

Reduction Kinetics of Nitroaromatic Compounds by Titanium Substituted Magnetite

Rémi Marsac, Mathieu Pasturel, Khalil Hanna

► **To cite this version:**

Rémi Marsac, Mathieu Pasturel, Khalil Hanna. Reduction Kinetics of Nitroaromatic Compounds by Titanium Substituted Magnetite. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, American Chemical Society, 2017, 121 (21), pp.11399-11406. 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b01920 . hal-01559202

HAL Id: hal-01559202

<https://hal-univ-rennes1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01559202>

Submitted on 13 Jul 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3 **Reduction Kinetics of Nitroaromatic Compounds by Titanium-Substituted**
4
5
6 **Magnetite**
7

8 Rémi Marsac ^{a,#}, Mathieu Pasturel ^b, Khalil Hanna ^{*a}
9

10
11
12
13 ^aÉcole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, UMR CNRS 6226, 11 Allée de Beaulieu,
14
15 35708 Rennes Cedex 7, France
16

17
18 ^bInstitut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR CNRS 6226, 263 avenue Général Leclerc,
19
20 35042 Rennes Cedex 7, France
21
22

23
24
25 *Corresponding author: Tel.: +33 2 23 23 80 27; fax: +33 2 23 23 81 20.
26

27
28 E-mail address: khalil.hanna@ensc-rennes.fr (K. Hanna)
29
30

31
32
33
34 [#]Present address: Géosciences Rennes, UMR CNRS 6118, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, CS74205,
35
36 35042 Rennes Cedex, France
37
38

39
40
41
42 Revised manuscript submitted to the *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*
43

44
45 *May 2017*
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Although there is a growing interest in environmentally friendly catalytic processes based on magnetic solids, the reactivity of titanomagnetite ($\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$) having a “tunable” solid-state Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio for reductive transformation of nitroaromatic compounds has been never investigated. This study, for the first time, comprehensively examines the reduction kinetics of nitroaromatic compounds by titanium-substituted magnetite and compares with that of Fe(II) amended-un-substituted magnetite at equal amounts of total Fe(II). Firstly, we have demonstrated that Ti substitution in magnetite increased considerably the ability of magnetite to reduce 4-Nitrophenol (4-NP) as well as Nitrobenzene (NB) in a surface-mediated electron transfer pathway. However, Fe(II)-amendment of magnetite ($x=0$) to have equivalent amount of total Fe(II) as in the corresponding titanomagnetite ($0.25 \leq x \leq 0.75$) resulted in higher reduction rate constants for both substrates (4-NP and NB). Initial k_{obs} was shown to increase exponentially with $(\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)})_{\text{bound}}$ in magnetite, while for titanomagnetites a logarithmic dependence of k_{obs} with increasing $(\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)})_{\text{bound}}$ was observed. Only the early stage kinetic behavior differs between titanomagnetite and Fe(II)-amended magnetite at the same total Fe(II) amount. Changes in $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ electron delocalization properties or electron hopping, presumably due to the mixing of Fe^{2+} and Ti^{4+} on the octahedral sites, may affect the surface-mediated electron transfer between the solid state and redox sensitive species in solution. These bulk-controlled mechanisms drive the electron transfer process both within the solid phase and across the solid/water interface, thereby altering the regeneration of active sites and ongoing reduction reaction on the magnetite surface.

Introduction

Magnetite is a common mineral that may be formed by biogenic or chemogenic processes in a variety of natural settings. Magnetite can be an important reductant in natural soils, which has been shown to reduce 4-chloronitrobenzene,¹ nitrobenzene,²⁻⁴ carbon tetrachloride^{5,6} and other organic contaminants.⁷ The stoichiometry of the particles (*i.e.* Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio that can vary from 0 to 0.5) is one of the most important factors in the reduction reaction, and could govern the reactivity of magnetite in natural and engineered systems.^{3,8} Perfectly stoichiometric magnetite particles (*i.e.* Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio= 0.5) is the most reactive magnetite, while partially oxidized magnetite or non-stoichiometric magnetite (*i.e.* low Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio) loses its reductive effectiveness.⁴ Exposing non-stoichiometric magnetite to a source of Fe(II) can restore the 0.5 ratio through oxidation of adsorbed Fe(II), accompanied by reduction of the octahedral Fe(III) in the underlying magnetite to octahedral Fe(II).³ Since it is generally accepted that the structural Fe(II) is the driving factor in magnetite-mediated reduction, investigations to recharge magnetite surfaces by Fe(II) in order to trigger or enhance the reactivity have attracted great attention.^{2,3,7}


Another way to increase the solid state Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio and then the reductive ability of magnetite is the structural incorporation of elements such as tetravalent titanium (Ti(IV)). For instance, the substitution of Fe(III) by Ti(IV) is accompanied by the reduction of lattice Fe(III) to Fe(II) for charge balance, and thus increases the amount of structural Fe(II) in the inverse spinel structure of magnetite. This spinel ferrite called titanomagnetite ($\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$) was presented as a “tunable” solid-state Fe(II)/Fe(III) redox system,⁹⁻¹⁸ and might be seen as advantageous over Fe(II)-recharge of magnetite. The reductive ability of titanomagnetite has been recently investigated, but only for inorganic compounds, *e.g.* Tc(VII), Np(IV) and U(VI).^{11,13,14} In these

1
2
3 studies, titanomagnetites are found, as expected, stronger reductants than stoichiometric
4 magnetite due to their higher Fe(II) content, but none of these studies have attempted to compare
5 the reactivity of titanomagnetite with that of Fe(II)-amended magnetite at the same total amount
6 of Fe(II). As the Fe(II)-bearing catalysts are widely used for reductive transformation of
7 nitroaromatic or halogenated compounds,¹⁻⁷ the reductive abilities of titanomagnetite and Fe(II)-
8 amended magnetite merit investigation from both environmental and engineering points of view.
9

10
11 The main objective of this study is, therefore, to compare the redox activity of
12 titanomagnetite with that of Fe(II) amended-magnetite at equal amounts of initial Fe(II). For this
13 purpose, titanomagnetites were synthesized with controlled Ti content ($x = 0, 0.25, 0.38, 0.5$ and
14 0.75) to tune the solid-state Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio, and then characterized by transmission electron
15 microscopy (TEM), BET specific surface area analysis, and X-ray diffraction (XRD). 4-
16 nitrophenol (4-NP) was selected as a target contaminant because nitrophenols are one of the most
17 used chemicals in production of dyes, pesticides, and pharmaceuticals and are suspected
18 carcinogens. In addition, conversion of 4-NP to Aminophenol (4-AP) has great commercial
19 relevance because 4-AP is an important intermediate for production of analgesic/antipyretic drugs
20 and acetaminophen (e.g. paracetamol). Nitrobenzene (NB) (a non-phenolic analog to 4-NP), that
21 is widely used as a probe in reduction studies, was also used in order to test the influence of
22 molecular structure on the reductive ability of titanomagnetite. The effect of Ti content (x) and
23 solid loading on variations of kinetic rates and dissolved Fe(II) was investigated. As pH is a key
24 reaction parameter in surface-mediated processes involving Fe species, reduction kinetics by Fe₃-
25 x Ti_xO₄ and Fe(II)-amended magnetite were determined under anaerobic conditions as a function
26 of pH (6 to 9). The electron transfer processes both within the bulk phase and across the
27 oxide/water interface are discussed in order to explain the discrepancy of reduction kinetics
28 between titanomagnetite and Fe(II)-amended magnetite.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Experimental procedures

