leukemia, Early Common Infections, and Allergy: The ESCALE Study. *Am J Epidemiol* **172**(9): 1015-1027

Schuz J, Kaletsch U, Meinert R, Kaatsch P, Michaelis J (1999) Association of childhood leukaemia with factors related to the immune system. *Br J Cancer* **80**(3-4): 585-90

Siggers RH, Thyman T, Jensen BB, Molbak L, Heegaard PM, Schmidt M, Buddington RK, Sangild PT (2008) Elective cesarean delivery affects gut maturation and delays microbial colonization but does not increase necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm pigs. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* **294**(3): R929-38

Simpson J, Smith A, Ansell P, Roman E (2007) Childhood leukaemia and infectious exposure: a report from the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study (UKCCS). *Eur J Cancer* **43**(16): 2396-403

Swensen AR, Ross JA, Shu XO, Reaman GH, Steinbuch M, Robison LL (2001) Pet ownership and childhood acute leukemia (USA and Canada). *Cancer Causes Control* **12**(4): 301-3

Taylor M, Hussain A, Urayama K, Chokkalingam A, Thompson P, Trachtenberg E, Buffler P (2009) The human major histocompatibility complex and childhood leukemia: an etiological hypothesis based on molecular mimicry. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* **42**(2): 129-35

Urayama KY, Buffler PA, Gallagher ER, Ayoob JM, Ma XM (2010) A meta-analysis of the association between day-care attendance and childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **39**(3): 718-732

Urayama KY, Ma X, Buffler PA (2008) Exposure to infections through day-care attendance and risk of childhood leukaemia. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry* **132**(2): 259-66

Urayama KY, Ma XM, Selvin S, Metayer C, Chokkalingam AP, Wiemels JL, Does M, Chang J, Wong A, Trachtenberg E, Buffler PA (2011) Early life exposure to infections and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *International Journal of Cancer* **128**(7): 1632-1643

van Steensel-Moll HA, Valkenburg HA, van Zanen GE (1986) Childhood leukemia and infectious diseases in the first year of life: a register-based case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol* **124**(4): 590-4

Vestergaard TR, Rostgaard K, Grau K, Schmiegelow K, Hjalgrim H (2013) Hospitalisation for infection prior to diagnosis of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* **60**(3): 428-432

Wiemels J (2012) Perspectives on the causes of childhood leukemia. *Chemico-Biological Interactions* **196**(3): 59-67

Wold AE, Adlerberth I (2000) Breast feeding and the intestinal microflora of the infant--implications for protection against infectious diseases. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **478**: 77-93

Wong DI, Dockerty JD (2006) Birth characteristics and the risk of childhood leukaemias and lymphomas in New Zealand: a case-control study. *BMC Blood Disord* **6**: 5

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the cases of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) and controls – children aged 1-14 years (Estelle study, France, 2010-2011)

