



HAL
open science

Further delineation of the KAT6B molecular and phenotypic spectrum

Tamsin Gannon, Rahat Perveen, H elene Schlecht, Simon Ramsden, Beverley Anderson, Bronwyn Kerr, Ruth Day, Siddharth Banka, Mohnish Suri, Siren Berland, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Tamsin Gannon, Rahat Perveen, H elene Schlecht, Simon Ramsden, Beverley Anderson, et al.. Further delineation of the KAT6B molecular and phenotypic spectrum. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 2015, 23 (9), pp.1165–1170. 10.1038/ejhg.2014.248 . hal-01195741

HAL Id: hal-01195741

<https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01195741>

Submitted on 5 Nov 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destin ee au d ep ot et  a la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publi es ou non,  emanant des  tablissements d'enseignement et de recherche fran ais ou  trangers, des laboratoires publics ou priv es.

Further Delineation of the *KAT6B* Molecular and Phenotypic Spectrum.

Tamsin Gannon¹, Rahat Perveen¹, H elene Schlecht¹, Simon Ramsden¹, Beverley Anderson¹, Bronwyn Kerr¹, Ruth Day¹, Siddharth Banka¹, Mohnish Suri², Siren Berland³, Michael Gabbett⁴, Alan Ma⁵, Stan Lyonnet⁶, Valerie Cormier-Daire⁶, R ustem Yilmaz⁷, Guntram Borck⁷, Dagmar Wiczorek⁸, Britt-Marie Anderlid⁹, Sarah Smithson¹⁰, Julie Vogt¹¹, Heather Moore-Barton⁵, Pelin Ozlem Simsek-Kiper¹², Isabelle Maystadt¹³, Anne Destr ee¹³, Jessica Bucher¹⁴, Brad Angle¹⁴, Shehla Mohammed¹⁵, Emma Wakeling¹⁶, Sue Price¹⁷, Amihoud Singer¹⁸, Yves Sznajder¹⁹, Annick Toutain²⁰, Damien Haye²⁰, Ruth Newbury-Ecob¹⁰, Melanie Fradin²¹, Julie McGaughran⁴, Beyhan Tuysuz²², Mark Tein²³, Katelijne Bouman²⁴, Tabib Dabir²⁵, Jenneke Van den Ende²⁶, Ho Ming Luk²⁷, Daniela T Pilz²⁸, Jacqueline Eason², Sally Davies²⁸, Willie Reardon²⁹, Livia Garavelli³⁰, Orsetta Zuffardi³¹, Koen Devriendt³², Ruth Armstrong³³, Diana Johnson³⁴, Martine Doco-Fenzy³⁵, Emilia Bijlsma³⁶, Sheila Unger³⁷, Hermine E Veenstra-Knol²⁴, J urgen Kohlhase³, Ivan FM Lo²⁷, Janine Smith⁵, DDD study, Jill Clayton-Smith¹.

¹ Manchester Centre For Genomic Medicine, University of Manchester, St Mary's Hospital,

Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester M13 9WL

² Department of Clinical Genetics, City Hospital, Nottingham

³ Centre for Medical Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital,

Bergen, Norway

4 Genetic Health Queensland and University of Queensland. Royal Brisbane and

Women's Hospital, PO Box Herston QLD, 4029 Australia

5 Department of Clinical Genetics, Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, Australia

6 Département de Génétique, Université Paris Descartes-Sorbonne Paris Cité, INSERM

UMR 1163, *Imagine* Institute, Hôpital Necker Enfants Malades, AP-HP, 24, boulevard

de Montparnasse, 75015 Paris

7 Institute of Human Genetics, University of Ulm, 89081 Ulm Germany

8 Institut für Humangenetik, Universitätsklinikum Essen, Hufelandstr. 55, 45122 Essen,

Germany

9 Institute of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Centre for Molecular Medicine ,

Karolinska Institut and Clinical Genetic Department, Karolinska University Hospital,

