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Active hydrogenation Rh nanocatalysts protected by new self-assembled supramolecular complexes of cyclodextrins and surfactants in water

Nguyet Trang Thanh Chau,a Stéphane Menuel,b Sophie Colombel-Rouen,a Miguel Guerrero,cd Eric Monflier,b Karine Philippot,c,d Audrey Denicourt-Nowicki,a,c,d and Alain Roucoux*a,b,c,d

The stability of inclusion complexes between randomly methylated β-cyclodextrin (RaMeCD) or its leucine-grafted analogue (RaMeCDLeu) with two hydroxylated ammonium surfactants was investigated. The binding isotherms and complexation constants were measured by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) technique. These host-guest inclusion complexes were used as protective agents during the formation of rhodium (0) nanoparticles by chemical reduction of rhodium trichloride in water. The amount of protective agents was adjusted in order to ensure both stability and reactivity of the rhodium nanocatalysts under the catalytic conditions. The size and dispersion of air-stable and water-soluble rhodium suspensions were determined by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analyses. These spherical nanoparticles, with sizes between 1.20 to 1.50 nm according to the nature of inclusion complexes, were evaluated in the biphasic hydrogenation of various reducible compounds (olefins, linear or aromatic ketones), showing promising results in terms of activity and selectivity.

Introduction

The development of highly selective and readily recyclable catalysts, as well as the substitution of volatile organic solvents with novel reaction media (e.g. ionic liquids, supercritical fluids or water), constitute crucial parameters in the quest towards sustainable and more environmentally-friendly chemical processes. Recently, nanometer-sized metallic particles have been developed as relevant catalysts for performing green organic transformation processes because of their outstanding intrinsic properties, combining the advantages of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts. Besides their pertinent activities owing to a high number of surface-exposed metal atoms and their original selectivities regarding to their unique surface structures and combined supramolecular assemblies, they could also provide improved recovery potentialities, which constitute sine qua non conditions for eco-responsible applications. Thus, the use of colloidal metallic particles finely dispersed in water constitutes one of the most effective and sustainable approaches to achieve the targeted recycling objective, through a biphasic water-substrate catalytic process. For this purpose, highly water-soluble protective agents, such as surfactants, dendrimers or novel ligands such as N-heterocyclic carbenes are necessary to maintain the nanospecies within the aqueous phase. More recently, as a class of nontoxic oligosaccharides, cyclodextrins have been extensively investigated in host-guest chemistry for construction of versatile supramolecular complexes owing to their special hydrophobic inner cavities. For instance, the inclusion complexation of randomly methylated cyclodextrins (RaMeCD) with an ammonium surfactant (HEA16Cl: N,N-dimethyl-N-hexadecyl-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-ammonium chloride) or a sulfonated phosphate as guest molecules has been used to efficiently stabilize nanosized ruthenium(0) hydrogenation catalysts in aqueous media and thus tune the catalytic performances of the metallic centers. Although ruthenium(0) nanospecies have been successfully protected by cyclodextrins alone, the less oxophilic rhodium metal proved to be more difficult to stabilize with the formation of visual aggregates. Based on these results, we investigated the...
use of original inclusion complexes formed between various cyclodextrins and ammonium surfactants as pertinent supramolecular assemblies to protect rhodium(0) species. These nanocatalysts were evaluated and compared in terms of stability, catalytic activity, and selectivity in the hydrogenation of various substrates: a functionalized olefin (methyl-2-acetamidoacrylate), an activated ketone (ethyl pyruvate) and acetophenone, a pertinent substrate in terms of chemoselectivity (aromatic ring vs. carbonyl group). Among the classical RaMeCD cyclodextrin or HEA16Cl ammonium salt, a methylated cyclodextrin grafted with a L-Leucine moiety (RaMeCDLeu) and an optically active ammonium salt (QCD16Br=(1S,2R,4S,5R)-(+)-N-hexadecyl-5-vinyl-2-quinuclidinium-methanol bromide) were also used as potential guest/host molecules to tune the selectivity, and potentially the asymmetric induction as a more challenging objective (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Structure of CDs and surfactants

Results and discussion

Design and characterization of rhodium(0) nanoparticles

The Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) measurements were used to check the stability and to determine equilibrium constants of the novel inclusion complexes obtained from the combination of methylated CDs with ammonium surfactants, including RaMeCD-QCD16Br, RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl and RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br supramolecular assemblies (ESI-Figure S1). The association constant values (Table 1) were compared to the one of RaMeCD-HEA16Cl inclusion complex.

