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Bromocyanobutadiyne is able to provide conjugated enynenitriles stereoselectively in one step from alkynes, secondary amines and co-catalysts.

The chemical reactivity of bromocyanobutadiyne has been evaluated for the first time by making it react with terminal alkynes and secondary amines in the presence of bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium dichloride and copper iodide as co-catalysts. This reaction provided new conjugated enynenitriles stereoselectively in one step in variable yields.

The synthesis of 1,3-enynes is of particular interest in different fields such as biology, electronics and photonics. Numerous recent publications report new ways to overcome the problem of synthesizing 1,3-enynes in a controlled manner, especially concerning the configuration of the C=C double bond. In most cases, the synthesis of 1,3-enynes proceeds in two steps by first forming a functionalized alkene having the desired configuration and then coupling a terminal alkyne following a Sonogashira or a Negishi-like coupling. Alternatively, the addition of the alkyne may be performed first and the C=C double bond is formed subsequently. More rarely, we can find in the literature elegant methods using a one-pot procedure for the formation of the alkene and the addition of the alkyne or direct formation of the 1,3-enyne by alkyne cross-coupling.

Our laboratory recently reported on the particular reactivity of bromocyanobutadiyne BrC₅N 1 with triisopropylsilylethylene in the presence of palladium(II) complexes and copper(I) as co-catalysts, as well as diisopropylamine. We showed the formation of an unexpected dienyne in a stereoselective fashion (Scheme 1a). Inspired by this work, we wondered whether the smaller homologous counterpart
bromocyanocyanoacetylene BrC₃N could react the same way or would lead to a more standard reactivity such as a Cadiot-Chodkiewicz coupling as does the corresponding ester. If the reactivity would be the same as BrC₃N, BrC₃N should be a precursor of conjugated enynenitriles (Scheme 1b). Surprisingly, to the best of our knowledge, the chemical reactivity of BrC₃N in solution has never been investigated whereas its halogen-free counterpart HC₃N has largely been documented.

In this article, we report the stereoselective synthesis of conjugated enynenitriles in moderate to good yields in one step from BrC₃N, a terminal alkyne and an amine using bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium dichloride and copper iodide as co-catalysts.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TIPS-} &= \text{H} + \text{Br-} \equiv \equiv \equiv \equiv \text{CN} \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2, \text{CuI, (Pr)}_2\text{NH}} \text{TIPS-} \equiv \equiv \equiv \equiv \text{CN} \\
&\quad \text{THF} \\
&\quad (50\%)
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 1**  a) Previous work: reactivity of BrC₃N; b) This work: reactivity of BrC₃N.

First, the reactivity of BrC₃N, which was synthesized according to a described procedure, was evaluated with different amines (5 equiv) and phenylacetylene (2 equiv). Bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium dichloride (10 mol%) and copper iodide (10 mol%) were used as co-catalysts and the reaction was run each time in THF overnight at room temperature under inert atmosphere. Four amines were tested: the reaction only led to degradation with morpholine or dibenzylamine, but enynenitriles 5, 6 and 7 were obtained in 34% yield with diethylamine, 82% yield with diisopropylamine and 42% yield with diethylbenzylamine (Table 1). The E-configuration of the CC double bond was clearly established by 2D-NMR spectroscopy and no traces of the Z-isomer could be observed.

The fact that the reaction did not work with morpholine could be explained by a stronger nucleophilicity that would imply potential multiple additions on BrC₃N before phenylacetylene could react. Concerning dibenzylamine, the reasons are more obscure. Steric hindrance cannot be invoked since enynenitrile 7 could be obtained with diethylbenzylamine. Given these five results, diisopropylamine was chosen to evaluate the scope of this reaction with different alkynes.
Table 1  Formation of enynenitriles with different amines.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>(\text{NHR'}_2)</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\text{PhNHPh})</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(\text{PhNHPh})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(\text{NH}_2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(\text{NH}_2)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(\text{PhNHPh})</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Reaction conditions: bromocyanoacetylene (0.2 M in THF), phenylacetylene (2 equiv. vs. \(\text{BrCN}\)), \(\text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2\) (0.1 equiv. vs. \(\text{BrCN}\)), \(\text{CuI}\) (0.1 equiv. vs. \(\text{BrCN}\)), \(\text{NHR'}_2\) (5 equiv. vs. \(\text{BrCN}\)), r.t., 17h. \(^b\) Isolated yield.