Materials. If not mentioned, chemicals (all pro analytical quality or better) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Solutions were prepared with ultrapure “MilliQ” water (specific resistivity, 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹). Magnetite (Fe₃O₄; hereafter denoted “M”) and titanomagnetites (Fe_{3-x}Ti_xO₄; x = 0.25, 0.38, 0.5 and 0.75; hereafter denoted “TiM0.25”, “TiM0.38”, “TiM0.5” and “TiM0.75”, respectively) were synthesized applying the same procedure, according to the protocol of Pearce *et al.*¹⁰, which involves a room temperature aqueous precipitation method in an anaerobic chamber (JACOMEX; N₂-glovebox; O_{2(g)} < 10 ppm). A 0.3 M HCl solution containing (1 + x) mol L⁻¹ FeCl₂ and (2 - 2x) mol L⁻¹ FeCl₃ was prepared first, followed by a dropwise addition of x mol L⁻¹ TiCl₄. Then, this solution was introduced into an N₂-sparged 25% w/v ammonium (NH₄OH) solution, with continuous stirring at 1400 rpm, leading to instantaneous precipitation of titanomagnetite nanoparticles according to the equation:



The solids were washed three times with ultrapure water purged with N₂ for 4 h and then centrifuging for 5 min at 4000 rpm. Finally, mother suspensions were prepared by re-suspending titanomagnetite nanoparticles in ultrapure water, which naturally equilibrated at 8 < pH < 8.5.

Characterization of titanomagnetite nanoparticles. An aliquot of each titanomagnetite suspension was taken and digested in N₂-sparged 5 M HCl inside the glovebox overnight with shaking. Dissolved Fe(II) and Fe(III) concentrations were determined applying the phenanthroline method.¹⁹ It was previously shown that chemical analysis could determine accurately Fe(II)/Fe(III) in both magnetite and titanomagnetite.^{3,10} The total Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio of

1
2
3 the samples, as determined by chemical analysis, were expectedly somewhat lower than the
4
5 theoretical Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio (Table 1) calculated from the ratio of FeCl₂/FeCl₃ used in the
6
7 synthesis. This results from the washing step employed to remove any unreacted metal chlorides
8
9 after synthesis,¹⁰ as previously seen for magnetite, which is due to larger dissolution of Fe(II)
10
11 than Fe(III).⁴ Small amounts of titanomagnetite nanoparticles were dried for B.E.T. surface area
12
13 determination (Tristar, Micromeritics). Surface area is given for each solid in Table 1.
14
15

16
17 Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD; Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer) analysis was
18
19 conducted. The diffractometer works with a monochromatized Cu K α 1 radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$)
20
21 and is equipped with a LynxEye fast detector enabling a photon energy selection and thus the
22
23 removal of Fe-fluorescence background signal. Titanomagnetite suspension was placed on a
24
25 misoriented Si single crystal holder and dried for 2 h in an anaerobic chamber. To avoid the
26
27 oxidation of titanomagnetite during the analysis of XRD, the dried sample was covered with a
28
29 drop of glycerol. The samples were scanned in the 2θ range from 10° to 130° with steps of 0.01°
30
31 and integration time of 716 s step^{-1} . The XRD patterns of magnetite and of titanomagnetites are
32
33 indexed with the peaks of magnetite (Fig. S1). Refinement of the XRD patterns using the Le Bail
34
35 method with the help of the Fullprof software²⁰ enabled to determine (i) the cell parameters of the
36
37 phase and (ii) the average crystallite size by fitting the lorentzian enlargement of the peaks
38
39 compared to a reference corundum sample and deconvoluting the instrumental contribution from
40
41 the total FWHM using a Thompson-Cox-Hastings profile function (Table 1). The refined cell
42
43 parameter varies from $a = 8.384(2) \text{ \AA}$ for the unsubstituted magnetite to $a = 8.413(2) \text{ \AA}$ for
44
45 Fe_{2.25}Ti_{0.75}O₄. These values are slightly smaller than the ones reported by Pearce et al.¹⁰ but the
46
47 difference along the solid solution is identical (0.029 \AA) in both cases ($0 \leq x \leq 0.75$ in the present
48
49 case, $0 \leq x \leq 0.67$ in Pearce et al.¹⁰). The determined crystallite sizes are 9-13 nm for $0 \leq x \leq 0.5$,
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 as previously shown.¹⁰⁻¹² For $x = 0.75$, larger crystallite diameter (28 nm) but larger surface area
4
5 were found, which might be explained by the inhomogeneous distribution of particles, and the
6
7 presence of non-structural Ti(IV)/Fe(II) amorphous phase. This amorphous phase appears on the
8
9 XRD patterns of TiM0.5 and TiM0.75 as very broad diffraction features centered around 34 and
10
11 63° (Fig. S1).
12
13