Stockholm, Sweden

10 Clinical Genetics , University Hospitals, Bristol, Southwell St, Bristol BS2 8EG

11 Clinical Genetics, Birmingham Women's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust,

Birmingham B15 2TG

12 Clinical Genetics, Hacettepe University, Ihsan Dogramaci Children's Hospital, 06100,

Sihhiye, Ankara, Turkey

13 Centre de Génétique Humaine, Institut de Pathologie et de Génétique, Avenue George

Lemaître 25, B-6041 Gosselies, Belgium

14 Division of Genetics, Birth Defects and Metabolism, Children's Hospital of Chicago,

Chicago, Illinois 60611-2991 USA

15 Clinical Genetics, Guys Hospital, Great Maze Pond, London SE1 9RT

16 North West Thames Regional Genetics Service, North West London Hospitals NHS

Trust, Harrow, HA1 3UJ

17 Clinical Genetics, Northampton General Hospital, Cliftonville, Northampton NN1

5BD

18 Paediatrics and Medical Genetics, Barzilai Medical Centre, Ashkelon, Israel

19 Center for Human Genetics, Clinique Universitaire St-Luc, Université Catholique de Louvain, B1200 Brussels, Belgium.

20 Service de Génétique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Tours, France

21 Service de Génétique Médicale CHU Rennes, Université de Rennes, 35203Rennes,

France

22 Department of Pediatric Genetics, Cerrahpaşa Medical School, Istanbul University,

Istanbul, Turkey

23. Clinical Genetics, Birmingham Women's Hospital, Birmingham B15 2TG

24 Department of Genetics, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre,

Groningen, The Netherlands

25 Medical Genetics, Belfast City Hospital, Lisburn Rd, Belfast , BT9 7AB

26 Centre For Medical Genetics, Prins Boudewijnlaan 43, 2650 EDEGEM, Belgium

27 Clinical Genetic Service, Department of Health, Hong Kong SAR

28 Institute of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff CF14 4XW

29 National Centre For Medical Genetics, Our Lady's Hospital For Sick Children, Dublin

30 Clinical Genetics Unit, Obstetric and Pediatric Department, Arcispedale S. Maria

Nuova, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Reggio Emilia, Italy

31 Institute of Human Genetics, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

32 UZ Leuven, Campus Gasthuisberg, Herestraat 49, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

33 East Anglian Medical Genetics Service, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge CB2

0QQ

34 Department of Clinical Genetics, Sheffield Children's Hospital, Sheffield S10 2TH

35 Service de Génétique, HMB-CHU Reims 51092 Reims Cedex

36 Leiden University Medical Centre, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands

37 Service de Génétique Médicale, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, 1011

Lausanne, Switzerland

38 Centre For Human Genetics, Freiburg, Germany

Corresponding author:

Jill Clayton-Smith

Manchester Centre For Genomic Medicine

6th Floor St Mary's Hospital,

Oxford Rd

Manchester M13 9WL

Tel: 00 44 161 276 6269

FAX: 00 44 161 276 6145

e-mail: Jill.Clayton-Smith@cmft.nhs.uk

Running title: Phenotypes Associated with KAT6B

Keywords: KAT6B, Say-Barber-Biesecker, genitopatellar, blepharophimosis

ABSTRACT

KAT6B sequence variants have been identified previously in both patients with the Say-Barber-Biesecker type of blepharophimosis mental retardation syndromes (SBBS) and in the more severe genitopatellar syndrome (GPS) . We report on the findings in a previously unreported group of 57 individuals with suggestive features of SBBS or GPS. Likely causative variants have been identified in 34/57 patients and were commonly located in the terminal exons of *KAT6B*. For those where parental samples could be tested, all occurred de novo. 30/34 had truncating variants, 1 had a missense variant and the remaining three had the same synonymous change predicted to affect splicing. Variants in GPS tended to occur more proximally to those in SBBS patients and genotype/phenotype analysis demonstrated significant clinical overlap between SBBS and GPS. The de novo synonymous change seen in three patients with features of SBBS occurred more proximally in exon 16. Statistical analysis of clinical features demonstrated that *KAT6B* variant-positive patients were more likely to display hypotonia, feeding difficulties, long thumbs/great toes and dental, thyroid and patella abnormalities than *KAT6B* variant-negative patients. The few reported patients with *KAT6B* haploinsufficiency have had a much milder phenotype, though with some features overlapping those of SBBS. We report the findings in a previously unreported patient with a deletion of the *KAT6B* gene to further delineate the haploinsufficiency phenotype. The molecular mechanisms giving rise to the SBBS and GPS phenotypes are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

