



HAL
open science

The JAK1/2 Inhibitor Ruxolitinib Reverses Interleukin-6-Mediated Suppression of Drug-Detoxifying Proteins in Cultured Human Hepatocytes

Marie Febvre-James, Arnaud Bruyère, Marc Le Vée, Olivier Fardel

► **To cite this version:**

Marie Febvre-James, Arnaud Bruyère, Marc Le Vée, Olivier Fardel. The JAK1/2 Inhibitor Ruxolitinib Reverses Interleukin-6-Mediated Suppression of Drug-Detoxifying Proteins in Cultured Human Hepatocytes. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*, 2018, 46 (2), pp.131-140. 10.1124/dmd.117.078048 . hal-01699218

HAL Id: hal-01699218

<https://hal-univ-rennes1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01699218>

Submitted on 28 Feb 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title: The JAK1/2 inhibitor ruxolitinib reverses interleukin-6-mediated suppression of drug detoxifying proteins in cultured human hepatocytes

Authors: Marie Febvre-James, Arnaud Bruyère, Marc Le Vée, Olivier Fardel

Affiliations :

Institut de Recherches en Santé, Environnement et Travail (IRSET), UMR INSERM U1085,

Faculté de Pharmacie, Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France (MFJ, AB, MLV, OF)

Pôle Biologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Rennes, France (OF)

Running Title: Regulation of CYP and transporter expression by ruxolitinib

Address correspondence to: Dr. Olivier Fardel, Institut de Recherches en Santé, Environnement et Travail (IRSET), UMR INSERM U1085, Faculté de Pharmacie, Université de Rennes 1, 2 Avenue du Pr Léon Bernard, 34043 Rennes, France. Tel: (33) 2 23 23 48 80; FAX: (33) 2 23 49 97; E-mail: olivier.fardel@univ-rennes1.fr

Number of text pages: 31

Number of tables: 1

Number of figures: 6

Number of references: 56

Number of words in Abstract: 250

Number of words in Introduction: 933

Number of words in Discussion: 1397

Abbreviations:

BSP, bromosulfophthalein; CRP, C-reactive protein; CYP, cytochrome P-450; DDI, drug-drug interaction; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; E3S, estrone-3-sulfate; EC₅₀, half maximal effective concentration; FBS, fetal bovine serum; idDDI, inflammatory disease-related drug-drug interaction; IL, interleukin; JAK, Janus kinase; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry; mAb, monoclonal antibody; NTCP, sodium-taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide; OATP, organic anion-transporting polypeptide; OCT, organic cation transporter; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; pSTAT1, phosphorylated STAT1; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; TEA, tetra-ethylammonium; TP, therapeutic protein.

Abstract

The inflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL)-6, which basically activates the JAK/STAT signaling pathway, is well-known to repress expression of hepatic cytochromes P-450 (CYPs) and transporters. Therapeutic proteins, like mAbs targeting IL-6 or its receptor, have been consequently demonstrated to restore full hepatic detoxification capacity, which results in inflammatory disease-related drug-drug interactions (idDDIs). In the present study, we investigated whether ruxolitinib, a small drug acting as a JAK1/2 inhibitor and currently used in the treatment of myeloproliferative neoplasms, may also counteract IL-6 repressing effects towards hepatic detoxifying systems. Ruxolitinib was found to fully inhibit IL-6-mediated repression of CYP (CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4) and transporter (NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1) mRNA levels in primary human hepatocytes and differentiated hepatoma HepaRG cells. Such effects were dose-dependent, with ruxolitinib EC₅₀ values around 1.0-1.2 μM and thus close to ruxolitinib plasma levels which can be reached in patients. Moreover, they were associated with concomitant restoration of CYP and drug transporter activities in IL-6-exposed HepaRG cells. By contrast, ruxolitinib failed to suppress the repression of drug detoxifying protein mRNA levels caused by IL-1β. The JAK inhibitor and anti-rheumatoid arthritis compound tofacitinib was additionally found to reverse IL-6-mediated suppression of CYP and transporter mRNA expressions. Taken together, our results demonstrated that small drugs acting as JAK inhibitors, like ruxolitinib, counteract IL-6-mediated repression of drug metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters in cultured human hepatocytes. These JAK inhibitors may consequently be hypothesized to restore hepatic detoxification capacity *in vivo* for patients suffering from inflammatory diseases, which may in turn cause idDDIs.

Introduction

Drug-mediated alteration of drug detoxification ways is a major cause of drug-drug interactions (DDIs) (Cascorbi, 2012). Such pharmacokinetics-related DDIs usually involve a small molecule drug, *i.e.*, the perpetrator, which directly inhibits activity of drug metabolizing enzymes or drug transporters or regulate their expression. This will then result in decreased or enhanced clearance of a co-administrated drug, *i.e.*, the victim, substrate for these detoxifying proteins. These DDIs therefore implicate small drug perpetrators mostly acting as inhibitors of drug-metabolizing cytochrome P-450 (CYP) or drug transporter activity, through competitive or non-competitive mechanisms, or as inducers of drug metabolizing enzyme expression. Numerous clinical examples of such DDIs have been reported in the literature (Danton et al., 2013). The possibility that a new molecular entity may be the perpetrator or the victim of a putative DDI has to be regulatory investigated by pharmaceutical companies during preclinical drug development (Prueksaritanont et al., 2013).

The increase in the clinical use of therapeutic proteins (TPs) has recently drawn attention to the potential DDIs between TPs and small molecule drugs (Evers et al., 2013). Indeed, inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-1 β , IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor- α are potent repressors of hepatic cytochrome P-450 and drug transporter expression (Morgan et al., 2008; Fardel and Le Vee, 2009), known to commonly lead to reduced clearance of drugs in patients suffering from infectious and/or inflammatory diseases (Morgan, 2009). The use of TPs acting as anti-cytokine drugs, like monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) directed against circulating inflammatory cytokines or cytokine receptors, has consequently been hypothesized to neutralize the repressing effects of inflammatory cytokines towards drug detoxifying protein expression; by this way, it likely restores full hepatic drug detoxification capacity for patients suffering from inflammatory or infectious diseases. This may be the source of DDIs (Harvey and Morgan, 2014), which may in fact be considered as inflammatory disease-related DDIs

(idDDIs). This hypothesis has been validated for TPs targeting the IL-6 pathway in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Indeed, the mAbs tocilizumab and sarilumab, directed against the IL-6 receptor alpha, as well as the IL-6 neutralizing mAb sirukumab, reduce exposure of co-administrated drugs like simvastatin, midazolam or omeprazole (Schmitt et al., 2011; Zhuang et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2017), most likely through reversing IL-6-mediated suppression of CYP expression (Jiang et al., 2016).

Ruxolitinib (also known as INCB018424) is a small drug belonging to the emerging class of Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors and currently clinically used in the treatment of JAK2 *V617F*-positive myeloproliferative neoplasms, including intermediate or high risk myelofibrosis and polycythemia vera (Bose and Verstovsek, 2017). Besides the JAK2 isoform, primarily associated with receptors for the hematopoietic growth factors erythropoietin and thrombopoietin, the JAK1 isoform, which plays a major role in the signaling pathway of inflammatory cytokines, is also potently inhibited by ruxolitinib. With respect to the two other members of the JAK family, tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2) is additionally targeted by ruxolitinib, whereas JAK3 is less sensitive (Quintas-Cardama et al., 2010). Ruxolitinib is nevertheless considered as belonging to the class of first generation pan-JAK inhibitors (Baker and Isaacs, 2017).

