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ABSTRACT. Five tris(β-diketonato) complexes of ruthenium(III), chromium(III), and cobalt(III) (Ru(Buacac)_3 (1), Ru(Oacac)_3 (2), Cr(Buacac)_3 (3), Cr(Oacac)_3 (4), and Co(Buacac)_3 (5), Buacac = 3-butylpentane-2,4-dionato, Oacac = 3-octylpentane-2,4-dionato) with a chiral propeller-like structure have been prepared. Ligands and complexes syntheses are presented together with the characterization of the compounds by ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR, mass spectrometry, IR, UV-vis, electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectroscopy, electrochemistry studies, and first-principles calculations. The crystal structures of 1 and 5 have also been obtained and analyzed. A comparison of the ¹H-NMR spectra of diamagnetic (ligands and 5) and paramagnetic species (1 and 2) is presented. The optical resolution of the five complexes has been achieved for the first time by supercritical fluid chromatography using a chiral column, giving rise to very high purity grades in all cases. ECD measurements and calculations for 1-5 have led to the assignment of the absolute configuration, ∆ or Λ, of each enantiomer. Spectroelectrochemical UV-vis and ECD studies have been performed on Ru Λ-2 and Cr Λ-4 complexes revealing their redox-triggered chiroptical switching activity and the non-innocence character of the β-diketonate ligands.

Introduction

Tris(β-diketonato) such as tris(pentane-2,4-dionato) complexes are intrinsically chiral because of their propeller-like structure that displays an idealized D₃ symptom. They present two possible configurations, ∆ or Λ, that can be determined by the principle of the skew-line convention (Figure 1).¹-² This type of compounds has been attracting a great deal of attention as dopants in (achiral) nematic liquid crystals (NLCs) to form chiral LCs, also known as cholesteric LCs (CLCs),³-¹¹ which exhibit a twisted molecular arrangement. The first example of such strategy was reported by Spada and coworkers,³ who proved the high ability of
enantiomerically pure M(acac)$_3$ complexes with M = Co, Cr, Ir, Rh, Ru, and acac = acetylacetonate (pentane-2,4-dionate) ion to induce chirality in liquid crystals. The M(acac)$_3$ display however a limited solubility in NLC at room temperature and therefore the use of acac ligands with elongated substituents has been shown more convenient.$^{3-11}$ LCs may also undergo macroscopic structural transformations due to photo-induced conformational changes of a dopant.$^{6-11}$ For example, Horie and coworkers studied the photoracemization of chromium(III) complexes Cr(Buacac)$_3$\textsuperscript{7-8} or Cr(Oacac)$_3$\textsuperscript{6} dissolved in a NLC, demonstrating the chiroptical induction of a periodic stripe texture known as cholesteric fingerprint texture of LC under the irradiation of visible circularly polarized light. This may allow the control of the helical twist sense in a CLC and, consequently, the control of the handedness of circularly polarized reflected light, i.e. chirality of a CLC.$^{12}$

β-Diketonato ruthenium complexes bearing π-conjugated ligands are also known to display electroactive properties. In this domain, many carbon-rich, polyimine, quinonoid, diketonato, cyclometalated, and carbenic Ru(II) or Ru(III) complexes have been quite recently reported.$^{13-23}$ All these compounds possess at least one organic extended π-conjugated ligand with non-innocent behavior, which means that induced upon redox process charge density is localized not only on the metal ion, but also supported by the ligand itself. Surprisingly, to the best of our knowledge,$^{24-29}$ the spectroelectrochemical properties and redox chiroptical switching of the parent tris(β-diketonato) transition metal complexes M(acac)$_3$ have never been studied, although the acac ligands are π-conjugated and their π-electron system can readily interact with the metal center.
Taking all this into account, we have prepared five chiral tris(β-diketonato) complexes based on Ru(III), Cr(III), and Co(III) transition-metal centers with ligands containing elongated alkyl substituent in the 3-position of the pentane-2,4-dionate (acac) ion, Ru(Buacac)$_3$ (1), Ru(Oacac)$_3$ (2), Cr(Buacac)$_3$ (3), Cr(Oacac)$_3$ (4), and Co(Buacac)$_3$ (5) (see Figure 2), that thanks to increased solubility in liquid crystals may be promising candidates for future applications in, for example, doping liquid crystals. The systems have been fully characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, cyclic voltammetry, single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, IR, UV-vis, and electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectroscopies. The optical resolution of several examples of similar compounds has been achieved using either a conventional chromatographic column packed with a $\Delta$-[Ru(phenanthroline)$_3$]$^{2+}$ system$^{24-25}$ or HPLC with different chiral columns.$^{5-6,26,30-32}$ However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) has been employed to optically resolve this type of complexes. Absolute configuration (AC) of all the enantiomers was assigned by comparison of the measured ECD spectra with those of similar compounds in the literature$^{33}$ and the simulated ones based on first-principles calculations. Finally, the electroactive properties of these complexes enabled to evaluate their ability to act as chiroptical switches and the effect of redox activity on their electronic and optical properties has been rationalized with a help of quantum-chemical calculations.
Figure 2. (Left): Acetylacetonate-based ligands presented in this work. The numbering employed to assign the $^1$H-NMR data is depicted in blue. (Right): The corresponding metal complexes studied.

Experimental section

Materials

All chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade or better. Sodium pentane-2,4-dionate was prepared according to a published procedure. The ligands and complexes were purified prior to the supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) by column chromatography using silica gel of high-purity grade for flash chromatography (Fluka). Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out using silica gel on TLC Al foils with fluorescence indicator at 254 nm (Fluka).
**Physical measurements**

IR spectra were measured on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer with samples as KBr pellets. UV-visible spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 5000 spectrophotometer. Cyclic voltammograms were obtained with an Autolab system (PGSTAT100) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate, $n$-Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ = TBAPF$_6$, as supporting electrolyte) at 25°C. A three-electrode cell was used comprising a 1 mm Pt-disk working electrode, a Pt-wire auxiliary electrode, and an aqueous saturated calomel (SCE) reference electrode. Mass spectra were recorded by the ‘Service de Spectroscopie de Masse’ of Paul Sabatier University using ESI UPLC XEVO G2 QTOF and UHPLC 3000 DIONEX or EI (NH$_3$) DSQ Thermo Fisher Scientific or ESI QTRAP 2000 (Applied Biosystems) and API-365 (Perkin Elmer Sciex). $^1$H-NMR and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra were measured on Bruker Avance 500 MHz CryoSonde and Bruker Avance 300 MHz equipments in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ and CDCl$_3$ at 20°C (CD$_2$Cl$_2$ at $\delta$H: 5.32, $\delta$C: 54.0, CDCl$_3$ at $\delta$H: 7.26, $\delta$C: 77.16 ppm). $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to Me$_4$Si as reference standard. Electronic circular dichroism, ECD, (in M$^1$ cm$^{-1}$) was measured on a Jasco J-815 Circular Dichroism Spectrometer (IFR140 facility - Biosit - Université de Rennes 1).

