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SSRI EXPOSURE & WEIGHT-RELATED OUTCOMES

Highlights

- Our paper reviews our current understanding of the impact of prenatal SSRI exposure on weight and growth using both human and animal findings.
- Our review extends the previously published review paper by Grzeskowiak and colleagues in Repro Tox in 2012 by including findings beyond infancy, the impact of maternal mood – pre and post natal, and given that the majority our 5HT is in the gastrointestinal (GI) system we speculate that altering 5HT signaling, via SSRI exposure might have an impact on GI function and later weight gain.
- With recent advances in our understanding of the gut-brain axis and the role of 5HT, we raise critical questions about how weight and growth outcomes might be related to SSRI induced changes in the GI microbiome.
- Our paper provides an updated review of the literature (e.g., Leuner et al 2014; Nezvalová-Henriksen et al., 2016), with a particular focus on weight related outcomes beyond birth, developmental aspects of SSRI exposure that might also impact weight and growth, as well as provides detailed suggestions for future research.

Abstract

The long-term impact of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) antidepressant treatment during pregnancy and postpartum on offspring outcomes is still not clear. Specifically, perinatal SSRI exposure may have long-term consequences for body weight and related health outcomes in the newborn period and beyond. This review focuses on the impact of perinatal SSRI exposure on weight using human and animal findings. The impact of maternal mood is also explored. We propose potential mechanisms for weight changes, including how early alterations in serotonin signaling may have implications for weight via changes in metabolism and motor development. As the majority of serotonin is in the gastrointestinal (GI) system we also speculate that perinatal SSRI exposure might alter the brain-gut relationship, via the microbiome, leading to changes in feeding behavior and weight.
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1. Introduction

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI)\(^1\) antidepressants are often used to manage maternal mood disorders during pregnancy and the postpartum period [1]. Approximately 10-20% of women experience depression and/or anxiety during the perinatal period [2] and SSRIs are the most common form of treatment [3, 4]. As SSRIs act to block the serotonin transporter (5HTT), which increases extra cellular serotonin (5HT) levels [5] and they readily cross the brain-blood barrier and the placenta [6], it is conceivable that SSRI-related changes in central 5HT signaling during fetal development [7, 8] could shape early 5HT related growth and development. In humans, prenatal SSRIs have been associated with adverse neonatal outcomes including lower weight at birth, intrauterine growth restriction, preterm delivery, lower APGAR scores, and increased risk for admission to a Special Care Nursery (SCN) or Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) [9, 10]. Converging evidence with animal studies has demonstrated that perinatal SSRIs are also associated with neurobehavioral abnormalities reflected in altered stress responses, increased anxiety and depression-like behavior, and altered social behaviors in adult offspring [11-18].

Beyond the possible direct impact on serotonergic signaling associated with developmental exposure to SSRIs, weight and feeding may also be shaped by indirect mechanisms that include altered brain-gut relationship, metabolic consequences, and behavioral disturbances that are increasingly reported following in utero SSRIs exposure such as motor delays [19], attentional disorders [20], cognitive shifts [21], and increased depression during adolescence [22]. Further, given that SSRIs are used in pregnancy to manage maternal mood disorders, which have themselves been associated with changes in weight [23], as well as

---

\(^1\) This also includes serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), in this paper we will refer to SSRI as a grouping that includes both classes of antidepressants
increased risk for a number of developmental disturbances (motor delays, attentional disorders, cognitive delays and increased anxiety/depression), distinguishing the impact of perinatal maternal mood disturbances from the impact of the antidepressant medication exposure remains an urgent challenge. Importantly, given that the majority 5HT is in the gastrointestinal (GI) system, it is conceivable that altering 5HT signaling during development would have an impact on GI function [24, 25]. One prototypic 5HT receptor in particular, 5-HT2C, located in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, and choroid plexus, acts to regulate both food intake and mood [26], raising key questions about the developmental consequences of altering the serotonergic system with perinatal SSRIs and how this might alter the brain-gut relationship leading to changes in feeding behavior and weight.

In this paper, we review relationships between perinatal SSRIs and weight-related outcomes, including evidence from animal studies and human studies. Particular focus will include examining the associations between: (a) perinatal SSRIs, birth weight, and maternal depression, (b) perinatal SSRIs, later weight outcomes, and maternal depression; (c) perinatal SSRIs, altered metabolism, and motor development; and (d) growth, the brain-gut axis development, and the serotonergic system (see Figure 1). It is important to understand how perinatal SSRIs impact offspring weight outcomes as weight itself is an indicator of a number of health outcomes throughout life such as type II diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, asthma, among others [27, 28].