Table 1. Thermodynamic data obtained by ITC of various inclusion complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion Complex</th>
<th>K (M⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔG (cal.mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH (cal.mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>-TAS (cal.mol⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEA16Cl-</td>
<td>84500</td>
<td>-6714</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>-7570±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RaMeCD</td>
<td>± 14700</td>
<td>± 104</td>
<td>± 17</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEA16Cl-</td>
<td>75200</td>
<td>-6645</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>-7309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RaMeCDLeu</td>
<td>± 12800</td>
<td>± 102</td>
<td>± 18</td>
<td>± 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD16Br-</td>
<td>96100</td>
<td>-6790</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>-7027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RaMeCD</td>
<td>± 26800</td>
<td>± 170</td>
<td>± 63</td>
<td>± 233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD16Br-</td>
<td>91300</td>
<td>-6760</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>-6972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RaMeCDLeu</td>
<td>±25400</td>
<td>±169</td>
<td>±65</td>
<td>±234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by isothermal titration calorimetry at 298 K

The association constants were found to be similar to that of RaMeCD-HEA16Cl inclusion complex. In fact, T-ROESY experiments indicated that the alkyl chain of ammonium surfactants was included inside the CD cavity whatever the inclusion complex (ESI-Figure S2-S4). According to the literature, dipolar contacts between the alkyl chain protons of the hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide and protons inside the CD cavity provide a binding constant about 67700 M⁻¹, as initially reported by Holzwarth and more recently revised to 60733 ± 11484 M⁻¹ by B. Tutaj and coworkers. Here, the slightly higher values achieved with the surfactants bearing a hydroxylated polar heads, could be attributed to the formation of a hydrogen bond between the flexible hydroxy groups of the surfactant’s polar head and the CD. The thermodynamic parameters determined from ITC experiments also confirm that the surfactants have the same structural motif included into the β-CD cavity. Indeed, the inclusion processes are all mainly entropy driven, showing similar positive ∆S (Table 1). These results confirmed the formation of stable inclusion complexes between methylated CDs and hydroxylated ammonium surfactants, which could potentially be pertinent protective assemblies of rhodium(0) nanoparticles (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of rhodium(0) nanoparticles stabilized by methylated β-cyclodextrin/ammonium surfactant inclusion complexes.

Rhodium(0) nanoparticles protected by these inclusion complexes were synthesized, using a two-step methodology as previously reported for the preparation of Ru₀[RaMeCD-HEA16Cl NPs. The rhodium trichloride hydrate (RhCl₃·3H₂O) was chemically reduced, using sodium borohydride as reducing agent, in the presence of RaMeCD and HEA16Cl. As previously described in the literature, the metallic surface is surrounded by a double layer of stabilizers (Scheme 2). The first layer is composed of surfactant molecules, whose polar head is directed towards the metallic surface, according to the adsorption of counter-ion to electron-deficient metal surfaces. The second layer is constituted of cyclodextrins interacting with the lipophilic tail of the surfactant.

Scheme 2. Proposed scheme for the supramolecular organization of inclusion complexes near the nanoparticle surface.

The Zeta potential value ζ of the well-dispersed Rh@RaMeCD-HEA16Cl suspension, measured by electrophoretic light scattering (DLS spectroscopy), was used to determine the apparent charge of the nanoparticle in solution. A significant positive apparent charge of + 50 mV for the rhodium species...
coated with RaMeCD-HEA16Cl assemblies was obtained as usually observed with ammonium stabilizers. This value, which explains the good stability of the aqueous colloidal suspensions, characterizes the difference of potential between the solution far from the metal/solution interface (Stern layer based on adsorbed ionic species) and the mobile part of the disperse layer where salt concentration decreases when the distance increases.

