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Abstract

Dual-specificity tyrosine phosphorylation-regulated kinases (DYRKs) and cdc2-like kinases (CLKs) are implicated in the onset and progression of Down syndrome (DS) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD). DYRK1A has emerged as a possible link between amyloid-β (Aβ) and Tau, the major pathological proteins in AD. We here assessed the neuroprotective potential of a novel inhibitor of DYRKs/CLKs. The Leucettine L41, acting preferentially on DYRK1A, was tested in Aβ25-35-treated mice, a nontransgenic model of AD-like toxicity. We co-injected intracerebroventricularly oligomeric Aβ25-35 peptide and L41 in Swiss male mice. After 7 days, they were submitted to behavioral tests addressing spatial and non-spatial, short- and long-term memories. The oxidative stress, apoptotic markers, kinases involved in Tau phosphorylation, and synaptic integrity were analyzed by western blot and ELISA in the hippocampus. L41, tested at 0.4, 1.2, 4 µg, prevented the Aβ25-35-induced memory deficits in the Y-maze, passive avoidance and water-maze tests, with the most active dose being 4 µg. The inhibitor prevented the Aβ25-35-induced oxidative stress, as revealed by measures of lipid peroxidation levels and reactive oxygen species accumulation, and abolished Aβ25-35-induced expression of pro-apoptotic markers. L41 prevented the Aβ25-35-induced decrease of AKT activation and increase of glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β) activation, resulting in a decrease of Tau phosphorylation. Finally, L41 restored Aβ25-35-reduced levels of synaptic markers. The novel DYRK1A-preferential inhibitor L41 therefore prevented Aβ25-35-induced memory impairments and neurotoxicity in the mouse hippocampus. These in vivo data highlighted particularly DYRK1A as a major kinase involved in Aβ pathology and suggested therapeutic developments for DYRK1A inhibitors in AD.
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1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD), the most prevalent dementia in the elderly, is characterized by a progressive decline in executive and cognitive functions (Selkoe, 2002). The pathological diagnosis of this age-related disorder depends on the presence of both amyloid-β (Aβ) plaques and intraneuronal tangles, consisting of hyper-phosphorylated Tau proteins (Selkoe, 2002). The Aβ hypothesis of AD pathophysiology posits that Aβ induced the formation of Tau-containing neurofibrillary tangles and neuronal death, which contribute to progressively worsen cognitive abilities. Indeed, amyloid deposition is one of the processes that trigger the cascade of molecular events leading to neurofibrillary degeneration. Several kinases, mainly glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β) and cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK-5), are closely linked to AD neuropathology and are a possible link between Aβ and Tau (Flaherty et al., 2000; Iqbal et al., 2014).

Pre-phosphorylation of Tau by CDK-5 stimulates both the rate and extent of a subsequent phosphorylation catalyzed by GSK-3β (Sengupta et al., 1997). In addition to Tau phosphorylation, GSK-3β and CDK-5 regulate Aβ generation through phosphorylation of the cytoplasmic domain of APP (Aplin et al., 1996; Iijima et al., 2000 and presenilin-1 (PS1) (Tesco and Tanzi, 2000; Lau et al., 2002). Despite these major roles in AD, inhibitors targeting these kinases have adverse effects in control group or depending on the AD hallmarks studied (Ryder et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2009). Considering these adverse effects and their major constitutive functions, these kinases may not be appropriate therapeutic targets for AD. Targeting other kinase with greater central and lesser peripheral function should be a better alternative.

The dual-specificity tyrosine phosphorylation-regulated kinase 1A (DYRK1A) has emerged as a kinase potentially involved in AD etiology. The first prima-facie evidence relates to the fact that DYRK1A gene is located on the chromosome 21, as the APP gene, a localization that supports DYRK1A implication in Down syndrome (DS) (Song et al., 1996; Kimura et al., 2007). Of great interest, DS subjects invariably develop AD at ages that are not usual in sporadic AD (de la Torre and Dierssen, 2012). In addition, DYRK1A mRNA
expression was elevated in the hippocampus of AD patients (Kimura et al., 2007) and DYRK1A immunoreactivity was increased in the frontal and entorhinal cortex and in the hippocampus of AD patients (Ferrer et al., 2005). Moreover, DYRK1A phosphorylated Tau on 11 phosphorylation sites, which are phosphorylated in AD, notably at Thr212, Ser202, Thr205 and Thr231 (Woods et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2008). As observed for major kinases involved in AD, DYRK1A can also phosphorylate APP (Ryoo et al., 2008) and PS1 (Ryu et al., 2010). Therefore, DYRK1A acts as a major kinase linking Aβ and Tau and contributing to apoptotic processes in AD. However, several studies also showed that DYRK1A can directly regulate cell death. For instance, Dyrk1A is coupled to JNK1 activation, and directly interacts with apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1 (ASK1) (Choi and Chung, 2011). Additionally in the Ts65Dn mouse model of DS, triplication of DYRK1A provoked retinal structural and functional alterations resulting in apoptosis (Laguna et al., 2013). The role of DYRK1A in AD related apoptosis must therefore be addressed.

