



HAL
open science

1H NMR Investigations of Activated Carbon Loaded with Volatile Organic Compounds: Quantification, Mechanisms, and Diffusivity Determination

Guirec Le Bozec, Sylvain Giraudet, Laurent Le Pollès, Pierre Le Cloirec

► **To cite this version:**

Guirec Le Bozec, Sylvain Giraudet, Laurent Le Pollès, Pierre Le Cloirec. 1H NMR Investigations of Activated Carbon Loaded with Volatile Organic Compounds: Quantification, Mechanisms, and Diffusivity Determination. *Langmuir*, 2017, 33 (7), pp.1605-1613. 10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b03608 . hal-01475698

HAL Id: hal-01475698

<https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01475698>

Submitted on 4 Jul 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 ^1H NMR investigations of activated carbon loaded
8
9
10
11 with volatile organic compounds: quantification,
12
13
14
15 mechanisms and diffusivity determination
16
17
18
19
20

21 *Guirec Le Bozec**, Sylvain Giraudet, Laurent Le Polles and Pierre Le Cloirec
22

23
24 Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, UMR 6226,
25

26 11 allée de Beaulieu, CS 50837
27

28 35708 Rennes Cedex 07, France
29
30
31
32

33 * Contact Author: guirec.lebozec@ensc-rennes.fr
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 ABSTRACT
41
42
43

44 Three volatile organic compounds (benzene, cyclohexane, dichloromethane) were adsorbed onto
45 activated carbon fiber cloth. ^1H (MAS and PFG) NMR techniques were carried out and the
46 signals were analyzed in terms of peak surface areas and shifts. These techniques were shown to
47 be very useful for determining i) the intrinsic quantification of adsorbed molecules (VOC and/or
48 water) in the porosity of the materials; the adsorption capacities ranged from 0.2 to 4 mol.kg⁻¹, ii)
49 the mechanisms of interactions between adsorbed organic molecules and the carbon walls;
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 illustrations of positions of the molecule inside the pore volume are proposed; the proton-wall
4 distance was less than 0.15 nm, iii) the diffusivities; surface diffusion coefficients (D_s) were
5
6 estimated at $\approx 4.10^{-12} \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$ for cyclohexane, $\approx 1.10^{-11} \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$ for benzene and $\approx 4.10^{-11} \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$ for
7
8 dichloromethane.
9
10

11 12 13 14 KEYWORDS

15
16
17
18 Solid NMR, Activated carbon, Volatile Organic Compound, Adsorption mechanisms, Diffusion
19
20 coefficients
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 INTRODUCTION

28
29 In both industrialized and developing countries, volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions
30 are among the causes of atmospheric pollution. They act as chemical precursors of pollutants like
31
32 tropospheric ozone and can also directly impact human health. One of the main VOC treatment
33
34 processes is adsorption onto active carbon (grains or fiber cloths). The high porosity of these
35
36 materials enables high retention rates and a very wide range of gas flow rates (100 to 10,000
37
38 m^3/h) for a large diversity of organic molecules. In this context, knowledge of adsorbent supports
39
40 and analyses of physical and chemical phenomena involved in the adsorption process are of
41
42 utmost importance. These studies use several characterization methods and techniques. In
43
44 general, porous volumes, specific surface areas, and pore size distributions can be deduced from
45
46 the adsorption isotherm curves of nitrogen, as well as gases such as helium or CO_2 ¹. These
47
48 isotherms are described by means of the BET model² or, more recently, a model based on density
49
50 functional theory^{3,4} calculations. From a chemical point of view, Boehm's method leads to the
51
52 characterization and quantification of surface functional groups⁵. Calorimetric measurements
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (microcalorimetry^{6,7,8,9}, differential scanning calorimetry^{10,11,12}) provide energetic values of
4
5
6 adsorption interactions, in particular enthalpies and Gibbs energies. Isotherm, kinetic and
7
8 breakthrough curves result from experimental data obtained through indirect measurements.
9
10 Adsorbed quantities are deduced from the difference between non-adsorbed compound
11
12 concentrations (remaining in the reactor atmosphere) and initial concentrations. Quantitative
13
14 analyses of organics in the gas phase are performed by gas chromatography separation (the case
15
16 of multicomponent adsorption)¹³. The detection and quantification of organics use spectroscopic
17
18 or flame ionization detection (FID) methods^{14,15}. From these experimental data, diffusion
19
20 coefficient values are obtained by indirect means such as parametric correlations and adjustments
21
22 in adsorption kinetic models¹⁶.
23
24
25
26

27
28 Earlier nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) investigations of organic compounds adsorbed onto
29
30 active carbons and non-carbon lattices were conducted in the late 1980s^{17,18}. Due to significant
31
32 technical progress, NMR now appears as an additional analytical tool to enhance studies of
33
34 adsorbed compounds onto host porous active carbons¹⁹. Most notably, NMR methods provide a
35
36 dual benefit: non-destructivity and direct measurements of the adsorbed phase inside porous
37
38 materials. Several studies have been carried out on non-carbon materials (MCM-41, zeolites,
39
40 silicates). Pore size distributions have been characterized²⁰. Furthermore, using pulsed field
41
42 gradient (PFG) NMR methods, information concerning the diffusion and adsorbed phase
43
44 behavior inside the porosity has been obtained onto mesoporous^{21,22} and nanoporous
45
46 adsorbents²³. NMR techniques applied to carbon materials revealed two specific features. First, a
47
48 shift of a few ppm towards strong fields was observed for all NMR signals compared to the
49
50 signal for pure organic compounds. Second, large peak broadness of the order of 10 ppm was
51
52 noted. Complex signals are produced in the case of multicomponent adsorption or for carbons
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 presenting a strong dependence of the chemical shift vs. adsorption sites. In such a case, line
4 broadening and overlapping signals hide the spectrum deconvolution²⁴. Nevertheless, fruitful
5 studies using ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F, and ¹¹B NMR probes have been published^{25,26,27}. Assuming a
6 relationship between the peak shifts and the adsorption cavity porous diameter, resulting from
7 the shielding effect at the carbon surface, authors have principally investigated the adsorbate
8 behavior in the porosity. Furthermore, in order to improve the spectrum deconvolution, ²H and
9 ³¹P magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR techniques have been used to describe multicomponent
10 adsorption phenomena onto activated carbon^{28,29} and to evaluate the effects of carbon substrates
11 activation³⁰. The combination of PFG and MAS condition was also carried out, providing
12 diffusion results for liquid crystal confined into microporous glasses³¹.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Studies using ¹H MAS NMR in porous carbon have been conducted³². Nevertheless,
conclusive results remain scarce in the literature for the characterization of the adsorption of
organic molecules using NMR methods (quantification of the adsorbed compounds,
determination of the diffusion coefficients, characterization of the adsorbed phase for
multicomponent adsorption, etc.).