The RaMeCD/HEA16Cl ratio has been optimized since the use of a stoichiometric ratio leads to the destabilization of the rhodium(0) particles in the 1-tetradecene hydrogenation under standard conditions (1 bar H₂, room temperature, 3h). Two equivalents of each protective agents proved to be efficient for the stabilization of Rh⁰ NPs, under atmospheric hydrogenation, as well as high pressure conditions (10 bar H₂). The particle size, morphology and dispersion were determined by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analyses (ESI-Figure S5-S7).

Whatever the stabilizer association, the rhodium particles were well-dispersed on the grid, with spherical morphology. The Rh⁰ NPs displayed a mean size in the range 1.2–1.5 nm, depending on the cyclodextrin/surfactant association. Based on the same surfactant (QCD16Br), the inclusion complex containing the more sterically hindered L-leucine-grafted cyclodextrin (Figure 2a vs. Figure 2c) led to the formation of larger NPs than the RaMeCD (1.5 nm vs. 1.2 nm, respectively). Similarly, compared to Rh⁰@RaMeCDLeu/QCD16Br system, the colloids stabilized by the RaMeCD combined with the less sterically hindered surfactant (HEA16Cl) exhibited slightly smaller diameters (1.3 nm vs. 1.5 nm) (Figure 2b vs. Figure 2c). In all cases, the metal core size of these original Rh⁰ NPs were smaller than the host-guest inclusion complex-capped Ru⁰ NPs with an average diameter of about 4 nm. This better control of the NPs growth could be easily explained by the presence of higher quantity of protective supramolecular assemblies (inclusion complex/Rh ratio = 2/1) which provide a better control during the growth step of the nanoparticles.

Catalytic hydrogenation using rhodium(0) nanoparticles

The catalytic performances of Rh⁰@RaMeCD-QCD16Br, Rh⁰@RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl and Rh⁰@RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br NPs were evaluated in the hydrogenation of various model substrates, such as an activated olefin (methyl 2-acetamidoacrylate), an activated α-ketoester (ethylpyruvate) and an aromatic ketone (acetophenone). The reduction of these prochiral compounds was carried out under pure biphasic conditions (water/substrate), at room temperature and under 10 bar H₂ with 1 mol% of the nanocatalysts (cyclodextrins/surfactant/rhodium = 2/2/1) as optimized in 1-tetradecene hydrogenation (3h, 10 bar of H₂ for 100% conv. vs 20h under 1 bar H₂). These conditions were chosen in order to provide good yields in reduced products. Moreover, the stability of the triazole ring under the catalytic reduction conditions was also checked. The conversion was monitored by gas chromatography analysis. The specific activity (SA) was calculated, considering an optimized reaction time for a complete conversion of the substrate and based on the total introduced amount of metal and not on the exposed surface metal. These specific activity values were clearly underestimated but were suitable from an economic point of view.

(a) Rh⁰@RaMeCD-QCD16Br (b) Rh⁰@RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl (c) Rh⁰@RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br NPs

In a first set of experiments, RaMeCD-QCD16Br, RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl and RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br rhodium nanocatalysts
were evaluated in the reduction of methyl-2-acetamidoacrylate (Table 2).

Table 2. Hydrogenation of methyl-2-acetamidoacrylate using rhodium(0) nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Stabilizing agent</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RaMeCD-QCD16Br</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RaMeCD-QCD16Br</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HEA16Cl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>QCD16Br</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: [Substrate]/[Rh] molar ratio = 100/1, 10 mL of aqueous suspension, 10 bar H₂, rt., 1.5 h. Specific Activity (SA) defined as number of mol of transformed substrate per mol of Rh per hour.

Whatever the inclusion complexes (RaMeCD-QCD16Br, RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl or RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br), the reduction of methyl-2-acetamidoacrylate occurred exclusively on the activated C=C double bond with complete conversion in an optimized 1.5 h time. It is noteworthy to report that the reduction of this substrate by Rh⁰ suspensions, solely stabilised by cyclodextrins (RaMeCD or RaMeCDLeu), could not be achieved in these experimental conditions due to the destabilization of the nanocatalysts (Table 2, Entries 4-5). Finally, the use of the sole ammonium surfactant (HEA16Cl or QCD16Br) as protective agents leads to well-stable colloidal suspensions with pertinent catalytic results in the reduction of methyl-2-acetamidoacrylate (Table 2, Entries 6-7). According to previous results based on similar investigations performed in our group,²³,²⁰ these efficient activities could be explained by the dynamic behaviour of the double layer which facilitates the access of the substrate to the particle’s surface.