In the same conditions as previously described, the reactivity of \(\text{BrCN}\) was evaluated by reacting it with different terminal alkynes (scheme 2). Using trimethylsilylacetylene instead of phenylacetylene slightly lowers the yield to 60% (compound 8). However, the use of triethylsilylacetylene or triisopropylsilylacetylene dramatically affects the reaction and leads to the corresponding enynes in 25 and 23% yield respectively (compounds 9 and 10). Steric hindrance is probably responsible for this drop of yield. This interpretation is confirmed by using 2,4,6-trimethylphenylacetylene that completely inhibits the reaction (compound 15). Moreover, when using triisopropylsilylbutadiyne, the obtained yield is 75% (compound 11), which confirms that taking away a bulky group is better for the reaction. The use of 1-octyne and para-methylphenylacetylene leads to the corresponding products 12 and 14 in acceptable yields of 55 and 57% respectively, whereas only traces of enyne 13 were obtained from 2-methyl-but-3-yne-2-ol. It is important to notice that all the indicated yields were calculated from products isolated after column chromatography. When using para-cyanophenylacetylene, no corresponding enyne 16 could be obtained but only an insoluble product was isolated which structure was assigned to the product of homocoupling of the terminal acetylene (Glaser-type coupling) according to \(^1\text{H-NMR}\) spectroscopy.\(^{12}\) Nevertheless, electron-deficient alkynes are not prohibited since para-methylbenzoateacetylene and para-trifluoromethylphenylacetylene led to enynes 17 and 18 in 55 and 82% yields, respectively. Concerning electron-rich alkynes, no enyne could be isolated from the reaction with 4-ethynyl-\(N,N\)-dimethylbenzenamine. However, enynes 20, 21 and 22 were obtained from the corresponding ynamide\(^{13}\), para-methoxyphenylacetylene and ethynylferrocene in 23, 66 and 80% yields respectively. Interestingly, when using a reactant bearing two terminal acetylenes separated by a biphenyl unit,\(^{14}\) only one CC triple bond reacted to afford compound 23 in 44% yield. This observation opens possibilities to further functionalize this kind of compounds.
The reactivity of enyne 10 was evaluated by a one-pot deprotection-Eglington coupling reaction in the presence of TBAF and Cu(OAc)$_2$ in a mixture pyridine and diethyl ether leading to diyne 24 in 10% yield (scheme 3). Despite the very modest yield obtained for this sequence, it shows the possibility these enynes may offer in the future for diverse applications such as the construction of π-conjugated systems.\(^\text{15}\)
Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained for compounds 2 and 10 (Figure 1). The structure of compound 2 shows a particularly short C-C single bond (1.38 Å), showing thus a significant double bond character. This is most probably due to the conjugation of the C≡C and the C≡N triple bonds. The other distances are in agreement with usual bonds of their kinds (C-Br: 1.79 Å; C≡C: 1.19 Å; C≡N: 1.15 Å). The structure of compound 10 confirmed the E-configuration of the double bond. The two C-C single bonds linked to the C≡C double bond are significantly shorter than usual C-C single bonds: 1.44 Å for the one next to the C≡C triple bond and 1.41 Å for the one next to the nitrile group. Likewise, the C=C double bond is slightly longer than usual: 1.37 Å. These distances are most probably due to the push-pull structure of the edifice with one electron-withdrawing group (the nitrile) conjugated to two electron-donating groups (the amine and the C≡C triple bond).

In order to rationalize the formation of enynenitriles from such conditions, we postulate that the first step is the addition of the amine. This step would direct the E-configuration of the CC double bond for steric reasons as indicated below. Then, a Sonogashira coupling can happen for coupling the alkyne (scheme 2).

The E-selectivity may be explained by steric arguments: the diisopropylamine (and to a lesser extent the diethylamine) group hinders the presence of the cyano group, which is bigger than a proton. Therefore, the E-isomer should be more stable than the Z-isomer. This statement was corroborated by G4MP2 high-level ab initio and B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) DFT calculations using simple acetylene or phenylacetylene and diisopropylamine as substituents in the gas phase or in THF. In each case, the difference of free energy between the two isomers was significant (above 10 kJ.mol⁻¹) in the gas phase and was even reinforced in THF, reaching 24 kJ.mol⁻¹ for the simplest model (for more details, see electronic supplementary information).