2. Perinatal SSRIs, Birth Weight, and Maternal Depression

There is substantial evidence that prenatal SSRIs shape birth weight in humans and results from recent meta-analyses will be reviewed here, rather than summarizing all empirical

---

2 A computerized literature and manual search was conducted to identify relevant English-language studies from first available year to July 2017.
research, allowing us to focus on body weight in offspring later in life (section 3). In brief, meta-
analyses have demonstrated that women treated with SSRIs have a higher rate of delivering low
birth weight infants than women not exposed to SSRIs [29, 30]. Further, one meta-analysis did
find that SSRIs were associated with lower birth weight, with a pooled mean of -74 grams [31]
However, when the comparison group was limited to depressed mothers without pharmaceutical
treatment, there was no longer an association, suggesting that maternal depression mediated the
association between SSRIs and birth weight.

Importantly, a limited number of studies have assessed effects of prenatal SSRIs on birth
weight, controlling for gestational age. For example, some studies have identified an increased
risk of infants being small for gestational age after SSRI exposure [4, 32]. However, other
studies have not found this increased risk [33, 34]. In addition, weight gain during pregnancy has
been associated with birth weight [35], yet, to our knowledge, weight gain during pregnancy is
not included as a covariate in these studies. In sum, our understanding of the relation between
perinatal SSRIs and neonatal birth weight in humans is complicated by a number of issues,
including the type of SSRI prescribed, the perinatal timing of exposure, and whether the study
accounts for maternal depression, gestational age, and maternal weight gain during pregnancy.

The main limitation of these studies is that any attempt to understand the impact of SSRIs
on fetal development must be distinguished between the SSRI treatment itself and the maternal
condition that requires the SSRI treatment [36]. A recent systematic review found that prenatal
depression was associated with low birth weight [37]. These results are consistent with a meta-
analysis that found antenatal depression, which consisted of the applied standards for the
categorical measures of depression consistent with the Diagnostic and Statistical manual of
Mental Disorders (3rd Edition) or later criteria, was associated with a 49% increased risk of low
birth weight [38]. However, another meta-analysis reported that perinatal depression was associated with a lower gestational age, but not a lower birth weight [39]. One limitation of these two meta-analyses is that they included studies potentially confounded by SSRI medication use, as in these primary studies women taking anti-depressants were not excluded; thus, a more recent meta analysis was conducted to compare neonatal outcomes in pregnant women with depression who did not receive treatment (i.e., pharmacological or nonpharmacological) and women without depression [40]. Results showed that pregnant women with depression who did not receive treatment were at increased risk for lower birth weight compared to women without depression, with a trend toward higher risks with more severe depression. Due to the heterogeneity between the studies included in each of the meta-analyses, it is difficult to know which study should be used to inform both clinical decisions and future research.

To date, a few studies have examined the relation between perinatal SSRIs, depression, and birth weight. Some studies have found an association between prenatal SSRIs and low birth weight, even when controlling for confounding variables including symptoms of maternal depression between groups [41, 4]. As mentioned previously, a recent meta-analysis by Huang et al. demonstrated that women treated with SSRIs during pregnancy have a higher rate of delivering low-birth-weight infants than women not exposed to SSRIs [29]. In addition, moderator analyses revealed studies that used a depressed control group without SSRI exposure yielded larger pooled relative risks (RRs) than studies that used mixed controls, while drug type, study design, and controlling for depression severity were not significant moderators of low birth weight outcomes.

2.1. Animal studies (see Table 1 for details).
Teratogenicity studies with SSRIs were conducted on mice, rats, rabbits, or sheep administered fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, venlafaxine, paroxetine, sertraline, imipramine hydrochloride, and St. John’s Wort. Vorhees et al. were one of the first to report that SSRIs reduced birth weight in rats [42]. Similar results have been reported in other studies with rodents [43-50]. In addition to low birth weight due to prenatal SSRIs, da-Silva et al. also found a sex and treatment effect, females with SSRI exposure had lower birth weight than males and non-exposed females [47].

In contrast to the work of Vorhees and others, Standford and colleagues were one of the first to report that perinatal SSRIs did not affect birth weight in rats [51]. Similar results were found by several other studies with rodents [13, 18, 52-59], one in sheep [60], and one in rabbits [61]. Importantly, all these studies used a low dose of SSRI that were often more clinically relevant (e.g., fluoxetine < 12 mg/kg/day; for exceptions see 61, 54). In sum, birth weight outcomes are likely influenced by different types of SSRIs, doses, duration of exposure, and animal types.