The aim of this study was to determine whether a Dyrk1A preferential kinase inhibitor could be neuroprotective in a mouse model of amyloid toxicity. L41, a member of the Leucettine family of low molecular weight inhibitors, is derived from the marine sponge alkaloid Leucettamine B (Debdab et al., 2011; Tahtouh et al., 2012). L41 is a potent inhibitor of DYRK and CLK kinases, which acts preferentially on DYRK1A. The two major DYRK1A preferential inhibitors are epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG; IC₅₀ = 0.33 µM) and harmine, which act also on other kinases (Adayev et al., 2011; Tahtouh et al., 2012). Comparatively to EGCG, harmine (IC₅₀ = 34-85 nM) and L41 (IC₅₀ = 10-60 nM) induce enhanced inhibition rates for all isoforms of DYRK1A. L41 also inhibits DYRK1B (IC₅₀ = 44 nM), DYRK2 (IC₅₀ = 73 nM), CLK1 (IC₅₀ = 71 nM), CLK4 (IC₅₀ = 64 nM) and GSK-3α/β (IC₅₀ =210-410 nM) (Tahtouh et al., 2012). However, among these L41 kinase targets, DYRK1A is the only one target of L41 identified in mouse brain by affinity chromatography approaches (Tahtouh et al., 2012; Burgy et al., 2013). In addition, identification of affinity chromatography purified L41 targets from various mouse tissues has revealed that expression pattern of it targets varies considerably from one organ to another, with the highest DYRK1A expression observed in brain (Tahtouh et al., 2012; Burgy et al., 2013).
In the present study, we used a rapid model of Aβ toxicity, consisting in intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) injection of the Aβ25-35 peptide (Maurice et al., 1996; Kaminsky et al., 2010) in oligomeric species (Zussy et al., 2013). Aβ25-35 injection in mice and rats allows to assess the therapeutic potential of new compounds. It mimics the major hallmarks observed in AD, including memory deficits, oxidative stress, astrogliosis and microgliosis, neuroinflammation, pro-apoptotic caspases activity and loss of hippocampal and cholinergic neurons (Maurice et al., 1996; Kaminsky et al., 2010; Zussy et al., 2011). More particularly Aβ25-35 enhanced Tau phosphorylation (Lahmy et al., 2013; Zussy et al., 2013) by activating involved kinases such as GSK-3β (Lahmy et al., 2013). After determining the effective dose of L41, we observed that L41 prevented Aβ25-35-induced cognitive impairments, spatial and non-spatial, short- and long-term memories in different behavioral tests. The neuroprotective effect of L41 was established for the dose of 4 µg by analyzing the oxidative stress (lipid peroxidation, reactive oxygen species), Tau phosphorylation and activation of kinases (AKT, GSK-3β) involved in this process, expression of apoptosis markers (Bax, Bcl2), and synaptic markers (PSD95, Synaptophysin, Arc and Egr1).
2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Animals
Male Swiss mice (Depré, St-Doulchard, France), aged 7 weeks and weighing 32 ± 2 g, were used in this study. Animals, housed in groups had free access to food and water and were kept in a regulated environment (22 ± 1 °C, 40–60% humidity, 12 h light/dark cycle with light on at 07:00 h). Experiments were carried out between 09:00 and 17:00. All animal procedures were conducted in adherence to the European Union Directive of September 22, 2010 (2010/63/UE).

2.2. Drugs and administration procedures
The amyloid-β(25-35) (Aβ25-35) and scrambled Aβ25-35 (Sc.Aβ) peptides were purchased from Genepep (Saint-Jean-de-Védas, France). They were solubilized in distilled water at 3 mg/ml and stored at -20°C. Peptides were incubated at 37°C for 4 days, allowing Aβ25-35, but not Sc.Aβ, to form oligomers (Zussy et al., 2013). They were administered i.c.v. as previously described in a final volume of 3 µl per mouse (Maurice et al., 1996; Haley and McCormick, 1957). Injection coordinates from Bregma were: AP -0.4 mm, L 1.00 mm, V -2.50 mm (Paxinos and Franklin, 2012). The site of injection was confirmed a priori by indian ink in control animals. Leucettine L41, a potent inhibitor of DYRK and CLK kinases acting preferentially on DYRK1A, was produced as previously described (Debdab et al., 2011; Tahtouh et al., 2012; Burgy et al., 2013) and solubilized in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), 10% in final dilution (Sigma-Aldrich, St Quentin-Fallavier, France). L41 or vehicle was co-administered i.c.v. (1 µl per mouse) at different doses: 0.4, 1.2 and 4 µg, corresponding respectively to 1.3, 3.9 and 13 nmol. These doses have been chosen to favor a specific action of L41 on DYRK1A, considering the protein kinase selectivity of L41: DYRK1A (IC_{50} = 10-60 nM), DYRK1B (IC_{50} = 44 nM), DYRK2 (IC_{50} = 73 nM), DYRK3 (IC_{50} = 320 nM), DYRK4 (IC_{50} = 520 nM), CLK1 (IC_{50} = 71 nM), CLK4 (IC_{50} = 64 nM) and GSK-3α/β (IC_{50} =210-410 nM). At the dose we used (4 µg, 13 nmol), the L41 would be active preferentially on the DYRK1A kinase.
2.3. Spontaneous alternation performances

Each mouse was allowed to freely explore a Y-maze (three arms, 50 cm long, 60° separate) during a single 8-min session (Maurice et al., 1996). An alternation was defined as entries into all three arms on consecutive trials. The percentage of alternation was calculated as:

\[
\text{actual alternations / total possible alternations x 100.}
\]

2.4. Step-through passive avoidance test

Each mouse was placed into the white compartment of a two-compartment apparatus. After 5 s, the guillotine door was raised. When the mouse entered the darkened compartment, a scrambled footshock was delivered through the gridfloor (0.3 mA for 3 s; Lafayette Instruments, Lafayette, MA, USA). The step-through latency and sensitivity to the shock were recorded. The latter was evaluated as: 0 = no sign; 1 = flinching reactions; 2 = flinching and vocalization reactions. None of the treatments used in the present study affected latency or shock sensitivity during training (not shown). 24 h after training, each mouse was placed again into the white compartment. The step-through and escape latencies, i.e., the latency to re-exit from the dark compartment, were recorded up to 300 s.