The present work focused on the use of ¹H NMR to study the mechanisms of volatile organic
compounds adsorbed onto activated carbons. Both ¹H MAS NMR and PFG NMR were used to
observe and discuss spectral features according to the adsorbate/adsorbent characteristics. In
addition, ¹H PFG NMR gave selective access to the diffusion coefficients of adsorbed molecules
at the micrometer scale^{33,34}.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

NMR experiments

¹H MAS NMR was employed to average out chemical shift anisotropies, homonuclear proton-proton dipolar coupling and reduce the effect of magnetic susceptibility inhomogeneities. ¹H MAS NMR enables the isotropic chemical shifts of adsorbed compounds to be extracted and provides information about their interaction with the carbon surface. Quantitative measurements were carried out using the areas of each peak, taking into account the number of hydrogen atoms, and using a calibration with known quantities of adamantane (C₁₀H₁₆). ¹H NMR spectra were obtained using a BRUKER AV300 (7T) spectrometer with a 4 mm MAS probe. Complementary experiments were performed using a 900 MHz BRUKER spectrometer equipped with a 1.3 mm MAS probe in order to evaluate the influence of H-H dipolar coupling on the proton linewidth.

On the other hand, ¹H PFG NMR was used to estimate the self-diffusion coefficients (*D*), also called the diffusivity, of adsorbed molecules. This technique is based on an echo NMR experiment carried out with a spatial encoding of nuclei. After a diffusion time (Δ), the magnitude of the sample's signal is measured. Diffusivities are deduced from the correlation between this magnitude and the gradient value^{35,36}. Correlatively, the mean squared molecular displacement (*r*) during the diffusion time (Δ) is given by the Einstein equation³⁷.

$$r = \sqrt{2 \cdot \Delta \cdot D} \quad (1)$$

Then, then a molecular velocity v_m can be deduced:

$$v_m = \frac{r}{\Delta} \quad (2)$$

Measurements were performed using a PFGSTE (Pulsed Field Gradient Stimulated Echo) experiment on a BRUKER AV300 spectrometer equipped with a PFG BRUKER probe (30 T/m).

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA)

1
2
3 Thermogravimetric measurements were carried out by means of a TA Instrument SDT Q600
4 analyzer. A loaded sample of THC515 was analyzed at atmospheric pressure under flow of
5 nitrogen. Mass variation was followed versus temperature until 250°C. At this temperature, for
6 all samples, the mass reached a minimum, which indicated a total desorption of the VOC.
7
8
9
10
11

12 13 **Activated carbon**

14
15 A commercial microporous active carbon, reference THC515, produced by Dacarb (Asnières-
16 sur-Seine, France) was used. This sorbent is an activated carbon fiber cloth (ACFC). It is
17 particularly suitable for polluted gas treatments. Compared to granular activated carbons, they
18 have larger external surface areas directly linked to nanopores. This specificity leads to a
19 decrease of the intra-particle mass transfer resistance and then to a 2 to 20 times faster
20 adsorption kinetics. Moreover, fast adsorption/desorption cycles using the Joule effect could be
21 easily implemented³⁸. BET analysis gave a specific surface area of 1768 m².g⁻¹ for THC515.
22 According to the Horvath-Kawazoe (HK) model or the non-linear Density Functional Theory
23 (DFT), the pore width distribution was centered on sizes of 0.46 nm and 0.49 nm, respectively
24 (Figure 1). Determination of surface functional groups were performed by Boehm's method
25 previously showing weak concentrations (about 0.154 meq/g for basic group and 0.231 for acid
26 groups)³⁹.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 47 **Sample preparation**

48
49 ACFCs with adsorbed organic compounds were prepared in 2.0 L glass sealed reactors. Three
50 VOCs were chosen to have a wide range of molecular structures and physical and chemical
51 properties: benzene, cyclohexane and dichloromethane (DCM). Briefly, 100 mg of THC515 was
52 placed in the batch reactor in a central position and a known volume (a few µL) of liquid VOC
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 was injected using a syringe. The adsorption lasted for 48 hours, previously shown to be
4 sufficient to reach equilibrium. The carbon samples were not dried, i.e. directly put into the
5 vessels from the atmosphere, without any drying operation.
6
7
8
9

10 11 12 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

13 14 **MAS effects**

15
16
17 Figure 2 presents the ^1H NMR spectra of benzene, DCM and cyclohexane. A comparison of
18 static and MAS spectra shows the effect of magic-angle spinning on the proton NMR signal. In
19 these three cases, the static peaks exhibit a large magnitude with a correlated much lower full
20 width at half maximum (FWHM) between -4 and -8 ppm. These values are consistent with those
21 of -10 to -6 previously obtained for H_2 in a microporous carbon²⁴.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 In most cases, static NMR linewidths hinder a quantitative analysis of NMR spectra but, as
31 illustrated in Figure 2, magic-angle spinning conditions enhance the detection and enable a clear
32 distinction of VOC signals in the case of multicomponent adsorption. For instance, Figures 2(b)
33 and 2(c) illustrate the value of MAS for spectral analysis. Figure 2(b) represents the NMR
34 spectra of the adsorption of a mixture of cyclohexane/benzene. The MAS signal clearly reveals
35 two separate peaks corresponding to each of the adsorbed molecules. The spectrum in Figure
36 2(c) was obtained from DCM adsorbed onto a wet host carbon. Water molecules, present in most
37 experiments, and VOC are easily distinguishable and can be quantified. It should also be noted
38 that the spectrum reveals two adsorbed populations of water molecules. This dual peak will be
39 explained below.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 53 54 **Signal broadness interpretation**

1
2
3 The proton NMR signals of VOCs adsorbed onto carbon exhibit some characteristic features of
4 solid state NMR spectra: relatively short attenuation times and a static linewidth of a few kHz (2-
5 4 kHz). The ^1H static NMR linewidth can be explained by different mechanisms: i) homogenous
6 broadening arising from ^1H - ^1H dipolar interactions, chemical shift anisotropy and a contribution
7 of the T_2 relaxation time, ii) inhomogeneous broadening corresponding to a distribution of
8 chemical shifts.