3. Perinatal SSRIs, Later Weight-related Outcomes, and Maternal Depression (see Table 2 for details)

Beyond the newborn period, there are fewer studies examining the SSRI impact on later weight outcomes. Perinatal SSRIs reduced weight in children ranging in age from 1-to-71 months [62-64] and paroxetine exposure through breast milk reduced weight in 3-month-old infants; however, this difference was no longer significant at six and twelve months [65]. Two studies have reported sex differences in weight outcomes during childhood due to prenatal SSRIs. A retrospective cohort study examined the rates of overweight using body mass index (BMI) of 4- and 5-year olds in three groups: mothers who received dispensing for an SSRI (exposed),
mothers with a psychiatric illness but did not have dispensing for an SSRI (untreated), and mothers without psychiatric illness and did not have dispensing for an SSRI (unexposed) [66].

Even after controlling for maternal characteristics (e.g., age, maternal BMI, socioeconomic status, race, fetal growth, etc.), girls of exposed mothers were less likely to be overweight compared to the two other groups, suggesting a possible benefit of prenatal SSRIs. There was no association with overweight in boys. Another study with the same three types of groups and weight outcomes in 7-year-olds also found that girls of untreated mothers were more likely to be overweight than girls of unexposed mothers [67]. Interestingly, boys of exposed mothers were more likely to be overweight compared to the two other groups.

Conversely, other studies investigating perinatal SSRIs failed to find a difference in children ranging in age from 1- to -86 months [68- 77]. It is worth noting that these studies were not specifically designed to assess the impact of SSRIs on postnatal growth and no statistical adjustments were made for relevant maternal and/ or infant characteristics [36; for exception see 77]. Thus, additional research is necessary to determine whether there are long-term effects of perinatal SSRIs on body weight and growth.

With regard to depression and later weight outcomes, there have been no significant relations between the influence of postpartum depressive symptoms and young children’s growth two to four years from birth [78 - 81]. However, a study on prenatal stress such as maternal bereavement immediately prior to pregnancy found an observed increased risk of overweight from 10 years of age, suggesting the necessity for long-term follow-up when considering weight outcomes [82]. Taken together, the results from these studies suggest the importance of future research to examine the influence of SSRIs, depression, and weight- both at birth and later developmental periods (e.g., childhood, adolescence, adulthood).
3.1. Animal studies

There is a growing body of research examining perinatal SSRI effects in animals beyond birth and results are mixed (see Table 1). For simplicity, we will categorize the rat lifespan with pre-weaning at postnatal day (P) P0- P21, juvenile at P21- P50, and adult at P50 and beyond [83]. Studies have found that SSRIs during gestation reduced weight at pre-weaning [43, 45, 46, 84], at pre-weaning into the juvenile stage [44, 58], at the juvenile stage [85], or at adulthood [48, 86]. Other studies have found mixed results, with reduced weight: at birth, but not at pre-weaning and during adulthood [42]; at pre-weaning, but not at the juvenile stage [49]; and at pre-weaning, but no difference at the juvenile stage and adulthood [42, 47]. Perinatal SSRIs studies have also revealed sex differences at adulthood with reduced weight in males compared to control males (no difference in females; 44; 45, 85) and another study found reduced weights at different time points for males and female rodents (see Table 1 for details) [87].

Conversely, two studies found that perinatal SSRIs increased weight at the juvenile stage [84] and adulthood [52], while other studies have failed to find a difference in weight in offspring at pre-weaning [86], at adulthood [84], from pre-weaning into the juvenile stage [13, 18, 54, 56], and from pre-weaning into adulthood [16, 52, 59, 88]. In terms of other types of animal studies, gestational SSRIs in sheep reduced weight of lambs at P2 and P3, but with no difference at P5 [60]. In guinea pigs, perinatal SSRIs did not have an effect on weight at P14 and P16 [89].

Other work has administered SSRIs after birth, prior to weaning. This early postnatal exposure to SSRIs reduced weight at the pre-weaning and juvenile stage [90- 92] and at adulthood in offspring [93]. Another study with postnatal SSRIs reduced weight at the pre-
weaning but not at adulthood (5-7 months); however, upon necropsy, both SSRI exposed female (11-12 months) and male mice (6-9 months) had reduced weight than controls [94].

Although limited, the sex-specific differences of perinatal SSRIs and offspring weight reported by some studies [44, 45, 47, 85, 87, 95, 96] expand literature in serotonin knockout rats (5-HTT) which shows that disruption of 5-HTT homeostasis during critical periods of fetal development results in sex-specific alterations in body weight [13, 97-101]. For example, 5-HTT knockout female rats, who have high levels of serotonin throughout life, appear to be protected against late-onset obesity [100, 101] and reduced weight, but higher abdominal fat [102].