JAK1, JAK2 and TYK2 are thought to play a key role in IL-6 signaling pathway. Indeed, these JAK isoforms are activated/phosphorylated upon IL-6 binding to its receptor and they downstream phosphorylate signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 1 and STAT3, which triggers molecular genomic response to IL-6 (Hunter and Jones, 2015). It is then likely that ruxolitinib may antagonize most of IL-6 effects, which probably contributes to its well-reported anti-inflammatory properties. In this context, it may be hypothesized that ruxolitinib may counteract the suppressing effects of IL-6 towards drug detoxifying proteins, as reported above for TPs targeting the IL-6 pathway. The present study was therefore designed

to investigate this hypothesis, using primary human hepatocytes and highly-differentiated hepatoma HepaRG cells, which are recognized as convenient cell systems to study cytokine effects towards hepatic detoxifying enzymes and transporters (Aitken and Morgan, 2007; Le Vee et al., 2009; Klein et al., 2015; Rubin et al., 2015). Our data demonstrate that ruxolitinib can effectively reverse the repressing effects of IL-6 towards CYPs and drug transporters *in vitro*, suggesting that putative idDDIs with drugs handled by IL-6-targeted detoxifying proteins and co-administrated with ruxolitinib may have to be considered.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents. Ruxolitinib and tofacitinib were provided by Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA). Verapamil, midazolam and bromosulfophthalein (BSP) were from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France). Phenacetine, acetaminophen, bupropion and hydroxy-bupropion were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA), whereas 1'-hydroxy-midazolam was provided by Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, Canada). [³H(G)] taurocholic acid (specific activity 5 Ci/mmol), [6,7-³H(N)] estrone-3-sulfate (E3S) (specific activity 51.8 Ci/mmol) and [1-¹⁴C] tetra-ethylammonium (TEA) (specific activity 3.5 Ci/mmol) were purchased by Perkin-Elmer (Courtaboeuf, France). Recombinant human IL-6 and IL-1 β were supplied by R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). All other chemicals and reagents were commercial products of the highest purity available. Stock solutions of ruxolitinib were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), whereas those of IL-6 were done in sterile distilled water containing 0.1 % bovine serum albumin. Control cultures received the same dose of solvents as treated counterparts.

Cell culture. Primary human hepatocytes were obtained from adult donors undergoing hepatic resection for secondary tumours, via the Centre de Ressources Biologiques (CRB) Santé of

Rennes BB-0033-0005 (University Hospital, Rennes, France), which has obtained the authorization N°DC-2008-630 from the French Ministry of Health to collect hepatic resections from the digestive surgery department and then to isolate and deliver the hepatocytes used in this study. Human hepatocytes, initially prepared by enzymatic dissociation of histologically-normal liver fragments (Fardel et al., 1993), were seeded in 24-well or 48-well plastic plates at a density of 2×10^5 cells/cm² in Williams' E medium (Invitrogen, Cergy-Pontoise, France), supplemented with 10 % (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Perbio Sciences, Brébieres, France), 5 µg/mL bovine insulin (Sigma–Aldrich), 100 IU/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin and 2 mM glutamine (Invitrogen). After 24 h, this seeding medium was discarded, and primary hepatocytes were routinely cultured in Williams' E medium defined above and supplemented with 5×10^{-5} M hydrocortisone hemisuccinate (Upjohn, Paris La Défense, France) and 2 % (vol/vol) DMSO, as reported previously (Le Vee et al., 2009). All experimental procedures complied with French laws and regulations and were approved by the National Ethics Committee. Characteristics of hepatocyte donors are indicated in Supplemental Table 1.

Highly-differentiated human hepatoma HepaRG cells were cultured as previously described (Le Vee et al., 2013). Briefly, cells plated in 24-well plates were first grown in Williams' E medium supplemented with 10 % (vol/vol) FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 5 µg/mL insulin, 2 mM glutamine, and 5×10^{-5} M hydrocortisone hemisuccinate for two weeks. Cells were next cultured for additional two weeks in the same medium supplemented with 2 % (vol/vol) DMSO in order to get a full differentiation of the cells (Gripon et al., 2002). HepaRG cells were used for experiments as surrogates for human hepatocytes, notably for CYP activities and drug transport assays, owing to the cost of human hepatocytes and the well-established convenience of using a cell line like HepaRG.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) experiments.

Total RNAs were extracted using the TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). RNAs were then reverse transcribed using the RT kit from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, USA). PCR were next performed using the fluorescent dye SYBR Green methodology and a CFX384 real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), as described already (Le Vee et al., 2009). Gene-specific primers for drug transporters, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4, C-reactive protein (CRP), IL-8 and 18S rRNA were exactly as previously reported (Jigorel et al., 2006; Le Vee et al., 2008). Other primers were CYP1A2 sense, CACTATCAGGACTTTGACAAG, and CYP1A2 antisense, AGGTTGACAATCTTCTCCTG. The specificity of each gene amplification was verified at the end of qPCR reactions through analysis of dissociation curves of the PCR products. Amplification curves were analysed with CFX Manager software (Bio-Rad), using the comparative cycle threshold method. Relative quantification of the steady-state target mRNA levels was calculated after normalization of the total amount of cDNA tested to the 18S rRNA endogenous reference, using the $2^{(-\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ method. Data were finally commonly expressed comparatively to mRNA expression found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit for each analysed gene.

Cytochrome P450 activities. CYP3A4, CYP2B6 and CYP1A2 activities were measured through analyzing oxidation of specific substrates, *i.e.*, midazolam, bupropion and phenacetine for CYP3A4, CYP2B6 and CYP1A2, respectively, using liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Briefly, hepatocytes were exposed to 50 μ M midazolam, 100 μ M bupropion or 200 μ M phenacetine for 4 h in MEM medium, in the absence of FBS. Production of CYP activities-related metabolites, *i.e.*, 1'-hydroxy-midazolam, hydroxy-bupropion and acetaminophen, was then analyzed in culture supernatants through LC-MS/MS, using an high-performance liquid chromatography Aria system (Agilent, Les Ulis,

France), equipped with a Kromasyl[®] C18 (4.6 × 150 mm) column (Interchim, Montluçon, France) and coupled to a tandem mass spectrometry TSQ Quantum Ultra (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Villebon sur Yvette, France) fitted with an electrospray ionization source (ESI+). Monitored ion transitions were at 342.0 > 168.0 m/z for 1'-hydroxy-midazolam, 152.0 > 110.0 m/z for acetaminophen and 256.1 > 237.9 m/z for hydroxy-bupropion. Amounts of produced metabolites were finally normalized to total protein cell content, determined by Bradford's method (Bradford, 1976).