9
10 However, the observed ^1H NMR linewidth remains relatively small for a real solid state NMR
11 case dominated by homonuclear proton-proton dipolar interactions. One must keep in mind that a
12 proton-proton dipolar interaction for two nuclei separated by one Angstrom gives rise to a
13 dipolar coupling constant of 120 kHz. The linewidth measured (a few kHz) can probably be
14 explained by two factors: i) a relatively fast and isotropic reorientation of the adsorbed
15 molecules, giving rise to a motional averaging of the dipolar interactions, and ii) the dilution of
16 the molecules on the surface, limiting the possibilities of intermolecular dipolar interactions.

17
18 Furthermore, the values of T_2 obtained under MAS conditions (about 2 ms in all cases) suggest
19 that the ^1H homogeneous linewidth is only 35 Hz, confirming that the majority of the additional
20 broadening observed in Figures 2(a), 2(b) and 2(c) is a result of a distribution of chemical shifts
21 and, therefore, of chemical environments.

22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 **Anisotropy effects**

47
48 FWHMs decrease from about 8 ppm of chemical shift in the static case to approximately 1
49 ppm under MAS conditions (preserving the integrated intensities), confirming the results for
50 comparable spinning rates in a mesoporous carbon³². A spinning rate of 10 kHz is high enough
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to average out the spectra anisotropies. A higher spinning rate (12 kHz, 15 kHz) has no impact
4
5 on the spectra (no evidence for spinning sidebands or modification of lineshapes).
6
7

8 In addition, a hypothetical broad signal was carefully searched for. Such a signal can be
9
10 generated by a part of the adsorbed molecules exhibiting large dipolar coupling^{31,32}. For this
11
12 specific purpose, high field ¹H NMR recordings correlated with very high MAS frequencies were
13
14 carried out with the aim of detecting such interaction effects. 15 kHz, 30 kHz and 45 kHz MAS
15
16 signals were recorded on a cyclohexane/THC515 sample using a 900 MHz spectrometer
17
18 confirming the absence of remaining homonuclear dipolar broadening. The three spectra gave
19
20 the same integration values.
21
22
23

24 Therefore, it can be considered that the entire signal was contained in the main NMR peak of
25
26 the spectra and that MAS frequencies of 10 to 12 kHz were sufficient to average out all the
27
28 anisotropies fully and reveal completely the signal of all the adsorbed molecules.
29
30

31 Two remarks can be made: i) classic peak integration methods can lead to quantitative
32
33 measurements (this point was confirmed by a set of thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) whose
34
35 results differ by less than 10% relative error from NMR integrations), ii) in terms of
36
37 diffusometry, classic static PFG measurements can be envisaged.
38
39
40

41 The relevance of MAS NMR spectroscopy for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of the
42
43 adsorbed organic compounds in either mono- or multicomponent adsorption was thus confirmed.
44
45
46
47

48 **Magnetic inhomogeneities (distribution effects)** 49

50 The consistent MAS width revealed that the average value of anisotropic bands was not
51
52 unique, but rather varied from one adsorption site to another. The origin of such fluctuations was
53
54 explained by the magnetic inhomogeneities in the lattice and a given distribution model was
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 proposed. In order to confirm this point, transversal relaxation times (T_2) were measured. The
4
5 NMR signal relaxation time is a direct consequence of the defocalization of the set of resonant
6
7 nuclei. This defocalization is due to two main factors: i) molecular interactions and ii)
8
9 inhomogeneities of the NMR fields. The latter directly results from the microscopic irregularity
10
11 of the host lattice and lead to the distribution effect observed on the spectra. The relaxation time
12
13 of the signal without those inhomogeneities is commonly called the “true T_2 ” while the real
14
15 relaxation time measured is called “ T_2^* ”. The presence of inhomogeneities could be observed
16
17 through a significant difference between T_2^* and T_2 . The classic Spin-Echo measurement
18
19 method of T_2 was used⁴⁰. This pulse sequence leads to the spins refocusing, and does not impact
20
21 the precession speed, allowing the impact of the magnetic inhomogeneities to be artificially
22
23 compensated. T_2 measurements were carried out on three samples. In all cases, a significant
24
25 difference (of the order of 1.5 ms) was observed between T_2 and T_2^* . Such a discrepancy
26
27 confirms the large contribution of magnetic inhomogeneities to the observed band width.
28
29 Overall, the linewidth measured (a few kHz) can be explained by two factors: a relatively fast
30
31 and isotropic reorientation of the adsorbed molecules, giving rise to a motional averaging of the
32
33 dipolar interactions, and the dilution of the molecules on the surface limiting the possibilities of
34
35 intermolecular dipolar interactions. Furthermore, the values of T_2 obtained under MAS
36
37 conditions (about 2 ms in every case) suggest that the ^1H homogeneous linewidth is only 35 Hz,
38
39 confirming that the majority of the additional broadening observed in Figures 2(a), 2(b) and 2(c)
40
41 is a result of a distribution of chemical shifts and, therefore, of chemical environments.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **Distribution effects on NMR signals**

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the ^1H NMR spectra of cyclohexane and DCM adsorbed on THC515, for adsorbed loadings between 0.2 and 4 mol.kg $^{-1}$. Three features can be noted. Firstly, a significant translation of all signals towards small ppm values was observed, with respect to the chemical shifts of the same molecule in liquid form. Interestingly, this difference clearly changes from one compound to another. Chemical shifts were decreased by 7 ppm for cyclohexane, 10 ppm for DCM, and 6 ppm for water. This shift was 5.5 ppm for benzene (Figure 2). Secondly, these shifts were dependent on the adsorbed amounts. For the three VOCs, higher amounts were associated with an enlargement towards high ppm values, which induced a shift of approximately 1 ppm of the MAS averaged signal. This phenomenon was already obtained on mesoporous and microporous carbons^{32,24}. Thirdly, for increasing loadings of VOCs, the initial adsorbed water signal vanished while a new peak located a few ppm away appeared. The origin of lineshape modifications can be related to the population of various sites presenting unequal interactions with the molecules. The magnetic field experienced by the nucleus corresponded to the applied NMR, slightly impacted by the shielding effect produced by the magnetic susceptibility at the carbon surface^{41,42,43}. A classic interpretation of this phenomenon can be provided. The induced field is caused by the ring currents generated by the π electrons of the graphite layers. Since it goes against the excitation (Lenz's law), the actual field experienced by the adsorbed nucleus above the graphitic plane is lower than the original NMR field⁴⁴. For the storage of hydrogen in porous carbons, a phenomenological model to interpret chemical shift corrections ($\Delta\delta$) in graphitic cavities has been proposed²⁴. According to this approach, the impact of a graphitic plane on the chemical shift at the vicinity of the surface is described by Equation (3):

$$\Delta\delta = \frac{a}{d^3} \quad (3)$$

1
2
3 where (d) is the distance to the plane and (a) is a constant depending on the magnetic moment
4 of the adsorbent layer. Such a surface is highly anisotropic and gives rise to a strong
5 modification of the adsorbed molecule chemical shift tensor.
6
7