The reduction of the carbonyl function in ethylpyruvate as prochiral model substrate was also performed in similar reaction conditions (Table 3).

Table 3. Hydrogenation of ethylpyruvate using rhodium(0) nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Stabilizing agent</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>SA (h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RaMeCD-QCD16Br</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: [Substrate]/[Rh] molar ratio = 100/1, 10 mL of aqueous suspension, 10 bar H₂, rt. Specific Activity (SA) defined as number of mol of transformed substrate per mol of Rh per hour.

The transformation of ethylpyruvate into the corresponding alcohol was totally achieved, with various specific activities values according to the supramolecular assemblies in the following order: RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl > RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br > RaMeCD-QCD16Br (SA of 67, 17 and 6 h⁻¹, respectively). These results could be justified by a more favorable displacement during the catalysis of free RaMeCDLeu vs RaMeCD in the aqueous phase starting from inclusion complexes, as mentioned in Scheme 2, thus providing an efficient solubilisation of the organic substrate inside the RaMeCDLeu’s cavity and a better diffusion towards the metal surface. Moreover, based on the observed conversions at 1.5h (Table 3) and the comparison of the head group inside QCD16Br and HEA16Cl, it seems that kinetics is slower when the QCD16Br surfactant is located at the vicinity of the particle’s surface, due to an increased steric hindrance, which probably limits the access of the substrate.

Finally, the chemoselectivity of the catalytic system was investigated towards the hydrogenation of acetophenone (reduction of the ketone vs. the aromatic ring (Table 4)).

Table 4. Hydrogenation of acetophenone using rhodium(0) nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Stabilizing agent</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>1:2:3 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RaMeCD-QCD16Br</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>24:30:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13:48:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16:28:16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: [Substrate]/[Rh] molar ratio = 100/1, 10 mL of aqueous suspension, 10 bar H₂, rt. Selectivity determined by GC analysis. Catalytic system started to be destabilized.

From kinetics investigations, two reaction pathways could be involved for the formation of the totally hydrogenated product (1-cyclohexylethanol, 1), either via 1-cyclohexylethanone from the reduction of aromatic ring, or either via 1-phenylethanol 2 from the reduction of carbonyl group. The higher ratio of 2 over 1 suggests that the carbonyl group is more easily reduced than the aromatic ring. However, the significant quantity of 1 (up to 24%) proves that the RaMeCD or RaMeCDLeu could not efficiently wrap the aromatic ring and avoid its hydrogenation. In addition, after 1.5 h of reaction, complete conversions were observed in the case of Rh⁰ NPs stabilized by the less sterically hindered inclusion complexes (RaMeCD-QCD16Br and RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl), compared to 60% conversion with Rh⁰ RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br catalytic system. Increasing reaction time up to 3 h improved significantly the conversion by a factor of 2.5, however with a slightly destabilization of the Rh⁰ suspension with formation of aggregates. These results could be attributed to the competitive affinity of the substrate (acetophenone) and the surfactants inside the RaMeCDLeu’s cavity. During the catalytic
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The supramolecular properties of assemblies to modulate the conversions and selectivities relative to the competitive of various functional groups (C=O, C=C) and demonstrated compounds, which was corroborated with their significant surface reactivity of nanoparticles, this original work may open new supramolecular association. Nevertheless, in all cases, no significant hydrogenation of the C=O bond versus the aromatic ring were evaluated in the biphasic liquid-liquid hydrogenation (QCD16Br), these assemblies alone or associated produced a chiral aggregates. According to optically active skeletons grafted on molecules was investigated. The existence of a stable interaction between the surfactant and cyclodextrin was clearly evidenced by NMR spectroscopy and isothermal titration calorimetry measurements showed a strong affinity between the lipophilic chain of the surfactant and the hydrophobic cavity of cyclodextrin compounds, which was corroborated with their significant association constant values. These host-guest inclusion complexes were successfully used as pertinent supramolecular assemblies to protect rhodium(0) nanospecies. TEM analyses showed very small (1.2-1.5 nm) and well-dispersed spherical nanoparticles without aggregates. According to optically active skeletons grafted on randomly methylated β-cyclodextrin (RaMeCDLeu) or surfactant (QCD16Br), these assemblies alone or associated produced a chiral environment onto the nanoparticle surfaces. Finally RhO@RaMeCD-QCD16Br, RhO@RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl and RhO@RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br were evaluated in the biphasic liquid-liquid hydrogenation of various functional groups (C=O, C=C) and demonstrated efficiencies in terms of stability and catalytic performances. During acetophenon hydrogenation, various differences in terms of conversions and selectivities relative to the competitive hydrogenation of the C=O bond versus the aromatic ring were observed, evidencing a direct impact of the steric hindrance of the supramolecular association. Nevertheless, in all cases, no significant induction was observed as expected. Finally, taking advantage of the supramolecular properties of assemblies to modulate the surface reactivity of nanoparticles, this original work may open new opportunities in the field of nanocatalysis and desirably in asymmetric reaction.