Maternal stress and depression can also affect weight outcomes in offspring and should be considered in animal models investigating perinatal SSRI effects on development. Inducing aspects of maternal depression can be done in rodent models by repeated stressing of the dam prenatally [103, 104] or by administering high levels of corticosterone (the primary glucocorticoid in rats) to dams during the ante- and/or post-partum periods [105, 106]. Repeated stressing of dams reduced birth weight in rat offspring, particularly in male offspring [107] and high levels of corticosterone to the dam during the postpartum period can reduce weight, particularly in male offspring [106]. Interestingly, postnatal SSRIs to offspring, regardless of exposure to prenatal maternal stress, reduced weight at the juvenile stage [92], but there was no difference at adulthood [17, 108]. Gemmel et al. report reduced weight in juvenile female offspring perinatally exposed to SSRIs, regardless of maternal stress [95]. One study showed that postnatal SSRIs in combination with administration of corticosterone postpartum to the dams decreased weight in male, but not female, rat offspring at adulthood [96]. Taken together, animal models are pointing to an effect of perinatal SSRIs on changes in weight. Discrepancies between
these findings may be due to treatment methods, duration of the treatment, stress paradigm, dose of SSRI, and species studied. Thus, future research is needed to examine how both pre- and post-natal SSRIs alters sex-specific weight outcomes in animal models, specifically looking at additional measures such as abdominal fat, diet preference (carbohydrates versus lipids), and metabolism.

4. Perinatal SSRIs, Altered Metabolism, and Motor Development

Convergence of key findings offers compelling reasons for examining associations between perinatal SSRIs and weight-related outcomes in offspring. Serotonin (5-HT) is directly involved in the regulation of eating behavior [109] and reductions in 5-HT function have been associated with negative mood, an increased urge for binge eating [110], and increased consumption of high-fat sweet foods [111, 112]. Conversely, increasing 5-HT through pharmacological intervention has been used for obesity treatment [113], but this SSRI intervention has been associated with increased risk of depression, suicide, and cardiac complication. In addition to 5HT in the brain and peripheral nervous system affecting mood and feeding behavior, the majority of 5HT circulates in the blood, often termed “peripheral 5HT”. Peripheral 5HT may be lower in individuals who are obese and such individuals may have less brown adipose tissue (i.e., brown fat), a critical component to burning calories [114]. In mice, the absence or inhibited tryptophan hydroxylase (Tph1), a critical enzymatic step in the production of 5HT, brown fat becomes more active, possibly suggesting that lower 5HT may play a critical role in reversing or even preventing obesity [115]. However, these findings reflect the role of 5HT in the mature organism and the key question is how this differs from changes in 5HT signaling during critical early periods of development.

4.1. Motor Development.
Perinatal SSRIs has been reported to alter motor development which is perhaps not surprising given serotonin’s role in central motor control [116] and may have later consequences for physical activity and weight outcomes. SSRIs have been related to significantly poorer motor quality compared to infants without exposure [30, 117- 121], even when controlling for pre- and postnatal depression, smoking, and alcohol use [19]. However, one study found no evidence of any effect of prenatal depression on infant motor development at 8-9 months [122].

In terms of later child development outcomes, children with SSRI exposure have shown a developmental delay in gross motor function at 6 months of age, especially for boys [123], lower motor quality from 6-40 months of age [68], and elevated odds of failing a psychomotor developmental test from 7-10 months of age [124]. Another study with children between 18-35 months observed that children with antidepressant exposure had lower fine motor scores compared to children without exposure; however, due to lack of power this difference was not significant [125] and similar results were found when the same sample was 4 years olds [126]. However, further research is warranted as the authors were unable to conduct more complex analyses (e.g., sex differences) due to the small sample size. Prolonged prenatal SSRIs were also associated with a decrease in fine and gross motor skills at 3 years of age [127] (for exceptions see 128, ) and fine motor control at 4-5 years of age, even compared a second control group that had mothers with a major depressive disorder [129]. In sum, we currently know little about the trajectory of gross motor development in children with SSRI exposure, and these results have important implications for weight because motor proficiency is associated with physical activity [130].

Animal models converge with evidence from human studies as early exposure to SSRIs results in delayed motor abilities in pre-weaning offspring and juvenile offspring [131- 133].
Another study found SSRI exposure caused a transient delay in motor development and decreased activity during the preweaning period, but increased retention time on a rotating rod during the juvenile period [43]. Further, SSRI exposure was associated with decreased activity in juvenile [134] (for review see 135) and increased activity adult offspring [14]. In line with this, 5-HTT knockout rats, which exhibit high levels of 5HT, also show reduced motor activity late in adulthood, but this effect is only evident in male and not female rats [10].

5. Growth, Brain-Gut Axis Development, and the Serotonergic System

The combination of 5-HT and the expression of its receptors in embryonic development has led to the hypothesis that this neurotransmitter may act as a growth regulator in selected developmental events [136]. An example of a 5-HT receptor is 5-HT2C, located in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, and choroid plexus, which regulates food intake, mood, and cerebrospinal fluid [26]. 5-HT regulates the development of many targets throughout the body; for example, a lack of 5-HT in the central nervous system (CNS) in knockout mice lead to a reduction of body growth [137]. This is especially critical as the neonatal brain is more sensitive to toxins than the adult brain [138], suggesting that the introduction of SSRIs during pregnancy may have implications for both growth and the development of the brain-gut axis.