Drug transport assays. Activities of the sinusoidal transporters sodium-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP/*SLC10A1*), organic anion transporting polypeptides (OATPs/*SLCOs*) and organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1/*SLC22A1*) were determined through measuring inhibitor-sensitive accumulation of reference substrates, as previously described (Jigorel et al., 2005). In brief, HepaRG cells were incubated for 5 min at 37 °C with radiolabeled substrates, *i.e.*, 30 μM TEA (substrate for OCT1), 4 nM E3S (substrate for OATPs) or 40 nM taurocholate (substrate for NTCP), in the absence or presence of reference transporter inhibitors, *i.e.*, 50 μM verapamil (OCT1 inhibitor) or 100 μM BSP (OATP inhibitor), or in the presence or absence of sodium (for NTCP activity) (Le Vee et al., 2013). The transport buffer used for assays consisted of 5.3 mM KCl, 1.1 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.8 mM MgSO₄, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 11 mM D-glucose, 10 mM HEPES, and 136 mM NaCl, adjusted to pH=7.4; NaCl was replaced by *N*-methylglucamine for transport assays in the absence of sodium. Cells were then washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and subjected to lysis in distilled water. Accumulation of radiolabeled substrates was next determined through scintillation counting of cell lysates and normalized to protein content, determined by Bradford's method. TEA uptake in the absence of verapamil minus uptake in the presence of verapamil, E3S uptake in the absence of BSP minus uptake in the presence of BSP and taurocholate accumulation in the presence of sodium

minus accumulation in the absence of sodium are thought to correspond to OCT1, OATP, and NTCP activities, respectively (Jigorel et al., 2005).

Immunocytochemistry. HepaRG cells were fixed with a cold solution of acetone for 10 min on ice. Cells were then washed with PBS and further incubated in PBS containing 4 % bovine serum albumin for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were next incubated overnight with primary antibody against phosphorylated-STAT1 (pSTAT1) (Ozyme, Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, France). After two washes with PBS, the primary antibody was detected with anti-rabbit IgG coupled to Alexa Fluor (Cell Signaling, Leiden, The Netherlands), whereas nuclei were stained by 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), for 1 h at room temperature. Immunofluorescence images were finally acquired with a confocal fluorescence microscope LEICA DMI 6000 CS (Leica Microsystems SAS, Nanterre, France).

Calculation and statistical analysis. Quantitative data were routinely expressed as means \pm SEM of at least three independent assays, each being performed in duplicate or triplicate. They were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Newman-Keuls' post-hoc test. The criterion of significance was $p < 0.05$. Half maximal effective concentration (EC_{50}) values of ruxolitinib towards cytokine mRNA expression were determined using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA), through nonlinear regression based on the four parameter logistic function. Percentages of reduction in mRNA expression or activity of detoxifying proteins in response to IL-6 or IL-1 β treatment were calculated using the equation (A):

$$(A) \quad \% \text{ Reduction (mRNA expression or activity)} = 100 - (\text{Value}_{\text{Cytokine}} \times 100 / \text{Value}_{\text{Control}})$$

where Value_{Cytokine} corresponds to mRNA level or CYP or transporter activity in cells exposed to cytokine (IL-6 or IL-1 β) and Value_{Control} corresponds to mRNA level or CYP or transporter activity found in control untreated cells.

Results

Inhibition of IL-6-induced CRP mRNA by ruxolitinib in primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells. The responsiveness of primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells to IL-6 and ruxolitinib were first determined. To do so, cells were exposed for 24 h to 10 ng/mL IL-6, a concentration previously successfully used to analyze IL-6-mediated regulation of hepatic detoxifying pathways *in vitro* (Le Vee et al., 2009; Klein et al., 2015). As shown in Table 1, this treatment was found to increase mRNA expression of CRP, a reference target of the signaling cascade IL-6/JAK1/STAT3 in human hepatocytes (Zhang et al., 1996). IL-6 concomitantly failed to exert cytotoxic effects, as shown by phase-contrast microscopic examination of the cultures (data not shown), which agrees with previous measurement of cellular viability in IL-6-treated human hepatocytes (Le Vee et al., 2009). In the presence of 5 μ M ruxolitinib, IL-6-induced CRP mRNA expression was fully abolished in both primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells (Table 1), thus suggesting that ruxolitinib efficiently inhibited the IL-6/JAK/STAT pathway in these cultured hepatic cells. This hypothesis was fully confirmed by the fact that ruxolitinib fully antagonized IL-6-induced phosphorylation of STAT1 and its subsequent nuclear translocation in HepaRG cells (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Attenuation of IL-6-mediated repression of CYP expression by ruxolitinib in primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells. In agreement with previous studies (Abdel-Razzak et al., 1993; Aitken and Morgan, 2007) and in comparison to untreated cells, treatment of human hepatocytes by 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 24 h was found to markedly repress mRNA expression of

CYP1A2 (by 95.6 ± 2.9 %), CYP2B6 (by 87.9 ± 3.7 %) and CYP3A4 (by 97.1 ± 2.1 %) in primary human hepatocytes (Fig. 1A). Ruxolitinib used at 5 μ M was able to fully reverse these IL-6-mediated suppressions of CYP mRNA expression (Fig. 1A). The JAK inhibitor was similarly efficient to abolish mRNA repression of CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 caused by longer exposures to IL-6 (48 h and 72 h) (Supplemental Fig. 2). Inhibitory effects of ruxolitinib towards IL-6-related suppression of CYP mRNAs in primary human hepatocytes were next shown to be dose-dependent, with EC_{50} values around 1.1 μ M for CYP3A4 and around 1.2 μ M for CYP1A2 and CYP2B6 (Fig. 1B); similar ruxolitinib EC_{50} values were found for CYP1A2, CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 mRNA regulation in HepaRG cells (Supplemental Fig. 3). Hepatocyte population from each donor was fully responsive to IL-6, *i.e.*, IL-6 repressed CYP3A4 mRNA levels by more than 97 % for each of the analyzed hepatocyte population, and ruxolitinib EC_{50} values ranged from 1.01 μ M to 1.15 μ M according to hepatocyte populations (data not shown), thus precluding any major inter-individual difference for the response to the JAK inhibitor.

CYP mRNA and activity levels were next investigated in HepaRG cells exposed to 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 48 h. This treatment time was chosen owing to the known notable half-life of CYP3A4 protein and activity, estimated to 28.9 h in IL-6-exposed human hepatocytes (Ramsden et al., 2015). IL-6 markedly reduced CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 mRNA expression in HepaRG cells (Fig. 2A). These CYP mRNA repressions were associated with a concomitant significant reduction of CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 activities (Fig. 2B); CYP1A2-related acetaminophen, CYP2B6-related hydroxyl-bupropion and CYP3A4-related 1'-hydroxy-midazolam formations measured by LC-MS/MS were thus reduced by 67.9 ± 10.9 %, 79.4 ± 8.1 % and 60.8 ± 4.0 %, respectively, in response to exposure to IL-6. Ruxolitinib used at 5 μ M was found to counteract IL-6-mediated repression of CYP1A2, CYP26 and CYP3A4 at both mRNA (Fig. 2A) and activity (Fig. 2B) level.