Conclusions

To conclude, the formation of supramolecular inclusion complexes between ammonium surfactant and cyclodextrin-based chiral molecules was investigated. The existence of a stable interaction between the surfactant and cyclodextrin was clearly evidenced by NMR spectroscopy and isothermal titration calorimetry measurements showed a strong affinity between the lipophilic chain of the surfactant and the hydrophobic cavity of cyclodextrin compounds, which was corroborated with their significant association constant values. These host-guest inclusion complexes were successfully used as pertinent supramolecular assemblies to protect rhodium(0) nanospecies. TEM analyses showed very small (1.2-1.5 nm) and well-dispersed spherical nanoparticles without aggregates. According to optically active skeletons grafted on randomly methylated β-cyclodextrin (RaMeCDLeu) or surfactant (QCD16Br), these assemblies alone or associated produced a chiral environment onto the nanoparticle surfaces. Finally RhO@RaMeCD-QCD16Br, RhO@RaMeCDLeu-HEA16Cl and RhO@RaMeCDLeu-QCD16Br were evaluated in the biphasic liquid-liquid hydrogenation of various functional groups (C=O, C=C) and demonstrated efficiencies in terms of stability and catalytic performances. During acetophenon hydrogenation, various differences in terms of conversions and selectivities relative to the competitive hydrogenation of the C=O bond versus the aromatic ring were observed, evidencing a direct impact of the steric hindrance of the supramolecular association. Nevertheless, in all cases, no significant induction was observed as expected. Finally, taking advantage of the supramolecular properties of assemblies to modulate the surface reactivity of nanoparticles, this original work may open new opportunities in the field of nanocatalysis and desirably in asymmetric reaction.

Experimental

Reagents and chemicals

N,N-Dimethyl-N-hexadecyl-N-[2-hydroxyethyl]ammonium chloride (HEA16Cl) and (1S,2R,4S,5R)-(+) -N-hexadecyl-5-vinyl-2-quinuclidinum-methanol bromide (QCD16Br) were synthesized from an already described procedure.23,30 Randomly methylated β-cyclodextrin (RaMeCD (1.8)) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. This cyclodextrin was partially methylated at positions 2, 3 or 6 and 1.8 hydroxyl groups per glucopyranose unit were statistically modified. L-leucine-N-{[1-randomlymethylated-β-cyclodextrinyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]methylamide} (RaMeCDLeu) was prepared according to the procedure described in the literature.31 Rhodium(III) chloride hydrate was obtained from Strem Chemicals. Sodium borohydride and all substrates were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros Organics or Alfa Aesar and were used without further purification. Water was purified using Millipore Elix 5 (type MSP 100) system.