The Say-Barber-Biesecker syndrome (SBBS, MIM 603736), also referred to as the Say-Barber-Biesecker variant of Ohdo syndrome¹ is a rare multiple congenital anomaly syndrome which is usually diagnosed clinically on the basis of a striking facial phenotype. Typically, patients have a

distinctive mask like face with severe blepharophimosis and ptosis, a broad nasal bridge, bulbous nasal tip, small mouth, thin upper lip and small, low set ears. Long thumbs and long great toes may be present along with congenital heart defects, thyroid abnormalities, hypoplastic teeth and absent or hypoplastic patellae ². Genital anomalies, usually cryptorchidism are universally present in males and cleft palate has been observed in a number of cases . All individuals with SBBS reported so far have had global developmental delay and severe intellectual disability. Patients with features overlapping those of SBBS were reported by Young and Simpson ³ in 1987 and it is now generally agreed that Young-Simpson syndrome and SBBS are in fact the same entity. Young and Simpson were the first to draw attention to the presence of hypothyroidism in this condition. Clayton-Smith et al ⁴ identified *KAT6B*, a histone acetyltransferase, as the causative gene for SBBS by a whole exome sequencing approach and reported sequence variants in 13 individuals. Subsequently, Szakszon identified a further two SBBS individuals with *KAT6B* sequence variants⁵ and another individual was reported recently by Yu et al ⁶ . In addition, *KAT6B* sequence variants have been reported in 11 patients with genito-patellar syndrome (GPS, MIM 606170), a further multiple anomaly phenotype previously considered to be quite distinct from SBBS ^{7,8}. In GPS the overall clinical picture tends to be more severe than in SBBS ⁹. The main diagnostic features of GPS include the presence of large joint contractures, ambiguous genitalia and absent patellae. Agenesis of the corpus callosum, hydronephrosis and congenital heart disease are frequently present and thyroid abnormalities have been reported in rare cases. The facial phenotype of GPS has not been well described but is not considered so distinctive as in SBBS, with the diagnosis usually being made based on the other clinical features.

To further delineate the clinical and molecular spectrum of *KAT6B* we have studied a new cohort

of 57 patients with a clinical diagnosis of SBBS (n = 47), GPS (n = 5), or features overlapping both of these entities (n=5). We have gathered detailed clinical information on this group and screened for sequence variants in the coding exons of *KAT6B* by Sanger sequencing. Our study aimed to define differences in clinical features between *KAT6B* variant-positive and *KAT6B* variant-negative patients and to explore the breadth of the phenotypic spectrum, examining in particular, the clinical overlap between SBBS and GPS.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Ascertainment of study patients

In 2011 we ascertained a cohort of 19 patients with SBBS for genetic studies and identified likely pathogenic *KAT6B* sequence variants in 13 of these ⁴. For this current study we have gathered a cohort of a further 57 patients with features suggestive of SBBS or GPS who were recruited via clinical geneticists from within the UK or abroad. Forty-five patients were tested for *KAT6B* sequence variants as part of a research study exploring the genetic basis of blepharophimosis syndromes (REC reference 10/H1016/12). Variants in a further nine patients were identified in the diagnostic laboratory within the Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine. Three further individuals (23, 24, 25) were referred to our study after *KAT6B* variants had been identified in laboratories in Ulm (2) and Freiburg (1). Individuals 23 and 24 had originally been ascertained as part of a study of the *UBE3B* gene¹⁰ but were considered to have features more suggestive of a *KAT6B* phenotype. Referring clinicians provided detailed phenotypic information and photographs of participants for review. Consent to publish clinical details was obtained from all participating families and consent to publish photographs was obtained for those included in this report

Sequencing of *KAT6B*

Samples from the 54 individuals studied in Manchester were screened for sequence variants in all 18 exons of *KAT6B* by Sanger sequencing. Details of primer design and synthesis are given in Supplementary Information Table 1. The coding exons and the intron-exon boundaries of *KAT6B* were amplified by polymerase chain reaction. Post-PCR purification was conducted to remove unwanted reaction components using the Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Takeley, UK) system on a Biomek NX Liquid Handler (Beckman Coulter). The purified PCR products were then subjected to sequencing using BigDye Terminator v3.1 (Invitrogen). Reactions were purified using Agencourt CleanSEQ solution (Beckman Coulter) by Beckman Coulter NX robotics. Automated capillary electrophoresis was conducted on the ABI 3730XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using POP-7 polymer (Life Technologies) according to local protocols. The reference sequence of *KAT6B* NG_032048.1, covering transcript NM_012330.3 was uploaded as a txt.file to Staden package Pregap4 1.4b1. The sequence data was aligned and analysed graphically using the DNA sequence analysis software, STADEN (<http://staden.sourceforge.net/>). Any variants identified were checked against the NCBI SNP database (dbSNP, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/>) and against the Exome Variant Server (<http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/>) to distinguish common variants from likely pathogenic variants. The variant interpretation software Alamut, (<http://www.interactive-biosoftware.com/software/alamut/features>) was used to interpret and predict the consequences of identified variants. Sequence variants identified were reported according to the Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature. Where available, DNA from the parents of the patients with possible pathogenic variants was screened to investigate if the change was de novo. Three patients (numbers 23, 24 and 25) were sequenced in other genetic laboratories as reported above using similar methodology, but in cases 23 and 24 only exon 18 was sequenced. Taking into