DMD # 78048

Attenuation of IL-6-mediated repression of drug transporter expression by ruxolitinib in primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells. In agreement with previous studies (Le Vee et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2012) and in comparison to untreated cells, treatment of cultured human hepatocytes by 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 24 h was found to markedly repress mRNA expression of the drug transporters NTCP (by 98.0 ± 0.5 %), OATP1B1 (*SLCO1B1*) (by 82.6 ± 5.3 %) and OCT1 (by 75.2 ± 3.9 %) (Fig. 3A). Ruxolitinib used at 5 μ M was able to reverse these IL-6-mediated suppressions of transporter mRNA expression (Fig. 3A). The JAK inhibitor was also fully efficient to abolish mRNA repression of NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 caused by longer exposure to IL-6 (up to 72 h) (Supplemental Fig. 4). Inhibitory effects of ruxolitinib towards IL-6-related suppression of transporter mRNAs in primary human hepatocytes were next shown to be dose-dependent, with EC₅₀ values around 1.0 μ M (for OATP1B1 and OCT1) and 1.1 μ M (for NTCP) (Fig. 3B).

Treatment of HepaRG cells by 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 48 h also markedly suppressed mRNA expression of NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 (Fig. 4A). It concomitantly reduced NTCP, OATP and OCT1 transport activities (Fig. 4B), indicating functional repression of transporters by IL-6, in agreement with previous data (Le Vee et al., 2009). Sodium-dependent uptake of taurocholate, BSP-inhibitable uptake of E3S and verapamil-inhibitable uptake of TEA were thus reduced by 62.4 ± 18.0 %, 46.3 ± 5.8 % and 53.0 ± 18.5 %. Ruxolitinib used at 5 μ M was found to reverse IL-6-mediated repression of NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 mRNA levels (Fig. 4A). It concomitantly inhibited IL-6-triggered decrease of NTCP, OATP and OCT1 activity (Fig. 4B).

Lack of ruxolitinib effects towards IL-1 β -mediated repression of CYP and transporter mRNA levels in HepaRG cells. To evaluate the specificity of ruxolitinib towards cytokines like IL-6 acting via the JAK/STAT pathway, we next analyzed its potential effects towards IL-

1 β -mediated alteration of detoxifying pathways in HepaRG cells. Indeed, IL-1 β primarily acts through notably mobilizing myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MYD88)/ IL-1 receptor-activated protein kinases (IRAKs)/ I κ B kinases/NF- κ B, in a JAK-independent manner (Weber et al., 2010). IL-1 β was used at 1 ng/mL, a concentration previously demonstrated to repress many detoxifying proteins in human hepatocytes (Le Vee et al., 2008; Dickmann et al., 2012; Moreau et al., 2017). This IL-1 β concentration did not trigger cytotoxicity, as shown by phase-contrast microscopic examination of the cultures (data not shown), which agrees with previous measurement of cellular viability in IL-1 β -treated HepaRG cells (Le Vee et al., 2008). IL-1 β was found to highly induce mRNA expression of the inflammatory markers CRP and IL-8, as already reported (Le Vee et al., 2008), and to significantly reduce those of various CYPs and transporters (Fig. 5). CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 mRNA levels were thus highly reduced by 99.1 ± 0.3 % and 98.5 ± 0.4 %, respectively, as for those of NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 which were markedly decreased by 91.8 ± 7.6 %, 92.8 ± 2.5 % and 67.7 ± 13.9 %, respectively. Such potent repressions of drug detoxifying protein mRNA levels in response to IL-1 β were not significantly impaired by 5 μ M ruxolitinib (Fig. 5). Similarly, IL-1 β -mediated up-regulation of IL-8 was not counteracted by ruxolitinib, which, by contrast, inhibited that of CRP (Fig. 5), suggesting an implication of the JAK/STAT pathway in CRP up-regulation by IL-1 β .

Attenuation of IL-6-mediated repression of CYP and drug transporter mRNA levels by the JAK1/3 inhibitor tofacitinib in HepaRG cells. To determine whether JAK inhibitors structurally distinct from ruxolitinib can also reverse IL-6 mediated suppression of detoxifying protein, we analyzed the effects of tofacitinib (also known as CP-690,550), a marketed JAK1/3 inhibitor currently used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (Vyas et al., 2013). Here, tofacitinib was used at a 3 μ M concentration, which may be reached in plasma of patients treated by oral administration of this JAK inhibitor (Dowty et al., 2014; Krishnaswami et al.,

2015). At this concentration, tofacitinib was found to fully reverse IL-6-mediated mRNA induction of the reference target CRP in HepaRG cells (Fig. 6), thus demonstrating that tofacitinib, as ruxolitinib, was efficient to antagonize the JAK/STAT pathway mobilized by IL-6 in such cells. Tofacitinib was next shown to counteract repression of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP3A4, NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 mRNA levels caused by IL-6 in HepaRG cells (Fig. 6).

Discussion

The data reported in the present study indicate that the marketed JAK1/2 inhibitor ruxolitinib can markedly reverse IL-6 mediated repression of hepatic drug detoxifying proteins *in vitro*. Ruxolitinib notably restores mRNA expression of both drug metabolizing enzymes and sinusoidal drug transporters, in primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells exposed to IL-6. These ruxolitinib effects are rather specific, as the JAK1/2 inhibitor failed to hinder IL-1 β -mediated mRNA suppression of drug detoxifying proteins. Also, tofacitinib, another JAK inhibitor not structurally related to ruxolitinib, counteracted CYP and drug transporter suppression due to IL-6 as well. Furthermore, ruxolitinib concentrations (in the 1 μ M range) required to reverse IL-6-mediated repression of detoxifying pathways in primary human hepatocytes were close to those (in the 0.25 μ M-1 μ M range) previously demonstrated to be needed to efficiently counteract the JAK/STAT pathway in cultured cells (Schonberg et al., 2015). These ruxolitinib effects towards drug detoxifying protein expression consequently most likely implicate its basic inhibitory activity against the JAK/STAT pathway. A role for off-target effects of ruxolitinib, which notably concerns various kinases such as tropomyosin receptor kinase B, activated CDC42 kinase 1, anaplastic lymphoma kinase, RET kinase and Rho-associated protein kinases (Zhou et al., 2014; Rudolph et al., 2016), has thus to be discarded. Importantly, the IL-6 concentration used in the present study (10 ng/mL) has to be

considered as supra-physiological. Indeed, in healthy individuals, serum IL-6 concentrations usually range from 1.3 to 10.3 pg/mL and are increased to 2.6 to 123 pg/mL in some patient populations suffering from inflammation-based diseases (Dickmann et al., 2011). Ruxolitinib has therefore to be considered as fully efficient to counteract effects of IL-6, even if the cytokine is used at very high concentrations. By contrast, TPs acting as IL-6 or IL-1 β neutralizing mAbs attenuate, but not fully abolish, IL-6 or IL-1 β -mediated repression of CYP3A4, through shifting cytokine half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values towards higher values (Dickmann et al., 2011; Moreau et al., 2017).