**Optical resolutions**

Supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) has become a mainstream technology for chiral separations based on its higher efficiency, throughput, and wide applicability. Isocratic method development was developed on the ACQUITY UltraPerformance Convergence Chromatography System™(UPC$^2$)® from Waters. Chiral separations were run on Preparative-scale SFC 80q system from Waters using a Chiralpack IC 5 µm (20 x 250) mm column and a 2489 UV detector from Waters monitoring at 367 nm for 1, 2 and 4; 352 nm for 3, and 245 nm for 5. The working temperature and pressure of the column were 40°C and 100 bar, respectively. Purification of chiral compounds by SFC typically utilizes isocratic method
conditions and stacked injections for optimal collection efficiency. This process is called volume overloading as opposed to concentration overloading.\textsuperscript{35} In order to increase the productivity, the stacked injections gave the possibility to perform the second injection before the end of the elution of the second enantiomer. All compounds were eluted in isocratic mode using a 40 mL/min flow of a mixture of CO\textsubscript{2}/MeOH (8/2) except for 3, which was eluted with a mixture of CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{3}CN (8/2). For 1, a sample of 400 mg was dissolved in 6 mL of methanol, concentration of the injected quantity was 66.6 mg/mL. The volume of injection was 0.5 mL which generated a mass of 33 mg per injection. Here, 9 injections of 33 mg or 297 mg were resolved in less than 50 min (see Figure S1c in the Supporting Information, SI). For 2, a sample of 200 mg was dissolved in 8 mL of acetonitrile, concentration of the injected quantity was 25 mg/mL. The volume of injection was 0.5 mL which generated a mass of 12.5 mg per injection. Here, 6 injections of 12.5 mg or 75 mg were resolved in less than 35 min (Figure S1h, SI). For 3, compound was eluted in isocratic mode using a 40 mL/min flow of a mixture of CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{3}CN (8/2). A sample of 200 mg was dissolved in 20 mL of acetonitrile; concentration of the injected quantity was 10 mg/mL. The volume of injection was 1 mL which generated a mass of 10 mg per injection. Here, 13 injections of 10 mg or 130 mg were made in less than 104 min (Figure S1m, SI). For each fraction of 3, a second purification in the same conditions was carried out to improve the purity of each of the peaks. This separation was more difficult than the others. For 4, a sample of 200 mg was dissolved in 5 mL of methanol, concentration of the injected quantity was 40 mg/mL. The volume of injection was 0.5 mL which generated a mass of 20 mg per injection. Here, 6 injections of 20 mg or 120 mg were resolved in less than 35 min (Figure S1r, SI). For 5, a sample of 200 mg was dissolved in 5 mL of heptane, concentration of the injected quantity was 40 mg/mL. The volume of injection was 0.5 mL which generated a mass of 20 mg per injection. Here, 9 injections of 20 mg or 180 mg were resolved in less than 35 min (Figure S1w, SI). Post-
enantioseparation analyses were determined on an ACQUITY UPC² System from Waters using a Chiralpack IC 3 µm (4.6 x 100 mm) column eluted with supercritical (sc) CO₂/CH₃OH under gradient conditions. The detection was performed with an UV detector coupled to a simple quadrupole mass detector from Waters. The flow was 1 mL/min. Starting mobile phase composition of the gradient was 100% CO₂ and final mobile phase composition was a mixture of sc CO₂ and CH₃OH (70/30). The eluent composition was changing during 5 min. The working temperature and pressure of the column were 40°C and 120 bar. Purities very close to 100% were obtained in all cases. All the analytical SFC files before and after the chiral resolutions and the preparative SFC files are shown in the SI (part S1).

X-ray diffraction studies

Data for X-ray single-crystal structure determination were collected with a Nonius Kappa-CCD automatic X-ray single-crystal diffractometer, using Mo Kα radiation, for which a graphite monochromator was applied. Intensities were measured using an Apex2 detector and at a temperature of 293 K. The crystallographic cell was found using Apex2 software. The point group determination was followed by the determination of the position of all non-hydrogen atoms by direct methods using SIR2011 and refined in the WinGX software package using SHELX-97. Absorption corrections were performed using the SADABS program. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically and then anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were placed geometrically and treated as riding in geometrically optimized positions. CCDC 1423363 and CCDC 1476307 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif
Table 1. Crystal and refinement data for 1 and 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Empirical Formula</th>
<th>C_{27}H_{45}O_{6}Co [5]</th>
<th>C_{27}H_{45}O_{6}Ru [1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula Weight</td>
<td>522.54</td>
<td>566.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal System</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit cell dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (Å³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{cal} (Mg/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ(MoKα) (mm⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Range for data collection (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting indices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observed/unique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to 0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness of fit on F²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest difference in peak and hole (e Å⁻³)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ligands syntheses**

A mixture of the corresponding 1-alkyliodide (32.0 mmol) and sodium pentane-2,4-dionate (3.20 g, 26.0 mmol) in 2-butane (50 mL) was heated under reflux for 72 h. Then, the solvent was evaporated and diethyl ether was added. The solution was filtrated off, extracted twice with brine and dried with magnesium sulfate. After evaporation of the solvent, the product was purified by chromatography in silica gel using dichloromethane as eluent.
3-butylpentane-2,4-dione (Buacac): yellowish oil (2.20 g, 54%). $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): keto (70%): 3.60 (t, $J$: 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (s, 6H), 1.83-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.14 (m, 4H), 0.88 (t, $J$: 7.2 Hz, 3H); enol (30%): 16.69 (s, 1H), 2.20 (m, 2H), 2.11 (s, 6H), 1.40-1.14 (m, 4H), 0.93 (t, $J$: 6.9 Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C-NMR (300 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): keto: 204.96, 69.06, 30.28, 29.62, 28.51, 23.12, 14.11; enol: 191.57, 111.16, 33.33, 27.75, 23.22, 23.19, 14.21. Chemical Ionization-MS (NH$_3$) 157 [M+H]$^+$, 174 [M+NH$_4$]$^+$.

3-octylpentane-2,4-dione (Oacac): yellowish oil (2.44 g, 44%). $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): keto (70%): 3.58 (t, $J$: 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (s, 6H), 1.81-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.25 (m, 12H), 0.86 (t, $J$: 7.2 Hz, 3H); enol (30%) 16.69 (s, 1H), 2.21-2.16 (m, 2H), 2.10 (s, 6H), 1.25 (m, 12H), 0.87 (t, $J$: 7.2 Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C-NMR (300 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): keto: 204.97, 69.12, 32.40, 30.04, 29.85, 29.83, 29.76, 29.63, 28.83, 23.22, 14.43; enol: 191.57, 111.22, 32.44, 31.18, 30.17, 29.97, 29.89, 28.06, 23.22, 23.22, 14.43. Chemical Ionization-MS (NH$_3$) 213 [M+H]$^+$, 230 [M+NH$_4$]$^+$.