14
15 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Jeol JEM 1230 microscope) was used for
16
17 titanomagnetite nanoparticles characterization. A small aliquot of titanomagnetite mother
18
19 suspension was diluted with ethanol solution. A droplet of the diluted suspension was deposited
20
21 on gold TEM grids and the samples analyzed at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. TEM images
22
23 generally revealed aggregates of 10-15 nm diameter sized particles (Fig. S2), but for $x = 0.75$
24
25 larger particles (up to ~50 nm) were observed. Non-structural Ti(IV)/Fe(II) amorphous phase on
26
27 the $x = 0.5$ and 0.75 nanoparticle surface can also be seen, in agreement with previous study,¹⁰
28
29 where Ti was expected to be incorporated in magnetite only up to $x = 0.38$.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Reduction of 4-NP and NB by titanomagnetite.** The reduction of 4-NP by titanomagnetite was
37
38 carried out in 250 mL NalgeneTM polypropylene bottles under anaerobic conditions (glovebox).
39
40 Sample volume was 200 mL. All experiments were conducted under constant magnetic stirring
41
42 (1400 rpm), a stirring speed that effectively ensured a homogeneous suspension according to
43
44 preliminary tests. Variations in pH, Eh, [4-NP], [4-AP] and [Fe(II)]_{aq} during the reaction were
45
46 monitored. At each sampling time, an aliquot was taken and filtered (0.2 μm, Whatman) for high
47
48 performance liquid chromatography analysis with UV-vis detection (HPLC-UV; for [4-NP] and
49
50 [4-AP]) and dissolved Fe(II) analysis (by the phenanthroline method). Mobile phase for HPLC
51
52 was a mixture of 50% ultrapure water, 50% acetonitrile (HPLC grade, J.T. Baker, USA), and 1%
53
54 formic acid. [4-NP] and [4-AP] were measured at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹ at wavelengths of
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 317 nm and 273 nm, respectively. In addition, changes of [4-NP] was measured for some series
4 of experiments by UV-vis spectrophotometry (CARY 50 probe, Varian) at 400 nm. Filtered
5 samples were diluted by 10 in 50 mM 3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) (pH = 8)
6 for UV-vis analysis. Both methods (HPLC and UV-vis spectrophotometry) were in excellent
7 agreement. Experimental errors on [Fe(II)], [4-NP] and [4-AP] determination were 5% in the
8 worst case, as also confirmed by duplicating the experiments. As a conservative approach,
9 standard deviations on the rate constants were determined assuming 5% uncertainty on [4-NP]
10 determination.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21
22 pH and Eh were recorded in both titanomagnetite and Fe(II)-amended magnetite
23 suspensions using a portable multi-parameter electrode (pH, Eh and T; Hach, sensION+5045).
24 The pH electrode was calibrated with 3 standard buffers (pH 4, 7 and 10). The Pt-electrode
25 combined with a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, used for redox potential measurements, was
26 calibrated using a commercial redox-buffer (220 mV vs Ag/AgCl). Raw data were converted into
27 Eh vs. standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) by correcting for the potential of the reference
28 electrode. Note that measured Eh values are only used as qualitative indication in this study.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 Experiments were conducted in buffered pH systems using 50 mM MOPS, which is a
40 commonly used pH-buffer in Fe-based redox reactions studies.^{1-4,7,8} All experiments were
41 conducted at pH = 8, except when pH effects were investigated. The titanomagnetites
42 suspensions were pre-equilibrated during 60 min, together with the multi-parameter electrode, to
43 ensure a stable Eh reading (see e.g. Fig. S3). After noting pH and Eh values at the end of these 60
44 min, 0.1 mM 4-NP (or 10 μ M 4-NP, for some experiments) was spiked into the suspension. Final
45 pH and Eh values were taken after immersing the multi-parameter electrode in the batch during
46 the last 60 min of the experiment (i.e. from 180 to 240 min). The effect of Ti content on 4-NP
47 reduction ($x = 0, 0.25, 0.38, 0.5$ and 0.75) was investigated at pH = 8 for 200 mg L⁻¹
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (titano)magnetite (0.76, 1.06, 1.20, 1.31 and 1.53 mM Fe(II) equivalent, respectively). For all
4
5 experimental conditions, the amount of Fe(II) equivalent was larger than electron equivalent
6
7 amount required for the complete reduction of 4-NP (i.e. 0.6 meq of electron for 0.1 mM 4-NP).
8
9

10 To facilitate the comparison between magnetite and titanomagnetite, Fe(II) was added to
11
12 magnetite suspension in order to have an equal amount of total Fe(II), so that the initial
13
14 concentration of Fe(II) in each of the $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$ experiments was equal to the initial
15
16 concentration of Fe(II) (added Fe(II) + solid Fe(II)) in the magnetite experiments. Indeed,
17
18 experiments using magnetite amended with Fe(II) (using a 100 mM FeCl_2 solution in 0.1 M HCl)
19
20 were conducted at pH = 8 (MOPS) for the same amounts of Fe(II) equivalent than for
21
22 titanomagnetites (i.e. by adding dissolved Fe(II) to reach 1.06, 1.20, 1.31 and 1.53 mM Fe(II)
23
24 equivalent), and are denoted M+Fe(II). The effect of pH ($6 \leq \text{pH} \leq 8.5$) on 4-NP reduction was
25
26 investigated for 200 mg L^{-1} TiM0.5 and M+Fe(II) (1.31 mM Fe(II) equivalent). Although MOPS
27
28 has a weak buffer capacity for pH < 6.2 and pH > 8.2 ($\text{pK}_a = 7.2$), it was used in all experiments
29
30 for the sake of consistency of the dataset. No significant pH variation was observed during the
31
32 experiments, even outside the pH-buffering range of MOPS. Other experiments were conducted
33
34 at different suspension loading (100, 250, 600 mg L^{-1}) applying the same protocol.
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 One experiment was carried out with TiM0.5 in the absence of pH buffer. The multi-
42
43 parameter electrode was kept in the sample during the complete experiment. 200 mg L^{-1} TiM0.5
44
45 (1.31 mM Fe(II) equivalent) were introduced in ultrapure water, whose pH was adjusted to pH =
46
47 8.4 (around the natural pH of the mother TiM0.5 suspension) with 0.1 M NaOH prior to the
48
49 addition of TiM0.5. $[\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{aq}}$, pH and Eh were recorded until pH and Eh values reached a plateau
50
51 (~60 min). Then, 0.1 mM 4-NP (10 mM 4-NP stock solution adjusted to pH = 8.4) was spiked
52
53 into the suspension, which is taken as the reference for the time scale ($t = 0$). [4-NP] and [4-AP]
54
55 were recorded during the four following hours (240 min), in addition to $[\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{aq}}$, pH and Eh.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Further experiments were dedicated to nitrobenzene (NB) reduction by titanomagnetite or
4 Fe(II)-amended magnetite. The effect of x was investigated using the same conditions as in 4-NP
5 experiments (i.e. 0.1 mM NB, 200 mg L⁻¹ solid, pH = 8, in 50 mM MOPS), and NB
6 concentration was determined by HPLC-UV at 254 nm.
7
8
9
10
11

12 Because of the weak sorption of 4-NP or NB and its rapid reduction, an accurate
13 determination of sorbed amount by magnetite or titanomagnetite surfaces cannot be performed.
14 Attempts to highlight the sorbed mechanisms at a microscopic level by using Attenuated Total
15 Reflectance-Fourier Transform InfraRed (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy failed due to the very low
16 sorbed amount.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Results and Discussion**