This brain-gut axis consists of bi-directional communication with serotonin (5-HT) as an important signaling molecule in both the CNS and the enteric nervous system (ENS) [26, 139-141]. Given that the gut produces 95% of the body’s 5-HT and many serotonin receptors are located in both the brain and the gastrointestinal tract (GI) [26], it is not surprising that changes in 5HT signaling due to SSRIs would lead to altered GI function. A critical component to understanding the possible impact of developmental exposure to SSRIs on growth and metabolism is examining the role of serotonin between the brain and the gut. Recent research has
shown that exposure to SSRI or tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) during pregnancy may be associated with atypical development of the ENS [142], and one study found that children exposed to SSRIs in the second and third trimester or to TCAs in the first trimester led to a significant increase in laxative use during the first five years of life compared with non-exposed children, suggesting that both SSRI and TCA exposure influences the development of enteric serotonergic neurons and the brain-gut axis [143].

The term microbiota refers to the consortium of different microorganisms within a microbial community, and the microbiome refers to collective microorganisms and their genomes. Recent evidence indicates that the gut microbiome plays critical roles in shaping early stress and immune responses, neurodevelopment and behavior [26, 144, 145] via the bidirectional communication pathways that comprise the brain-gut axis linking the central nervous system with the GI tract. Gut microbes produce many neurotransmitters [146] also found in the brain whilst also indirectly regulating their production [147] thereby potentially shaping brain development and mental health. These relationships are reflected in associations between the microbiome and stress-related disorders, such as anxiety/depression, risk for neurodevelopmental disorders, and chronic pain.

As mentioned above, it has been hypothesized that 5-HT and the expression of its receptors in the embryo act as a growth regulator in selected developmental events [136]. In support of this, serotonin deficient animal models using serotonin depletion methods show that decreased serotonin levels lead to reduced brain and body growth and recent findings from knock out mouse models which lack of 5-HT in the CNS, show a reduction of body growth [137]. Furthermore, animal models also show that differences in birth weight may alone result in long-lasting differences in central 5-HT, with increased birth weight being associated with increased
levels of 5-HT transporter in the frontal cortex [148]. Taken together, this suggests that
disruption of 5-HT production in the gut and brain, with early exposure to SSRIs at a time when
the neonate is very sensitive to toxins [138], may produce long term differences in growth,
metabolism, and mood via changes in 5-HT production and related physiology of the brain-gut
axis. However, to date, we lack evidence that supports links that support the early SSRIs
manipulates the development of the brain-gut network.

Recent research has shown that bacteria in our gut play a key role in regulating the
brain-gut axis, critical to the regulation of weight, growth, mood, and behavior. The gut bacteria
(microbiota) play a role in the regulation of body weight and metabolism as several studies in
humans have found a causal link between the composition of microbiota and obesity [149]. Early
life stressors, like mother’s depressed mood during pregnancy, decrease the richness and
diversity of gut bacteria (microbiome) and 5HT signaling bacteria in particular [146]. Moreover,
emerging evidence suggests that psychotropic medications such as SSRIs and antipsychotics,
such as olanzapine, may have an impact on the microbiome. Recently, SSRIs have been reported
to increase production of indole metabolites in the microbiota of depressed SRI-treated adults,
possibly reflecting altered tryptophanase-producing bacteria diversity and increased gut 5HT
signaling [150]. Similarly, treatment with the antipsychotic olanzapine, which may
simultaneously improve depressive symptoms, also appears to shift the microbiota towards a
weight gain microbiota profile [151]. Both findings raise intriguing possibilities about the impact
of serotonergic medications on the microbiome and possible use of the gut microbiota as
treatments for 5HT-related disorders (e.g. depression) that could directly and indirectly have an
impact on weight via the microbiome. Whether the gut microbiome acts as a link between early
life stress (and SSRI exposure), brain development, and behavior remains a pressing question.
6. Future Directions for Research and Conclusion

Why should we be concerned about offspring body weight? Early life weight is a key indicator of subsequent health outcomes [27, 28] and identifying factors that shape weight patterns across the early life span offer modifiable factors that could lead to early intervention and effective disease prevention. Whether developmental exposure to SSRIs program processes that reflect that disruption of 5-HTT homeostasis during critical periods of fetal development results in alterations in body weight remains to be conclusively demonstrated in humans. In brief, studies with humans found that perinatal SSRIs were associated with lower birth weight, though there is some evidence that this association may be confounded by maternal depression illness severity or attenuated by other factors such as gestation age and weight gain. Research on later weight outcomes in children are inconsistent and inconclusive; however, studies do suggest sex-specific differences in response to perinatal SSRIs or maternal depressive symptoms. Studies with animals show that perinatal SSRI exposure result in lower birth weight and generally lower weight during the juvenile period; however, longer weight-related outcomes are mixed, particularly in adulthood and also show sex-specific effects.