|   | Controls (N=1225) |       | ALL (N=617) |       | OR                        | 95%CI     |
|---|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------|---------------------------|-----------|
|   | n                 | %     | n           | %     |                           |           |
| <b>Maternal educational</b>   |                   |       |             |       |                           |           |
| < Secondary diploma   | 356               | (29%) | 186         | (30%) | 1.15                      | 0.91-1.45 |
| Secondary diploma   | 268               | (22%) | 133         | (22%) | 1.02                      | 0.79-1.31 |
| > Secondary diploma   | 600               | (49%) | 297         | (48%) | 1.00                      | Ref.      |
| Missing   | 1                 |       | 1           |       |                           |           |
| <b>Parental professional category (higher of the 2 parents)</b>     |                   |       |             |       |                           |           |
| Intellectual/scientific jobs, managers and intermediate professions | 813               | (66%) | 388         | (63%) | 1.00                      | Ref.      |
| Administrative and sales workers                                    | 255               | (21%) | 138         | (22%) | 1.14                      | 0.90-1.46 |
| Service workers and factory workers                                 | 157               | (13%) | 91          | (15%) | 1.21                      | 0.91-1.61 |
| <b>Maternal age at child's birth (years)</b>                        |                   |       |             |       |                           |           |
| <25   | 123               | (10%) | 96          | (16%) | 1.72                      | 1.24-2.38 |
| 25-29   | 392               | (32%) | 213         | (35%) | 1.20                      | 0.95-1.53 |
| 30-34   | 444               | (36%) | 205         | (33%) | 1.00                      | Ref.      |
| 35 or more  | 266               | (22%) | 103         | (17%) | 0.77                      | 0.58-1.02 |
|   |                   |       |             |       | <i>p trend &lt; 0.001</i> |           |
| <b>Place of residence at diagnosis</b>                              |                   |       |             |       |                           |           |
| Rural (<5,000 people)   | 511               | (42%) | 243         | (39%) | 1.00                      | Ref.      |
| Intermediate (5,000-100,000 people)                                 | 273               | (22%) | 128         | (21%) | 1.03                      | 0.79-1.34 |
| Urban (>100,000 people)   | 435               | (36%) | 244         | (40%) | 1.20                      | 0.96-1.50 |
| Missing   | 6                 |       | 2           |       |                           |           |
| <b>Mother's country of birth</b>                                    |                   |       |             |       |                           |           |
| France  | 1114              | (91%) | 550         | (89%) | 1.00                      | Ref.      |
| Other countries   | 111               | (9%)  | 67          | (11%) | 1.26                      | 0.91-1.75 |

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

ORs and 95%CI estimated by unconditional logistic regression adjusted for age and gender



Table 2: Associations between common infections in the first year of life and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) – children aged 1-14 years (Estelle study, France, 2010-2011)

|   | Controls (N=1225) |       | ALL (N=617) |       | OR <sup>a</sup>     | 95% CI    |
|---|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------|---------------------|-----------|
|   | n                 | %     | n           | %     |                     |           |
| <b>Any common infections before one year<sup>b</sup></b>                |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| None  | 160               | (13%) | 99          | (16%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| At least one  | 1065              | (87%) | 518         | (84%) | 0.75                | 0.57-0.99 |
| 1   | 128               | (87%) | 71          | (84%) | 0.87                | 0.59-1.29 |
| 2   | 186               | (15%) | 92          | (15%) | 0.81                | 0.57-1.17 |
| 3   | 151               | (12%) | 71          | (12%) | 0.71                | 0.48-1.05 |
| 4+  | 600               | (49%) | 284         | (46%) | 0.72                | 0.54-0.97 |
|   |                   |       |             |       | <i>p trend=0.02</i> |           |
| <b>Hospitalisation for common infection before age one year</b>         |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| No  | 1185              | (97%) | 585         | (95%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| Yes   | 40                | (3%)  | 32          | (5%)  | 1.48                | 0.91-2.41 |
| <b>Neonatal infection</b>   |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| No  | 1203              | (98%) | 609         | (99%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| Yes   | 22                | (2%)  | 8           | (1%)  | 0.68                | 0.29-1.58 |
| <b>Specific infection before age one year<sup>c</sup></b>               |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| No  | 1164              | (95%) | 586         | (95%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| Yes   | 61                | (5%)  | 31          | (5%)  | 0.97                | 0.62-1.53 |
| <b>ENT surgery for common infections before age 4 years<sup>d</sup></b> |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| No  | 1141              | (93%) | 589         | (95%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| Yes   | 84                | (7%)  | 28          | (5%)  | 0.63                | 0.40-0.99 |

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

<sup>a</sup>ORs and 95%CI estimated by unconditional logistic regression adjusted for age and gender, paternal professional category and degree of urbanization

<sup>b</sup>tonsillitis, otitis, rhinopharyngitis, laryngitis, conjunctivitis, bronchiolitis, pulmonary infection, gastroenteritis or urinary tract infection