29
30
31 **Reduction of 4-NP by titanium-substituted magnetites.** The reductive transformation of 4-NP
32 to 4-AP in aqueous suspension was monitored versus time by both UV-Visible
33 spectrophotometer and HPLC/UV. The time-dependent UV-vis spectra exhibited a peak at 400
34 nm (4-NP), which continuously decreased with the formation of a single new peak at 298 nm,
35 assigned to 4-AP (not shown).²¹ The absence of peaks at 388 and 302 nm (which can correspond
36 to 4-benzoquinone monoxime and 4-nitrosophenol²²) together with the two isosbestic points
37 observed at 280 and 312 nm suggested that no other byproducts were generated.²³ HPLC analysis
38 confirmed the stoichiometric conversion of 4-NP to 4-AP, as $[4\text{-NP}] + [4\text{-AP}] = 0.1 \text{ mM}$ (within
39 5% uncertainty; see e.g. Fig. S3), indicating that mass balance was achieved and removal of 4-NP
40 or 4-AP by sorption on magnetite surfaces must be negligible.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Figure 1a shows that the 4-NP reduction was enhanced with the increase of Ti content in
55 Fe_{3-x}Ti_xO₄ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.75$) (or the increase of the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio, Table 1). The kinetic curves
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 exhibited a fast stage within the first 10-15 min, and then a slower stage to reach a pseudo-
4 plateau (Fig. 1a). According to experiments conducted at longer equilibration times (e.g. 48h, not
5 shown), 4-NP aqueous concentration still slightly and slowly decreased beyond 240 min, which is
6 in line with observations made for other compounds¹¹. In contrast to previous reports on 4-NP
7 reduction,^{24,25} the multi-stage reduction kinetics over the whole time course could not be
8 described by equations that include classical exponential functions (e.g. first order models).
9 Instead, we calculated an initial rate constant (k_{obs} in min^{-1}) over the first stage of reaction (i.e. 10
10 min) by plotting a linear regression of $-\ln([4\text{-NP}]/[4\text{-NP}]_0)$ versus time, as previously used for
11 other $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$ -mediated reactions.¹¹ This behavior has been attributed to the complexity of
12 involved reactions including simultaneous dissolution of Fe(II), movement of Fe(II) reducing
13 equivalents from the interior to the surface and reduction/oxidation processes at the interface.^{10,11}
14 Additionally, the occurrence of Fe(II)/Ti(IV) amorphous phases observed at higher x values (i.e.
15 > 0.38) may affect the reduction behavior, as previously reported.¹¹ However, the contribution of
16 the latter has been only observed for the reduction of 10 μM of Tc(VII) to Tc(IV) and became
17 negligible for larger oxidant amounts (e.g. 30 μM of Tc(VII)).¹¹ In the present work, similar
18 kinetic behavior was obtained when a lower amount of oxidant (i.e. 10 μM of 4-NP instead of 100
19 μM) was used (Fig. S4a), thereby underscoring the low contribution of such amorphous phases.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Figure 1b shows that higher $[\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{aq}}$ was measured for larger x and that a gradual
decrease in $[\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{aq}}$ was observed throughout the 4-NP reduction. $[\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{aq}}$ was below the
detection limit in magnetite suspension ($x=0$), where no 4-NP reduction was noted. Consistently,
initial measured Eh values decreased with x ($-154 < \text{Eh} < -383$ mV, for $0 \leq x \leq 0.75$; Table S1)
and, at the end of all experiments, less negative (or almost equal for $x=0$) Eh values were
measured ($-145 < \text{Eh} < -240$ mV; Table S1), though Eh values have to be interpreted only
qualitatively. Homogeneous tests using Fe(II) solutions (1mM or less of $[\text{Fe(II)}]$ as FeCl_2) at pH

1
2
3 = 8 did not show a significant removal of 4-NP within 240 min, suggesting that 4-NP reduction in
4
5 $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$ suspensions is a surface-mediated electron transfer process.
6
7

8 Because Fe(II) release in solution was observed, titanomagnetite-bound [Fe(II)] (i.e.
9 structural/sorbed Fe(II)) before 4-NP addition was calculated as follows: $[\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{bound}} = [\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{tot}}$
10 $- [\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{aq}}$, and was found very close to that determined by acid digestion on the filtered solid.
11
12 This value was used to calculate $(\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)})_{\text{bound}}$, that is, the effective Fe(II):Fe(III) ratio in
13 the solid phase (see Table S1). Although the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio is known to be the
14 thermodynamic driving force for electron transfer from magnetite to substrate, a logarithmic
15 dependence of rate constants with increasing $(\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)})_{\text{bound}}$ was observed (Fig. 2a). Use of a
16 lower amount of oxidant (i.e. 10 μM of 4-NP) gave the same type of relationship, i.e. a nonlinear
17 dependence of k_{obs} with Fe(II)/Fe(III) (Fig. S4a). However, k_{obs} or amounts of 4-NP removed at
18 the end of the experiment (240 min) linearly correlated to the amount of initial Fe(II) in each
19 suspension (Fig. 2b, S4b and S5, respectively). Linear correlations were also found out by
20 plotting k_{obs} versus x or measured initial Eh (Fig. S6). Systematic correlation of reduction rates
21 with x clearly showed that 4-NP reduction is mainly controlled by a surface-mediated electron
22 transfer pathway. Additional tests made for different TiM0.5 suspension loading (100-250 mg L⁻¹)
23 showed that k_{obs} or total amounts of 4-NP linearly increased with the suspension loading (Fig.
24 3a, 3c), further suggesting that 4-NP reduction in titanomagnetite suspensions is a surface-
25 mediated reaction. The dissolved Fe(II) concentration, that was initially higher for the highest
26 suspension loading, gradually decreased throughout the reaction (Fig. 3b).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Note that pH = 8 is 1-2 pH units above the PZC value (pH 6-7¹⁰), and most of magnetite
51 particles are negatively charged, inducing a significant stability of colloidal dispersions. Increase
52 of electrolyte concentrations promotes charge screening, decrease of repulsive forces and,
53 ultimately, particles aggregation.²⁶ Investigation of 4-NP reduction kinetics in the presence of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 high monovalent electrolyte concentrations (1M NaCl) did not significantly influence neither the
4
5 reduction kinetics (i.e. 4-NP decay vs. time) nor the relationship of k_{obs} with $(\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)})_{\text{bound}}$
6
7 (Fig. S7), suggesting that the impact of aggregation phenomena on 4-NP reduction kinetics in
8
9 titanomagnetite suspensions is of minor importance.
10
11