8
9
10 Its correction to the applied field is given by Equation (4) proposed by Carrington⁴⁵.

$$\Delta\sigma = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{e^2 \cdot R^2}{\sqrt{2} \cdot m_e} \cdot \frac{1}{d^3} \quad (4)$$

11
12
13 where e is the elementary charge, m_e the electron mass, R the ring current radius and μ_0 the
14 magnetic constant. Finally, taking into account two parallel walls in a slit pore of width w gives:
15
16

$$\Delta\delta = a \left(\frac{1}{d^3} + \frac{1}{(w-d)^3} \right) \quad (5)$$

17
18
19 Considering a proton located in the middle of the cavity:
20
21

$$\Delta\delta = \frac{16 a}{w^3} \quad (6)$$

22
23
24 At a microscopic level, theoretical calculations show the most energetically favorable position
25 for benzene adsorbed on a graphene sheet. These studies depict a molecular plane strictly parallel
26 to the graphene layer and give an equilibrium separation of 0.36 nm between the benzene and
27 graphitic planes^{46,47,48}. Therefore, in a pore thinner than 0.72 nm in the case of monolayer
28 adsorption, the molecule is considered to be at the center of the cavity.
29
30

31
32 According to the textural characterization, a narrow pore distribution was observed for
33 THC515, with micropore sizes centered at 0.45 nm. On the basis of this value, using Equation
34 (4), the a value was calculated at $-0.031 \text{ ppm}\cdot\text{nm}^3$. This approach, despite its phenomenological
35 character, allows the shift dependence of the molecules with respect to the pore diameter to be
36 calculated.
37
38

39
40
41 However, several observations can be made from Figures 5 and 6. Figure 5 shows that slit-
42 shaped pores with widths between 0.43 and 0.49 nm are involved in benzene adsorption
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 phenomena. This interval perfectly matches the BET pore size distribution peak of THC515
4 (shown in Figure 1 using the Horvath-Kawazoe model). The observed experimental shifts,
5
6 between -4 and -7 ppm, can be converted into a pore size by applying Equation (5). Figure 5
7
8 shows that the ^1H spectra are related to pore sizes between 0.43 and 0.49 nm.
9
10
11

12
13 Furthermore, the NMR peak enlargement towards high values of ppm proves that small
14
15 cavities are favored during the adsorption process. However, a limitation occurred for ultra-
16
17 micropores, with a diameter less than 0.4 nm. The minimum shift values observed for C_6H_6 were
18
19 of the order of -7 ppm. Therefore, there is no experimental evidence for the occupation of pores
20
21 smaller than 0.4 nm. The 10 ppm case of DCM results from geometrical factors. For a given pore
22
23 size, a proton from DCM will be located closer than C_6H_6 , leading to a strong ^1H shift. Figure 7
24
25 shows the shift of non-centered protons. Independently of slit size, it appears that for a
26
27 wall/proton distance less than 0.15 nm, the shift was clearly higher than 10 ppm. Consequently,
28
29 it may also be anticipated that for micropores thinner than 0.5 to 0.6 nm, multilayer adsorption
30
31 was unlikely. The conformations of cyclohexane suggested higher fluctuations of chemical
32
33 shifts. The spectra gave a value of 7 ppm, which was less than the shift measured for
34
35 dichloromethane. The steric congestion of cyclohexane may explain its adsorption in larger pores
36
37 than benzene or dichloromethane molecules (Figure 7).
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **VOC adsorption and water desorption mechanisms**

47
48 The adsorption competition of water/VOC was well described by MAS NMR. Figure 3(a)
49
50 shows the water peak of spectra recorded with increasing amounts of adsorbed dichloromethane.
51
52 Water is gradually desorbed from the micropores revealing a preferential adsorption of VOC in
53
54 micropores. Such a phenomenon was also observed onto micro/macroporous carbon by Gun'ko
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *et al.* (2008) for a water/benzene mixture¹⁹. Interestingly, the initial water peak magnitude
4 continuously decreased and was gradually replaced by another one with a chemical shift of -0.5
5 ppm and increasing intensity. The -0.5 ppm chemical shift of the new peak remained much lower
6 than that of liquid water, revealing a weaker but still significant influence of the graphitic
7 network. Thus, the presence of adsorbed water inside the microporosity was still assumed, with a
8 decrease in the wall/H₂O molecule interaction. In other terms, migration of H₂O towards larger
9 micropores and/or mesopores was revealed. In addition, the very flat component appearing in
10 Figure 3(d)/curve (d) could be attributed to residual extra-porous adsorbed water. PFG
11 measurements in the next section of this work completed and confirmed this competition
12 behavior involving water and VOC(s).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Measurement of self-diffusion constants**

30
31 PFG recordings were conducted on wet THC515 with three VOCs for various diffusion time Δ
32 values (from 40 ms to 180 ms). Measurements were limited to 180 ms due to the T₂ relaxation
33 effect. Over this period, the signal/noise ratio was too weak. Figure 8 shows the cyclohexane
34 Ψ/Ψ° ratio evolution for increasing gradient intensities for $\Delta = 80$ ms. Experimental and fitted
35 model curves are presented. The commonly used model equation is:
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

$$43 \frac{\Psi}{\Psi_0} = \sum_i p_i \cdot \exp \left[-\gamma^2 \cdot G^2 \cdot \delta^2 \cdot D_{s,i} \cdot \left(\Delta - \frac{\delta}{3} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

44
45
46
47

48 Equation (6) involves many groups of molecules with self-diffusion $D_{s,i}$. p_i is the fraction of
49 molecules in group i , γ the proton gyromagnetic ratio, G the applied magnetic field gradient and
50 δ the pulse duration.
51
52
53
54

55 In porous systems, the diffusivity is generally given by⁴⁹:
56
57
58
59
60

$$D_s = p_{ads} \cdot D_{s,ads} + p_v \cdot D_{s,v}$$

Where p_{ads} and $D_{s,ads}$ are respectively the fraction and the self-diffusion constant of adsorbed molecules, and p_v and $D_{s,v}$ respectively the fraction and the self-diffusion of molecules in the vapor phase in equilibrium with the adsorbed one.