It is noteworthy that ruxolitinib EC₅₀ values (in the 1 μ M range) needed to abrogate IL-6 effects towards drug detoxifying proteins in cultured human hepatocytes are much higher than those (in the 5 nM range) required to inhibit JAK1 and JAK2 activities in acellular system assays (Fridman et al., 2010). This may reflect the fact that active free concentrations of ruxolitinib, known to be highly bound to circulating proteins in the human plasma (unbound plasma concentration = 3.3 %) (Shi et al., 2015), are probably low in the FBS-supplemented culture medium used for the *in vitro* treatment of hepatocytes in the present study. Moreover, intracellular hepatic concentrations of unbound ruxolitinib, which remain to be characterized, have likely to be taken into account, because ruxolitinib primarily acts intracellularly, *i.e.*, it blocks JAKs located at the cytoplasmic face of the IL-6 receptor. Finally, metabolic degradation of ruxolitinib by drug metabolizing enzymes, especially CYP3A4 (Shi et al., 2012), may additionally contribute to the relatively high EC₅₀ values of ruxolitinib observed in metabolic-competent human hepatocytes.

The marked up-regulation of functional drug detoxifying protein expression by ruxolitinib in IL-6-treated human hepatocyte cultures suggests that the JAK1/2 inhibitor may cause idDDIs, through enhancing detoxification capacities in patients suffering from inflammatory or infectious diseases. This hypothesis is fully supported by the fact that other

drugs targeting the IL-6 pathway, such as the mAbs tocilizumab, sarilumab and sirukumab, have been shown to reduce exposure of co-administrated drugs like simvastatin, midazolam or omeprazole (Schmitt et al., 2011; Zhuang et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2017). Moreover, ruxolitinib concentrations required to counteract IL-6-mediated suppression of CYPs and drug transporters *in vitro* are in the 1.0-1.2 μM range, which is close to the range of ruxolitinib maximum plasma concentrations (C_{max}) (0.59-1.29 μM) reached in patients treated with the JAK inhibitor (Ogama et al., 2013). In addition, ruxolitinib *in vitro* effects towards IL-6-related CYP and transporter repression seem to be not transient, *i.e.*, they lasted at least 72 h in cultured human hepatocytes. The clinical use of ruxolitinib may therefore be theoretically efficient to counteract the repressing effect of IL-6 towards drug detoxifying pathways *in vivo*. However, such an effect, and the potential consequences in terms of idDDIs, have not presently been reported in the literature, according to the best of our knowledge. Similarly, tofacitinib, although efficiently counteracting CYP and transporter repression caused by IL-6 in hepatic HepaRG cells, has not been shown to cause clinical idDDIs through enhancing drug metabolism and/or transport. Notably, tofacitinib did not alter the pharmacokinetics of the reference CYP3A4 substrate midazolam in healthy volunteers (Gupta et al., 2012). It similarly failed to impair pharmacokinetics of oral contraceptive steroids in healthy female volunteers (Menon et al., 2016). Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that these pharmacokinetics studies have been performed in healthy subjects, who are unlikely to exhibit inflammation-related altered physiological functions, like IL-6-mediated repression of hepatic detoxifying pathways. By contrast, patients suffering from myeloproliferative neoplasms or rheumatoid arthritis, which correspond to present clinical indications of ruxolitinib and tofacitinib, respectively, commonly exhibit increased plasma levels of IL-6 (Cokic et al., 2015; do Prado et al., 2016; Sollazzo et al., 2016). Pharmacokinetics studies centered on such patients are therefore likely required to verify the hypothesis that marketed JAK inhibitors, including not only ruxolitinib and tofacitinib, but also

baricitinib, very recently approved in European Union for rheumatoid arthritis treatment (Richez et al., 2017), may cause idDDIs through antagonizing the IL-6/JAK/STAT pathway.

It is noteworthy that both ruxolitinib and tofacitinib are primarily metabolized by CYP3A4, which thus appears as a key factor for their detoxification (Shi et al., 2012; Dowty et al., 2014). Inhibition of CYP3A4 activity by ketoconazole has notably been shown to increase total ruxolitinib plasma exposure, whereas induction of CYP3A4 expression by rifampicin decreased it in healthy volunteers (Shi et al., 2012). Through restoring functional expression of hepatic CYP3A4 in patients displaying elevated levels of IL-6, ruxolitinib and tofacitinib may therefore induce their own metabolism. Finally, it is noteworthy that ruxolitinib and tofacitinib reduce plasma levels of IL-6 and other inflammatory cytokines in patients to whom they are administrated (Migita et al., 2014; Tabarrokhi et al., 2014). Such a down-regulation of inflammatory cytokines may also contribute to putative *in vivo* inhibition of inflammation-related repression of hepatic detoxifying pathway by the JAK inhibitors.

Both primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells were found to be well-responsive to IL-6 and ruxolitinib, thus demonstrating that these cellular models are likely relevant to study the interactions of small drugs with inflammatory cytokines *in vitro*. Cultured human hepatocytes have similarly been used to investigate the effects of TPs towards cytokine-driven regulation of CYPs and transporters (Dickmann et al., 2011; Moreau et al., 2017). Their relevance for the prediction of TP-idDDIs may however be compromised due to the high inter-laboratory variability in CYP suppression by cytokines (Evers et al., 2013). In this context, it is noteworthy that the rather elevated concentration of IL-6 used in the study (10 ng/mL) allows to highly repress CYP3A4 mRNA in analyzed human hepatocyte population from each donor; other drug detoxifying proteins, including CYP1A2, CYP2B6, NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1, were also markedly repressed, regardless of the hepatocyte population (data not shown). In addition, ruxolitinib was similarly efficient to counteract IL-6-related repression of CYP3A4

mRNAs, in human hepatocyte population of each donor. Taken together, these data suggest that inter-individual variations for ruxolitinib response may be rather negligible in our experimental conditions. Besides, it should be kept in mind that inflammatory diseases display complex biology, with frequent implication of multiple cytokines, which may interplay themselves. The hepatocyte monoculture has consequently been considered as an oversimplified system, which cannot reproduce the complex biology of *in vivo* inflammatory disease states (Girish et al., 2011; Evers et al., 2013). The analysis of JAK inhibitor effects towards cytokine-regulated detoxifying proteins in a more relevant *in vitro* model, such as the hepatocyte-Kupffer cell coculture system (Nguyen et al., 2015), would be welcome.

In summary, the JAK inhibitor ruxolitinib was shown to potently counteract the repressing effects of IL-6 towards hepatic CYPs and drug transporters. This may be the source of potential idDDIs, through a new mechanism, *i.e.*, a small drug (ruxolitinib) acting as a perpetrator via restoring full hepatic detoxification capacities for patients suffering from inflammation-based diseases. This point has likely to deserve attention, owing to the growing interest for the clinical use of ruxolitinib, tofacitinib, baricitinib and other JAK inhibitors currently in development, for the treatment of various inflammatory and autoimmune diseases (Banerjee et al., 2017).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Cancéropole Grand Ouest (Project HII-GO) and the Ligue contre le Cancer (Comité d'Ille et Vilaine et Comité de Vendée). The authors thank Dr Valérie Lecureur for critical reading of the manuscript, Dr Sylvie Hermouet for her helpful encouragement to their work, Dr Abdullah Mayati for his help for immunocytochemistry, Dr Bruno Turlin and the Centre de Ressources Biologiques (CRB) Santé of Rennes BB-0033-

00056 for managing patient samples and Technologie Servier (Orléans, France) for the kind gift of the LC-MS/MS system.

Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Febvre-James, Fardel

Conducted experiments: Febvre-James, Bruyère

Contributed new reagents or analytic tools: Bruyère, Le Vée

Performed data analysis: Febvre-James, Fardel

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Febvre-James, Bruyère, Fardel

References

Abdel-Razzak Z, Loyer P, Fautrel A, Gautier JC, Corcos L, Turlin B, Beaune P, and Guillouzo

A (1993) Cytokines down-regulate expression of major cytochrome P-450 enzymes in adult human hepatocytes in primary culture. *Mol Pharmacol* **44**:707-715.

Aitken AE and Morgan ET (2007) Gene-specific effects of inflammatory cytokines on cytochrome P450 2C, 2B6 and 3A4 mRNA levels in human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:1687-1693.

Baker KF and Isaacs JD (2017) Novel therapies for immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: What can we learn from their use in rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, psoriasis, Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis? *Ann Rheum Dis*.

Banerjee S, Biehl A, Gadina M, Hasni S, and Schwartz DM (2017) JAK-STAT Signaling as a Target for Inflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases: Current and Future Prospects. *Drugs* **77**:521-546.

- Bose P and Verstovsek S (2017) JAK2 inhibitors for myeloproliferative neoplasms: what is next? *Blood* **130**:115-125.
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* **72**:248-254.
- Cascorbi I (2012) Drug interactions--principles, examples and clinical consequences. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* **109**:546-555; quiz 556.
- Cokic VP, Mitrovic-Ajtic O, Beleslin-Cokic BB, Markovic D, Buac M, Diklic M, Kraguljac-Kurtovic N, Damjanovic S, Milenkovic P, Gotic M, and Raj PK (2015) Proinflammatory Cytokine IL-6 and JAK-STAT Signaling Pathway in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. *Mediators Inflamm* **2015**:453020.
- Danton AC, Montastruc F, Sommet A, Durrieu G, Bagheri H, Bondon-Guitton E, Lapeyre-Mestre M, and Montastruc JL (2013) Importance of cytochrome P450 (CYP450) in adverse drug reactions due to drug-drug interactions: a Pharmacovigilance study in France. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **69**:885-888.
- Dickmann LJ, Patel SK, Rock DA, Wienkers LC, and Slatter JG (2011) Effects of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and an anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody on drug-metabolizing enzymes in human hepatocyte culture. *Drug Metab Dispos* **39**:1415-1422.
- Dickmann LJ, Patel SK, Wienkers LC, and Slatter JG (2012) Effects of interleukin 1beta (IL-1beta) and IL-1beta/interleukin 6 (IL-6) combinations on drug metabolizing enzymes in human hepatocyte culture. *Curr Drug Metab* **13**:930-937.
- do Prado AD, Bisi MC, Piovesan DM, Bredemeier M, Batista TS, Petersen L, Bauer ME, da Silveira IG, Mendonca JA, and Staub HL (2016) Ultrasound power Doppler synovitis is associated with plasma IL-6 in established rheumatoid arthritis. *Cytokine* **83**:27-32.

- Dowty ME, Lin J, Ryder TF, Wang W, Walker GS, Vaz A, Chan GL, Krishnaswami S, and Prakash C (2014) The pharmacokinetics, metabolism, and clearance mechanisms of tofacitinib, a janus kinase inhibitor, in humans. *Drug Metab Dispos* **42**:759-773.
- Evers R, Dallas S, Dickmann LJ, Fahmi OA, Kenny JR, Kraynov E, Nguyen T, Patel AH, Slatter JG, and Zhang L (2013) Critical review of preclinical approaches to investigate cytochrome p450-mediated therapeutic protein drug-drug interactions and recommendations for best practices: a white paper. *Drug Metab Dispos* **41**:1598-1609.
- Fardel O and Le Vee M (2009) Regulation of human hepatic drug transporter expression by pro-inflammatory cytokines. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* **5**:1469-1481.
- Fardel O, Morel F, and Guillouzo A (1993) P-glycoprotein expression in human, mouse, hamster and rat hepatocytes in primary culture. *Carcinogenesis* **14**:781-783.
- Fridman JS, Scherle PA, Collins R, Burn TC, Li Y, Li J, Covington MB, Thomas B, Collier P, Favata MF, Wen X, Shi J, McGee R, Haley PJ, Shepard S, Rodgers JD, Yeleswaram S, Hollis G, Newton RC, Metcalf B, Friedman SM, and Vaddi K (2010) Selective inhibition of JAK1 and JAK2 is efficacious in rodent models of arthritis: preclinical characterization of INCB028050. *J Immunol* **184**:5298-5307.
- Girish S, Martin SW, Peterson MC, Zhang LK, Zhao H, Balthasar J, Evers R, Zhou H, Zhu M, Klunk L, Han C, Berglund EG, Huang SM, and Joshi A (2011) AAPS workshop report: strategies to address therapeutic protein-drug interactions during clinical development. *AAPS J* **13**:405-416.
- Gripon P, Rumin S, Urban S, Le Seyec J, Glaise D, Cannie I, Guyomard C, Lucas J, Trepo C, and Guguen-Guillouzo C (2002) Infection of a human hepatoma cell line by hepatitis B virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**:15655-15660.
- Gupta P, Alvey C, Wang R, Dowty ME, Fahmi OA, Walsky RL, Riese RJ, and Krishnaswami S (2012) Lack of effect of tofacitinib (CP-690,550) on the pharmacokinetics of the

- CYP3A4 substrate midazolam in healthy volunteers: confirmation of in vitro data. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **74**:109-115.
- Harvey RD and Morgan ET (2014) Cancer, inflammation, and therapy: effects on cytochrome p450-mediated drug metabolism and implications for novel immunotherapeutic agents. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **96**:449-457.
- Hunter CA and Jones SA (2015) IL-6 as a keystone cytokine in health and disease. *Nat Immunol* **16**:448-457.
- Jiang X, Zhuang Y, Xu Z, Wang W, and Zhou H (2016) Development of a Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Model to Predict Disease-Mediated Therapeutic Protein-Drug Interactions: Modulation of Multiple Cytochrome P450 Enzymes by Interleukin-6. *AAPS J* **18**:767-776.
- Jigorel E, Le Vee M, Boursier-Neyret C, Bertrand M, and Fardel O (2005) Functional expression of sinusoidal drug transporters in primary human and rat hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **33**:1418-1422.
- Jigorel E, Le Vee M, Boursier-Neyret C, Parmentier Y, and Fardel O (2006) Differential regulation of sinusoidal and canalicular hepatic drug transporter expression by xenobiotics activating drug-sensing receptors in primary human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **34**:1756-1763.
- Klein M, Thomas M, Hofmann U, Seehofer D, Damm G, and Zanger UM (2015) A systematic comparison of the impact of inflammatory signaling on absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion gene expression and activity in primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells. *Drug Metab Dispos* **43**:273-283.
- Krishnaswami S, Boy M, Chow V, and Chan G (2015) Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of single oral doses of tofacitinib, a Janus kinase inhibitor, in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Drug Dev* **4**:83-88.