account the clinical context i.e. all patients had been selected based on a clinical diagnosis of SBBS or GPS, sequence variants were classified as causative or not. Variants resulting in a truncated protein were classified as causative. Variants deemed to be likely causative were missense changes which were proven to be *de novo* or if *in silico* analysis predicted them to affect splicing or highly conserved amino acids. Sequence changes which were synonymous and did not affect splicing, missense changes reported in EVS or those seen in a parent were classified as being unlikely to cause disease. Details of causative variants were submitted to the LOVD public database at <http://databases.lovd.nl/shared/genes/KAT6B>, (patient IDS 00018483-00018515)

Genotype-phenotype analysis

Patients were classified as having either a SBBS phenotype (n =47) a GPS phenotype (n=5) or an overlapping phenotype (n=5) by dysmorphologists from the Manchester Centre For Genomic Medicine on the basis of clinical information and photographs. In accordance with previous literature, large joint contractures, patellar abnormalities and ambiguous genitalia/severe genital anomalies were considered core features of GPS⁹. For SBBS the characteristic facial features of blepharophimosis and mask-like face were the key diagnostic features. To analyse differences in phenotypic features between variant-positive and variant-negative patients we studied 45 variant-positive patients for which we had detailed clinical information (Supplementary Table 2a). This group included the 13 patients we had reported previously ⁴. We compared their clinical phenotypes with those of 23 variant-negative patients (Supplementary Table 2b) including 5 reported previously (patients 71-75) ⁴. Differences between the presence of eleven commonly presenting features in SBBS and GPS were analysed using the Fisher's Exact test. We noted the

type and position of the causative and likely causative variants within the SBBS group, the GPS group and the group with the overlapping phenotype. Comparison was also made with sequence data from 28 patients with *KAT6B* sequence variants previously reported in the literature (11 GPS and 17 SBBS).

RESULTS

Sequence analysis

Sequencing of all 18 coding exons and intron-exon boundaries of *KAT6B* in our new cohort of 57 patients identified 34 causative or likely disease-causing *KAT6B* variants. Of these, 26/47 SBBS individuals had such variants, 4/5 GPS patients and 4/5 of those with an overlapping phenotype. The majority (29/34) were truncating variants, but 1 was a missense variant, 1 was a synonymous change shared by 3 unrelated SBBS patients and 1 was an in-frame deletion. The sequence variants are summarised in Supplementary Table 3 which also incorporates the findings from our original cohort³ and previous reports^{4,5,6}. In addition, we observed a recurrent sequence variant which we considered not causative of disease, consisting of a 12bp sequence in exon 16 c. 3252_3263 p.(Glu1086_1089) which was either deleted or duplicated. The majority of the causative sequence variants identified clustered at the C-terminal end of the gene in exon 18, as documented previously. This exon encodes a highly conserved serine and methionine-rich transcriptional activation domain. However, we also identified variants that occurred more proximally in exon 18 and in exons 15, 16 and 17. Three individuals shared an identical de novo synonymous variant in exon 16, c.3147G>A p.(Pro1049Pro). The Alamut 2.2 prediction programme suggested that this change was likely to create a cryptic splice site. All three individuals had the typical SBBS phenotype. One patient had a missense variant in exon 15,

c.2959T>C p.(Trp987Arg). The parents of this patient were not available for study so the question as to whether this variant is causative remains but it has not been reported as a SNP on dbSNP and the amino acid 987 is well conserved. In silico analysis predicted that this variant may be causative. In 18/34 cases where parental samples could be tested, all of the sequence changes identified had occurred de novo. Several of the variants were recurrent, having been seen in more than one individual or reported previously in the literature (see supplementary Table 3).