The agreement between the model and measurements was obtained using a single diffusivity value. Consequently, a single dominant diffusion phenomenon was implied. According to the wall/nucleus distance previously evaluated, and the unique peak obtained in MAS spectra, the dominant diffusion mechanism was expected to be surface diffusion. Consequently, p_v can be considered negligible.

Three diffusion mechanisms are involved in porous structures and their respective predominance depends on both pore size and the molecule free mean path (FMP)⁵⁰. But, it should be noted that FMP must be distinguished from the molecular displacements below deduced from PFG experiments. FMP corresponds to the mean distance covered by a molecule between two collisions with another molecule. If the pore diameter is larger than the FMP, collisions with walls are not influential and a molecular diffusion process is predominant. If the FMP increases or the pore size is smaller, the host frame presence appears in the diffusion process. The contribution of wall collisions becomes significant and Knudsen's diffusion mechanism is then effective. Lastly, if the FMP is of the same order as the pore diameter, the wall/molecule interaction is dominant and the surface diffusion mechanism is expected⁴⁴. Modeling has provided orders of magnitude of D_s for these diffusion processes^{51,52,53}. In nanopores (< 2 nm), surface diffusion is largely dominant with D_s values lower than $10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. For cyclohexane, a D_s of the order of $4 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ was measured. $D_s \approx 1 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ and $D_s \approx 4 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ were obtained for benzene and DCM, respectively. Those values were in agreement

1
2
3 with those of $10^{-10} \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$ to $5.10^{-9} \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$ in studies carried out for cyclohexane onto activated
4 carbon micro-meso hierarchical pore systems⁵⁴ and hexane in MCM-41 mesoporous silicas⁵⁵. In
5 addition, they confirmed the cyclohexane MAS results. In fact, the lower diffusivity of
6 cyclohexane corroborated the previous assumption of its reduced mobility in porosity as this
7 molecule has a more complex and voluminous structure.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 Diffusivities D_s and corresponding molecular velocities are reported in Figure 8. A slight
16 decrease in these parameters is observed with the observation time. This feature is explained by a
17 short Δ time with respect to the time interval between two redirections of diffusing molecules in
18 the host frame. The phenomenon is illustrated considering the molecule displacement in Figure
19 9. This schematic presentation demonstrates that with a short Δ , the measured molecular
20 displacement doesn't take account of the redirection phenomenon and, as a result, provides v_m
21 and D_s values greater than the macroscopic one. Using a sufficiently long Δ , the mean number of
22 redirections per time unit becomes constant, and the limit values of D_s and v_m are reached.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 Interestingly, in the latter conditions, the NMR diffusion coefficient value leads to the diffusivity
35 that could be observed at a macroscopic scale.
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Confirmation of water behavior**

42
43
44 Two sets of recording were carried out on wet THC515. The first sample contained only
45 adsorbed water (4 mol.kg^{-1}) while the second was loaded with a water/cyclohexane mixture.
46
47
48 Adsorbed water concentration was also of the order of 4 mol.kg^{-1} and cyclohexane was doubly
49 deuterated C_6D_{12} . Consequently, only adsorbed water molecules were analyzed by NMR. The ^1H
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
MAS spectra of these samples are shown in the inset of Figure 10. The change in water molecule
behavior was thus highlighted.

1
2
3 The D_s values from PFG measurements were of the order of $5 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for the first sample
4 (water only) and $10^{-11} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for the second (water/deuterated cyclohexane). The ratio of 50
5
6 between the two D_s values remained constant despite Δ variations. Figure 10 represents the
7
8 molecular velocities values and their constant ratio. It reveals a six times higher water mobility in
9
10 the presence of VOC. The results obtained using MAS NMR were thus confirmed. A lower
11
12 interaction with the host frame induced both a lower peak shift towards strong fields and a
13
14 greater mobility. Nevertheless, these D_s values verified that surface diffusion was occurring,
15
16 excluding the assumption of extra-porous water and confirming the dual presence of water and
17
18 VOC in micropores.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 CONCLUSION

28
29
30 The possibility of using ^1H NMR as a tool for the detection, identification and quantification of
31
32 VOCs adsorbed onto wet ultra-microporous activated carbon has been assessed. Under static
33
34 conditions, water, cyclohexane, benzene and DCM gave rise to very wide signals (several tens of
35
36 ppm) significantly shifted towards low ppm values (5 to 10 ppm) compared to the chemical
37
38 shifts of the liquid solutions of these compounds. The origin of the spectral width of the recorded
39
40 peaks could be attributed to the combination of ~~very~~ low transversal relaxation time values,
41
42 strong chemical shift anisotropy effects and homonuclear dipolar interactions. The latter
43
44 averaged under MAS conditions gave spectral bandwidths as small as 1 ppm, thus allowing the
45
46 separation and identification of each VOC signal. Furthermore, resorting to a semi-empirical
47
48 model enabled the averaged chemical shifts to be linked to the magnetic effects of the carbon
49
50 walls and therefore to the resonating proton/pore wall distance. Thus, despite the short signal
51
52 attenuation time, PFG studies could be carried out for diffusion times reaching 40 ms. This study
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 showed diffusion coefficients tending towards a constant value, revealing the macroscopic aspect
4 of the diffusion phenomenon. These results suggest the possibility of obtaining exploitable
5 values in the current kinetic models of mass transfer in porous materials. The use of various
6 techniques of solid state NMR, including Magic Angle Spinning, Pulsed Field Gradient and even
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

showed diffusion coefficients tending towards a constant value, revealing the macroscopic aspect of the diffusion phenomenon. These results suggest the possibility of obtaining exploitable values in the current kinetic models of mass transfer in porous materials. The use of various techniques of solid state NMR, including Magic Angle Spinning, Pulsed Field Gradient and even Magnetic Resonance Imaging, applied to the study of small molecule interactions with carbon surfaces constitutes a rapidly growing field of research. So far, NMR studies focusing on the application for supercapacitors are the most advanced^{56, 57, 58, 59} (ref, x, y, z, t) but, as shown in this paper, we believe this combination of NMR techniques constitutes also a very promising tool for studying the VOC adsorption onto activated carbons.

List of figures

Figure 1. THC515 pore width distribution (HK method). Inset: scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) pictures of THC515.