12
13 As a further test of whether the molecular structure of the substrate can affect the
14
15 reduction behavior of titanomagnetite, we determined removal kinetics in the same manner but
16
17 by using nitrobenzene (NB) as a target compound. While the reduction of NB was found faster
18
19 (Fig. 2a and 2b), a nonlinear dependence of k_{obs} with $(\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)})_{\text{bound}}$ was observed for NB as
20
21 for 4-NP (Fig. 2a), suggesting that specific molecular interactions do not play a significant role in
22
23 the determination of relationship of k_{obs} with Fe(II)/Fe(III) . The latter has been recently explained
24
25 by employing the Marcus' theory of electron transfer reactions.¹² The k_{obs} values increase with
26
27 increasing $(\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)})_{\text{bound}}$ and exponentially approach a plateau as the magnitude of the
28
29 reaction free energy becomes close to the value of the reorganization energy of the adsorbed
30
31 compound assumed constant along the reaction.²⁷
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Effect of pH on 4-NP reduction in titanomagnetite suspensions.** As pH is a key reaction
40
41 parameter that strongly affects the aqueous iron chemistry and consequently the reductive
42
43 capability of Fe^{II} -bearing minerals, we determined removal kinetics in a pH range from 6 to 8.5
44
45 in titanomagnetite suspensions ($x=0.5$) (Fig. S8). k_{obs} values increased when pH rose up to pH 8
46
47 and then remained almost constant beyond pH 8 (i.e. 8.5) (Fig. 4a). A slight decrease in 4-NP
48
49 removal extent can be observed at pH 8.5 (Fig. S8a), as the protonated form of 4-NP ($\text{pK}_a \approx 7.1$)
50
51 was shown to favor the reduction of its nitro group.²⁸ Generally, magnetite reactivity can be
52
53 enhanced at high pH values, which has been attributed to the preservation of structural Fe(II)
54
55 against dissolution, and/or the improvement in Fe(II) adsorption on the surface.^{7,23} Indeed,
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [Fe(II)]_{aq} was initially higher at lower pH values, gradually decreased throughout the 4-NP
4
5 reduction at pH 8, and became below the detection limit within less than 60 min at pH = 8.5 (Fig.
6
7 S8b). For pH ≤ 7.5, no decrease in [Fe(II)]_{aq} was observed with time, which can be explained by
8
9 the H⁺ promoted dissolution of titanomagnetite, and the small or no-reductive conversion of 4-NP
10
11 to 4-AP. It should be noted that the 4-NP adsorption to titanomagnetite surfaces was found
12
13 negligible (< 5%) whatever the pH tested. To assess the effect of MOPS pH-buffer on Fe(II)
14
15 dissolution and then its reactivity (as previously highlighted),^{29,30} unbuffered experiments were
16
17 conducted at initial pH of 8.4 (pH only slightly varied (8.3 ± 0.1 (1σ), details is given in SI).
18
19 Comparison of buffered versus unbuffered pH systems for 8 ≤ pH ≤ 8.5 shows that MOPS
20
21 increased significantly the Fe(II) apparent solubility, but did not affect the 4-NP removal (Fig.
22
23 S3). Indeed, k_{obs} determined in the unbuffered system follows a consistent trend with pH (see
24
25 open symbol in Fig. 4a).
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 A linear relationship was obtained between k_{obs} and [Fe(II)]_{bound} (R² = 0.92) in the series
33
34 of experiments dedicated to the effect of pH (for x = 0.5) and the effect of x (for pH = 8) (Fig.
35
36 4b). Collectively, these results clearly demonstrated that the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio effectively
37
38 controls the surface-mediated reduction of 4-NP and its conversion to 4-AP, and that the
39
40 mechanism is mainly driven by structural or surface bound Fe(II) in the titanomagnetite. This
41
42 conclusion has been previously drawn for magnetite and Ti-substituted magnetite, but also for
43
44 non-stoichiometric magnetite amended with Fe(II) aiming to increase the intrinsic Fe(II)/Fe(III)
45
46 ratio (see e.g.^{2-4,7,8,11,13}). However, the reduction kinetics and mechanisms in Ti-magnetite *versus*
47
48 Fe(II)-amended magnetite at equal amounts of Fe(II) equivalent have never been investigated.
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Effects of Fe(II)_{aq} addition on the reactivity of magnetite (x = 0).** 4-NP reduction by Fe(II)-
56
57 amended magnetite is compared with the data of titanomagnetites in the same range of total
58
59
60

1
2
3 Fe(II) equivalent (from 0.76 to 1.53 mM) in Figure 1a. As expected, addition of dissolved Fe(II)
4
5 to non-stoichiometric magnetite (Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.41, Table 1) significantly enhanced the 4-NP
6
7 reduction rate. However, 4-NP reduction proceeded faster in the case of Fe(II)-amended
8
9 magnetite than with titanomagnetite, and reached quickly a pseudo-plateau within the first 5 min.
10
11 Like with titanomagnetites, we focused only on the initial stage kinetic, though 4-NP
12
13 concentration still decreased slightly and slowly beyond 240 min. Initial k_{obs} and 4-NP removed
14
15 amounts after 240 min were plotted versus initial Fe(II) equivalent in Figures 2b and S5,
16
17 respectively. Initial k_{obs} is shown to increase exponentially with (Fe(II)/Fe(III))_{bound} (Fig. 2a), as
18
19 previously observed for the reduction of nitroaromatic compounds by magnetite,⁴ which strongly
20
21 contrasts with the observation made for titanomagnetites. However, k_{obs} increased linearly with
22
23 the amount of initial Fe(II) equivalent for Fe(II)-amended magnetites, but with larger k_{obs} values
24
25 (Fig. 2b).
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 It is interesting to note that similar removed amounts of 4-NP at the end of experiment
33
34 (i.e. 240 min) were achieved whatever the investigated system: titanomagnetite, Fe(II)-amended
35
36 magnetite or Fe(II) amended-TiM0.25, provided only that the same amount of total Fe(II)
37
38 equivalent was used. When the removed amounts were plotted against total Fe(II) equivalent,
39
40 similar slope and intercept of the linear regression equations were obtained (see Fig. S5). This
41
42 falls in line with previous findings where the extent of U(VI) reduction by magnetite or
43
44 titanomagnetite was found only controlled by the bulk Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio, and the presence of Ti
45
46 did not significantly influence the effective reductive capacity¹³. Only the early stage kinetic
47
48 behavior differs between titanomagnetite and Fe(II)-amended magnetite at the same equivalent
49
50 amount of Fe(II). This kinetic discrepancy became salient when higher solid loadings, e.g. 600
51
52 mg L⁻¹ of TiM0.25 or Fe(II)-amended magnetite (3.18 mM Fe(II) equivalent), were used (Fig.
53
54 S9). Since this discrepancy was observed for all x values, the possible contribution of
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Fe(II)/Ti(IV) amorphous phases (the occurrence of which is only expected at high x values) can
4 be neglected. The same kinetic discrepancy was observed for the reduction of 0.1 mM NB (where
5 rates are close to published data on magnetite⁴), and thus independent on the investigated
6 substrate (Fig. 2). Like for 4-NP experiments, only the kinetic behavior differs between
7 titanomagnetite and Fe(II)-amended magnetite, and NB removed amounts after 240 min were
8 found very close (data not shown).
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Modification of aggregation state of particles may occur upon Fe(II) adsorption at pH=8, which might, in turn, affect 4-NP and NB reduction kinetics. However, cation sorption to the negatively charged surface (PZC 6-7) would lead to more aggregation in aqueous suspensions at pH=8.²⁶ Such homoaggregation is supposed to rather decrease surfaces reactivity,³¹ and thus cannot help to explain the high reduction rates of 4-NP and NB in Fe(II)-magnetite suspensions with respect to the titanomagnetite. Furthermore, repeated experiments in 1 M NaCl solution did not affect significantly 4-NP reduction rates (Fig. S7). The higher reactivity of Fe(II)-amended magnetite system over titanomagnetite was then confirmed at different pH values (6.3, 7, 8, 8.5) for 4-NP. Similarly to titanomagnetites, k_{obs} increased with increasing pH but with higher values over the pH-range investigated (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, different linear relationships were obtained by plotting k_{obs} with the amount of initial Fe(II) equivalent (Fig. 2b), thereby confirming the kinetic discrepancy between magnetite and titanomagnetite. Previous works have suggested that the presence of Ti may lead to changes in surface speciation of radionuclides (Tc(VII), U(VI) and Np(V)^{11,13,14}), but they did not highlight the impact of Ti substitution on the reduction kinetics. This unforeseen disparity in reduction kinetics between titanomagnetite and Fe(II)-amended magnetite, though the total Fe(II) amount is identical, will be discussed in the following paragraph.