Genotype/phenotype correlation

The position of the variants in the GPS patients relative to the SBBS patients is shown in Figure 1. In previously reported cases the sequence variants in GPS patients have tended to cluster more proximally to those in SBBS^{7,8}. This was the case overall in our four GPS patients who had variants in exon 17 and more proximally in exon 18. However, we observed that patient 54 who had patellar abnormalities, contractures, genital anomalies and agenesis of the corpus callosum (all features which overlap between GPS and SBB) had the c.4205_4206del p.(Ser1402Cysfs*5) variant. This variant has been reported in patients with typical SBBS⁴ who lacked the features of large joint contractures, agenesis of the corpus callosum and renal anomalies, although one patient with this variant had patellar abnormalities. The variant occurs more distally to the other GPS variants. Patient 56 whose sequence change occurred more proximally in exon 18 had significant genital anomalies and was also considered to have an overlapping phenotype. The remaining two patients with overlapping phenotypes (53 and 55) had the variants c.4320_4321del p.(Lys1441Glyfs*23) and c.4074_4079del p.Glu1367_Glu1368. Patients 1 and 17 had truncating variants occurring more proximally to those seen in GPS yet they had a working

diagnosis of SBBS and patient 20 who had one of the more proximal variants in exon 17 did not have any abnormalities of the patellae, genitalia, kidneys or corpus callosum. The three individuals (12,13,14) who all shared the same exon 16 de novo synonymous variant fitted better with SBBS as all had the typical facies, normal patellae and none had significant contractures, agenesis of the corpus callosum or renal anomalies. All of the above observations suggest that the clinical distinction between GPS and SBBS is not always clear and it might be more important for the clinician to recognise when a patient has clinical findings within the broader *KAT6B* spectrum rather than to only consider the possibility of a *KAT6B* phenotype if the clinical features fit clearly within either the GPS or SBBS entities. This broader presentation of a *KAT6B* spectrum disorder was the basis upon which testing *KAT6B* was suggested in case 51, a 24 week fetus with contractures, agenesis of the corpus callosum and hydronephrosis.

Comparison of individuals with and without *KAT6B* sequence variants

Comparison and analysis of clinical features from variant-positive and variant-negative patients indicated that dysmorphic facial features, in particular blepharophimosis, severe intellectual disability and developmental delay were common to both groups (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 1). Genital anomalies, usually cryptorchidism, was universal in males with *KAT6B* sequence variants and tended to be more severe in GPS, where the genitalia may be ambiguous. Genital anomalies (hypoplastic labia) were noted in one affected female but we suspect that genital anomalies in females are under-ascertained. Significant features which predicted whether a pathogenic *KAT6B* variant would be found were the presence of hypotonia, thyroid abnormalities, patellar abnormalities, long thumbs, and great toes, feeding difficulties and dental anomalies. A pathogenic *KAT6B* sequence variant was much more likely to be identified if the

latter features were present (Table 4). The commonest cardiac anomalies observed in the *KAT6B* positive group were atrial and ventricular septal defects and patent ductus arteriosus, though one patient had a Tetralogy of Fallot, and right-sided aorta and pulmonary stenosis were seen in several patients. Dental anomalies, particularly hypoplastic teeth, emphasised as a salient feature of SBBS in the early literature, were reported as present in only 21/44 individuals with *KAT6B* variants though they were a significant distinguishing feature. Hearing loss, often remarked upon in the early literature on SBBS was present in around a third of individuals with likely pathogenic *KAT6B* variants and was usually sensori-neural in origin. Cleft palate was seen in 14/44 patients, drawing attention to the fact that SBBS and GPS deserve consideration as a differential diagnosis for syndromic clefting. Thyroid abnormalities were present in 22/42 *KAT6B*-positive patients where these had been searched for and only 1/22 *KAT6B*-negative patients, again making this one of the most important distinguishing features. The commonest abnormality was congenital hypothyroidism with raised TSH and thyroid agenesis was also documented in some patients. There was considerable clinical overlap between the clinical features of the SBBS and the GPS patients. Blepharophimosis was less striking in GPS (Figure 3) though this group did still have a distinctive, but less obvious facial phenotype. Several patients with SBBS had either agenesis of the corpus callosum, hydronephrosis and joint contractures, features more typical of GPS. In SBBS the joint contractures were less severe and manifested more frequently as talipes and overlapping fingers and toes (Supplementary Figure 2) rather than large joint contractures. Renal anomalies and agenesis of the corpus callosum were probably under-ascertained in the SBBS patients where MRI brain and renal scans had not always been undertaken. Several GPS patients have had the long, straight thumbs and great toes seen in SBBS, and this was even a feature in the fetus observed at 24 weeks gestation. An