Figure 2. Static and MAS (9 kHz) spectra on THC515: (a) benzene (2.0 mol.kg⁻¹); (b) mixture of benzene (2.0 mol.kg⁻¹)/cyclohexane (1.2 mol.kg⁻¹); (c) dichloromethane (DCM) (2.5 mol.kg⁻¹)/water (2.3 mol.kg⁻¹).

Figure 3. (3a) ¹H MAS spectra (spinning rate 9 kHz). Spectra of cyclohexane adsorbed onto non-dried THC515 for various loadings; (a) 0.2 mol.kg⁻¹, (b) 0.7 mol.kg⁻¹, (c) 2.5 mol.kg⁻¹, (d) 4.0 mol.kg⁻¹. Fig. (3b) represents high ppm values of the spectra, containing the water molecule signals.

Figure 4. MAS 9 kHz spectra of DCM adsorbed onto non-dried THC515 for various loadings; (a) 0.1 mol.kg⁻¹, (b) 0.4 mol.kg⁻¹, (c) 1.7 mol.kg⁻¹, (d) 3.3 mol.kg⁻¹. Figure (4b) represents the DCM (dichloromethane) parts of these spectra.

Figure 5. Correlation between the pore width and the NMR shift according to Equation (3).

Figure 6. Correlation between the wall/nucleus distance in different porous cavities according to (3); (a) 0.5 nm; (b) 0.44 nm; (c) 0.40 nm.

Figure 7. Illustrations of H/wall distance for benzene, DCM and cyclohexane molecules, respectively.

Figure 8. NMR echo intensity with respect to the gradient for $\Delta = 80$ ms. Inset: diffusivity D_s and molecular velocity with respect to the diffusion time Δ .

1
2
3 Figure 9. Illustration of the evolution of the real displacement / measured displacement
4 ratio with various values of diffusion times.
5
6
7

8
9 Figure 10. Measured H₂O Molecular velocities v_{m1} (only H₂O loaded) and v_{m2} (mixture
10 H₂O/C₆D₁₂ loaded) with respect to the observation time Δ . **Inset** : MAS 9 kHz spectra of THC515
11 loaded with H₂O and a mixture of H₂O/C₆D₁₂ (dashed line).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60






Figure 1. THC515 pore width distribution (HK method). Inset: scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) pictures of THC515.









Figure 2. Static and MAS (9 kHz) spectra on THC515: (a) benzene (2.0 mol.kg^{-1}); (b) mixture of benzene (2.0 mol.kg^{-1})/cyclohexane (1.2 mol.kg^{-1}); (c) dichloromethane (DCM) (2.5 mol.kg^{-1})/water (2.3 mol.kg^{-1}).



1
2
3 **Figure 3.** (3a) ^1H MAS spectra (spinning rate 9 kHz). Spectra of cyclohexane adsorbed onto
4 non-dried THC515 for various loadings; (a) 0.2 mol.kg^{-1} , (b) 0.7 mol.kg^{-1} , (c) 2.5 mol.kg^{-1} , (d)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60






Figure 4. MAS 9 kHz spectra of DCM adsorbed onto non-dried THC515 for various loadings; (a) 0.1 mol.kg^{-1} , (b) 0.4 mol.kg^{-1} , (c) 1.7 mol.kg^{-1} , (d) 3.3 mol.kg^{-1} . Figure (4b) represents the DCM (dichloromethane) parts of these spectra.




Figure 5. Correlation between the pore width and the NMR shift according to Equation (3).




Figure 6. Correlation between the pore wall/nucleus distance in different porous cavities according to Equation (3). (a) 0.5 nm; (b) 0.44 nm; (c) 0.40 nm.




Figure 7. Illustrations of H/wall distance for benzene, DCM and cyclohexane molecules, respectively.




Figure 8. NMR echo intensity with respect to the gradient for $\Delta = 80$ ms. Inset: diffusivity D_s and molecular velocity with respect to the diffusion time Δ .




Figure 9. Illustration of the evolution of the real displacement / measured displacement ratio with various values of diffusion times.




Figure 10. Measured H₂O Molecular velocities v_{m1} (only H₂O loaded) and v_{m2} (mixture H₂O/C₆D₁₂ loaded) with respect to the observation time Δ . **Inset :** MAS 9 kHz spectra of THC515 loaded with H₂O and a mixture of H₂O/C₆D₁₂ (dashed line).

REFERENCES

- ¹ Sweatman, M. B.; Quirke, N. Characterization of Porous Materials by Gas Adsorption: Comparison of Nitrogen at 77 K and Carbon Dioxide at 298 K for Activated Carbon. *Langmuir*. **2001**, 17 (16), 5011–5020.

1
2
3
4
5 ² Brunauer, S.; Emmet, P.; Teller E. Adsorption of gases in multimolecular layers. *J. Am.*
6 *Chem. Soc.* **1938**, 60 (2), 309-319.

7
8
9
10
11 ³ Ravikovich, P. I.; Neimark, A. V.; Llwellyn, F. R. P. Density functional theory model of
12 adsorption on amorphous and microporous solids. In Characterization of Porous Solids VII -
13 Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium of the Characterization of Porous Solids (COPS
14 VII), Aix-en-Provence, France, 26-28 May 2005. **2007**, 160, 9-16.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21 ⁴ Neimark, A. V.; Ravikovich, P. I.; and Thommes, M. Quenched solid density functional
22 theory end pore size analysis of micro-mesoporous carbons. *Carbon.* **2009**, 47(7), 1617-1628.

23
24
25
26
27 ⁵ Boehm, H. Some aspects of the surface chemistry of carbon blacks and other carbons.
28 *Carbon.* **1994**, 32(5), 759-769.

29
30
31
32 ⁶ Toeckli, F.; Kraehenbuehl, F. The enthalpies of immersion of active carbons in relation to the
33 Dubinin theory for the volume filling of micropores. *Carbon.* **1981**, 19 (5), 353-356.

34
35
36
37
38 ⁷ Toeckli, F.; Kraehenbuehl, F. The external surface of microporous carbons derived from
39 adsorption and immersion studies. *Carbon.* **1984**, 22 (3), 297-299.

40
41
42
43
44
45
46 ⁸ Gregg, S. J.; Sing, K. S. W. Adsorption surface and porosity. *Academic Press, London.* **1991**.