- Le Vee M, Gripon P, Stieger B, and Fardel O (2008) Down-regulation of organic anion transporter expression in human hepatocytes exposed to the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin 1beta. *Drug Metab Dispos* **36**:217-222.
- Le Vee M, Lecureur V, Stieger B, and Fardel O (2009) Regulation of drug transporter expression in human hepatocytes exposed to the proinflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor-alpha or interleukin-6. *Drug Metab Dispos* **37**:685-693.
- Le Vee M, Noel G, Jouan E, Stieger B, and Fardel O (2013) Polarized expression of drug transporters in differentiated human hepatoma HepaRG cells. *Toxicol In Vitro* **27**:1979-1986.
- Lee EB, Daskalakis N, Xu C, Paccaly A, Miller B, Fleischmann R, Bodrug I, and Kivitz A (2017) Disease-Drug Interaction of Sarilumab and Simvastatin in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **56**:607-615.
- Menon S, Riese R, Wang R, Alvey CW, Shi H, Petit W, and Krishnaswami S (2016) Evaluation of the Effect of Tofacitinib on the Pharmacokinetics of Oral Contraceptive Steroids in Healthy Female Volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Drug Dev* **5**:336-342.
- Migita K, Izumi Y, Jiuchi Y, Kozuru H, Kawahara C, Izumi M, Sakai T, Nakamura M, Motokawa S, Nakamura T, and Kawakami A (2014) Effects of Janus kinase inhibitor tofacitinib on circulating serum amyloid A and interleukin-6 during treatment for rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Immunol* **175**:208-214.
- Moreau A, Le Vee M, Jouan E, Denizot C, Parmentier Y, and Fardel O (2017) Effect of Gevokizumab on Interleukin-1beta-Mediated Cytochrome P450 3A4 and Drug Transporter Repression in Cultured Human Hepatocytes. *Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*.
- Morgan ET (2009) Impact of infectious and inflammatory disease on cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **85**:434-438.

- Morgan ET, Goralski KB, Piquette-Miller M, Renton KW, Robertson GR, Chaluvadi MR, Charles KA, Clarke SJ, Kacevska M, Liddle C, Richardson TA, Sharma R, and Sinal CJ (2008) Regulation of drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters in infection, inflammation, and cancer. *Drug Metab Dispos* **36**:205-216.
- Nguyen TV, Ukairo O, Khetani SR, McVay M, Kanchagar C, Seghezzi W, Ayanoglu G, Irrechukwu O, and Evers R (2015) Establishment of a hepatocyte-kupffer cell coculture model for assessment of proinflammatory cytokine effects on metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters. *Drug Metab Dispos* **43**:774-785.
- Ogama Y, Mineyama T, Yamamoto A, Woo M, Shimada N, Amagasaki T, and Natsume K (2013) A randomized dose-escalation study to assess the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of ruxolitinib (INC424) in healthy Japanese volunteers. *Int J Hematol* **97**:351-359.
- Prueksaritanont T, Chu X, Gibson C, Cui D, Yee KL, Ballard J, Cabalu T, and Hochman J (2013) Drug-drug interaction studies: regulatory guidance and an industry perspective. *AAPS J* **15**:629-645.
- Quintas-Cardama A, Vaddi K, Liu P, Manshoury T, Li J, Scherle PA, Caulder E, Wen X, Li Y, Waeltz P, Rupar M, Burn T, Lo Y, Kelley J, Covington M, Shepard S, Rodgers JD, Haley P, Kantarjian H, Fridman JS, and Verstovsek S (2010) Preclinical characterization of the selective JAK1/2 inhibitor INCB018424: therapeutic implications for the treatment of myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood* **115**:3109-3117.
- Ramsden D, Zhou J, and Tweedie DJ (2015) Determination of a Degradation Constant for CYP3A4 by Direct Suppression of mRNA in a Novel Human Hepatocyte Model, HepatoPac. *Drug Metab Dispos* **43**:1307-1315.

DMD # 78048

- Richez C, Truchetet ME, Kostine M, Schaefferbeke T, and Bannwarth B (2017) Efficacy of baricitinib in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* **18**:1399-1407.
- Rubin K, Janefeldt A, Andersson L, Berke Z, Grime K, and Andersson TB (2015) HepaRG cells as human-relevant in vitro model to study the effects of inflammatory stimuli on cytochrome P450 isoenzymes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **43**:119-125.
- Rudolph J, Heine A, Quast T, Kolanus W, Trebicka J, Brossart P, and Wolf D (2016) The JAK inhibitor ruxolitinib impairs dendritic cell migration via off-target inhibition of ROCK. *Leukemia* **30**:2119-2123.
- Schmitt C, Kuhn B, Zhang X, Kivitz AJ, and Grange S (2011) Disease-drug-drug interaction involving tocilizumab and simvastatin in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **89**:735-740.
- Schonberg K, Rudolph J, Vonnahme M, Parampalli Yajnanarayana S, Cornez I, Hejazi M, Manser AR, Uhrberg M, Verbeek W, Koschmieder S, Brummendorf TH, Brossart P, Heine A, and Wolf D (2015) JAK Inhibition Impairs NK Cell Function in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. *Cancer Res* **75**:2187-2199.
- Shi JG, Chen X, Emm T, Scherle PA, McGee RF, Lo Y, Landman RR, McKeever EG, Jr., Punwani NG, Williams WV, and Yeleswaram S (2012) The effect of CYP3A4 inhibition or induction on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of orally administered ruxolitinib (INCB018424 phosphate) in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol* **52**:809-818.
- Shi JG, Fraczkiwicz G, Williams WV, and Yeleswaram S (2015) Predicting drug-drug interactions involving multiple mechanisms using physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling: a case study with ruxolitinib. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **97**:177-185.

- Sollazzo D, Forte D, Polverelli N, Perricone M, Romano M, Luatti S, Vianelli N, Cavo M, Palandri F, and Catani L (2016) Circulating Calreticulin Is Increased in Myelofibrosis: Correlation with Interleukin-6 Plasma Levels, Bone Marrow Fibrosis, and Splenomegaly. *Mediators Inflamm* **2016**:5860657.
- Tabarrokhi A, Lindner DJ, Visconte V, Zhang L, Rogers HJ, Parker Y, Duong HK, Lichtin A, Kalaycio ME, Sekeres MA, Mountantonakis SE, Heresi GA, and Tiu RV (2014) Ruxolitinib leads to improvement of pulmonary hypertension in patients with myelofibrosis. *Leukemia* **28**:1486-1493.
- Vyas D, O'Dell KM, Bandy JL, and Boyce EG (2013) Tofacitinib: The First Janus Kinase (JAK) inhibitor for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Pharmacother* **47**:1524-1531.
- Weber A, Wasiliew P, and Kracht M (2010) Interleukin-1 (IL-1) pathway. *Sci Signal* **3**:cm1.
- Yang Q, Doshi U, Li N, and Li AP (2012) Effects of culture duration on gene expression of P450 isoforms, uptake and efflux transporters in primary hepatocytes cultured in the absence and presence of interleukin-6: implications for experimental design for the evaluation of downregulatory effects of biotherapeutics. *Curr Drug Metab* **13**:938-946.
- Zhang D, Sun M, Samols D, and Kushner I (1996) STAT3 participates in transcriptional activation of the C-reactive protein gene by interleukin-6. *J Biol Chem* **271**:9503-9509.
- Zhou T, Georgeon S, Moser R, Moore DJ, Caflisch A, and Hantschel O (2014) Specificity and mechanism-of-action of the JAK2 tyrosine kinase inhibitors ruxolitinib and SAR302503 (TG101348). *Leukemia* **28**:404-407.
- Zhuang Y, de Vries DE, Xu Z, Marciniak SJ, Jr., Chen D, Leon F, Davis HM, and Zhou H (2015) Evaluation of disease-mediated therapeutic protein-drug interactions between an anti-interleukin-6 monoclonal antibody (sirukumab) and cytochrome P450 activities in a phase 1 study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis using a cocktail approach. *J Clin Pharmacol* **55**:1386-1394.