2.5. Place learning in the water maze

The water-maze was a circular pool (diameter 140 cm, height 40 cm). Water temperature, 23 ± 1°C, light intensity, external cues in the room, and water opacity were unchanged. A transparent Plexiglas platform (diameter 10 cm) was immersed under the water surface. Swimming was recorded using the Videotrack® software (Viewpoint, Champagne-au-Mont-d’Or, France), with trajectories being analyzed as latencies and distances. The software divides the pool into four quadrants.

Acquisition: between days 7 to 11 after peptide injection, training consisted in 3 swims per day, with 20 min intertrial time interval. Start positions, at limits between quadrants, were randomly selected and mice were allowed up to 90 s swim to find the platform. Animals were left on the platform during 20 s. The median latency was calculated for each training day.
Retention: a probe test was performed after 24 h. The platform was removed and each animal was allowed a free 60 s swim. The time spent in the training (T) quadrant was analyzed.

2.6. Lipid peroxidation measures
Mice were killed by decapitation and brains rapidly dissected out, weighed and stored in liquid nitrogen. Hippocampi were homogenized in cold methanol (1/10, w/v), centrifuged at 1,000 g during 5 min and supernatant collected. Homogenate was added to a solution containing FeSO₄ 1 mM, H₂SO₄ 0.25 M, xylenef orange 1 mM, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Absorbance was measured at 580 nm (A₅₈₀₁), and 10 µl of cumene hydroperoxide (CHP) 1 mM was added and the sample incubated for 30 min at room temperature, to determine the maximal oxidation level. Absorbance was measured at 580 nm (A₅₈₀₂). The lipid peroxidation level was determined as CHP equivalents according to: CHP equiv. = A₅₈₀₁/A₅₈₀₂ x (CHP (nmol)) x dilution.

2.7. Measurement of reactive oxygen species (ROS)
ROS accumulation was determined by analyzing 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) fluorescence. DCF diacetate (0.5 µM) (Sigma-Aldrich) was applied to the hippocampus extract. DCF diacetate was readily converted into DCF, able to interact with peroxides (primarily H₂O₂) to form fluorescent DCF. DCF fluorescence was quantified (excitation 485 nm, emission 530 nm) using a Fluoroskan Ascent spectrofluorimeter (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA), normalized for protein concentration.

2.8. Western blotting
Mice were decapitated 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after Aβ25-35 peptide injection. Hippocampi were removed on ice-cold glass plate and stored at -80°C. Hippocampal tissues were homogenized by sonication in a lysis buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol) including a protease and phosphatase inhibitors cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Germany). Homogenates were heated at 70°C for 10 min and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 30
min at 4°C. Protein concentration was determined using a BCA assay (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, USA). Proteins, 20–40 µg per lane, were resolved on a 12% sodium dodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel and then transferred electrophoretically to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (GE Healthcare, France). After 1 h blocking in 5% non-fat dry milk in a 20 mM Tris-buffered saline, pH 7.5, buffer containing 0.1% Tween-20, membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies (Table 1). After brief washes, membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with corresponding secondary antibody (Table 1). The immunoreactive bands, visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Pierce Biotechnology) were quantified using a quantitative fluorescence imaging system (Odyssey Fc, Li-Cor ScienceTec, France). Results were corrected with the corresponding β-tubulin (β-tub) level.

2.9. Synaptic marker measures
Hippocampi were homogenized in cold PBS. The content in synaptic markers —Arc, Egr1, PSD-95 and synaptophysin— were analyzed using ELISA kits (USCN Life Science, Euromedex, Souffelweyersheim, France). Levels in synaptic markers were expressed as ng/mg hippocampus wet weight.

2.10. Experimental series
As schematized in Figure 1, the first experimental series address the dose-response effect of L41 in Aβ25-35-treated mice. L41 was injected at the doses of 0.4, 1.2, 4 µg/mouse i.c.v. and animals were tested in the spontaneous alternation and passive avoidance tests. After retention, animals were sacrificed, their brain dissected out and their hippocampus used for lipid peroxidation measures. In the second experimental series, animals were treated with L41 at the most active dose, i.e., 4 µg/mouse, and used for place learning in the water-maze, and biochemical analyses (DCF, kinase activity, Tau phosphorylation and synaptic markers). For the latter, the Sc.Aβ/L41-treated group was added as a drug-alone control group.
2.11. Statistical analyses

Biochemical and behavioral data were expressed as mean ± SEM, except step-down latencies expressed as median and interquartile range. They were analyzed using one-way ANOVA ($F$ values), followed by the Dunnett's post hoc multiple comparison test. Passive avoidance latencies, expressed as median and interquartile (25%-75%) range, were analyzed using a Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric ANOVA ($H$ values), as upper cut-off times were set, followed by the Dunn’s multiple comparison test. Acquisition profiles in the water-maze were analyzed using the non-parametric repeated-measure Friedman's ANOVA ($Fr$ value), followed by a Mann-Whitney's test for post-hoc comparisons. Probe test data were presented as time spent in the quadrants. Presence in the T quadrant was analyzed using a one-sample $t$-test vs. the chance level (15 s). The level of statistical significance was $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. The Leucettine L41 prevented $A\beta_{25-35}$-induced spatial and non-spatial, short- and long-term memory impairments