We cannot rule out the fact that an ynamine has intermediately been formed as we demonstrated with BrC₅N. Nevertheless, all attempts to synthesize the ynamine from BrC₅N and diisopropylamine were unsuccessful so far. An alternative mechanism that would consist in first a Cadiot-Chodkiewicz coupling between the two acetylenic compounds (to yield a
conjugated diyne) followed by the 1,4-addition of the amine is less likely. Indeed, we showed that the 1,6-addition of an amine to conjugated cyanobutadiyne derivatives is generally more favorable than the 1,4-addition.\textsuperscript{20} However, we never detected any traces of a product coming from a 1,6-addition in these experiments, which tends to rule out this kind of mechanism.

![Scheme 2](image)

**Scheme 2** Postulated sequence of reactions leading to the formation of enynenitriles.

To conclude, this article describes an original method to synthesize 1,3-enynenitriles in one-step from acetylenic compounds in a stereoselective manner, which opens a new way towards 1,3-enynes. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, the reactivity of BrC\textsubscript{3}N, which synthesis has been reported for more than 50 years ago, had never been investigated, except recently for generating C\textsubscript{3}N radical, C\textsubscript{3}N\textsuperscript{−} anion and metal acetylides in the gas phase.\textsuperscript{21} Therefore, BrC\textsubscript{3}N appears as a promising precursor of novel molecules that could interest the field of optoelectronics.

**Experimental section**

**General**

Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (Merck TLC silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254} on aluminum sheets) and visualized under UV irradiation at 254 nm or KMnO\textsubscript{4} staining solution. Compounds were purified by column chromatography using Geduran\textsuperscript{®} silica gel 60 (0.040-0.063 nm). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer. Spectra were recorded in deuterochloroform referenced to CHCl\textsubscript{3} (\textsuperscript{1}H, 7.26 ppm) or CDCl\textsubscript{3} (\textsuperscript{13}C, 77.2 ppm). Chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) are reported in ppm and coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz. The following abbreviations are used to describe multiplicity: s-singlet, d-doublet, t-triplet, q-quartet, quin-quintuplet, sept-septet and m-multiplet. HRMS experiments were carried out on a Waters Q-Tof 2 spectrometer.

Single crystal diffraction data were collected at low temperature on a D8 Venture Bruker AXS CMOS diffractometer with multilayers monochromatized Mo K\(\alpha\) radiation. Structure was solved by dual-space algorithm using SHELXT program.\textsuperscript{22} All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by the full-matrix least-squares techniques using the program SHELXL-2014.\textsuperscript{23} Hydrogen atoms were located geometrically and treated using a riding model with isotropic atomic displacement parameter constrained to the equivalent adp of the bonded carbon atom.

**Bromocyanoacetylene (2).** Bromocyanoacetylene 2 was synthesized according to a published procedure.\textsuperscript{10} Crystal data (CCDC \#1516110): C\textsubscript{3}BrN, M = 129.95 g.mol\textsuperscript{−1}, T = 150 K, monoclinic, space group = \(P 2_1/m\), \(a = 5.0950(10)\) Å, \(b = 6.1734(13)\) Å, \(c = 6.2035(14)\) Å, \(\alpha = 90^\circ\), \(\beta = 95.735(9)^\circ\), \(\gamma = 90^\circ\), \(V = 194.14(7)\) Å\textsuperscript{3}, \(Z = 2\), \(Dc = 2.223\) g cm\textsuperscript{−3}, absorption coefficient = 10.357 mm\textsuperscript{−1}, \(F(000) = 120\), reflections collected = 1670, independent reflections = 486 (Rint = 0.0407), data/restraints/parameters = 486/0/31. Final R indices (I > 2\(\sigma\)): R1 = 0.0289. R indices (all data): wR2 = 0.0719, goodness-of-fit on P\textsuperscript{2} = 1.217.
General procedure for preparation of enynes 5 to 23. A solution of 1-bromo-1-propynenitrile in 10 mL of THF (0.2 M) was placed into a two-neck flask under nitrogen atmosphere. The acetylene (2 equiv), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (0.1 equiv) and the amine (5 equiv) were added quickly one after the other. The solution was degassed during 10 min of stirring and then CuI (0.1 equiv) was added. After 17 h at r.t., the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography.