<sup>c</sup> 3 measles, 1 rubella, 58 chicken pox, 1 mumps, 1 whooping cough, 4 scarlet fever, 1 meningitis, 1 mononucleosis among controls and 5 measles, 0 rubella, 25 chicken pox, 1 mumps, 2 whooping cough, 2 scarlet fever, 1 meningitis, 0 mononucleosis among cases

<sup>d</sup>Ear-nose-throat surgery (tonsillectomy, adenoidectomy, paracenteses)

Table 3: Birth order, type of childcare and breastfeeding in the first year of life, mode of delivery and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) – children aged 1-14 years (Estelle study, France, 2010-2011)

|  | Controls (N=1225) |       | ALL (N=617) |       | OR <sup>a</sup>     | 95%CI     |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------|---------------------|-----------|
|  | n                 | %     | n           | %     |                     |           |
| <b>Birth order</b>                                       |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| 1  | 508               | (41%) | 303         | (49%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| 2  | 430               | (35%) | 206         | (33%) | 0.84                | 0.67-1.06 |
| ≥ 3  | 287               | (23%) | 108         | (18%) | 0.72                | 0.54-0.97 |
|  |                   |       |             |       | <i>p trend=0.03</i> |           |
| <b>Type of childcare</b>                                 |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| Home care (parents only)                                 | 586               | (48%) | 313         | (51%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| Nanny only   | 417               | (34%) | 215         | (35%) | 0.97                | 0.77-1.22 |
| Day-care   | 222               | (18%) | 89          | (14%) | 0.71                | 0.53-0.96 |
| <b>Characteristics of daycare attendance<sup>b</sup></b> |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| <b>Frequency of day-care attendance</b>                  |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| Home care  | 586               | (48%) | 313         | (51%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| Part time attendance (1-2 d/week)                        | 78                | (6%)  | 29          | (5%)  | 0.67                | 0.42-1.07 |
| Full time attendance (3-5 d/week)                        | 144               | (12%) | 60          | (10%) | 0.73                | 0.51-1.04 |
| <b>Age at start of daycare attendance</b>                |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| Home care  | 586               | (48%) | 313         | (51%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| < 6 months   | 102               | (8%)  | 38          | (6%)  | 0.66                | 0.43-1.00 |
| ≥6 months  | 120               | (10%) | 51          | (8%)  | 0.75                | 0.52-1.08 |
| <b>Characteristics of childcare by nanny<sup>c</sup></b> |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| <b>Cumulative duration</b>                               |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| Home care  | 586               | (48%) | 313         | (51%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| 1st tertile ( <i>Childhood hours</i> <1566)              | 139               | (11%) | 78          | (13%) | 1.02                | 0.74-1.42 |
| 2nd tertile (1566≤ <i>Childhood hours</i> <3132)         | 148               | (12%) | 71          | (12%) | 0.92                | 0.66-1.28 |
| 3rd tertile ( <i>Childhood hours</i> ≥3132)              | 127               | (10%) | 65          | (11%) | 0.96                | 0.68-1.36 |
| Missing  | 3                 |       | 1           |       |                     |           |
| <b>Number of other children at nanny's</b>               |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| Home care  | 586               | (48%) | 313         | (51%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| None   | 29                | (2%)  | 19          | (3%)  | 1.34                | 0.74-2.49 |
| 1 or 2   | 264               | (22%) | 129         | (21%) | 0.91                | 0.66-1.28 |
| >2   | 121               | (10%) | 66          | (11%) | 1.02                | 0.68-1.36 |
| Missing  | 3                 |       | 1           |       |                     |           |
| <b>Breastfeeding</b>                                     |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| No   | 497               | (41%) | 278         | (45%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| Yes  | 728               | (59%) | 339         | (55%) | 0.80                | 0.66-0.99 |
| < 6 months   | 488               | (40%) | 233         | (38%) | 0.81                | 0.65-1.02 |
| ≥ 6 months   | 240               | (20%) | 106         | (17%) | 0.78                | 0.59-1.04 |
| <b>Caesarian section</b>                                 |                   |       |             |       |                     |           |
| No   | 1011              | (83%) | 501         | (81%) | 1.00                | Ref.      |
| Yes  | 214               | (17%) | 116         | (19%) | 1.11                | 0.85-1.43 |