interesting observation was the presence of malrotation of the bowel in two patients with *KAT6B* sequence variants. A further patient had a laryngeal cleft. When analysing the relationship between the position of *KAT6B* variants and individual clinical features no genotype/phenotype correlation could be established. For patients with thyroid and patellar abnormalities, for example, sequence changes were found across the region from exon 15 to the distal part of exon 18. The three patients who all shared the same exon 16 synonymous change had a similar facial gestalt (Figure 2 f,g,h) which had been easily recognisable as that of SBBS by their referring geneticists, though ptosis was not as prominent in these three patients as others with SBBS. All three had small, low set ears, intellectual disability, global developmental delay, feeding abnormalities and genital anomalies but lacked some of the other structural malformations such as congenital heart defects, cleft palate and patellar abnormalities.

DISCUSSION

This study confirms previous findings that both SBBS and GPS are caused by de novo truncating variants in *KAT6B*. However, it is clear that not all variants are confined to the terminal exon of this gene, making it important to screen other exons, too, for diagnostic testing. The study identified several novel splice site variants including a common synonymous variant in exon 16. Sequence changes causing the more severe phenotype of GPS did appear overall to occur more proximally as seen in the previous reports^{5,6}. Campeau et al¹¹ have hypothesised that features which occur commonly in both GPS and SBBS are due to either haploinsufficiency or loss of a function mediated by the C-terminal acidic domain with the more severe features seen in GPS being due to alterations in binding capacity of the proteins arising as a result of specific GPS sequence variants. Our results would partially confirm these hypotheses as they suggest that

the sequence variants cluster into three domains; a) those occurring more proximally which are subject to nonsense mediated decay (NMD). The phenotype is a milder SBBS phenotype caused by haploinsufficiency. b) variants which are not subject to NMD but cause a more severe GPS phenotype if they affect critical binding sites of *KAT6B*. c) variants which occur more distally and again escape NMD but cause the SBBS phenotype rather than GPS as they do not interfere with the critical binding sites associated with GPS. All of these three groups could share common features if, as Campeau suggest, haploinsufficiency for the very distal C-terminal region is crucial but it is also possible that the more distal variants are also contributing to a more severe SBBS phenotype due to a gain of function effect. Further studies of the individual sequence variants are needed to clarify the mechanisms involved.

KAT6B deletions have been documented very rarely in the literature; Tzschach et al.¹² described four patients with 10q22 deletions encompassing *KAT6B*. They had a mild phenotype with common features of hypotonia and developmental delay, especially in the area of speech. Facial features were non-specific, though on scrutiny of the available photographs blepharophimosis did appear to be a feature. Interestingly, one of the patients was noted to have unusually long and straight thumbs. The DDD study (DECIPHER@sanger.uk)¹³ identified a patient, DECIPHER number 258813 with a 2.5Mb deletion encompassing *KAT6B*, HGVS description chr10.hg19:g.75,971,593_78,526,861del. This deletion involved 9 genes, of which *KAT6B* is the only one known to be associated with a developmental disorder. This child, a male, weighed 2.1kg at 37 weeks and presented with hypotonia and developmental delay, particularly in the area of expressive speech. He had short palpebral fissures and prominent epicanthic folds (Figure 2e) but the facial features were less striking than in SBBS. He had normal patellae and a normal TSH level. He was macrocephalic and an MRI scan showed only mild frontal atrophy. Thiel et al.¹⁴ have previously

reported a patient with a translocation disrupting *KAT6B* and went on to demonstrate that this individual had lowered expression of *KAT6B*. He had much milder features than patients with SBBS but did have blepharophimosis and was reported as being “Noonan-like.” Like the patients reported by Tzschach and the DDD patient there were no major malformations present. The demonstration by Thiel et al. that RAS-MAP pathway gene expression was affected in this patient is interesting in view of the fact that in two of our patients a diagnosis of Cardio-facio-cutaneous syndrome had been suggested initially and that pulmonary stenosis and malrotation of the gut, both features seen in RAS-MAP disorders were documented as clinical features in our patient cohort. Only one convincing *KAT6B* sequence variant has been seen in the proximal part of the gene (exon 3). This was documented in the series by Clayton-Smith et al.⁴ in a patient with a much milder blepharophimosis-intellectual disability phenotype. Taken together all of the above observations strengthen Campeau’s case that a mild blepharophimosis-intellectual disability phenotype is caused by haploinsufficiency of *KAT6B* whereas disease-causing variants towards the terminal end interfere with the transcriptional activity of the gene and therefore cause a more severe phenotype through a gain of function effect. These hypotheses remain to be tested in further studies.