1
2
3 **Reactivity difference between the Fe(II) + unsubstituted magnetite and Ti-magnetite.** It is
4
5 postulated that the added Fe(II) binds to non-stoichiometric magnetite, and then oxidation of the
6
7 sorbed Fe(II) and subsequent reduction of the octahedral Fe(III) in the underlying magnetite to
8
9 octahedral Fe(II) atoms take place. This process occurs until saturation of magnetite (i.e.
10
11 formation of stoichiometric magnetite, Fe(II)/Fe(III) \sim 0.5), thus enhancing the reductive ability
12
13 of the resulting product.³ Formation of new Fe-phase was not observed upon addition of
14
15 dissolved Fe(II) to magnetite.³ Our measurements of both dissolved and solid Fe(II) confirmed
16
17 that nearly-stoichiometric magnetite was formed before addition of 4-NP in all Fe(II)-amended
18
19 magnetite experiments. Because excess dissolved Fe(II) was not removed from the suspensions,
20
21 it could supply magnetite surface when oxidized by 4-NP to regenerate active sites, as previously
22
23 reported for magnetite² and Fe(III)-oxides.³²⁻³⁴ This is consistent with the sharp fall in [Fe(II)]_{aq}
24
25 after 4-NP addition to magnetite suspensions (see e.g. Fig. 1b) and explains why larger rate
26
27 constants were obtained for larger added [Fe(II)]. For titanomagnetites, though most of Fe(II) is *a*
28
29 *priori* supposed to be in the bulk structure, there is still an abundant amount of Fe(II) at the
30
31 surface according to previous studies.^{10,11,16} In addition, initial amounts of dissolved Fe(II) in one
32
33 hour pre-equilibrated titanomagnetite suspensions were found to be relatively large, and only
34
35 slightly lower than in the corresponding Fe(II)-amended magnetite experiments (see e.g. Fig. 1b).
36
37 Thus there should be enough dissolved Fe(II) to supply the surface of titanomagnetite when
38
39 oxidized by 4-NP. Furthermore, [Fe(II)]_{aq} decrease appears more gradual for titanomagnetites
40
41 than for Fe(II)-amended magnetite (Fig. 1b), thereby confirming the slow kinetics of the former.
42
43 Fe(II) addition to TiM0.25 suspension, in order to have the same equivalent amount of Fe(II) as
44
45 in TiM0.5, resulted in faster reduction rates of 4-NP ($k_{\text{obs}} = 0.08 \pm 0.01 \text{ min}^{-1}$) than with TiM0.5
46
47 ($k_{\text{obs}} = 0.05 \pm 0.01 \text{ min}^{-1}$) but slower than with the corresponding magnetite + Fe(II) ($k_{\text{obs}} =$
48
49 $0.14 \pm 0.02 \text{ min}^{-1}$) (Fig. S10). Similar observations can be made for TiM0.75 (Fig. S11, see also
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Fig. S5-7). Taken together, these findings further suggested that although oxide surfaces are
4 saturated with a supply of Fe(II), the Ti-substitution may affect the reduction kinetics behavior of
5
6
7
8 4-NP.
9

10 The surface-mediated reduction of 4-NP implies an electron transfer mechanism through
11 the particles, thus rendering it a bulk dependent effect. Indeed, an electron transport process must
12 be enlisted to enable redox reaction to proceed at magnetite surfaces, transferring charge through
13 the core via an electron transfer mechanism to the surface of the magnetite.^{3,10,15} Within the
14 magnetite, the existence of interactions between octahedral cations (i.e. Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺) is
15 responsible of electron delocalization or hopping, creating a net charge of +2.5.³⁵ Any
16 modification with a tendency to increase the repulsion between octahedral sites must result in a
17 relative shortening of the shared octahedral edge, and so declining in electron delocalization or
18 hopping. The possible presence of Ti⁴⁺ ions in octahedral sites may result in relatively greater
19 repulsion between the octahedral cations, which lead to a localization of electrons (otherwise
20 mobile for Fe³⁺-Fe²⁺ pairs)³⁶⁻³⁹. Consequently, electron transfer process both within the solid
21 phase and across the solid/water interface, that ensures surface regeneration of active sites and
22 ongoing 4-NP reduction, seems to be kinetically more favored (*i.e.* a lower barrier in terms of
23 activation energy) in the Fe(II)-amended unsubstituted magnetite.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Conclusions**

47
48 In this work, we have notably demonstrated that, although both surfaces are highly enriched in
49 Fe(II), faster reduction rate constants of nitroaromatic compounds were obtained for Fe(II)-
50 amended unsubstituted magnetite as compared to the Ti-substituted magnetite. The partial
51 occupancy of octahedral sites by Ti may change interactions between octahedral cations (*e.g.*
52 Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ in case of unsubstituted magnetite), and then electron delocalization properties or
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 electron hopping. These bulk-controlled mechanisms drive the electron transfer process both
4
5 within the solid phase and across the solid/water interface, thereby altering the regeneration of
6
7 active sites and ongoing 4-NP reduction on the magnetite surface.
8
9

10 From a kinetic point of view, Fe(II)-amended magnetite may have some advantages for the
11
12 conversions of 4-NP and NB to, respectively, 4-AP and aniline over titanomagnetite. Indeed, a
13
14 highly reactive system (i.e. faster rate constants) could allow better performance in a short period
15
16 time, which is crucial to successfully ensure scaling-up an environmental remediation
17
18 technology.
19
20