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

<sup>a</sup>ORs and 95%CI estimated by unconditional logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, parental professional category, maternal age and degree of urbanisation

<sup>b</sup>Children with childcare by nanny were excluded from the analyses

<sup>c</sup>Children with childcare by the day-care centre were excluded from the analyses

Table 4: Contacts with animals and visits to farms in the first year of life and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) – children aged 1-14 years (Estelle study, France, 2010-2011)

|  | Controls (N=1225) |       | ALL (N=617) |       | OR <sup>a</sup> | 95% CI    |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------------|-----------|
|  | n                 | %     | n           | %     |                 |           |
| <b>Contact at least once a week with any animals before age 1 year</b> |                   |       |             |       |                 |           |
| No   | 494               | (40%) | 280         | (45%) | 1.00            | Ref       |
| Yes  | 731               | (60%) | 337         | (55%) | 0.84            | 0.68-1.04 |
| <b>With pets</b>   |                   |       |             |       |                 |           |
| No   | 502               | (41%) | 289         | (47%) | 1.00            | Ref       |
| Yes  | 721               | (59%) | 328         | (53%) | 0.81            | 0.66-1.00 |
| Cats   | 432               | (35%) | 179         | (29%) | 0.75            | 0.59-0.96 |
| Dogs   | 539               | (44%) | 244         | (40%) | 0.84            | 0.67-1.05 |
| Rodents  | 56                | (5%)  | 17          | (3%)  | 0.56            | 0.31-1.01 |
| Birds  | 58                | (5%)  | 20          | (3%)  | 0.60            | 0.34-1.03 |
| <b>With farm animals</b>   |                   |       |             |       |                 |           |
| No   | 1105              | (90%) | 562         | (91%) | 1.00            | Ref       |
| Yes  | 118               | (10%) | 54          | (9%)  | 0.93            | 0.65-1.32 |
| Cows   | 27                | (2%)  | 3           | (0%)  | 0.24            | 0.07-0.81 |
| Sheep, goats   | 23                | (2%)  | 6           | (1%)  | 0.55            | 0.22-1.40 |
| Pigs   | 7                 | (1%)  | 1           | (0%)  | 0.26            | 0.03-2.47 |
| Rabbits  | 71                | (6%)  | 30          | (5%)  | 0.87            | 0.55-1.36 |
| Horses,ponies,donkies  | 36                | (3%)  | 15          | (2%)  | 0.83            | 0.44-1.59 |
| Poultry  | 57                | (5%)  | 21          | (3%)  | 0.78            | 0.46-1.33 |
| <b>Farm visit at least once before age 1 year</b>                      |                   |       |             |       |                 |           |
| No   | 780               | (64%) | 439         | (71%) | 1.00            | Ref       |
| Yes  | 440               | (36%) | 175         | (28%) | 0.70            | 0.56-0.87 |
| Several days/year  | 306               | (25%) | 115         | (19%) | 0.65            | 0.50-0.83 |
| Several days/month   | 80                | (7%)  | 41          | (7%)  | 0.98            | 0.67-1.47 |
| Several days/week  | 54                | (4%)  | 19          | (3%)  | 0.61            | 0.34-1.08 |

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

<sup>a</sup>ORs and 95%CI estimated by unconditional logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, parental category, degree of urbanisation, housing