There are many reasons why there is limited research on the effects of perinatal SSRIs and offspring weight related outcomes, especially in humans. For example, identifying a sufficiently large enough sample with adequate data on perinatal SSRI exposure, pregnancy/delivery outcomes, and long term follow up is quite challenging. However, improvements in study design are possible at minimal added cost. For example, as mentioned above, controlling for maternal depression is important to separate the observed effect of the SSRI from the disease. This can be undertaken by administering a depression symptom scale, such as the Hamilton Depression Scale (HAM-D) [152] or the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale [153] for cohort
studies or using psychiatric diagnosis codes for studies using population health data. In addition, there is evidence that smoking during pregnancy is associated with birth weight [154] and women with depression may be more likely to smoke during pregnancy [155-157]. Thus, maternal smoking data, which can also be collected at minimal cost, should be considered in analyses examining the relationship between SSRIs, depression, and birth weight.

Another challenge to doing research in this area is the issue of clarifying clinically significant differences from statistically significant differences [10]. Larger sample sizes will have no difficulty establishing statistically significant differences, and outcomes that are of minimal consequences (e.g., reduction in mean birth weight of 40 grams), could hardly be considered clinically significant. Thus, in future, researchers need to interpret their results from both a statistical and clinical context to better inform women using SSRI treatment during pregnancy and/or during postpartum.

In sum, differentiating the contribution of perinatal SSRIs and maternal depression on weight-related outcomes in children is challenging because: (a) researchers tend to study the effects of one exposure without controlling for the other, (b) SSRI exposure occurs at different times and dosages, and (c) depression is associated with multiple confounders (e.g., smoking, substance abuse, overweight/obesity). As perinatal SSRIs and perinatal depression have been linked to lower birth weight in both animal and human studies, and the long-term weight-related outcomes of children beyond two to four years of age is not well known, an important implication of these findings is that pregnant women should be universally screened for depression and be given treatment options before childbirth. Untreated antenatal depression has serious consequences for both infant and child development, thus, women, and their obstetrical
team, will need to weigh the benefits and cost of treating their depression with SSRIs, especially in situations when psychotherapy is not desired or available.
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Figure 1. Schema for Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor Exposure and Later Weight Outcomes Model