(E)-3-(diethylamino)-5-phenylpent-2-en-4-ynenitrile (5). The general procedure was followed with phenylacetylene and diisopropylamine. Compound 5 was obtained as a beige solid in 23% yield (128.2 mg / 0.44 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.60 – 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.32 – 7.16 (m, 10H), 5.37 (q, \(^3\)J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 1H), 1.80 (d, \(^3\)J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 6H) - \(^13\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 143.7, 122.2, 105.4, 98.3, 70.9, 50.0, 20.9, 0.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]^+ 399.1832, found 399.1833.

(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-phenylpent-2-en-4-ynenitrile (6). The general procedure was followed with triethylsilylacetylene and diisopropylamine. Compound 6 was obtained as orange oil in 34% yield (126.7 mg / 0.57 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.59 (m, 2H), 7.35 (m, 3H), 4.24 (s, 1H), 3.97 (sept, \(^3\)J_HH = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.27 (d, \(^3\)J_HH = 6.9 Hz, 12H) - \(^13\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 142.9, 139.4, 132.6, 132.2, 129.8, 128.6, 128.6, 127.7, 127.1, 121.2, 98.8, 83.1, 73.1, 56.6. 17.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]^+ 271.1607, found 271.1608.

(E)-3-(bis((R)-1-phenylethyl)amino)-5-phenylpent-2-en-4-ynenitrile (7). The general procedure was followed with phenylacetylene and (R)-bis((R)-1-phenylethyl)amine. Compound 7 was obtained as yellow oil in 42% yield (139.3 mg / 0.36 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.60 – 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.32 – 7.16 (m, 10H), 5.37 (q, \(^3\)J_HH = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 1H), 1.80 (d, \(^3\)J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 6H) - \(^13\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 144.8, 132.1, 129.7, 128.5, 121.8, 121.2, 97.5, 81.6, 67.0, 45.5, 12.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]^+ 247.1211, found 247.1210.

(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-(trimethylsilyl)pent-2-en-4-ynenitrile (8). The general procedure was followed with trimethylsilylacetylene and diisopropylamine. Compound 8 was obtained as yellow oil in 82% yield (307.5 mg / 1.48 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.59 (m, 2H), 7.35 (m, 3H), 4.09 (s, 1H), 3.37 (q, \(^3\)J_HH = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.18 (t, \(^3\)J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 6H) - \(^13\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 143.6, 139.4, 132.5, 129.8, 128.0, 121.4, 120.9, 97.1, 82.9, 68.9, 48.9, 19.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]^+ 399.1832, found 399.1833.

(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-(triethylsilyl)pent-2-en-4-ynenitrile (9). The general procedure was followed with triethylsilylacetylene and diisopropylamine. Compound 9 was obtained as yellow oil in 60% yield (244.3 mg / 0.99 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.60 – 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.32 – 7.16 (m, 10H), 5.37 (q, \(^3\)J_HH = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 1H), 1.80 (d, \(^3\)J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 6H) - \(^13\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 143.6, 139.4, 132.5, 129.8, 128.0, 126.1, 127.7, 127.1, 121.2, 98.8, 83.1, 73.1, 56.6. 17.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]^+ 399.1832, found 399.1833.

(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-(trimethylsilyl)pent-2-en-4-ynenitrile (10). The general procedure was followed with trisopropylsilylacetylene and diisopropylamine. Compound 10 was obtained as a beige solid in 23% yield (128.2 mg / 0.44 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 4.18 (s, 1H), 4.03 (sept, \(^3\)J_HH = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.24 (d, \(^3\)J_HH = 6.9 Hz, 12H), 1.11 (m, 21H) - \(^13\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 143.1, 121.5, 101.8, 99.2, 70.3, 49.5, 20.3, 18.6, 11.3. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]^+ 355.2546, found 355.2546. Crystal data (CCDC #1485944): C₂₀H₃₆N₂Si, M = 332.60 g mol⁻¹, T = 150 K, orthorhombic, space group = Pbca, a = 15.4294(14) Å, b = 15.5710(14) Å, c = 17.8506(15) Å, α = 90°, β = 90°, γ = 90°, V = 4288.6(7) Å³.
Å³, Z = 8, Dc = 1.030 g cm⁻³, absorption coefficient = 0.112 mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1472, reflections collected = 32096, independent reflections = 4912 (Rint = 0.0887), data/restraints/parameters = 4912/0/218. Final R indices (I > 2σ): R1 = 0.0658. R indices (all data): wR2 = 0.1633, goodness-of-fit on F² = 1.023.