Table 5: Relationship between factors related to immune stimulation and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) by subtype – children aged 1-14 years (Estelle study, France, 2010-2011)

|   | BCP-ALL (N=485)         |                 |                  |                 |                 |                 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                 | Burkitt's ALL (N=26) |                 | T-cell ALL (N=94) |                 |           |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|   | Numerical abnormalities |                 |                  |                 |                 |                 | ETV6-RUNX1       |                 |                  |                 | Total BCP-ALL   |                      | OR <sup>a</sup> | 95% CI            | OR <sup>a</sup> | 95% CI    |
|   | None (N=179)            |                 | 47-50 chr (N=70) |                 | >50 chr (N=161) |                 | Negative (N=335) |                 | Positive (N=119) |                 | OR <sup>a</sup> | 95% CI               |                 |                   |                 |           |
| OR <sup>a</sup>   | 95% CI                  | OR <sup>a</sup> | 95% CI           | OR <sup>a</sup> | 95% CI          | OR <sup>a</sup> | 95% CI           | OR <sup>a</sup> | 95% CI           | OR <sup>a</sup> |                 |                      | 95% CI          | OR <sup>a</sup>   | 95% CI          |           |
| Non-firstborn   | 0.65                    | 0.47-0.89       | 0.52             | 0.31-0.85       | 0.73            | 0.52-1.03       | 0.71             | 0.56-0.92       | 0.65             | 0.44-0.95       | 0.72            | 0.57-0.89            | 0.32            | 0.14-0.74         | 0.73            | 0.47-1.12 |
| Caesarian section   | 1.23                    | 0.83-1.82       | 0.94             | 0.49-1.78       | 0.76            | 0.47-1.21       | 0.99             | 0.72-1.37       | 0.98             | 0.59-1.61       | 1.05            | 0.79-1.38            | 0.88            | 0.29-2.64         | 0.93            | 0.53-1.64 |
| Breastfeeding   | 1.01                    | 0.73-1.41       | 0.96             | 0.58-1.58       | 0.60            | 0.43-0.84       | 0.82             | 0.61-1.03       | 0.84             | 0.56-1.25       | 0.82            | 0.66-1.02            | 0.55            | 0.24-1.23         | 0.78            | 0.51-1.21 |
| Day-care  | 0.93                    | 0.61-1.43       | 0.78             | 0.40-1.54       | 0.74            | 0.46-1.20       | 0.83             | 0.59-1.17       | 0.62             | 0.36-1.10       | 0.77            | 0.57-1.04            | 0.59            | 0.17-2.06         | 0.47            | 0.24-0.95 |
| Any common infections before age one year                     | 0.77                    | 0.50-1.21       | 0.73             | 0.37-1.44       | 0.89            | 0.55-1.43       | 0.74             | 0.53-1.04       | 0.78             | 0.45-1.34       | 0.74            | 0.55-0.99            | 0.83            | 0.27-2.48         | 0.84            | 0.46-1.53 |
| ENT surgery for common infections before 4 years <sup>b</sup> | 0.57                    | 0.25-1.26       | 0.42             | 0.10-1.77       | 0.49            | 0.19-1.26       | 0.66             | 0.37-1.17       | 0.37             | 0.17-1.21       | 0.54            | 0.32-0.92            | 0.43            | 0.06-3.33         | 1.09            | 0.52-2.29 |
| Contact with animal before age one year                       | 0.72                    | 0.52-1.00       | 0.73             | 0.44-1.21       | 0.82            | 0.58-1.16       | 0.84             | 0.65-1.08       | 0.69             | 0.46-1.03       | 0.78            | 0.62-0.98            | 2.01            | 0.81-4.97         | 0.86            | 0.56-1.34 |

AL acute leukaemia; ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

<sup>a</sup>ORs and 95% CI estimated by unconditional logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, parental category, degree of urbanisation

<sup>b</sup>Ear-nose-throat surgery (tonsillectomy, adenoidectomy, paracenteses)