21 Collectively, these results may have strong implications for (i) mass reduction of 4-NP to 4-AP
22
23 without involving more expensive transition metals and (ii) remediation of contaminated water
24
25 and wastewater where Fe(II)-recharge of magnetite could be environmental-friendly and
26
27 economically-feasible, with respect to the titano-doping of magnetite.
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Acknowledgements**

34
35 The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of this work by ADEME “Agence de
36
37 l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie” N° of funding decision 1472C0030.
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Supporting Information Available**

44
45 Details of the synthesis and characterization of titanomagnetites; 4-NP reduction in unbuffered
46
47 pH system, and other reduction data at different experimental conditions. This information is
48
49 available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org/>.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- (1) Heijman, C. G.; Holliger, C.; Glaus, M. A.; Schwarzenbach, R. P.; Zeyer J. Abiotic Reduction of 4-Chloronitrobenzene to 4-Chloroaniline in a Dissimilatory Iron-Reducing Enrichment Culture. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1993**, *59*, 4350–4353.
- (2) Klausen, J.; Tröber, S. P.; Haderlein, S. B.; Schwarzenbach, R. P. Reduction of Substituted Nitrobenzenes by Fe(II) in Aqueous Mineral Suspensions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29*, 2396–2404.
- (3) Gorski, C. A.; Scherer M. M. Influence of Magnetite Stoichiometry on Fe^{II} Uptake and Nitrobenzene Reduction. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43*, 3675–3680.
- (4) Gorski, C. A.; Nurmi, J. T.; Tratnyek P. G.; Hofstetter T. B.; Scherer M. M. Redox Behavior of Magnetite: Implications for Contaminant Reduction. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 55–60.
- (5) McCormick, M. L.; Bower, E. J.; Adriaens, P. Carbon Tetrachloride Transformation in a Model Iron-Reducing Culture: Relative Kinetics of Biotic and Abiotic Reactions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *36*, 403–410.
- (6) McCormick, M. L.; Adriaens, P. Carbon Tetrachloride Transformation on the Surface of Nanoscale Biogenic Magnetite Particles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 1045–1053.
- (7) Gregory, K. B.; Larese-Casanova, P.; Parkin, G. F.; Scherer, M. M. Abiotic Transformation of Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine by Fe^{II} Bound to Magnetite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 1408–1414.
- (8) Latta, D. E.; Gorski, C. A.; Boyanov, M. I.; O’Loughlin, E. J.; Kemner, K. M.; Scherer, M. M. Influence of Magnetite Stoichiometry on U^{VI} Reduction. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 778–786.
- (9) Cornell, R. M.; Schwertmann, U. *The Iron Oxides: Structure, Properties, Reactions, Occurrences, And Uses*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003.
- (10) Pearce, C. I.; Qafoku, O.; Liu, J.; Arenholz, E.; Heald, S. M.; Kukkadapu, R. K.; Gorski, C. A.; Henderson, C. M. B.; Rosso, K. M. Synthesis and Properties of Titanomagnetite (Fe_{3-x}Ti_xO₄) Nanoparticles: A Tunable Solid-State Fe(II/III) Redox System. *J. Coll. Int. Sci.* **2012**, *387*, 24–38.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (11) Liu, J.; Pearce, C. I.; Qafoku, O.; Arenholz, E.; Heald, S. M.; Rosso, K. M. Tc(VII) Reduction Kinetics by Titanomagnetite ($\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$) Nanoparticles. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2012**, *92*, 67–81.
- (12) Liu, J.; Pearce, C. I.; Liu, C.; Wang, Z.; Shi, L.; Arenholz, E.; Rosso, K. M. $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$ Nanoparticles as Tunable Probes of Microbial Metal Oxidation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 8896–8907.
- (13) Latta, D. E.; Pearce, C. I.; Rosso, K. M.; Kemner, K. M.; Boyanov, M. I. Reaction of U^{VI} with Titanium-Substituted Magnetite: Influence of Ti on U^{IV} Speciation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47*, 4121–4130.
- (14) Wylie, M. E.; Olive, D. T.; Powell, B. A. Effects of Titanium Doping in Titanomagnetite on Neptunium Sorption and Speciation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, 1853–1858.
- (15) White, A. F.; Peterson, M. L.; Hochella M. F. Jr. Electrochemistry and Dissolution Kinetics of Magnetite and Ilmenite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1994**, *58*, 1859–1875.
- (16) Pearce, C. I.; Liu, J.; Baer, D. R.; Qafoku, O.; Heald, S. M.; Arenholz, E.; Grosz, A. E.; McKinley, J. P.; Resch, C. T.; Bowden, M. E. et al. Characterization of Natural Titanomagnetites ($\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$) for Studying Heterogeneous Electron Transfer to Tc(VII) in the Hanford Subsurface. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2014**, *128*, 114–127.
- (17) Yang, S.; He, H.; Wu, D.; Chen, D.; Liang, X.; Qin, Z.; Fan, M.; Zhu, J.; Yuan, P. Decolorization of Methylene Blue by Heterogeneous Fenton Reaction Using $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.78$) at Neutral pH Values. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2009**, *89*, 527–535.
- (18) Zhong, Y.; Liang, X.; Zhong, Y.; Zhu, J.; Zhu, S.; Yuan, P.; He, H.; Zhang, J. Heterogeneous UV/Fenton Degradation of TBBPA Catalyzed by Titanomagnetite: Catalyst Characterization, Performance and Degradation Products. *Water Research* **2012**, *46*, 4633–4644.
- (19) Fortune, W. B.; Mellon, M. G. Determination of Iron with o-phenanthroline-A Spectrophotometric Study. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed.* **1938**, *10*, 0060–0064.
- (20) Rodriguez-Carvajal, J. Recent Advances in Magnetic-Structure Determination by Neutron Powder Diffraction. *Physica B* **1993**, *192*, 55–69.
- (21) Lin, F. H.; Doong, R. A. Bifunctional $\text{Au-Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ Heterostructures for Magnetically Recyclable Catalysis of Nitrophenol Reduction. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 6591–6598