To determine whether L41 possessed neuroprotective properties, we co-administered L41 with $A\beta_{25-35}$, in the 0.4-4 µg dose-range. The spatial working memory was assessed using spontaneous alternation in the Y-maze (Fig. 2a,b). The deficits observed in mice treated with $A\beta_{25-35}$ were dose-dependently and significantly prevented in mice co-administered with L41, particularly at the doses of 1.2 and 4 µg (Fig. 2a). In parallel, none of the treatments affected the locomotor and exploratory responses, measured in terms of number of arms entries (Fig. 2b). Non-spatial long-term memory was assessed using the passive avoidance procedure (Fig. 2c,d). None of the treatments affected the step-through latency and sensitivity to the shocks, during the training session (data not shown). During the retention session, the $A\beta_{25-35}$ treatment provoked a significant decrease in step-through latency (Fig. 2c) and an increase in escape latency (Fig. 2d) ($t$ test $p = 0.065$ vs. Sc.$A\beta$ V). L41 co-administration prevented the $A\beta_{25-35}$-induced deficits, a significant effect being measured at the dose of 4 µg for the
step-through latency (Fig. 2c).

The efficacy of L41 (4 µg) was analyzed on long-term spatial memory using place learning in the water-maze (Fig. 3). Analyses of acquisition profiles showed that the latencies measured for the Sc.Aβ/V-treated group significantly decreased over training trials (Fig. 3a). By contrast, Aβ25-35 failed to show any significant decrease in latency indicating an impairment of memory acquisition (Fig. 3a). Indeed, latencies measured during trials 4 and 6 were significantly higher than those measured for the Sc.Aβ/V control group. The L41 co-administration restored learning capacity, as shown by the significant decrease in swimming duration, with significant differences measured from trial 3 to trial 6 as compared to Aβ25-35/V-treated animals (Fig. 3b). Analysis of the animal presence in the training (T) quadrant of the pool during the probe test showed that Sc.Aβ/V-treated animals spent significantly more time in this quadrant than hazard level (15 s), confirming an effective learning of the platform location (Fig. 3c). The presence of the Aβ25-35/V-treated group remained non-significantly different from the hazard level, indicating a failure to acquire the platform location (Fig. 3c). L41 co-administration significantly prevented the deficit (Fig. 3c). To note, none of the treatment altered the swimming speed of the animals during the probe test session (Fig. 3d).

3.2. L41 decreased Aβ25-35-induced oxidative stress in mice hippocampus

To assess the effect of Aβ25-35 on oxidative stress in the mouse hippocampus, we measured the level of lipid peroxidation 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after the icv injection of the peptide. Aβ25-35 significantly increased lipid peroxidation, 5 and 7 days after injection (Fig. 4a). L41, at 4 µg, prevented significantly the Aβ25-35-induced increase in lipid peroxidation level (Fig. 4b). A second assay of oxidative stress in mice hippocampus was performed by an indirect quantification of ROS accumulation using the measurement of DCF fluorescence. It was significantly increased 7 days after Aβ25-35 injection (Fig. 4c). L41, at the dose of 4 µg, abolished the Aβ25-35-induced increase in DCF fluorescence (Fig. 4d). To note, L41 alone had no effect on DCF fluorescence (Fig. 4d).
3.3. L41 blocked Aβ25-35-induced GSK-3β activation and Tau phosphorylation in mice hippocampus

In order to analyze the effect of L41, injected at 4 µg/mouse, on GSK-3β activation in hippocampus, we determined the level of phosphorylation on Ser\(^{473}\) for Akt, and Tyr\(^{216}\) and Ser\(^{9}\) for GSK-3β (Fig. 5). Indeed, P(Ser\(^{473}\))Akt is an indirect measure of Akt activity, which is responsible notably for Ser\(^{9}\) phosphorylation on GSK-3β, which inactivates the kinase. Phosphorylation on Tyr\(^{216}\) is on the contrary linked to GSK-3β activation. Aβ25-35 injection rapidly and transiently decreased P(Ser\(^{473}\))Akt/Akt ratio (Fig. 5a). Co-administration of L41 partially but significantly prevented the decrease in P(Ser\(^{473}\))Akt/Akt ratio, 1 day after i.c.v. injections (Fig. 5b). Rapidly and long-lastingly until 7 days after Aβ25-35 injection, the P(Tyr\(^{216}\))GSK-3β/GSK-3β ratio was significantly increased in mouse hippocampus (Fig. 5c), whereas P(Ser\(^{9}\))GSK-3β/GSK-3β ratio was transiently decreased at day 3 (Fig. 5e). L41 prevented the Aβ25-35-induced increase in P(Tyr\(^{216}\))GSK-3β/GSK-3β ratio, 7 days after i.c.v. injections (Fig. 5d). The DYRK1A preferential inhibitor also significantly decreased the basal level of P(Tyr\(^{216}\))GSK-3β (Fig. 5d). In addition, L41 also prevented the decrease in P(Ser\(^{9}\))GSK-3β/GSK-3β ratio, on day 3 (Fig. 5f). Here again, the basal level of P(Ser\(^{9}\))GSK-3β was decreased by L41 (Fig. 5f).

In summary, Aβ25-35 induced a global increase of GSK-3β activity, as revealed by decreased P(Ser\(^{473}\))Akt/Akt ratio (decreased Akt activity) and both decreased P(Ser\(^{9}\))GSK-3β/GSK-3β ratio and increased P(Tyr\(^{216}\))GSK-3β/GSK-3β ratio. This Aβ25-35-induced increase of GSK-3β activity was prevented by L41.