From a clinical point of view, clinicians should be aware that the *KAT6B* phenotypic spectrum is broad, encompassing presentations from mild blepharophimosis and intellectual disability to the severe, life threatening phenotype seen in genitopatellar syndrome. Naming of the *KAT6B* related disorders has been problematic. Though it is possible to classify some patients as having typical SBBS or GPS, this is not possible in all cases and we propose that considering this whole group as “*KAT6B* spectrum disorders” may be more helpful. Good diagnostic handles which might indicate a causative *KAT6B* sequence variant include severe blepharophimosis, patellar

abnormalities , thyroid abnormalities, hypothyroidism, long thumbs and toes and agenesis of the corpus callosum . There are some similarities with RAS-MAP disorders and abnormalities of *KAT6B* may act in part by influencing this pathway. The relatively broad clinical spectrum of *KAT6B* variants means that clinical diagnosis is not always easy and suggests that affected individuals might be identified in large scale exome and genome sequencing programmes rather than as a result of targeted testing. Apart from one family reported so far where two affected siblings were born to parents who did not carry the variant in blood, and where gonadal mosaicism was presumed, all *KAT6B* variants have occurred de novo and recurrence risks for most families are low, though prenatal testing should be offered as an option.

No alternative diagnoses have yet been confirmed in those patients who did not have causative variants in *KAT6B*. On careful clinical review, we considered that the majority of these had some atypical features and that, rather than *KAT6B* variants or deletions being missed, other diagnoses were more likely. A diagnosis of Cohen syndrome was suggested in patient 57 based on the facial features and additional finding of visual problems. Chromosomal abnormalities, one of the main differential diagnoses have been excluded by microarray analysis. A further differential diagnosis which was excluded in suggestive cases was that of a *UBE3B* phenotype¹⁰ . Verloes et al. reviewed the classification of the group of patients with blepharophimosis-intellectual disability syndromes. He classified this group into five main groups, including both SBBS and chromosomal abnormalities . The remaining groups comprised the original patients reported by Ohdo et al.¹⁵ , The X-linked disorder originally reported by Maat-Kievit et al.¹⁶ and now known to be due to sequence variants within the *MED12* gene¹⁷, and a recessive entity associated with microcephaly and multiple structural anomalies. None of our patients had features which were consistent with any of these other groups identified by Verloes. It is likely,

therefore that there are other causes of blepharophimosis and intellectual disability which remain to be elucidated.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest has been declared by any of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Verloes A, Bremond-Gignac D, Isidor B *et al*: Blepharophimosis-mental retardation (BMR) syndromes: A proposed clinical classification of the so-called Ohdo syndrome, and delineation of two new BMR syndromes, one X-linked and one autosomal recessive. *Am J Med Genet* 2006; **140A**: 1285–1296.
2. Day R, Beckett B, Donnai D *et al*: A clinical and genetic study of the Say/Barber/Biesecker/Young-Simpson type of Ohdo syndrome. *Clin Genet* 2008; **74**: 434–444.
3. Young ID, Simpson K: Unknown syndrome: abnormal facies, congenital heart defects, hypothyroidism, and severe retardation. *J Med Genet* 1987; **24**: 715–716.
4. Clayton-Smith J, O'Sullivan J, Daly S *et al*: Whole-Exome-Sequencing Identifies Mutations in Histone Acetyltransferase Gene KAT6B in Individuals with the Say-Barber-Biesecker Variant of Ohdo Syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2011; **89**: 675-681.
5. Szakszon K, Salpietro C, Kakar N *et al*: De novo mutations of the gene encoding the histone acetyltransferase KAT6B in two patients with Say-Barber/Biesecker/Young-Simpson syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 2013; **161A**: 884-888.
6. Yu HC, Geiger EA, Medne L *et al*: An individual with blepharophimosis-ptosis-epicanthus inversus syndrome (BPES) and additional features expands the phenotype associated with mutations in KAT6B. *Am J Med Genet* 2014; **164A**: 950-957.