**Complexes syntheses**

Synthesis of Ru(Buacac)$_3$ (1) and Ru(Oacac)$_3$ (2): Ruthenium(III) chloride hexahydrate (0.61 g, 2.5 mmol), the corresponding 3-alkylpentane-2,4-dione (7.5 mmol) and urea (0.45 g, 7.5 mmol) were placed in a purged flask and dissolved in 40 mL of a methanol/water (1/1) mixture. The solution was refluxed under argon for 24 h. Then, the system was allowed to warm up to room temperature and extracted with dichloromethane and brine. The organic solution was dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated. The product was purified by chromatography in silica gel using dichloromethane as eluent. Crystals of 1 suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained from a concentrated solution of the complex in hexane at -20°C.

Ru(Buacac)$_3$ (1): red lake (0.46 g, 32%). $^1$H-NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): 29.48 (s, 1H), 28.93 (s, 1H), 1.82 (s, 2H), 1.75 (s, 3H), -0.14 (s, 1H), -1.10 (s, 1H), -9.85 (s, 6H). IR (KBr,

Ru(Oacac)₃ (2): red lake (0.47 g, 26%). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): 29.29 (s, 1H), 28.99 (s, 1H), 1.84 (s, 2H), 1.72 (s, 2H), 1.34 (s, 6H), 0.91 (3H), -0.29 (s, 1H), -1.15 (s, 1H), -9.80 (s, 6H). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2956m, 2924m, 2866, 2854w ν(C–H), 1542 ν(C=O) and ν(C=C). TOF-MS ES⁺: 736 [M+H]⁺, 759 [M+Na]⁺, 1494 [2M+Na]⁺.

Synthesis of Cr(Buacac)₃ (3) and Cr(Oacac)₃ (4): The procedure was adapted from the literature. A solution of the corresponding 3-alkylpentane-2,4-dione (7.5 mmol), chromium chloride hexahydrate (0.67 g, 2.5 mmol), urea (0.45 g, 2.5 mmol) in a 50 mL mixture of water/ethanol (1/1) was heated under reflux for 24 h. The system was allowed to warm up to room temperature and extracted with dichloromethane and brine. The organic solution was dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated. The product was purified by chromatography in silica gel using dichloromethane as eluent.

Cr(Buacac)₃ (3): green oil (0.20 g, 18%). The ¹H NMR spectrum could not be interpreted. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2957m, 2930m, 2866w, 2848w ν(C–H), 1563 ν(C=O). TOF-MS ES⁺: 518 [M+H]⁺, 540 [M+Na]⁺, 1058 [2M+Na]⁺.

Cr(Oacac)₃ (4): green oil (0.44 g, 25%). The ¹H NMR spectrum could not be interpreted. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2956m, 2924m, 2854m ν(C–H), 1568 ν(C=O). TOF-MS ES⁺: 687 [M+H]⁺, 709 [M+Na]⁺, 1394 [2M+Na]⁺.

Synthesis of Co(Buacac)₃ (5): The procedure was adapted from the literature. 3-Butylpentane-2,4-dione (1.00 g, 6.40 mmol) was suspended in 60 mL of a water/ethanol (5/1) mixture, and treated with a 0.2 M solution of NaOH (32 mL). A solution of sodium hexanitritocobaltate(III) (0.86 g, 2.13 mmol) in 30 mL of water was then slowly added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The residue was extracted with dichloromethane and brine, dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated. The product was
purified by chromatography in silica gel using a mixture of dichloromethane/hexane (1/1) as eluent.

\textit{Co(Buacac)}\textsubscript{3} (5): green lake (0.14 g, 13%). \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (300 MHz, CD\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}): 2.30 (t, 2H), 2.19 (s, 6H), 1.37-1.35 (m, 4H), 0.93 (t, 3H). \textsuperscript{13}C-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 187.93, 107.28, 33.5, 29.47, 25.43, 22.63, 14.21. IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 2960\textsuperscript{m}, 2930\textsuperscript{m}, 2875\textsuperscript{w}, 2857\textsuperscript{w} \nu(C–H), 1568\textsuperscript{s} \nu(C=O) and \nu(C=C). TOF-MS ES\textsuperscript{+}: 525 [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}, 547 [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+}, 563 [M+K]\textsuperscript{+}, 1071 [2M+Na]\textsuperscript{+}.

**Electrochemistry / Spectroelectrochemistry**

Cyclic voltammograms were obtained with an Autolab system (PGSTAT100) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (0.1 M TBAPF\textsubscript{6}, as supporting electrolyte) at 25°C. A three electrode cell was used comprising a 1 mm Pt-disk working electrode, a Pt-wire auxiliary electrode, and an aqueous saturated calomel (SCE) reference electrode. UV/Vis/NIR spectroelectrochemistry (SEC) experiments were performed at 20°C, under argon, with a home-built Optically Transparent Thin-Layer Electrosynthetic (OTTLE) cell, using a Varian CARY 5000 spectrometer and an EG&G PAR model 362 potentiostat. A Pt mesh was used as the working electrode, a Pt wire as the counter electrode, and a Pt wire as a pseudo-reference electrode. The electrodes were arranged in the cell such that the Pt mesh was in the optical path of the quartz cell. The anhydrous freeze-pump-thaw degassed sample-electrolyte solution (0.2 M TBAPF\textsubscript{6}) was cannula-transferred under argon into the cell previously thoroughly deoxygenated. Stable isobestic points were observed during oxidation or reduction. In every case re-reduced or re-oxidized samples displayed in the spectral region of interest no features other than those of the parent material. ECD experiments were performed in similar conditions using a modified cell with KBr windows, on a Jasco J-815 Circular Dichroism Spectrometer (IFR140 facility - Université de Rennes 1).
**Computational details**

To obtain insight into electronic structure and spectroscopic features of the experimentally studied complexes 1-5, Kohn-Sham density functional theory (KS DFT) geometry optimizations and time-dependent KS (TDKS, TDDFT) response calculations were performed for a series of Λ-M(Meacac)_3 (M = Ru, Cr, Co; Meacac = 3-methylpentane-2,4-dione) species (Figure 3). For comparison, some computations were also carried out for the corresponding Λ-M(acac)_3 (M = Ru, Cr, Co; acac = pentane-2,4-dione) complexes, for which stability of different spin electronic configurations was also examined. A high similarity between simulated spectral envelopes for M(Meacac)_3 and M(acac)_3 confirms that the metal-(acac)_3 core is predominantly responsible for the observed spectral features (note also high resemblance of experimental ECD spectra of 1-2 / 3-4 and the parent Ru(acac)_3 / Cr(acac)_3 complexes); in some cases the alkyl substituents of acac ligands appear however to slightly affect energetic position and intensity of calculated bands.