In addition, Aβ25-35 provoked a robust increase of Tau phosphorylation on Ser\(^{202}/\text{Thr}^{205}\), a physiological epitope recognized by the AT8 antibody (Fig. 6a, c). Aβ25-35 showed only a tendency to increase Tau phosphorylation on Thr\(^{212}/\text{Ser}^{214}\) (+41%, ns), a pathological epitope recognized by the AT100 antibody (Fig. 6b, c). L41 partially, but significantly, prevented the Aβ25-35-induced Tau phosphorylation on Ser\(^{202}/\text{Thr}^{205}\) (Fig. 6a, c). The DYRK1A inhibitor had only a marginal effect on Tau phosphorylation on Thr\(^{212}/\text{Ser}^{214}\) (Fig. 6b, c).
3.4. L41 prevented Aβ25-35-induced pro-apoptotic pathways and disrupted synaptic integrity

The protective properties of L41, injected at 4 µg/mouse, on neuronal integrity were also analyzed. Aβ25-35 triggered activation of the apoptotic pathway (Fig. 7). Indeed, time-course analysis of the expression of the pro-apoptotic protein Bax revealed a sustained increase in the hippocampus after Aβ25-35 injection (Fig. 7a). The highest level was observed 7 days after Aβ25-35 injection. The co-administration of L41 prevented this increase of Bax expression (Fig. 7b). In addition, 7 days after injection, Aβ25-35 induced a significant decrease in the expression of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 (Fig. 7c). L41 is prevented the Aβ25-35-induced decrease in Bcl-2 (Fig. 7c). As Bax and Bcl-2 can form heterodimers, determination of the Bax/Bcl-2 ratio is highly relevant. The Bax/Bcl-2 ratio was calculated for each animal of the different groups. It appeared robustly increased after Aβ25-35 injection (Fig. 7d), while L41 fully prevented this increase (Fig. 7d).

In addition, the level of pro-caspase 9 was also determined in the hippocampus. Aβ25-35 induced, 7 days after injection, a significant increase in pro-caspase 9 expression (Fig. 7e). L41 prevented the increase in pro-caspase 9 expression triggered by Aβ25-35 (Fig. 7e).

The synaptic integrity was assessed in the mouse hippocampus by determining the level of several synaptic markers, 7 days after injection. The levels of Arc, Egr1, PSD95 and synaptophysin were significantly lowered in Aβ25-35 treated mice by -25%, -18%, -54% and -19%, respectively (Fig. 8a-d). The co-administration of L41 prevented the Aβ25-35-induced decrease of Arc, PSD95 and synaptophysin levels (Fig. 8a,c,d). A marginal effect was measured on Egr1 expression. To note, L41 had no effect on these parameters in Sc.Aβ-treated animals.
4. Discussion

Kinases are major players in the etiology and progression of AD. However, to date, the most studied kinases, GSK-3β and CDK5, revealed poor therapeutic potentials. We here analyzed the implication of a less investigated kinase, DYRK1A, in an animal model of Aβ toxicity. Our results highlighted for the first time a role of DYRK1A in amyloid toxicity and suggested that DYRK1A inhibitors could be promising new therapeutic compounds for AD.

We used the acute model of Aβ toxicity where Aβ25-35 i.c.v. injection provoked rapidly and sustainably an AD-like toxicity and learning and memory impairments (Maurice et al., 1996; Kaminsky et al., 2010; Zussy et al., 2011). Seven days after injection, Aβ25-35-treated mice exhibited deficits in spontaneous alternation, passive avoidance response and spatial learning in the water-maze. We demonstrated that L41 was able to prevent the onset of these cognitive impairments when the drug was co-administered with Aβ25-35. Similarly to harmine, L41 is an inhibitor of DYRKs and CLKs, two related families of kinases, some members of which are involved in the onset and development of AD and DS, and acting preferentially on DYRK1A. L41 and harmine showed consistently similar inhibition rates for DYRK1A and CLK1. L41 displays neuroprotective properties in vitro (Tahtouh et al., 2012). Indeed, it provoked a dose-dependent inhibition of the neurodegeneration induced by APP in cultured cells, with a more robust effect than harmine. In addition, a specific knockdown of DYRK1A expression by siRNA inhibited neurodegeneration induced by APP (Tahtouh et al., 2012), suggesting a major role of DYRK1A in APP-induced neurotoxicity. These in vitro studies revealed that the pharmacological or genetic inhibition of DYRK1A activity seems to be a promising approach to counteract Aβ-induced neurodegeneration. In addition, harmine, used as the same doses as L41, induced neuroprotective effects in mice brain when co-administered i.c.v. with Aβ25-35, but to a lesser extend than L41 (unpublished data), suggesting that L41 would be a better pharmacological tool.

As observed in AD, we have previously shown an increase of GSK-3β activity in the Aβ25-35 mouse model (Lahmy et al., 2013). This result confirms previous data demonstrating Aβ25-35-induced GSK-3β activity in primary cultures of hippocampic neurons (Takashima et
15