**Figure 1.** SSRI = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; GI = gastrointestinal.
Table 1

Summary of laboratory animal research investigating how perinatal SSRI affects offspring weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age weight</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>SSRI dose/day</th>
<th>SSRI Admin</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>SSRI Admin Type &amp; Notes</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P0-95</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 5mg/kg</td>
<td>G0-P21</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P0, P7, P14, P21, &amp; P90-95</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-79</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>FLX 1mg/kg</td>
<td>G7-20</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight at P0; 12mg group had lowest weight; FLX did not affect weight at P7 and P79</td>
<td>Drinking water to dams</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-56</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 8mg/kg</td>
<td>G6-20</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight at P0; 12mg groups had lowest weight; FLX reduced weight gain between P0-21 (preweaning) in 12mg groups; FLX did not affect weight gain between P21-56 (post weaning)</td>
<td>Drinking water to dams</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-110</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 8mg/kg</td>
<td>G15-20</td>
<td>FLX and VEN reduced weight at P0; FLX 8mg and FLX16mg groups had lowest weight at P0; F offspring had lower weight than M and control animals at P0; FLX and VEN did not affect weight at P25 and P110</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-70</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>FLX 10mg/kg</td>
<td>G13-20</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight at P0-28; FLX reduced weight in M rats compared to control M rats at P70</td>
<td>s.c. injections to dams</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-182</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 10mg/kg</td>
<td>14PB-P21</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight gain at P0-182; FLX increased weight for F rats at P182</td>
<td>Food cubes to dams</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-21</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 0.4mg/kg</td>
<td>G7-P21</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight at P0; FLX 17mg/kg had lowest weight at P0; FLX did not affect weight at P21</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7-63</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 12mg/kg</td>
<td>G11-P1</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight at P7; FLX increased weight at P14, P21,</td>
<td>Oral injection to dams</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>Dose Description</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-30</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 10mg/kg</td>
<td>G0-P21</td>
<td>Saline solution to dams</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P0; FLX reduced weight at P3-30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Fischer rats</td>
<td>FLX 2mg/kg, FLX 5mg/kg, FLX 12.5mg/kg</td>
<td>G6-15</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at G20 in fetuses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G28</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Dutch Belted rabbits</td>
<td>FLX 2.5mg/kg, FLX 7.5mg/kg, FLX 15mg/kg</td>
<td>G6-16</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at G28 in fetuses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>FLX 5.62mg/kg</td>
<td>G7-P0</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-100</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 7.5mg/kg, SJW 100mg/kg</td>
<td>G0-P21</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P0, P21, and P100; SJW did not affect weight at P0, P21, and P100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14-63</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Hartley guinea pigs</td>
<td>FLX 7mg/kg</td>
<td>G1-P0</td>
<td>Osmotic pump to pregnant sows</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P14 and P63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-120</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>FLX 5mg/kg, FLX 10mg/kg</td>
<td>G13-20</td>
<td>s.c. injections to dams</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight at P1; 10mg group had the lowest weight; FLX reduced weight in M rats at P60, P80, and P120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~G118-132</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Dorset/Suffolk sheep</td>
<td>FLX 98.5μg/kg</td>
<td>8 days*</td>
<td>i.v. infusion to pregnant ewe</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight on P0; FLX reduced weight gain on P2 and P3; FLX did not affect weight gain on P5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-70</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Swiss mice</td>
<td>FLX 7.5mg/kg</td>
<td>G0-P22</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight on P0; FLX did not affect weight gain on P8, P15, and P22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P140</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>C57Bl/6 mice</td>
<td>FLX 25mg/kg</td>
<td>G14-P12</td>
<td>Drinking water to dams</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight on P140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-20</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>C57Bl/6-1Jco mice</td>
<td>FLX 0.3mg/kg, FLX 0.6mg/kg, FLX 0.8mg/kg</td>
<td>G8-G18</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal injection to dams</td>
<td>FLX and FLM did not affect weight at P3, P20, and P90; Body weight for F and M mice were not significantly different at P3, P18,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>FLX/CORT Dose</td>
<td>FLX/CORT Treatment</td>
<td>Weight Change</td>
<td>Treatment Method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G21</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>4.2mg/kg FLX 10mg/kg G21</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at G21</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-120</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>FLX 10mg/kg G11</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P2; FLX reduced weight at P60</td>
<td>Osmotic pump to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-75</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>5mg/kg G0-P21</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P0, P7, P14, P21</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-120</td>
<td>Swiss mice</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>FLX 7.5mg/kg G0-P21</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P1 and P120</td>
<td>Oral gavage to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P28-132</td>
<td>Wild mice</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PAR 22.5mg/kg 8PB-P28</td>
<td>PAR reduced weight at P28 (when mice were weaned); PAR reduced weight in M mice at ~P100, no difference in F mice at ~P132</td>
<td>Rodent chow to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-5</td>
<td>CD-1 mice</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>PAR 30mg/kg 14PB-G17</td>
<td>PAR reduced weight at P0-5; body weights not reported for P6-90</td>
<td>Food bars to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-120</td>
<td>CD-1 mice</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>PAR 30mg/kg 14PB-P1</td>
<td>PAR reduced weight for F mice at P3, P45, P75 and P95; PAR reduced weight for M mice at P1, P3, and P5; PAR reduced weight for second generation M mice at P1 and P3</td>
<td>Food bars to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-21</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>VEN 7.5mg/kg VEN 37.5mg/kg VEN 70mg/kg G15-20</td>
<td>VEN did not affect weight at P0-21</td>
<td>Drinking water to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>Fischer rats</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>PAR 10mg/kg G14-21</td>
<td>PAR reduced weight at P0</td>
<td>Oral administration to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P21</td>
<td>bHR/bLR Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>PAR 10mg/kg 7PB-P21</td>
<td>PAR did not affect weight at P0</td>
<td>Drinking water to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-21</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>FLX 5mg/kg P1-21</td>
<td>FLX did not affect weight at P0-21</td>
<td>Minipump to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P79</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>FLX 10mg/kg P2-23</td>
<td>FLX + CORT reduced weight compared to other groups in adult M rats</td>
<td>s.c. injection to dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0-90</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>Prenatal Stress + P1-21</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight in adolescent</td>
<td>Osmotic minipump to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
| FLX 5mg/kg | FLX 5mg/kg | FLX reduced weight on P21 and P90; FLX restores weight during adulthood for M rats |
| Non-stress + FLX 5mg/kg | FLX 10mg/kg | FLX restores weight during adulthood for M rats |
| Prenatal stress control | FLX 10mg/kg | Intrapertoneally to offspring; Some males administered FLX during adulthood |
| Non-stress Control | FLX 15mg/kg | FLX 10mg group reduced weight at P6-21; SER 15mg group reduced weight at P4-21 |