![Molecular structure of M(Meacac)_3 complex in its Λ configuration](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Total spin number $S$</th>
<th>Multiplicity (spin state)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(Meacac)$_3$</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>2 (doublet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(Meacac)$_3^{ox}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (triplet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(Meacac)$_3^{red}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (singlet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr(Meacac)$_3$</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>4 (quartet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr(Meacac)$_3^{ox}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (triplet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(Meacac)$_3$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (singlet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(Meacac)$_3^{ox}$</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>2 (doublet)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Molecular structure of M(Meacac)$_3$ complex in its Λ configuration studied as a model for 1-5 along with spin-state details for particular species considered.
All calculations were performed with Turbomole 6.6 package without imposing molecular symmetry. DFT geometry optimizations employed the B3LYP exchange-correlation functional in its default Turbomole parameterization. TDDFT linear response electronic circular dichroism (ECD) calculations utilized the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) Becke88-Perdew86 (BP) functional along with two commonly used GGA hybrids B3LYP and PBE0. In all these computations the polarized triple-ζ valence basis set TZVP was employed for all the atoms. Scalar relativistic effects were treated implicitly by the use of a 60-electron relativistic effective core potential (ECP) in the case of Ru, and neglected for Cr and Co. Solvent effects for dichloromethane (DCM, ε = 8.9) and 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE, ε = 10.66) were included in the calculations via the conductor-like screening model (COSMO) as implemented in Turbomole.

The simulated spectra shown are the sums of Gaussian functions centered at the vertical excitation energies and scaled using the calculated rotatory strengths, with a global σ parameter of 0.18 eV applied for the root mean square width. The benchmark ECD calculations whose results are presented in the top panels of Figures S7a-c in the SI covered the 175 (TDDFT BP) and 100 (TDDFT B3LYP and PBE0) lowest allowed excited states for each system. For the analyzed B3LYP DCE spectra of the Λ-M(Meacac)3 complexes the lowest number of excitations calculated extends at least 1 eV beyond the upper frequency cutoff of the experimental spectra to avoid truncation errors in the simulated broadened ECD intensity.

**Results and discussion**

**Synthesis and characterization**

The ligands Buacac and Oacac (Figure 2) were readily prepared with an approximate yield of 50% by a 72-hours refluxing reaction of the corresponding 1-alkyliodide with sodium pentane-2,4-dionate in 2-butanone. The procedure was analogous to that described in the
literature for the synthesis of 3-decylpentane-2,4-dione. An increase of the reaction time did not improve the yield. Direct alkylation of acetylacetone with the corresponding 1-alkyliodide in the presence of potassium carbonate also led to the target ligands but the yield was significantly smaller (15%).

The two chromium complexes Cr(Buacac)₃ (3) and Cr(Oacac)₃ (4) were prepared by the procedure described by Anzai et al. The yield was 18% and 25% for 3 and 4, respectively. The ruthenium systems 1 and 2 were synthesized with a 32% and a 26% yield following a pathway similar to that employed to analogous Cr compounds. Ruthenium(III) chloride hydrate and the acac-based ligand were heated in the presence of urea under argon atmosphere for 24 hours in a methanol / water mixture of solvents. Neither shorter nor longer time of the reaction improved the yield. The procedure was also examined without argon atmosphere but a blue side-product was obtained together with the complexes 1 and 2.

The synthesis pathway analogous to that employed in the case of 1-4 did not lead to 5. Consequently, an alternative procedure was adapted from the literature to prepare this compound with a 13% yield. A solution of sodium hexanitritocobaltate(III) in water was treated at room temperature with Buacac, previously deprotonated with NaOH. Note that the complex 5 revealed very low chemical stability. Furthermore, despite efforts made, this procedure did not give the Co(III)-Oacac derivative, resulting in a yellowish product instead.

The ligands and corresponding metal complexes were then fully characterized. The ¹H-, ¹³C-, and the 2D-NMR (¹H-¹H) COSY, (¹H-¹³C) HSQC, and (¹H-¹³C) HMBC) were measured either in CDCl₃ or CD₂Cl₂ solution (see Figures S2a-S2m and S3a-S3m in the SI). The numbering scheme employed in the ¹H-NMR assignment is shown in Figure 2. The Buacac and Oacac can exist in solution in the enol and keto forms, which is evidenced by the simultaneous presence of a signal due to the OH group (position [6]) of the enol tautomer at ca. 16.7 ppm and a signal at ca. 3.6 ppm for the proton in the position [4] for the keto
tautomer in their $^1$H-NMR spectra. The $^1$H-NMR spectra of both ligands reveal a similar pattern, consistent with the presence of the diketone as the major form ($> 70\%$) as evaluated from the intensity ratios of the peaks. We have been able to assign all $^1$H-NMR signals to particular $^1$H nuclei of Buacac and Oacac (Table 2, Figures S2c and S2i in the SI) and their positions remain in an agreement with the bibliographic values.$^{6,8,40}$ In the case of the $^{13}$C-NMR spectra, all the C nuclei for both ligands have also been assigned (Figures S2f and S2l, SI).

The NMR data of the cobalt(III) system 5 were straightforwardly interpreted because of the diamagnetic nature of the complex that gives simple spectra (Table 2 and Figures S3a-S3g in the SI). In this case, no keto-enol equilibrium is possible due to the deprotonation of the ligand in the complex and the observed chemical shifts are comparable to those of the free Buacac ligand showing for example the protons of the position [2] at 1.35-1.37, [3] at 2.30, and [5] at 2.19 ppm. The corresponding Buacac $^1$H-NMR shifts are respectively 1.14-1.40, 2.20 and 2.11 ppm (enol form). In the case of the paramagnetic ($S = \frac{1}{2}$, doublet) ruthenium(III) complexes 1 and 2 a noticeable displacement and broadening of the $^1$H-NMR peaks was however observed, especially significant for the H nuclei close to the metal center, in line with what has been observed before in similar paramagnetic compounds,$^{58-63}$ and indicating non-innocent behavior of the ligand. Thus, for example, the protons of the position [3] are shifted to ca. 29 ppm, and the peak corresponding to the methyl group in the position [5] appears at -9.85 ppm for 1 and at -9.80 ppm for 2 (Table 2 and Figures S3h-S3i in the SI) agreeing reasonably well with available calculated data for Ru(acac)$_3$.$^{62-63}$ Moreover, some of the protons that are equivalent for the ligands become inequivalent for 1 and 2. For example, the multiplet observed for the H nuclei in the position [2] at 1.14-1.40 ppm (4H) for Buacac and 1.25 ppm (12H) for Oacac becomes a set of three singlets at 1.82 (2H), -0.14 (1H) and -1.10 (1H) ppm for 1 and a set of five singlets at 1.84 (2H), 1.72 (2H), 1.34 (6H), -0.29 (1H),
and -1.15 (1H) ppm for 2. Similarly, the aforementioned two protons of the CH$_2$ peak in the position [3] become inequivalent giving rise to two singlets at 29.48 (1H) and 28.93 (1H) ppm for 1 and at 29.29 (1H) and 28.99 (1H) for 2. Finally, although NMR spectra of high-spin (S = 3/2, quartet) Cr(acac)$_3$ complex have been assigned, the ones for the corresponding acac derivatives Cr(III) systems 3 and 4 were found to be too broad to be reliably interpreted.