47
48
49
50 ⁹ Groszek, A. J.; Aharoni, C. Study of the Active Carbon-Water Interaction by Flow
51 Adsorption Microcalorimetry. *Langmuir.* **1999**, 15 (18), 5956–5960.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

¹⁰ Berlier, K.; Frere, M. Adsorption of CO₂ on active carbon: simultaneous determination of integral heat and isotherm of adsorption. *J. Chem. Eng. Data*. **1996**, 41, 1144-1148.

¹¹ McNaughton, J. L.; Mortimer, C. T. Differential scanning calorimetry. Volume 10 of IRS Physical Chemistry Series 2. *Butterworths, London, UK*. **1975**.

¹² Baudu, M.; Le Cloirec, P.; Martin, G. First approach of desorption energies of water and organic molecules onto active carbon by differential scanning calorimetry studies. *Water Res.* **1993**, 27 (1), 69-76.

¹³ Sudibandriyo, M.; Pan, Z.; Fitzgerald, J. E.; Robinson, Jr, R. L.; Gasem, K. A. M. Adsorption of Methane, Nitrogen, Carbon Dioxide, and Their Binary Mixtures on Dry Activated Carbon at 318.2 K and Pressures up to 13.6 MPa. *Langmuir*. **2003**, 19 (13), 5323-5331.

¹⁴ Le Cloirec, P. Les composés organiques volatils dans l'environnement. *Tech & Doc, Lavoisier, Paris, France* **1998**.

¹⁵ Farmer, C. T.; Milne, P. J.; Riemer, D. J.; Zika, R. G. Continuous hourly analysis of C₂-C₁₀ non-methane hydrocarbon compounds in urban air by GC-FID. *Environmental Science & Technology*. **1994**, (28) 2, 238-245.

¹⁶ Giraudet, S.; Le Cloirec, P.; Boulinguez, B. Adsorption and Electrothermal Desorption of Volatile Organic Compounds and Siloxanes onto an Activated Carbon Fiber Cloth for Biogas Purification. *Energy Fuels*. **2014**, (28) 6, 3924-3932.

¹⁷ Bronnimann, C. E.; Maciel, G. E. ¹³C NMR Study of methanol in HY zeolite. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, 108, 7154-7159.

1
2
3
4
5 ¹⁸ Le Cloirec, P.; Martin, G.; Gallier J. ¹H NMR investigation on phenol saturated and
6 unsaturated active carbon: quantification and exchange behavior of protons. *Carbon*, **1988**, 26
7 (3), 275-282.

8
9
10
11
12
13 ¹⁹ Gun'ko, V. M.; Turov, V. V.; Kozynchenko, O. P.; Palijczuk, D.; Szmigielski, R.; Kerus, S.
14 V.; Borysenko, M. V.; Pakhlov, E. M.; Gorbik, P. P. Characteristics of adsorption phase with
15 water/organic mixtures at a surface of activated carbons possessing intraparticle and textural
16 porosities. *Applied Surface Science*. **2008**, 254 (10), 3220-3231.

17
18
19
20
21 ²⁰ Schmidt, R.; Walther Hansen, E.; Stocker, M.; Akporlaye, D.; Ellestad, O. H. Pore size
22 determination of MCM-41 mesoporous materials of ¹H NMR Spectroscopy, N₂ adsorption, and
23 HREM. A preliminary study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, 117, 4049-4056.

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31 ²¹ Stallmach, F.; Graser, A.; Karger, J.; Krause, C.; Jeschke, M.; Oberhagemann, U.; Spange,
32 S. Pulsed field gradient NMR studies of diffusion in MCM-41 mesoporous solids. *Microporous*
33 *and Mesoporous Materials*. **2001**, 44-45, 745-753.

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 ²² Dvoyaskin, M.; Khoklov, A.; Naumov, S.; Valiullin, R. Pulsed field gradient NMR study of
42 surface in mesoporous adsorbents. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*. **2009**. 125, 58-62.

43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51 ²³ Valiullin, R.; Kortunov, P.; Karer, V.; Timoshenko, V. Concentration-dependent self-
52 diffusion of liquids in nanopores: A nuclear magnetic resonance study. *Magnetic Resonance*
53 *Imaging*. **2005**. (23) 2, 209-214.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

²⁴ Anderson, R. J.; McNicholas, T. P.; Kleinhammes, A.; Wang, A.; Liu, J.; Wu, Y. NMR Methods for Characterizing the Pore Structures and Hydrogen Storage Properties of Microporous Carbons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132 (25), 8618–8626.

²⁵ Forse, A. C.; Griffin, J. M.; Wang, H.; Trease, N. M.; Presser, V.; Gogotsi, Y.; Simon, P.; Grey, C. P. Nuclear magnetic resonance study of ion adsorption on microporous carbide-derived carbon. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, 15 (20), 7722-7730.

²⁶ Deschamps, M.; Gilbert, E.; Azais, P.; Raymundo-Piñero, E.; Ammar, M. R.; Simon, P.; Massiot, D.; Béguin, F. Exploring electrolyte organization in supercapacitor electrodes with solid-state NMR. *Nature Materials*. **2013**, 351-358.

²⁷ Mueller, R.; Kanungo, R.; Kiyono-Shimobe, M.; Koros, W. J.; Vasenkov, S. Diffusion of Methane and Carbon Dioxide in Carbon Molecular Sieve Membranes by Multinuclear Pulsed Field Gradient NMR. *Langmuir*. **2012**, 28 (27), 10296–10303.

²⁸ Shaw, J. A.; Harris, R. K.; Norman, P. R. Magic-Angle Spinning NMR Study of the Competitive Adsorption of an Organophosphate and an Organophosphonate on Activated Carbon. *Langmuir*. **1998**, 14 (23), 6716-6721

²⁹ Harris, R. K.; Thompson, T. V.; Norman, P. R.; Pottage, C. Phosphorus-31 NMR studies of adsorption onto activated carbon. *Carbon*. **1999**, 37 (9), 1425-1430.