7. Campeau PM, Kim JC, Lu JT *et al*: Mutations in KAT6B, Encoding a Histone Acetyltransferase, Cause Genitopatellar Syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2012; **90**: 282-289.
8. Simpson MA, Deshpande C, Dafou D *et al*: De Novo Mutations of the Gene Encoding the Histone Acetyltransferase KAT6B Cause Genitopatellar Syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2012; **90**: 290–294.
9. Cormier-Daire V, Chauvet ML, Lyonnet S *et al*: Genito-patellar syndrome: a new condition comprising absent patellae, scrotal hypoplasia, renal anomalies, facial dysmorphism and mental retardation. *J Med Genet* 2000; **37**: 520-524.
10. Basel-Vanagaite L, Dallapiccola B, Ramirez-Solis R *et al*: Deficiency for the ubiquitin ligase UBE3B in a blepharophimosis-ptosis-intellectual-disability syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2012; **91**: 998-1010.
11. Campeau PM, Lu J, Dawson JT *et al*: The KAT6B-related disorders genitopatellar syndrome and Ohdo/SBBYS syndrome have distinct clinical features reflecting distinct molecular mechanisms. *Human Mutation* 2012; **33**: 1520-1525.
12. Tzschach A, Bisgaard AM, Kirchhoff M *et al*: Chromosome aberrations involving 10q22; report of three overlapping interstitial deletions and a balanced translocation disrupting C10orf11. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2010; **18**: 291-295.
13. Firth HV, Wright CF, DDD Study. The Deciphering Developmental Disorders (DDD) study. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2011; **53**: 702-703.
14. Kraft M, Cirstea IC, Voss AK *et al*: Disruption of the histone acetyltransferase MYST4 leads to a Noonan syndrome-like phenotype and hyperactivated MAPK signaling in humans and mice. *J Clin Invest* 2011; **121**: 3479-3491.
15. Ohdo S, Madokoro H, Sonoda T *et al*: Mental retardation associated with congenital heart

disease, blepharophimosis, blepharoptosis and hypoplastic teeth. *J Med Genet* 1986; **23**: 242-244.

16. Maat-Kievit JA, Milla PJ, Collins JE *et al*: A case with blepharophimosis resembling Ohdo syndrome. *Clin Dysmorphol* 1994; **3**: 125–127.

17. Anneke T van Silfhout V, Bert BA de Vries, Bregje WM van Bon *et al*: Mutations in MED12 Cause X-Linked Ohdo Syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2013; **92**: 401-406.

Acknowledgements

Cranio-facial research within the University of Manchester is supported by the Healing Foundation UK Cleft Collective.

The DDD study presents independent research commissioned by the Health Innovation Challenge Fund (grant number HICF-1009-003) a parallel funding partnership between the Wellcome Trust and the Department of Health and the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (grant number WT098051). The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the Wellcome Trust or the Department of Health. The study has UK research ethics approval (10/H0305/83 granted by the Cambridge South REC and GEN/284/12 granted by the Republic of Ireland REC). The research team acknowledges the support of the National Institute for Health Research, through the Comprehensive Clinical Research Network.

Table 1. Genotype-phenotype differences between *KAT6B* mutation-positive and *KAT6B* mutation-negative patients

	<i>KAT6B</i> + (n1=47)			<i>KAT6B</i> - (n2=23)			p value
	present	not present	not known	present	not present	not known	
Hypotonia	44	2	1	9	14	0	< 0.0001
Feeding difficulties	42	3	2	15	8	0	0.0051
Contractures	27	7	13	6	12	5	0.0020
Dental anomalies	28	14	5	7	16	0	0.0087
Long thumbs	33	14	0	3	20	0	< 0.0001
Long great toes	31	16	0	5	18	0	0.0008
Thyroid abnormalities	23	22	2	1	22	0	0.0001
Congenital heart defects	27	19	1	12	11	0	0.6177
Genital anomalies	25	22	0	7	15	1	0.1237
Abnormal patella	15	31	1	1	22	0	0.0134
Microcephaly	12	23	12	8	12	3	0.7734
Cleft palate	14	33	0	2	21	0	0.0690




Figure 1. Schematic representation of the spectrum of KAT6B mutations identified in this study. All coding exons are shown in purple. Arrows point to the exonic location of the mutations identified. Mutation key: **frameshift variants**, **in-frame deletion**, **missense variants**, **nonsense variants**. Variants identified in other studies are in italics. The protein structure shows the protein domains. NEMM: highly conserved N-terminal domain with a role in transcriptional repression. PHD: zinc finger domain, MYST: responsible for histone acetyltransferase activity.



Figure 2



Figure 3