**Table 2.** $^1$H-NMR chemical shifts (in ppm) measured in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ solution for the Buacac and Oacac ligands and the corresponding Ru(III) and Co(III) complexes.$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>Buacac</th>
<th>Oacac</th>
<th>Ru(Buacac)$_3$</th>
<th>Ru(Oacac)$_3$</th>
<th>Co(Buacac)$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>keto form</td>
<td>enol form</td>
<td>keto form</td>
<td>enol form</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>0.88 (t, 3H)</td>
<td>0.93 (t, 3H)</td>
<td>0.86 (t, 3H)</td>
<td>0.87 (t, 3H)</td>
<td>1.75 (s, 3H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>1.14-1.40 (m, 4H)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.25 (m, 12H)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.82 (s, 2H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3]</td>
<td>1.76-1.83 (m, 2H)</td>
<td>2.20 (m, 2H)</td>
<td>1.74-1.81 (m, 2H)</td>
<td>2.16-2.21 (m, 2H)</td>
<td>29.48 (s, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>3.60 (t, 1H)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.58 (t, 1H)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>2.14 (s, 6H)</td>
<td>2.11 (s, 6H)</td>
<td>2.13 (s, 6H)</td>
<td>2.10 (s, 6H)</td>
<td>-9.85(s, 6H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>16.69 (s, 1H)</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.69 (s, 1H)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The atoms numbering is depicted in Figure 2. See also Figures S2a-S2m and S3a-S3m in the SI.
The IR spectra of the complexes 1-5 in KBr (Figures S4a-S4e, SI) exhibit up to four different \( \nu(C-H) \) aliphatic peaks in the range of 2960-2848 cm\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, they present a broad band ascribed to the \( \nu(C=O) \) and \( \nu(C=C) \) modes of the coordinated \( \beta \)-diketonate ligand at ca. 1540 cm\(^{-1}\) for 1 and 2 and at ca. 1565 cm\(^{-1}\) for 3-5.

**Electrochemistry**

Electrochemical studies via cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were performed on the solutions of 1-5 in dichloromethane (DCM) at room temperature (r.t.) using tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF\(_6\), 0.1 M) as supporting electrolyte. The cyclic voltammogram of 2, very close to 1, presented in Figure 4 and Figure S6a in the SI, revealed the presence of one chemically and electrochemically quasi-reversible reduction (\( \Delta E_p = 170 \text{ mV} \)) at \( E^\circ = -1.10 \text{ V} \) vs the saturated calomel electrode (SCE), or -1.56 V vs Fe/Fe\(^+\),\(^{64} \) and one chemically and electrochemically reversible oxidation (\( \Delta E_p = 70 \text{ mV} \)) at \( E^{1\circ} = +0.72 \text{ V} \) (see Table 3), or +0.26 V vs Fe/Fe\(^-\). These two waves correspond to one-electron redox processes. It is noteworthy that the redox processes of 2 take place at similar potentials as for Ru(acac)\(_3\).\(^{65} \) For 3, 4 and 5, only one wave has been observed in the available window with DCM in our experimental setup, i.e. between -2 and +2 V (see Figures S6b to S6d in the SI and Table 3). In both cases, the single wave corresponds to a chemically and electrochemically reversible oxidation process. We note in passing that for Cr(acac)\(_3\), a chemically and electrochemically irreversible Cr\(^{III}\)/Cr\(^{IV}\) oxidation has been also recently reported.\(^{66} \)
Figure 4. Cyclic voltammogram of 2 (DCM, 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$, r.t.) vs SCE at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s.

Table 3. Electrochemical data of complexes 1-5.$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}$ ($\Delta E_p$) [V] $^b$</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}$ ($\Delta E_p$) [V] $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{\text{M}^{\text{II}}/\text{M}^{\text{III}}}$</td>
<td>$^{\text{M}^{\text{III}}/\text{M}^{\text{IV}}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.11 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.10 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.18 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.19 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.29 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Measurements in DCM, 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$ at r.t. Potentials vs SCE, 0.1 V/s.
X-ray studies

**Figure 5.** Crystal structure of 1 (top) and 5 (bottom) with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability.
Crystals of 1 and 5 suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of a concentrated solution in hexane and a mixture of cyclohexane/dichloromethane (50/50), respectively. The same procedure was attempted for 2-4 using hexane and other organic solvents, however unsuccessfully. Crystal structure and refinement data for 1 and 5 are given in Table 1 and selected bond distances and angles are listed in Tables S5a-S5d in the SI. The representative views are shown in Figure 5. Both 1 and 5 crystallize in the P-1 space group. The metal center is coordinated to six oxygen atoms of three chelate Buacac ligands in an octahedral arrangement. The Ru-O distances for 1 are in the range of 1.978(1)-2.013(2) Å, consistently with values reported in the literature for the same type of compounds.\textsuperscript{27,60,67} Similarly, the Co-O distances for 5 are in the range of 1.870(2)-1.882(2) Å in good agreement with values reported in the literature for similar compounds.\textsuperscript{40,68} For both compounds, unusual atomic displacement parameters were observed on one of the butyl chains of the Buacac ligand. When looking at the packing of the molecules within the crystal, it appears that a large void is accessible to this chain, leading to larger vibration of the chain, thus explaining the large anisotropic displacement parameters observed. The two other butyl chains of the molecule do not show such degree of freedom due to the presence of intermolecular short contacts or hydrogen bonds with neighboring atoms, as shown in Figures S5e and S5f in the SI.

**Electronic circular dichroism (ECD) measurements**

The chiroptical properties, especially electronic and vibrational circular dichroism, of tris-(β-diketonato) transition metal complexes of general formula M(acac)\textsubscript{3} with M including Ru, Co, Cr, Ir have been extensively studied since they represent a class of chiral $D_3$-symmetric systems with a rigid helical structure and $\Delta/\Lambda$ stereoisomerism, enabling unambiguous assignment of their AC.\textsuperscript{33,69}
The ECD spectra of \( \Delta-\Delta \) enantiomeric pairs of 1-5 were thus measured in DCM at concentrations between 1-3\( \cdot \)10\(^{-3} \) M. The resulting mirror-image spectra of \( \Delta-/\Delta- \)1-5 are displayed in Figure 6. As it can be seen, the Ru(III) complexes Ru(Buacac)_3 (1) and Ru(Oacac)_3 (2) display very similar ECD envelopes that closely resemble that of Ru(acac)_3 previously reported by Sato et al.\(^{33} \). Such similarity can also be observed for Cr(III) systems 3, 4 and Cr(acac)_3.\(^{33} \) This indicates that the metal-(acac)_3 core is predominantly responsible for the observed spectral features. Utilizing the observed resemblance of ECD for Ru and Cr complexes studied here and for Ru(acac)_3 and Cr(acac)_3 of established absolute configuration, the \( \Delta / \Delta \) AC can be assigned to 1 and 2 having positive / negative ECD absorption bands at 280 and 450 nm, and to 3 and 4 having a positive / negative ECD intensity at 300 nm. Likewise, a comparison of the ECD spectrum of Co(III) complex 5 with the ones of Co(acac)_3 enantiomers reported in the literature\(^{33} \) enabled to assign the \( \Delta \) configuration for complex 5 having a negative CD absorption band at 280 nm (see Figure 6). The ECD spectra of M(Meacac)_3 (M = Ru, Cr, Co) complexes as 1-5 models were then calculated with time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) supporting the aforementioned AC assignment (\textit{vide infra}, Figures S7a-S7c in the SI).
Figure 6. Experimental ECD spectra of $\Delta$-/A-1, $\Delta$-/A-2, $\Delta$-/A-3, $\Delta$-/A-4, and $\Delta$-/A-5 enantiomeric pairs in DCM.