Analytical procedures

ITC analysis. Formation constants of methylated CD-ammonium surfactant complexes were determined by an isothermal calorimeter (ITC200, MicroCal Inc., USA), in a phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) at 298 K. In the case of RaMeCD, titrations of a 0.1 mM surfactant solution (QCD16Br or HEA16Cl) were realized with a 5 mM solution of RaMeCD. The inclusion ability of RaMeCDLeu was then investigated by competitive experiments with RaMeCD (injection of a 5 mM RaMeCD solution into a 0.1 mM surfactant-0.1 mM RaMeCDLeu solution). Each experiment was performed three times and consisted in the addition of an initial aliquot of 0.4 μL, followed by ten injections of 3.7 μL, delivered over 7.4 s, within a 204.5 μL cell. Time interval between two consecutive injections was 60 s and agitation speed was 1000 rpm. The corresponding heat flow was recorded as a function of time, and the heat effects due to dilution were taken into account by performing blank titrations. Binding constants were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of binding isotherms (obtained by integration of the raw signal) using a dedicated homemade program.32

TEM analysis. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were performed at the “Service Commun de Microscopie Electronique de l’Université Paul Sabatier” (UPS-TEMSCAN, Toulouse) and recorded with a JEOL 1011 electron microscope operating at 100 kV with resolution point of 4.5 Å. A drop of rhodium nanoparticles in water was deposited on a carbon-coated copper grid and dried in air. The size distributions were determined through a manual analysis of enlarged micrographs with Image J software using Microsoft Excel to generate histograms of the statistical size distribution and a mean diameter.

Dynamic Light Scattering Spectroscopy. The zeta potential ζ of the nanoparticle aggregates were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a DelsaNano C instrument (Beckman Coulter). The aqueous suspensions of rhodium nanoparticles
were analysed at 25°C and measurements were started 10 min after the cell was placed in the DLS apparatus to allow the temperature to equilibrate. 

*Gas chromatography.* For hydrogenation reactions, the conversion and the selectivity were determined by gas chromatography using Fisons Instruments GC 9000 series with FID detector equipped with a chiral Varian ChiralSil-Dex CB capillary column (30 m, 0.25 mm i.d.). Parameters were as follows: isothermal program with oven temperature, 90°C (ethylpyruvate) or 130°C (acetophenone and 2-acetamido acrylate); carrier gas pressure, 50 kPa.

**General procedure for the preparation of surfactant-modified β-cyclodextrin stabilized rhodium(0) nanoparticles**

RhCl₃ 3H₂O (10 mg, 3.8 x 10⁻² mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved into distilled water (6 mL) and maintained under vigorous stirring. At the same time, cyclodextrin (RaMeCD or RaMeCDLeu) (7.6 x 10⁻² mmol, 2 eq) was added to a warm aqueous solution (14 mL) of appropriate surfactant (HEA16Cl or QCD16Br) (7.6 x 10⁻³ mmol, 2 eq). The inclusion complex was stirred for 30 min before addition of sodium borohydride (3.6 mg, 9.5 x 10⁻³ mmol, 2.5 eq). This mixture was quickly added to rhodium solution previously prepared above. The obtained rhodium nanoparticles were stirred for 24 h before used in catalytic test.

**General procedure for atmospheric hydrogenation reactions**

A 25 mL round bottom flask, charged with the aqueous colloidal rhodium(0) suspension (10 mL, 1.9 x 10⁻² mmol) and appropriate substrate ([Substrate]/[Rh]⁰ ratio = 100/1), was connected to a gas burette and a flask to balance the pressure. Then the system was filled with hydrogen (Pₜₐₓ = 1 bar) and the mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature. Samples were collected from time to time to monitor the reaction by gas chromatography in previously mentioned conditions.

**General procedure for high pressure hydrogenation reactions**

The stainless steel autoclave was charged with the aqueous colloidal rhodium(0) suspension (10 mL, 1.9 x 10⁻² mmol) and appropriate substrate ([Substrate]/[Rh]⁰ ratio = 100/1). The autoclave was degassed three times and hydrogen gas was admitted to the system at a constant pressure (10 bar H₂). The mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature. Samples were removed from time to time to monitor the reaction by gas chromatography in previously mentioned conditions.
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