Legends to figures

Fig. 1. Effects of ruxolitinib on IL-6-mediated mRNA repression of CYPs in primary human hepatocytes.

(A) Primary human hepatocytes were either untreated (control), exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib or 10 ng/mL IL-6 or co-exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib and 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 24 h. CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 mRNA expressions were then determined by RT-qPCR. Data for each transporter are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit; they are the means \pm SEM of values from at least 4 independent human hepatocyte populations. *, $p < 0.05$. (B) Primary human hepatocytes were either untreated or exposed to 10 ng/mL IL-6 in the presence of various concentrations of ruxolitinib (from 0 to 10 μ M) for 24 h. CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 mRNA expressions were then determined by RT-qPCR. Data for each transporter are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit; they are the means \pm SEM of values from at least 4 independent human hepatocyte populations. Ruxolitinib EC_{50} values are indicated at the top of each graph.

Fig. 2. Effects of ruxolitinib on IL-6-mediated repression of CYP mRNA (A) and activity (B) in human hepatoma HepaRG cells.

HepaRG cells were either untreated (control), exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib or 10 ng/mL IL-6 or co-exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib and 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 48 h. (A) CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 mRNA expressions were then determined by RT-qPCR. Data for each CYP are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit. (B) CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 activities were determined through measuring the

formation of acetaminophen, hydroxy-bupropion and 1'-hydroxy-midazolam, as described in Materials and Methods. Basal CYP activities in untreated control HepaRG cells were 3.4 ± 1.0 pM acetaminophen/mg protein/min (CYP1A2 activity), 24.1 ± 4.8 pM hydroxy-bupropion/mg protein/min (CYP2B6 activity) and 203.7 ± 25.8 pM 1'-hydroxy-midazolam/mg protein/min (CYP3A4 activity). Data are expressed as fold change when compared to CYP activities found in untreated control cells, *i.e.*, as the ratio CYP activities in treated cells versus that found in untreated cells. (A, B) Data are the means \pm SEM of values from at least 3 independent assays. *, $p < 0.05$.

Fig. 3. Effects of ruxolitinib on IL-6-mediated mRNA repression of drug transporters in primary human hepatocytes.

(A) Primary human hepatocytes were either untreated (control), exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib or 10 ng/mL IL-6 or co-exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib and 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 24 h. CRP, IL-8, NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 mRNA expressions were then determined by RT-qPCR. Data for each transporter are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit; they are the means \pm SEM of values from at least 4 independent human hepatocyte populations. *, $p < 0.05$. (B) Primary human hepatocytes were either untreated or exposed to 10 ng/mL IL-6 in the presence of various concentrations of ruxolitinib (from 0 to 10 μ M) for 24 h. NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 mRNA expressions were then determined by RT-qPCR. Data for each transporter are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit; they are the means \pm SEM of values from at least 3 independent human hepatocyte populations. Ruxolitinib EC₅₀ values are indicated at the top of each graph.

Fig. 4. Effects of ruxolitinib on IL-6-mediated repression of drug transporter mRNA (A) and activity (B) in human hepatoma HepaRG cells.

HepaRG cells were either untreated (control), exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib or 10 ng/mL IL-6 or co-exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib and 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 48 h. (A) NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 mRNA expressions were then determined by RT-qPCR. Data for each transporter are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit. (B) NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 activities were determined through measuring sodium-dependent uptake of taurocholate, BSP-inhibitable uptake of E3S and verapamil-inhibitable uptake of TEA, as described in Materials and Methods. Data are expressed as fold change when compared to transporter activities found in untreated control cells, *i.e.*, as the ratio transporter activity in treated cells versus that found in untreated cells. Absolute levels of transporter-mediated uptake of substrates in untreated control HepaRG cells were 1.59 ± 0.34 pmol/mg protein (taurocholate), 0.35 ± 0.05 pmol/mg protein (E3S) and 148.5 ± 76.9 pmol/mg protein (TEA). (A, B) Data are the means \pm SEM of values from at least 3 independent assays. *, $p < 0.05$.

Fig. 5. Effects of ruxolitinib on IL-1 β -mediated repression of CYP and transporter mRNA levels in human hepatoma HepaRG cells.

HepaRG cells were either untreated (control), exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib or 1 ng/mL IL-1 β or co-exposed to 5 μ M ruxolitinib and 1 ng/mL IL-1 β for 24 h. (A) CRP, IL-8, CYP1A2, CYP3A4, NTCP, OATP1B1 and OCT1 mRNA expressions were then determined by RT-qPCR. Data for each drug detoxifying protein are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit. Data are the means \pm SEM of values from at least 5 independent assays. *, $p < 0.05$; NS, not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$).

Fig. 6. Effects of tofacitinib on IL-6-mediated repression of CYP and drug transporter mRNA levels in human hepatoma HepaRG cells.

HepaRG cells were either untreated (control), exposed to 3 μ M tofacitinib or 10 ng/mL IL-6 or co-exposed to 3 μ M tofacitinib and 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 24 h. CRP, CYP and drug transporter mRNA expressions were then determined by RT-qPCR. Data are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit; they are the means \pm SEM of values from 4 independent assays. *, $p < 0.05$.

TABLE 1

Effects of ruxolitinib on IL-6 mediated induction of CRP mRNA levels in primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells^a

Treatment	CRP mRNA levels^b	
	Primary human hepatocytes	HepaRG cells
None (control)	1.0 ± 0.0	1.0 ± 0.0
Ruxolitinib	0.2 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.2
IL-6	6223 ± 2667*	1258 ± 125.7*
Ruxolitinib/IL-6	0.2 ± 0.0§	0.3 ± 0.1§

^a Cells were either untreated (control), exposed to 5 µM ruxolitinib or 10 ng/mL IL-6 or co-exposed to 5 µM ruxolitinib and 10 ng/mL IL-6 for 24 h. CRP mRNA expression was then determined by RT-qPCR.

^b CRP mRNA levels are expressed comparatively to mRNA levels found in untreated control cells, arbitrarily set at 1 unit; they are the means ± SEM of values from 6 independent human hepatocyte populations or 5 HepaRG cell cultures.

*, p<0.05 when compared to control cells; §, p<0.05 when compared to IL-6-treated cells.




Fig. 1

Control IL-6
Ruxolitinib IL-6/Ruxolitinib




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

□ Control ■ IL-6
■ Ruxolitinib ■ IL-6/Ruxolitinib




Fig. 4




Fig. 5

Control
 IL-6
 Tofacitinib
 IL-6/Tofacitinib




Fig. 6