1
2
3
4
5 ³⁰ Harris, R. K.; Thompson, T. V.; Forshaw, P.; Foley, N.; Thomas, K. M.; Norman, P.
6 R.; Pottage, C. A magic-angle spinning NMR study into the adsorption of deuterated water by
7
8 activated carbon. *Carbon*. **1996**, 34 (10), 1275-1279.
9

10
11
12
13 ³¹ Romanova, E.E.; Grinberg, F.; Pampel, A.; Kärger Jörg.; Freude, D. Diffusion Studies in
14 confined liquid crystal by MAS PFG NMR. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*. **2009**. 196, 110-
15
16 114.
17
18

19
20
21 ³² Xu, Y.; Watermann, T.; Limbach, H-H.; Gutmann, T.; Sebatiani, D.; Buntkowsky, G. Water
22 and small organic molecules as probes for geometric confinement in well-ordered mesoporous
23
24 carbon materials. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**. 16, 9327.
25
26

27
28
29 ³³ Levitt, M. Spin Dynamics. Basic of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. **2008**. Wiley.
30

31
32 ³⁴ Duer, M. J. Solid-state NMR spectroscopy: principles and applications. *Duer*. **2008**. Wiley-
33
34 Blackwell Science.
35

36
37
38 ³⁵ Callaghan, P. T. Principles of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Microscopy. *Oxford Science*
39
40 *Publication*. **1991**. Oxford University Press. New York.
41

42
43 ³⁶ Callaghan, P. T.; Coy, A.; Halpin, T. P. J.; MacGowan, D.; Packer, K. J. Diffusion in porous
44 systems and the influence of pore morphology in pulsed field gradient spin-echo nuclear
45
46 magnetic resonance studies. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, 97 (1), 651-662.
47
48

49
50
51 ³⁷ Ruthven, D. M. in Karge, H. G.; Weitkamp, J. Adsorption and Diffusion. *Springer*. **2008**.
52
53 Berlin, Heidelberg. pp. 1-43.
54
55

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

³⁸ Giraudet S.; Boulinguez B.; Le Cloirec P.; Adsorption and Electrothermal Desorption of Volatile Organic Compounds and Siloxanes onto an Activated Carbon Fiber Cloth for Biogas Purification. *Energy Fuels*. **2014**, 28 (6), pp 3924–3932.

³⁹ Boulinguez, B.; Le Cloirec, P. Chemical transformations of sulfur compounds adsorbed onto activated carbon materials during thermal desorption. *Carbon*. **2010**. 48 (5), 1558–1569.

⁴⁰ Hahn, E. L. Spin Echo. *Phys. Rev.* **1950**, 80 (4), 1-6.

⁴¹ Ganguli, N.; Krishnan, K. S. The Magnetic and Other Properties of the Free Electrons in Graphite. *Proc. R. Soc. London*. **1941**, 177 (969), 168–182.

⁴² Heremans, J.; Olk, C. H.; Morelli, D. T. Magnetic Susceptibility of Carbon Structures. *Phys. Rev. B*. **1994**, 49 (21), 15122-15125.

⁴³ Heremans, J.; Olk, C. H.; Morelli, T. Magnetic susceptibility of carbon structures. *Phys. Rev.* **1994**, B 49, 15122.

⁴⁴ Pople, J. A. Proton Magnetic Resonance of Hydrocarbons. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1956**, 24, 1111.

⁴⁵ Carrington, A.; McLachlan, A. D. Introduction to Magnetic Resonance with Applications to Chemistry and Chemical Physics. *Harper International Edition*. **1967**. Harper & Row. New York. pp. 58-61.

⁴⁶ Tabony, J.; White, J. W. Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of the melting and orientation of benzene adsorbed upon graphite. *Surface Science Letters*. **1980**, 95 (2-3), 282-288.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

⁴⁷ Vernovt, A.; Steele, W. A. Computer Simulation of Benzene Adsorbed on Graphite. 1.85K. *Langmuir*. **1991**, 7 (12), 3110–3117.

⁴⁸ Chakarova-Käck, S. D.; Schröder, E.; Lundqvist, B. I.; Langreth, D. C. Application of van der Waals Density Functional to an Extended System: Adsorption of Benzene and Naphthalene on Graphite. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, 96.146107.

⁴⁹ Zeigermann, P.; Naumov, S.; Mascotto, S.; Kärger, J.; Smarsly, B.M.; Valiulin, R. Diffusion in Hierarchical Mesoporous Materials: Applicability and Generalization of the Fast-Exchange Diffusion Model. *Langmuir*. **2012**, 28 (7), 3621–3632.

⁵⁰ Ruthven, D. M. Principles of Adsorption and Adsorption Processes. **1984**. Wiley-Interscience, New-York, USA.

⁵¹ Do, D. D. Dynamics of a semi-batch adsorber with constant molecular supply rate: a method for studying adsorption rate of pure gases. *Chemical Engineering Science*. **1995**, 50 (3), 549-553.

⁵² Prasetyo, I.; Do, H. D.; Do, D. D. Surface diffusion of strong adsorbing vapours on porous carbon. *Chemical Engineering Science*. **1995**, 57, 387-394.

⁵³ Chiang, H. L.; Chiang, P. C.; Chiang, Y. C.; Chang, E. E. Diffusivity of Microporous Carbon for Benzene and Methyl-Ethyl Ketone adsorption. *Chemosphere*. **1999**, 38(12), 2733-2746.

⁵⁴ Furtado, F.; Galvosas, P.; Gonçalves, M.; Kopinke, F. D.; Naumov, S.; Rodríguez-Reinoso, F.; Roland, U.; Valiullin, R.; Kärger, J. Guest diffusion in interpenetrating networks of micro- and mesopores. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133 (8), 2437–2443.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
-
- ⁵⁵ Adem, Z.; Guenneau, F.; Springuel-Huet, M.A.; Gédéon, A.; Iapichella, J.; Cacciaguera, T.; Galarneau, A. Diffusion Properties of Hexane in Pseudomorphic MCM-41 Mesoporous Silica Explored by Pulsed Field Gradient NMR. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2012**, 116 (25), pp 13749–13759
- ⁵⁶ Alam, T.M.; Osborn Popp, T.M. In-pore Exchange and Diffusion of Carbontate Solvent Mixtures in Nanoporous Carbon. *Chemical Physics Letters*, **2016**, 658, 51-57.
- ⁵⁷ Forse, A.C.; Merlet, C.; Griffin, J.M.; Grey, C.P. New Perspectives on the Charging Mechanisms of Supercapacitors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, 138, 5731-5744.
- ⁵⁸ Griffin, J.M.; Forse A.C.; Grey C.P.; Solid-state NMR Studies of Supercapacitors. *Solid State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance 74-75*, **2016**, 16-35.
- ⁵⁹ Forse A.C.; Griffin, J.M.; Merlet, C.; Bayley, P.M.; Wang, H.; Simon, P.; Grey, C.P. NMR Study of ion Dynamics and Charge Storage in ionic Liquid Supercapacitors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2015**, 137, 7231-7242.

TOC graphic

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