**(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-7-(trisiospropylsilyl)hepta-2-en-4,6-diyenitrile** (11). The general procedure was followed with trisiospropylsilyl-1,3-buta diyne² and diisopropylamine. Compound 11 was obtained as orange oil in 75% yield (545.5 mg / 1.52 mmol). ¹H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 4.27 (s, 1H), 3.90 (sept, 3J₃HH = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.20 (d, 3J₃HH = 6.7 Hz, 12H), 1.03 (m, 2H) - ¹³C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 141.7, 120.7, 92.4, 88.0, 82.0, 72.0, 67.8, 49.6, 20.1, 18.4, 11.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 379.2545, found 379.2542.

**(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)undec-2-en-4-yenitrile** (12). The general procedure was followed with 1-octyne and diisopropylamine. Compound 12 was obtained as colorless oil in 55% yield (213.3 mg / 0.82 mmol). ¹H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.71 (m, 2H), 4.32 (s, 1H), 4.00 (sept, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.31 (d, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 12H) - ¹³C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 143.9, 122.0, 100.0, 74.6, 68.3, 49.1, 31.1, 28.5, 27.8, 22.4, 20.1, 19.3, 13.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 283.2150, found 283.2152.

**(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-(p-tolyl)pent-2-en-4-yenitrile** (14). The general procedure was followed with 4-ethynyltoluene and diisopropylamine. Compound 14 was obtained as an orange oil in 57% yield (59.4 mg / 0.36 mmol). ¹H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.19 – 7.13 (m, 2H), 2.35 (t, 3J₃HH = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.52 (quin, 3J₃HH = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (quin, 3J₃HH = 13.7 Hz, 2H), 1.22 (m, 4H), 1.17 (d, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 12H), 0.80 (t, 3J₃HH = 7.0 Hz, 3H) - ¹³C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 141.7, 135.3, 131.9, 129.3, 122.1, 118.5, 98.2, 82.9, 69.4, 49.5, 21.7, 20.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 283.1574, found 283.1576.

Methyl-(E)-4-(4-cyano-3-(diisopropylamino)but-3-en-1-ynyl)benzoate (17). The general procedure was followed with methyl 4-ethynlbenzoate and diisopropylamine. The residue was purified by chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH = 1:0 to 99:1). Compound 17 was obtained as an orange oil in 55 % yield (118 mg / 0.38 mmol). ¹H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 8.05 – 7.94 (m, 2H), 7.65 – 7.58 (m, 2H), 4.28 (s, 1H), 3.97 (sept, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 1.29 (d, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 12H) - ¹³C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 166.3, 143.0, 131.8, 130.7, 129.6, 126.0, 121.7, 96.5, 85.7, 70.5, 52.4, 49.6, 20.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 333.1574, found 333.1574.

**(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)pent-2-en-4-yenitrile** (18). The general procedure was followed with 4-trifluoromethylphenylacetylene and diisopropylamine. Compound 18 was obtained as a brown oil in 82 % yield (239.4 mg / 0.74 mmol). ¹H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.77 – 7.56 (m, 4H), 4.32 (s, 1H), 4.01 (sept, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.33 (d, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 12H) - ¹³C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 143.1, 135.3, 132.9, 132.3, 131.5, 131.2, 125.6, 125.5, 125.5, 125.9, 121.8, 96.1, 85.4, 70.7, 49.7, 20.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 343.1392, found 343.1391.