Spectroelectrochemical behavior and redox chiroptical switching

We then investigated the capacity of these novel tris(β-diketonato) Ru(III), Cr(III), and Co(III) complexes to act as redox-triggered chiral switches. Chiroptical switches are multifunctional materials that may be useful for a variety of applications such as in molecular electronics, optical displays, or for telecommunication purposes.\textsuperscript{70-74} Accordingly, in this section, we report on the spectroelectrochemical behavior of 1-5 complexes with 2 and 4 chosen as representatives of Ru- and Cr-based systems due to their larger mass quantity available and/or higher stability. The experimental results presented have been analyzed and rationalized with the help of time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) response calculations for a series
of $\Lambda$-M(Meacac)$_3$ ($M = Ru, Cr, Co; \text{Meacac} = 3$-methylpentane-2,4-dione) species using B3LYP/TZVP with continuum solvent model for DCM at structures optimized at the same level of theory (see the SI for a full set of calculated data).$^{75,78,79}$

The one-electron oxidation and reduction of 2 into $2^{\text{Ox}}$ (Ru$^{\text{III}}$/Ru$^{\text{IV}}$) and $2^{\text{Red}}$ (Ru$^{\text{III}}$/Ru$^{\text{II}}$), respectively, were monitored by UV-vis/NIR spectroscopy in a transparent thin-layer electrochemical (OTTLE) cell. As presented in Figure 7a, the one-electron oxidation of 2 at 1.2 V vs Fe/Fe$^+$ revealed a slight decrease in intensity of the UV-vis band at 375 nm and the appearance of a broad low-energy absorption band centered at ~750 nm, while the bands at 270 and 550 nm remained unchanged. Upon one-electron reduction of 2 at -1.5 V vs Fe/Fe$^+$ (Figure 7b), again a slight decrease in the absorption band at 375 nm was observed with a negligible change of high-energy band (270 nm). Furthermore, the intensity of the band at 550 nm significantly increased. For both redox processes, $2 \rightarrow 2^{\text{Ox}}$ and $2 \rightarrow 2^{\text{Red}}$, full reversibility was observed upon several oxidation / reduction cycles.
Figure 7. Electrochemical evolution of the experimental UV-vis/NIR spectra of Ru complex 2 upon oxidation (a) and reduction (b). Experimental ECD spectra of enantiopure \( \Lambda-2 \) and of its oxidized \( \Lambda-2^{\text{Ox}} \) (c) and reduced \( \Lambda-2^{\text{Red}} \) (d) species. Insets: Reversible ECD at 500 nm (e) and ECD at 460 nm (f) switching processes.

Having established that 2 undergoes reversible oxidation and reduction processes, the next step towards electrochemical chiroptical switches was to investigate whether these redox processes impact its chiroptical properties. As seen in Figure 7c, one-electron oxidation \( \Lambda-2 \rightarrow \Lambda-2^{\text{Ox}} \) indeed leads to strong modifications of the ECD spectrum in dichloroethane (DCE, 0.2 M TBAPF\(_6\)). Namely, (i) the negative ECD-active band at 280 nm (\( \Delta\varepsilon = -36 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) disappeared and a slight positive intensity appeared at 290 nm (+4 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)), (ii) the positive-positive intensity pattern at 330 (+6) and 367 nm (+20 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) was transformed to a blue-
shifted negative-positive one at 315 (-22) and 350 nm (+9 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), iii) the negative band at 460 nm (-20 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) was blue-shifted to 432 with simultaneous decrease in its intensity (-12), and iv) a new broad negative ECD-active band (ranging from 570 to 850 nm with $\Delta \varepsilon = -9$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 680 nm) was observed. Exploiting these differences, along with the reversibility of the oxidation process, allows us to obtain an electrochemical chiral switch. Indeed, stepping potentials between 0 and +1.2 V of a DCE solution of $A$-$2$ in an electrochemical cell leads to a reversible modulation of the ECD signals at 500 nm (Figure 7e) with more than 12 successive oxidation / reduction steps performed.

Similarly, upon one-electron reduction $A$-$2 \rightarrow A$-$2_{\text{Red}}$, the ECD spectrum (DCE, 0.2 M TBAPF₆) was strongly modified (Figure 7d). Indeed, i) the negative ECD-active band at 280 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = -36$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) was split into two bands of enhanced negative (282 nm, -50 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and positive intensity (305 nm, +31 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), ii) the positive-positive intensity pattern at 330 (+6) and 367 nm (+20 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) was converted to a negative-positive one at 320 (-6) and 383 nm (+12 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), iii) the negative band at 460 nm (-20 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) was replaced by a broad positive intensity at 447 (+3) and 532 nm (+7 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), and iv) a new broad negative ECD-active band (ranging from 555 to 810 nm with $\Delta \varepsilon = -20$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 620 nm) appeared. Here again, exploiting the strong differences, along with the reversibility of the reduction process, results in an electrochemical chiral switch. As shown in Figure 7f, stepping potentials between -1.5 and 0 V of a DCE solution of $A$-$2$ in an electrochemical cell leads to a reversible (more than 12 steps) modulation of the ECD signals at 460 nm. Remarkably, the redox chiroptical switching of Ru(Oacac)₃ complex can be performed both in oxidation and in reduction, thus giving access to three different stable states.

To gain deeper insight into experimentally observed spectral features and their modifications upon one-electron oxidation and reduction of $2$, TDDFT UV-vis and ECD calculations were performed for the model Ru(Meacac)₃ system in its neutral, oxidized, and
reduced form. Theory reproduces the general experimental trends for $2$, $2^{\text{Ox}}$, and $2^{\text{Red}}$ in a satisfactory manner (see Figure 8 and Figure S7d in the SI) and therefore enables us to link them to the changes in the electronic structure of the complex occurring upon the redox processes.

**Figure 8.** Simulated (TDDFT B3LYP/TZVP, DCE continuum solvent model) ECD spectra of Λ-Ru(Meacac)$_3$ and its oxidized Λ-Ru(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Ox}}$ (a) and reduced form Λ-Ru(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Red}}$ (b). No spectral shift has been applied. Calculated excitation energies and rotatory strengths indicated as ‘stick’ spectra. Numbered excitations correspond to those analyzed in detail (see SI for a full assignment). Arrows indicate changes in the spectrum due to the redox processes.
Figure 9. (Left): B3LYP/TZVP MO energy levels (separately for $\alpha$-spin (spin up) and $\beta$-spin (spin down) orbitals) for Ru(Meacac)$_3$. (Right): Isosurfaces (0.05 au) of selected frontier MOs. In parentheses the corresponding orbital energies $\varepsilon$, in eV, are listed. In the right top corner, the isosurface (0.003 au) of the electron spin density $\Delta \rho$ is shown.