al., 1998). Here, we have clearly shown that L41 inhibited the Aβ25-35-induced GSK-3β activity in mice hippocampus. This L41 effect on GSK-3β could occur by both direct and indirect mechanisms. In vitro studies showed that L41 interacts both with DYRK1A and GSK-3β and reduced their activity (Tahtouh et al., 2012; Burgy et al., 2013). Among all L41 kinase targets enriched from mouse brain, DYRK1A and GSK-3 are the two kinases interacting with L41 identified by affinity chromatography approaches (Tahtouh et al., 2012). However, L41 treatment (0.01 to 10 µM) of mouse hippocampal cell lines did not affect GSK-3 activity, but reduced robustly DYRK1A activity (Tahtouh et al., 2012). Although the dose used in this culture experiment is 1000 time higher than the one used in our study (13 nmol), L41 failed to affect GSK-3 activity. Therefore, the decreased activity of GSK-3β observed in our study could not result from a direct action of L41 on GSK-3β. Accordingly, GSK-3β activity could be modulated by L41 effect on other kinases regulating GSK-3β. Indeed, its activity depends on phosphorylation at specific sites. Ser9 phosphorylation is related to inhibition of GSK-3β activity, whereas phosphorylation on its Tyr216 results in activation (Medina et al., 2011). Many protein kinases, including Akt, are competent at phosphorylating GSK-3β on Ser9 (Fang et al., 2000; Medina et al., 2011). Once activated, as revealed by its phosphorylation on Ser473, Akt phosphorylates GSK-3β on its inhibitory residue, Ser9 (Fang et al., 2000). Although Aβ25-35 inhibited Akt activity, L41 was able to prevent this Akt inhibition. This L41 effect on Akt would certainly contribute to the inhibition of GSK-3β activity. In addition, L41 interacts predominantly with DYRK1A, which acts as a priming kinase. Indeed, DYRK1A phosphorylates TAU on different residues enhancing then it phosphorylation by other kinases on distinct sites (Woods et al., 2001). Therefore, L41 could also affect GSK-3β activity by a reduction of these priming effects. In addition, L41 could also affect GSK-3β activity by an indirect effect, as previously described for other kinase inhibitors. Indeed, CDK5 inhibitor increased GSK-3β activity by a CDK5-mediated direct modulation of phosphatases inducing Ser9 dephosphorylation (Morfini et al., 2004). Although such effect has not yet been reported for DYRK1A, we cannot exclude that L41 can affect phosphatase activity. Therefore, the decrease of Tau phosphorylation induced by L41 could be explained by a decreased activity of kinases involved in this process, such as GSK-3β and DYRK1A, but also by a reduction of
the priming function of DYRK1A. More in details, Aβ25-35 increased Tau phosphorylation at Ser202 and Thr205, but had no effect on it phosphorylation at Thr212 and Ser214. L41 had no effect on these different phosphorylations in basal conditions, *i.e.*, in Sc.Aβ/L41-treated animals. By contrast, L41 is able to prevent partially the Aβ25-35 induced increase of Tau phosphorylation at Ser202 and Thr205, suggesting that other kinases are involved. The lack of L41 effect on Tau phosphorylation at Thr212 and Ser214 could be explained by the absence of Aβ25-35 effect. Despite the ability of DYRK1A to phosphorylate Tau at Thr212 (Woods et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2008), some discrepancy on the role of DYRK1A in Thr212 phosphorylation has been reported in the literature. Depending on the transgenic mice model overexpressing DYRK1A and the mouse age, Thr212 phosphorylation can involve DYRK1A activity (Ferrer et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2008; Sheppard et al., 2012). Furthermore, DYRK1A-mediated Tau phosphorylation primed further phosphorylation at Ser199, Ser202, Thr205 and Ser208 by GSK-3β (Woods et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2008), suggesting a general role for DYRK1A in Tau phosphorylation. In our model, DYRK1A seems not to affect Tau phosphorylation at Thr212 and, thus would not prime Tau phosphorylation by GSK-3β. Then, L41, having no effect on Thr212 phosphorylation, would not affect Tau phosphorylation by GSK-3β through the DYRK1A priming activity.

A well-characterized hallmark of Aβ toxicity is oxidative stress. In the Aβ25-35 model, lipid peroxidation and ROS accumulation were increased and the co-administration of L41 prevented this Aβ25-35-induced oxidative stress. Therefore, L41 exhibited antioxidant properties, as observed for other DYRK1A preferential inhibitors the EGCG (Mandel et al., 2008) and harmine (Reus et al., 2010). Moreover Aβ25-35 injection also induced pro-apoptotic pathways as revealed by the rapid and sustained increase of Bax protein expression. At the same time, the expression of an anti-apoptotic protein, Bcl-2, is greatly decreased. These results are consistent with altered expression levels of Bcl-2 family proteins observed in AD (Kitamura et al., 1998). Concomitantly, Aβ25-35 enhanced the level of pro-caspase 9. L41 is able to prevent this Aβ25-35-induced pro-apoptotic pathway and pro-caspase expression. This L41 effects are of great interest and suggest the involvement of DYRKs/CLKs and particularly DYRK1A in this Aβ25-35-induced pro-apoptotic pathways. One explanation is that
DYRK1A regulates pro-apoptotic pathways through regulation of GSK-3β activity. Indeed, a recent \textit{in vitro} study highlighted that GSK-3 is able to directly enhance Bax activity in neuron cells (Ngok-Ngam et al., 2013). In addition, previous studies demonstrated that fibrillar and oligomeric Aβ induced cell death through a Bax-dependent mechanism (Giovanni et al., 2000; Kudo et al., 2012). However, it remains unknown how oligomeric or fibrillar Aβ activates Bax, one potential pathway being kinase activation. Indeed, Aβ is known to activate c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK). CDK kinases, more particularly CDK4, seem also to be involved in this Aβ-induced apoptotic pathway (Giovanni et al., 2000). Here, we demonstrated that a DYRK1A-preferential inhibitor prevented the induction of pro-apoptotic pathways. This effect could be explained by a coupling of DYRK1A to JNK1 activation, directly interacting with apoptosis signal regulating kinase 1 (ASK1). Moreover, DYRK1A positively regulates ASK1-mediated JNK1-signaling, and appears to directly phosphorylate ASK1 (Choi and Chung, 2011). Therefore, DYRK1A is able to modulate apoptotic markers expression and activity and plays a key role in ASK1-mediated transmission of cell death signals.