- 1
2
3 (22) Velghe, N.; Claeys, A. Rapid Spectrophotometric Determination of Nitrate with Phenol.
4 *Analyst* **1983**, *108*, 1018–1022.
5
6
7 (23) Bae, S.; Hanna, K. Reactivity of Nanoscale Zero-Valent Iron in Unbuffered Systems: Effect
8 of pH and Fe(II) Dissolution. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49*, 10536–10543.
9
10 (24) Zheng, J.; Dong, Y.; Wang, W.; Ma, Y.; Hu, J.; Chen X.; Chen, X. In Situ Loading of Gold
11 Nanoparticles on Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ Magnetic Nanocomposites and Their High Catalytic
12 Activity. *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 4894–4901.
13
14 (25) Safari, J.; Gandomi-Ravandi, S.; Haghighi, Z. Supported Polymer Magnets with High
15 Catalytic Performance in the Green Reduction of Nitroaromatic Compounds. *RSC Adv.*
16 **2016**, *6*, 31514–31525.
17
18 (26) Huynh, K. A.; Chen, K. L. Aggregation Kinetics of Citrate and Polyvinylpyrrolidone Coated
19 Silver Nanoparticles in Monovalent and Divalent Electrolyte Solutions. *Environ. Sci.*
20 *Technol.* **2011**, *45*, 5564–5571.
21
22 (27) Marcus, R. A.; Sutin, N. Electron Transfers in Chemistry and Biology. *Biochim. Biophys.*
23 *Acta* **1985**, *811*, 265–322.
24
25 (28) Schwarzenbach, R. P.; Stierli, R.; Lanz, K.; Zeyer, J. Quinone and Iron Porphyrin Mediated
26 Reduction of Nitroaromatic Compounds in Homogeneous Aqueous Solution. *Environ. Sci.*
27 *Technol.* **1990**, *24*, 1566–1574.
28
29 (29) Stemig, A. M.; Do, T. A.; Yuwono, V. M.; Arnold, W. A.; Penn, R. L. Goethite
30 Nanoparticle Aggregation: Effects of Buffers, Metal Ions, and 4-Chloronitrobenzene
31 Reduction. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2014**, *1*, 478–487.
32
33 (30) Buchholz, A.; Laskov, C.; Haderlein S. B. Effects of Zwitterionic Buffers on Sorption of
34 Ferrous Iron at Goethite and Its Oxidation by CCl₄. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45*, 3355–
35 3360.
36
37 (31) Hochella, M. F. Jr.; Lower, S. K.; Maurice, P. A.; Penn, R. L.; Sahai, N.; Sparks, D. L.;
38 Twining, B. S. Nanominerals, Mineral Nanoparticles, and Earth Systems. *Science* **2008**,
39 *319*, 1631–1635.
40
41 (32) Amonette, J. E.; Workman, D. J.; Kennedy, D. W.; Fruchter, J. S.; Gorby, Y. A.
42 Dechlorination of Carbon Tetrachloride by Fe(II) Associated with Goethite. *Environ. Sci.*
43 *Technol.* **2000**, *34*, 4606–4613.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (33) Pecher, K.; Haderlein, S. B.; Schwarzenbach, R. P. Reduction of Polyhalogenated Methanes
4 by Surface-Bound Fe(II) in Aqueous Suspensions of Iron Oxides. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*
5 **2002**, *36*, 1734–1741.
6
7
8 (34) Williams, A. G. B.; Scherer, M. M. Spectroscopic Evidence for Fe(II)–Fe(III) Electron
9 Transfer at the Iron Oxide–Water Interface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 4782–4790.
10
11 (35) Tanaka, H.; Kono, M. Mossbauer Spectra of Titanomagnetite: A Reappraisal. *J. Geomag.*
12 *Geoelectr.* **1987**, *39*, 463–475.
13
14 (36) Walz, F.; Torres, L.; Bendimya, K.; de Francisco, C.; Kronmüller, H. Analysis of Magnetic
15 After-Effect Spectra in Titanium-Doped Magnetite. *Phys. Stat. Sol. (a)* **1997**, *164*, 805–820.
16
17 (37) Brabers, V. A. M. The Electrical Conduction of Titanomagnetites. *Physica B* **1995**, *205*,
18 143–152.
19
20 (38) Wechsler, B. A.; Lindsley, D. H.; Prewitt, C. T. Crystal Structure and Cation Distribution in
21 Titanomagnetites ($\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$). *American Mineralogist* **1984**, *69*, 754–770.
22
23 (39) Guigue-Millot, N.; Champion, Y.; Hyltch, M. J.; Bernard, F.; Begin-Colin, S.; Perriat, P.
24 Chemical Heterogeneities in Nanometric Titanomagnetites Prepared by Soft Chemistry and
25 Studied Ex Situ: Evidence for Fe-Segregation and Oxidation Kinetics. *J. Phys. Chem. B*
26 **2001**, *105*, 7125–7132.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Expected and experimental Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio, B.E.T. surface area of titanomagnetites, crystallite size and cell parameter determined by XRD analysis.

x	Fe(II)/Fe(III)		B.E.T. (m ² g ⁻¹)	Cristallite size (nm)	Cell parameter (Å)
	Expected	Chemical analysis			
0	0.50	0.41	95	9	8.384(2)
0.25	0.83	0.80	118	10	8.384(2)
0.38	1.11	1.08	144	13	8.396(2)
0.50	1.50	1.48	139	13	8.397(2)
0.75	3.50	3.26	132	28	8.413(2)

Figure captions

Figure 1. Effect of titanomagnetite stoichiometry ($\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$; $0 \leq x \leq 0.75$; 200 mg L^{-1}) and dissolved Fe(II) added to 200 mg L^{-1} magnetite (for comparable Fe(II) equivalent) on the reduction of 0.1 mM 4-NP at $\text{pH} = 8$ (50 mM MOPS): (a) 4-NP concentration and (b) dissolved Fe(II) concentration versus time. Same symbols and legends are used in (a) and (b).

Figure 2. Initial rate constant (k_{obs}) versus (a) $(\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)})_{\text{bound}}$ and (b) initial Fe(II) equivalent for the reduction of 4-NP (0.1 mM) or NB (0.1 mM) by 200 mg L^{-1} titanomagnetite ($0 \leq x \leq 0.75$) and Fe(II)-amended magnetite at $\text{pH} = 8$ (50 mM MOPS).

Figure 3. Effect of the suspension loading for $x = 0.5$ on the reduction of 0.1 mM 4-NP by titanomagnetite ($x = 0.5$) at $\text{pH} = 8$ (50 mM MOPS). (a) 4-NP concentration and (b) dissolved Fe(II) concentration versus time, (c) initial rate constant (k_{obs}) versus suspension loading.

Figure 4. (a) Initial rate constant (k_{obs}) versus pH determined for the reduction of 0.1 mM 4-NP by 200 mg L^{-1} TiM0.5 and 200 mg L^{-1} magnetite amended with 0.56 mM Fe(II) (1.31 mM Fe(II) equivalent in both systems). The empty square corresponds to unbuffered pH system (see Fig. S3). (b) Initial rate constant (k_{obs}) versus initial $[\text{Fe(II)}]_{\text{bound}}$ for the experiments dedicated to 4-NP reduction by 200 mg L^{-1} titanomagnetite (effects of pH for $x = 0.5$, and effects of x for $\text{pH} = 8$).

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3





Figure 4



TOC Graphic