The orbital energies and isosurfaces of frontier molecular orbitals (MOs) computed for the neutral Ru(III)(Meacac)$_3$ species are presented in Figure 9 and Figures S7j in the SI. The system adopts a low-spin $d^5$ configuration in line with a strong ligand-field splitting typically observed for 4$d$ complexes. The five highest-energy occupied MOs (98-100$\alpha$ and 98-99$\beta$) may be classified as metal-ligand out-of-phase combinations of Ru $d_\pi$ (formally $t_{2g}$ metal $d$ orbitals of local $\pi$ symmetry with respect to the metal-oxygen bonds, i.e. $d_{xy}$, $d_{yz}$, $d_{xz}$) with Meacac $\pi$ orbitals, in the following referred to as $d_\pi$ due to the dominant metal character. In crystal field theory, these would be the occupied non-bonding metal 4$d$ orbitals; in the DFT calculations there are corresponding in-phase combinations at lower energy, dominantly ligand-centered. The metal-ligand mixing is especially pronounced for the (unpaired) singly occupied MO (SOMO, 99$\alpha$), whose opposite-spin partner orbital (100$\beta$) is the lowest-energy unoccupied MO representing an ‘electron hole’ in the $\beta$-spin density. Note that the numbering is based on the orbital energy, low to high. 99$\alpha$ and 100$\alpha$ are nearly degenerate. The visual comparison of the isosurface plots establishes the pairs of equivalent MOs in the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-spin MO sets. The term ‘SOMO’ means that there is no matching occupied orbital in the opposite spin MO set. The metal-ligand orbital mixing reflects dative Ru-Meacac bonding of both Meacac $\rightarrow$ Ru $\sigma$-and $\pi$-donation (*vide infra*) and Ru $\rightarrow$ Meacac $\pi$-back-donation. As expected, the calculated plot of the electron spin density resembles the density of the SOMO $\alpha$-spin $d_\pi$ and clearly shows that the unpaired charge density is not only localized on the metal center but to some degree also spread out over the Meacac $\pi$-system.
See also Figure S7u in the SI. This confirms a slight donation of the ligand β-spin π-density (mostly from oxygen π-lone-pairs) into the empty β-spin Ru $d_π$.

Figure 10. (Left): B3LYP/TZVP MO energy levels (separately for α-spin (spin up) and β-spin (spin down) orbitals) for Ru(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Ox}}$. (Right): Isosurfaces (0.05 au) of selected frontier MOs. In parentheses the corresponding orbital energies $\varepsilon$, in eV, are listed. In the right top corner, the isosurface (0.003 au) of the electron spin density $\Delta \rho$ is shown.

Oxidation of the neutral Ru(III)(Meacac)$_3$ yields cationic Ru(IV)(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Ox}}$ with a spin-triplet $d^4$ electronic configuration and two unoccupied β-spin Ru $d_π$ orbitals (99-100β). Accordingly, there are two α-spin SOMOs (99-100α, see Figure 10). Although the orbital picture is generally the same for Ru(Meacac)$_3$ and Ru(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Ox}}$ (see also Figures S7k in the SI), a high energetic stabilization of metal-centered orbitals (especially pronounced in the case of β-spin ‘electron hole’ $d_π$ MOs) and a decrease in the metal character of occupied $d_\pi$-based metal-ligand antibonding combinations in Ru(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Ox}}$ can be noted. These changes can be considered as consequences of the metal-centered oxidation that leads to a decrease in
the electron repulsion within the $d$ shell and to an increase in the $\beta$-spin $\pi$-acceptor ability of the Ru ion. The latter is nicely illustrated by the plot of electron spin density. The $\beta$-spin $\pi$-donation from Meacac to the empty $\beta$-spin Ru(IV) $d_\pi$, enhanced by the oxidation, results in the more pronounced excess of $\alpha$-spin $\pi$-density in the ligand (see also Figure S7u in the SI). On the contrary, reduction of the neutral Ru(III)(Meacac)$_3$ to the anionic Ru(II)(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Red}}$ gives a closed-shell $d^6$ configuration (see Figures S7v and S7l in the SI), a pronounced destabilization of the occupied $d_\pi$-based MOs, and an increase in their non-bonding character due to the elimination of the Meacac $\rightarrow$ Ru(II) $\pi$-donation channel.

The analysis of selected dominant excitations of $\Lambda$-Ru(Meacac)$_3$, $\Lambda$-Ru(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Ox}}$, and $\Lambda$-Ru(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Red}}$ in terms of individual MO pairs (see the SI for the full set of data) shows that the aforementioned differences in the electronic structure of these species are reflected in the corresponding electronic spectra. For example, the new broad negative ECD band appearing around 700 nm upon oxidation of the Ru(III) complex is assigned as predominantly $\pi$-$\pi^*$ ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LM CT) from the $\beta$-occupied metal-ligand bonding MOs to the $d_\pi$ $\beta$-‘electron holes’ (excitations nos. 5-7, Figure 8a). An excitation with an analogous assignment can also be found for Ru(Meacac)$_3$ but it is strongly blue-shifted (no. 4, 505 nm) compared to Ru(Meacac)$_3^{\text{Ox}}$ in line with the destabilization of the unoccupied $\beta$-spin $d_\pi$. The decrease in the intensity of the negative ECD band at ca. 450 nm and modifications of the positive band around 350 nm observed experimentally upon oxidation of 2 can be traced back mainly to changes in the character of the frontier MOs rather than new transitions. As far as the one-electron reduction of 2 is concerned, the differences in the spectra of 2 vs. 2$^{\text{Red}}$ can be linked, with the help of the calculations, to 1) absence of contributions involving $\beta$-‘electron hole’ $d_\pi$ orbitals, 2) the Ru $d_\pi$ orbitals becoming non-bonding upon reduction, and 3) destabilization of the Ru 4$d$ orbitals due to the
increased electron repulsion in the $d$ shell. In combination, these changes enable low-energy ML CT transitions (e.g. excitations nos. 1-3, Figure 8b).