The first signs of AD occur with subtle alterations in the hippocampal synaptic activity prior to frank neuronal degeneration (Selkoe, 2002). Soluble Aβ species may affect synaptic functions since it abrogates synaptic plasticity, induces memory impairments and interferes with normal activity and trafficking of several synaptic receptors (Ma and Klann, 2011). Moreover the expression of genes critical for memory or neuroprotection in hippocampus is affected by Aβ (Dickey et al., 2004) and both intra- and extracellular Aβ has the ability to affect their expression (Wegenast-Braun et al., 2009). This gene expression reduction have been previously described in \textit{APP}_{Sw} /PS1 mice and occurred concomitantly with onset of the cognitive decline (Naert and Rivest, 2012). Here, Aβ_{25-35} induced a decrease expression of Arc, Egr1, PSD95 and synaptophysin, concomitantly with learning and memory impairments, and both synaptic marker reduction and memory deficit can be prevented by the co-administration of L41. The immediate early genes Arc and Egr1 are clearly involved in learning and memory processes in hippocampus. The postsynaptic density protein-95 (PSD-95) is a molecule critical for synaptic plasticity (Malinow and Malenka, 2002). This deficit in
synaptic markers could explain, at least in part, the Aβ\textsubscript{25-35}-induced memory and learning impairments. Indeed, the occurrence of cognitive impairment is correlated with a decline in Arc and Egr1 expression levels during aging (Blalock et al., 2003) and in AD transgenic mice model (Dickey et al., 2004; Naert and Rivest, 2012). PSD-95 is involved in recruiting and holding glutamate receptors at the surface (Xiao et al., 1998) and Arc expression is also closely related to N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor activity (Bloomer et al., 2008). Down-regulation of these genes clearly affects synaptic plasticity processes underlying long-term potentiation and memory in AD.

In conclusion, this in vivo study reveals for the first time the major role of DYRK1A in Aβ toxicity. Although the pharmacological inhibitor Leucettine 41 targets DYRKs/CLKs, L41 effects on Aβ toxicity seem to be attributed to inhibition of DYRK1A in particular, as DYRK1A is the main target of L41 in mouse brain. Due to DYRK1A involvement in Tau hyperphosphorylation, its role in Aβ toxicity validates DYRK1A as key kinase of AD. Targeting DYRK1A in a therapeutic strategy would permit to act on the two major component of AD, Tau hyperphosphorylation and Aβ pathology. Thus, the Leucettine L41, and the other members of the Leucettine family, constitutes promising therapeutic compounds to counteract the development and the progression of AD.
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Table 1  Antibodies used in Western blot experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>MW</th>
<th>Primary antibody</th>
<th>dilution</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pAkt</td>
<td>70 KDa</td>
<td>rabbit anti-P(S^{273})-Akt</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>9271</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akt</td>
<td>70 KDa</td>
<td>rabbit anti-Akt</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>9272</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGSK-3β</td>
<td>46 KDa</td>
<td>mouse anti-P(Y^{216})-GSK-3β</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>612313</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGSK-3β</td>
<td>46 KDa</td>
<td>rabbit anti-P(S^{38})-GSK-3β</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>9336</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK-3β</td>
<td>46 KDa</td>
<td>anti-GSK-3β</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>sc-9166</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTau</td>
<td>55 KDa</td>
<td>mouse anti-Human PHF-Tau AT8</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>MN1020</td>
<td>Pierce Biotechnology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro-caspase 9</td>
<td>49 KDa</td>
<td>rabbit anti-caspase 9 (mouse spec.)</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>9504</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bax</td>
<td>20 KDa</td>
<td>rabbit anti-Bax</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>2772</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βTub</td>
<td>49 KDa</td>
<td>mouse monoclonal anti-β-Tubulin</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>T4026</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary antibodies

| IgG     | goat anti-rabbit IgG peroxidase conjugate | 1:2000   | #A6154 | Sigma-Aldrich |
| IgG     | goat anti-mouse IgG peroxidase conjugate  | 1:2000-5000 | #A4416 | Sigma-Aldrich |
Figure legends

**Figure 1**  Experimental protocol. Abbreviations: ICV, intracerebroventricular injection; YMT, Y-maze test; ST-PA, step-through passive avoidance; Sacr., sacrifice of the animals and brain dissection; WMT, water-maze test; LPO, lipid peroxidation; DCF, ROS determination by DCF fluorescence.

**Figure 2**  L41 prevented spatial working and contextual long-term memory impairments induced by Aβ25-35 injection. Mice were administered i.c.v. with Sc.Aβ or Aβ25-35 peptide (9 nmol) and vehicle or L41 (0.4, 1.2, or 4 µg/mouse). At day 7, they were tested for spontaneous alternation: alternation percentage (a) and number of arm entries (b). At days 8-9, mice were tested for passive avoidance response: step-through latency (c) and escape latency (d). n = 16-17 per group. ANOVA: F(4,79) = 6.29, p < 0.001 in (a), F(4,79) = 0.42, p > 0.05 in (b); H = 15.0, p < 0.01 in (c); H = 5.12, p < 0.05 in (d). * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01 vs. Sc.Aβ/V; # p < 0.05; ## p < 0.01 vs. Aβ25-35/V; Dunnett's test in (a), Dunn's test in (c, d).