**(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-1-N-benzyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonyldepent-2-en-4-yenitrile** (20). The general procedure was followed with N-benzyl-N-ethyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide and diisopropylamine. Compound 20 was obtained as a colorless oil in 23% yield (64 mg / 0.15 mmol). ¹H NMR 400 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.36 (m, 2H), 7.24 (m, 5H, Hm), 4.54 (s, 2H), 3.97 (s, 1H), 3.76 (sept, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.08 (d, 3J₃HH = 6.9 Hz, 12H) - ¹³C NMR 100 MHz CDCl₃ δ (ppm): 145.2, 143.5, 134.8, 134.3, 130.1, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 127.8, 122.5, 90.9, 66.5, 55.8, 49.6, 21.8, 20.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 458.1873, found 458.1874.
(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)pent-2-en-4-ynenitrile (21). The general procedure was followed with 4-ethynylanisole and diisopropylamine. Compound 21 was obtained as an orange oil in 66% yield (96.2 mg / 0.34 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl\(_3\) δ (ppm): 7.55 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 6.90 – 6.84 (m, 2H), 4.22 (s, 1H), 4.01 (sept, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 6.9\) Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 1.31 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 6.9\) Hz, 12H) - \(^{13}\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl\(_3\) δ (ppm): 160.8, 144.1, 133.7, 122.3, 114.3, 113.6, 98.4, 82.5, 69.0, 55.5, 49.5, 20.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 305.1624, found 305.1620.

(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-ferrocen-2-en-4-ynenitrile (22). The general procedure was followed with ethynylferrocene and diisopropylamine. Compound 22 was obtained as red solid in 80% yield (431.5 mg / 1.20 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl\(_3\) δ (ppm): 4.52 (t, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 2.0\) Hz, 2H), 4.28 (s, 5H), 4.26 (t, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 2.0\) Hz, 2H), 4.16 (s, 1H), 3.97 (sept, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 7.0\) Hz, 2H), 1.25 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 7.0\) Hz, 12H) - \(^{13}\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl\(_3\) δ (ppm): 143.8, 122.1, 98.1, 79.5, 71.6, 70.1, 69.5, 68.4, 62.6, 49.2, 20.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 383.1187, found 383.1181.

(E)-3-(diisopropylamino)-5-(4’-ethynyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)pent-2-en-4-ynenitrile (23). The general procedure was followed with 4,4’-diethynylbiphenyl and diisopropylamine. Compound 23 was obtained as beige solid in 44% yield (123.1 mg / 0.35 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl\(_3\) δ (ppm): 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.49, (m, 4H), 4.22 (s, 1H), 3.95 (sept, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 6.9\) Hz, 2H), 3.08 (s, 1H), 1.26 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 7.0\) Hz, 12H) - \(^{13}\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl\(_3\) δ (ppm): 143.6, 141.4, 140.5, 132.8, 132.5, 127.2, 127.0, 122.1, 121.8, 120.9, 97.7, 84.3, 83.5, 78.3, 69.9, 53.6, 20.6, 13.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 375.1837, found 375.1839.

(2E,8E)-3,8-bis(diisopropylamino)deca-2,8-dien-4,6-diynedinitrile (24). A solution of tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (1.0 M in THF, 0.32 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) was added over 2 h via syringe to a stirred solution of anhydrous Cu(OAc)\(_2\) (175.4 mg, 0.96 mmol) and compound 10 (107.3 mg, 0.32 mmol) in a mixture of dry pyridine / diethyl ether (3:1, 97 mL). The blue solution became progressively emerald green as the tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride solution was added. Once addition was complete, the solution was poured into diethyl ether and aqueous HCl (1 M). The organic phase was washed with aqueous HCl (1 M) until all the pyridine was removed. Then the organic phase was dried and concentrated to yield a crude solid, which was further purified by chromatography (SiO\(_2\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) to yield compound 24 as an orange oil in 10% yield (11.1 mg / 0.031 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR 400 MHz CDCl\(_3\) δ (ppm): 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.97 (sept, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 6.7\) Hz, 4H), 1.27 (s, 1H, 3.95 (sept, \(^3\)J\(_{HH} = 7.0\) Hz, 12H) - \(^{13}\)C NMR 100 MHz CDCl\(_3\) δ (ppm): 141.4, 140.5, 132.8, 132.5, 127.2, 127.0, 122.1, 121.8, 120.9, 97.7, 84.3, 83.5, 78.3, 69.9, 53.6, 20.6, 13.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated [M+Na]+ 373.2363, found 373.2363.
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