The one-electron oxidation of 4 into $4^{\text{Ox}}$ ($\text{Cr}^{\text{III}}/\text{Cr}^{\text{IV}}$) was also followed by UV-vis/NIR spectroscopy in an OTTLE cell (DCE, 0.2 M TBAPF$_6$, at 1.5 V vs Fc/Fc$^+$). The measurements showed a slight decrease in intensity of the high-energy bands at 271 and 356 nm and the appearance of three new broad absorption bands centered at around 475, 805, and 1100 nm (see Figure 11a). As for Ru complex 2, full reversibility was observed upon several oxidation / reduction cycles. The $\Lambda$-$4 \rightarrow \Lambda$-$4^{\text{Ox}}$ redox process was then examined by ECD spectroscopy. As shown in Figure 11b, the ECD spectra of $\Lambda$-$4$ and $\Lambda$-$4^{\text{Ox}}$ in DCE revealed generally the same spectral envelopes. However, upon oxidation all ECD-active bands underwent a strong decrease in intensity, and a new broad negative ECD-active band centered at $\sim$ 850 nm ($\Delta\varepsilon = -10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) appeared. Stepping potentials between 0 and +1.5 V of a DCE solution of $\Lambda$-$4$ in an OTTLE cell leads to a reversible modulation of the ECD signals at 840 nm (Figure 11c) with more than 12 successive oxidation / reduction steps performed which confirms electrochemical chiroptical switching of 4.
Figure 11. (a) Electrochemical evolution of the experimental UV-vis/NIR spectra of Cr complex 4 upon oxidation. (b) Experimental ECD spectra of enantiopure A-4 and of its oxidized A-\(4^{\text{Ox}}\) species. Inset (c): Reversible ECD\(_{840\text{ nm}}\) switching process.

In the calculations, the model Cr(Meacac)\(_3\) complex adopts a spin-quartet \(d^3\) electronic configuration with three unpaired \(\alpha\)-spin SOMOs that represent metal-ligand out-of-phase combinations of predominantly Cr \(d_{\pi}\) with Meacac \(\pi\) orbitals. The three corresponding empty \(\beta\)-spin MOs display metal-ligand \(\pi\)-character. Accordingly, the calculated electron spin density resembles a sum of the densities of the three SOMOs, resulting in an isosurface with a cubic shape (see Figures S7w1 and S7u in the SI). With three \(\beta\)-spin ‘electron holes’ one might expect pronounced \(\beta\)-spin Meacac → metal \(\pi\)-donation. Interestingly, there is no indication that such a mechanism takes place to any significant degree in Cr(Meacac)\(_3\). An intuitive explanation is that a ligand-to-metal \(\beta\)-spin density donation would disrupt a half-filled \(d_{\pi}\) shell configuration at the metal center. This is consistent with the small metal \(d\) contributions in the oxygen \(\pi\)-lone-pairs calculated previously for the parent Cr(acac)\(_3\) complex.\(^6^3\) Oxidation of the neutral Cr(III) system leads to a cationic Cr(Meacac)\(_3^{\text{Ox}}\) species with a spin-triplet \(d^2\) configuration with one of the metal \(d_{\pi}\) orbitals in the \(\alpha\)-spin set now being formally unoccupied (see Figures S7w2 and S7u in the SI). However, in the cationic complex a pronounced \(\alpha\)-spin Meacac → metal \(\pi\)-donation partially restores the half-filled \(d_{\pi}\) shell at Cr. In turn, this donation leaves a sizable excess of \(\beta\)-spin density at the ligands, as seen in the plot of the corresponding electron spin density. The corresponding unoccupied \(\alpha\)-spin MO representing the ‘electron hole’ has large contributions from the ligands (Figure S7w2). As for Ru(Meacac)\(_3^{\text{Ox}}\), an energetic stabilization of unoccupied \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-spin Cr \(d_{\pi}\) orbitals can be noted in the oxidized Cr complex.
The simulated UV-vis and ECD spectra of \( \Lambda\text{-Cr(Meacac)}_3 \) and \( \Lambda\text{-Cr(Meacac)}_3^{\text{Ox}} \) (Figure 12 and Figure S7d in the SI) show overall similar features as the corresponding experimental ones for 4 and 4\(^{\text{Ox}}\). The ECD spectrum for Cr(acac)\(_3\) has been assigned in ref. 78 based on TDDFT calculations, and therefore we forego a detailed discussion. The new broad negative ECD band observed around 850 nm upon oxidation of the Cr(III) system involves transitions from the \( \alpha \)-occupied metal-ligand-centered MOs to the \( d_{\pi} \) ‘\( \alpha \)-electron hole’ created upon oxidation (excitations nos. 4-5, Figure 12). The differences in the spectra of 4 vs. 4\(^{\text{Ox}}\) observed in the remaining spectral regions can be primarily traced back to large changes in the character of the frontier MOs due to the one-electron oxidation process.

**Figure 12.** Simulated (TDDFT B3LYP/TZVP, DCE continuum solvent model) ECD spectra of \( \Lambda\text{-Cr(Meacac)}_3 \) and its oxidized form \( \Lambda\text{-Cr(Meacac)}_3^{\text{Ox}} \). No spectral shift has been applied. Calculated excitation energies and rotatory strengths indicated as ‘stick’ spectra. Numbered
excitations correspond to those analyzed in detail (see SI for a full assignment). Arrows indicate changes in the spectrum due to the redox process.

Finally, the electrochemical switching activity of the Co complex 5 was examined. Unfortunately, in this case no efficient and reversible processes were observed due to the low stability of the neutral complex which seems to decompose upon oxidation by ligand decomplexation. The experimental findings may be rationalized by the calculated electronic structure of the oxidized Co(IV)(Meacac)_3 species. The neutral Co(III) complex has a low-spin closed-shell \(d^6\) configuration (see Figure S7x1 in the SI). One-electron oxidation leads to a doublet species, but with a ligand-centered spin density. This means that the oxidation does not occur at the metal center, or, if the oxidation initially creates a Co(IV) complex with a \(\beta\)-spin ‘electron hole’ at the metal, the acac ligand immediately donates \(\beta\)-spin density to the metal, restoring a filled set of \(d_x\) orbitals at Co and leaving an excess of \(\alpha\)-spin \(\pi\)-density in the ligand system (Figures S7x2 and S7u, SI). The assignment of calculated ECD spectrum of Co(Meacac)_3 generally follows the one reported for Co(acac)_3\textsuperscript{77-78} and is therefore not discussed here in detail. The interested reader is referred to the SI.

**Conclusions**

Five new chiral tris(\(\beta\)-diketonato) complexes of ruthenium(III), chromium(III), and cobalt(III) have been synthesized and characterized by a varied set of techniques. They have been optically resolved into pure enantiomers using preparative supercritical fluid chromatography. ECD measurements have allowed the absolute configurations assignment. Spectroelectrochemical UV-vis and ECD studies have demonstrated that Ru and Cr complexes \(\Lambda\text{-2}\) and \(\Lambda\text{-4}\) reveal efficient redox-triggered chiroptical switching activity.
first-principles calculations have reproduced experimentally observed spectral features of 1-5 and their modifications upon redox processes in a satisfactory manner. The analysis of the results of the calculations shows that the changes in the electronic state of a given complex explain the observed spectral changes upon oxidation and reduction, rather than structural changes.
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Synopsis: The paper reports the synthesis, optical resolution, characterization, spectroelectrochemical behavior and unprecedented chiroptical switching along with (TD-DFT) analysis of tris(β-diketonato) complexes of Ru(III), Cr(III) and Co(III) involving 3-butyl or 3-octyl substituted 2,4-dionato moiety.