**Figure 3**  L41 prevented Aβ25-35-induced learning impairments in the water-maze test. Mice were administered i.c.v. with Sc.Aβ or Aβ25-35 peptide (9 nmol) and vehicle or L41 (4 µg). Place learning was assessed after 7 days: acquisition profiles (a, b) and the time spent in the T quadrant (c) and swimming speed (d) during the probe test. n = 12 per group. Repeated-measure non-parametric Friedman ANOVA: Fr = 23.6, p < 0.001 for Sc.Aβ/V-treated group; Fr = 10.6, p > 0.05 for Aβ25-35/V-treated group in (a); Fr = 24.7, p < 0.001 for Aβ25-35/L41-treated group in (b); F(2,35) = 1.23, p > 0.05 in (d). ** p < 0.01 vs. Sc.Aβ/V at the same trial; # p < 0.05; ## p < 0.01 vs. Aβ25-35/V at the same trial; Mann-Whitney's test in (a, b). * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01 vs. hazard level (15 s), one-column t-test in (c).

**Figure 4**  L41 prevented Aβ25-35-induced oxidative stress in hippocampus. Oxidative stress was assessed 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after Aβ25-35 injection in mouse hippocampus by...
measuring lipid peroxidation (a) and ROS accumulation using DCF fluorescence (c). The effect of L41, at the dose of 4 µg, on Aβ25-35-induced lipid peroxidation (b) and ROS accumulation (DCF) (d) was then assessed in the mouse hippocampus 7 days after co-injection. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 vs. Sc.Aβ control group on the same day; t-test, n = 6 per group in (a), n = 4-5 in (c). ANOVA: $F_{(3,20)} = 4.79$, p < 0.05, n = 6 per group in (b); $F_{(3,32)} = 4.10$, p < 0.05 n = 8-10 in (d); * p < 0.05 vs. Sc.Aβ/V-treated group; ## p < 0.01 vs. Aβ25-35/V-treated group, Dunnett's test.

**Figure 5** L41 prevents Aβ25-35-induced activation of kinases involved in Tau phosphorylation. Mice were administered i.c.v. with Sc.Aβ or Aβ25-35 peptide and sacrificed 1, 3, 5, 7 days after injection. The time-course of phosphorylation was determined for Akt on Ser473 (a) and GSK-3β on Tyr216 (c) and Ser9 (e) in the hippocampus of Aβ25-35-treated mice. The effect of L41, 4 µg, was then examined on Aβ25-35-induced decrease in P(Ser473)Akt/Akt ratio at day 1 (b), p(Tyr216)GSK-3β/GSK-3β ratio at day 7 (d) and P(Ser9)GSK-3β/GSK-3β at day 3 (f). Typical blots are shown under the graphs; the order of the experimental group is the same as described for the graph. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 vs. Sc.Aβ control group on the same day; t-test, n = 8-14 per group in (a), n = 9-14 in (c), n = 6-8 in (e). ANOVA: $F_{(2,33)} = 18.3$, p < 0.0001, n = 11-13 per group in (b); $F_{(3,38)} = 10.75$, p < 0.0001, n = 4-14 in (d); $F_{(3,23)} = 1.90$, p > 0.05, n = 5-8 in (f); * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 vs. Sc.Aβ/V-treated group; # p < 0.05, ## p < 0.01 vs. Aβ25-35/V-treated group, Dunnett’s test.

**Figure 6** L41 prevented the Aβ25-35-induced phosphorylation of Tau. Mice were administered i.c.v. with Sc.Aβ or Aβ25-35 peptide and L41 (4 µg) and sacrificed 7 days after injection. Tau phosphorylation was assessed by western blotting using AT8 (Ser202/Thr205) (a) and AT100 (Ser212/Thr214) antibodies (b). Typical blots are shown in (c). ANOVA: $F_{(3,39)} = 17.6$, p < 0.001, n = 10-12 in (a); $F_{(0,66)} = 1.07$, p > 0.05, n = 15-20, in (b); * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 vs. Sc.Aβ/V-treated group; # p < 0.05 vs. Aβ25-35/V-treated group; Dunnett’s test.
Figure 7  L41 prevented Aβ\textsubscript{25-35} induction of pro-apoptotic pathway. Mice were administered i.c.v. with Sc.Aβ or Aβ\textsubscript{25-35} peptide (9 nmol) and sacrificed 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after injection. The level of Bax expression was assessed by western blot in hippocampus (a). The effect of L41 (4 µg) on Aβ\textsubscript{25-35}-induction of pro-apoptotic markers was examined in the mouse hippocampus 7 days after co-injection: Bax (b), Bcl-2 (c), Bax/Bcl-2 ratio (d) and pro-caspase-9 (e). Typical blots are shown in (f, g). * p < 0.05 vs. Sc.Aβ control group on the same day; t-test, n = 6-8 per group in (a). ANOVA: $F_{(3,21)} = 4.81$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 6-7$ in (b); $F_{(3,42)} = 2.87$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 8-15$ in (c); $F_{(3,44)} = 5.46$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 11-13$ in (d); $F_{(3,41)} = 3.72$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 11-12$ in (e); * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 vs. Sc.Aβ/V-treated group; Dunnett's test. Figure 8  L41 reverses synaptic markers deficit in the hippocampus induced by Aβ\textsubscript{25-35} injection. Mice were administered i.c.v. with Sc.Aβ or Aβ\textsubscript{25-35} peptide (9 nmol) and L41 (4 µg) and sacrificed 7 days after injection. The levels of synaptic markers were assessed in hippocampus by ELISA: Arc (a), Egr1 (b), PSD95 (c) and synaptophysin (d). ANOVA: $F_{(3,28)} = 3.61$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 6-9$ in (a); $F_{(3,30)} = 3.00$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 8-10$ in (b); $F_{(3,28)} = 3.71$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 6-10$, in (c); $F_{(3,28)} = 3.59$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 7-9$ in (d); * p < 0.05 vs. Sc.Aβ/V-treated group; Dunnett's test.
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