**Note.** *Timing not specified. P = postnatal day; G = gestational day; M = male; F = female; FLX = Fluoxetine; FXM = Fluvoxamine; VEN = Venlafaxine; PAR = Paroxetine; SER = Sertraline; IH = Imipramine hydrochloride; SJW = St. John's Wort; CIT = Citalopram; s.c. = subcutaneous; PB = prior to breeding; bHR = Bred high responders to novelty; bLR = Bred low responders to novelty; CORT = corticosterone, model of stress/ depression.*
Table 2

Summary of human research investigating how perinatal SSRI affects offspring weight beyond birth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Pt.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Age Investigated</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>GA</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>16-86 months</td>
<td>No Diff in weight (percentile); TA = 58, FLX = 54, Control = 51, $p = .32$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>15-71 months</td>
<td>FLX reduced weight (percentile) compared to TA; TA = 63.5, FLX = 46.9, D = 55.4, $p = \text{not reported}$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>FLX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>VEN</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>3-6 years</td>
<td>No difference in weight (percentile); VEN = 61.3, SSRI = 63.9, D = 61.3, Control = 59.0, $p = \text{not reported}$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>SSRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>SSRI</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>3-6 years</td>
<td>No difference in weight (percentile); SSRI = 57.20, Control = 60.27, $p = .54$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Control (Siblings)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>1-12 months</td>
<td>No difference in weight gain, $p = .51$, exact weights not reported, abstract results</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>FLX during pregnancy + BF</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>1-6 months</td>
<td>FLX during pregnancy and BF reduced weight, exact weights not reported, analysis controlled for maternal and infant characteristics</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>FLX during pregnancy only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CIT</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>No difference in weight (grams), CIT = 10560, Control = 9810, $p = .14$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>No difference in weight (grams), FLX = 9760, Control = 9830, $p = .89$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>AD + BF</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>No difference in weight (kg) compared to normative population sample (7.3), AD = 7.26, for girls $p = .79$, for boys $p = .24$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>SSRI</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>6-40 months</td>
<td>No difference in weight (percentile); SSRI = 48.4, D = 46.7, $p = .86$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SSRI 1st Trimester</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>14 months</td>
<td>No difference in weight (percentile), 1st = 45.9, 2nd/3rd = 45.1, 1st/2nd/3rd = 49.2, $p = .89$</td>
<td>*ND</td>
<td>No Diff</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>SSRI 2nd/3rd Trimester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>SSRI 1st/2nd/3rd Trimester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
27 | PAR for 2 weeks while BF | Prospective | 3, 6, 12 months | PAR reduced weight (grams) at 3 months (PAR = 5498, Control + BF = 6030, Control + No BF = 5396, $p = .01$), no difference in weight at 6 months (PAR = 7334, Control + BF = 7608, Control + No BF = 7209, $p = .19$) and 12 months (PAR = 9351, Control + BF = 9543, Control + No BF = 9230, $p = .57$), analysis controlled for maternal and infant characteristics | No Diff | 65

19 | Control + BF | | | No Diff | 65

19 | Control + No BF | | | No Diff | 65

27 | AD | Prospective | 1 month | AD reduced weight (grams) at 1 month, AD = 4032.05, Control = 4582.95, $p < .01$, Cohen’s $d = .88$ | *ND | Reduced gestational age | 64

71 | SSRI | Retrospective | 4-5 years | SSRI group was less likely to be overweight (percentile) compared to UPI group control group in girls, SSRI = 15.2, UPI = 26.4, Control = 28.4; No differences in overweight risk in boys, SSRI = 26.3, UPI = 27.6, Control = 28.2; analysis controlled for maternal and infant characteristics, $p$ values not reported | No Diff | 66

204 | UPI | | | No Diff | 66

6285 | Control | | | No Diff | 66

127 | SSRI | Retrospective | 7 years | SSRI exposure increased risk for overweight (percentile) compared to UPI group and control group in males only when analysis controlled for maternal and infant characteristics; UPI group at increased risk to be overweight (percentile) and have an elevated BMI $z$-score than control group in females only when analysis controlled for maternal and infant characteristics, exact percentiles and $z$ scores reported in study | No Diff | 67

490 | UPI | | | No Diff | 67

35568 | Control | | | No Diff | 67

46 | SSRI | Prospective | 2, 12, 26, and 52 weeks | No Diff, $p = .20$, exact weights reported in figure so not easily reported, when analysis controlled for maternal and infant characteristics, $p = .87$ | SSRI | 77

31 | D | | | No Diff | 77

97 | Control | | | No Diff | 77

Note. # of Pt. = number of participants; GA = gestational age; TA = Tricyclic antidepressants; FLX = Fluoxetine; Diff = difference; D = Depression without treatment of SSRI; SSRI = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; VEN = Venlafaxine; *ND = Study not designed to assess impact of SSRIs on growth, no adjustments made for maternal or infant characteristics, weight only reported as descriptive statistics; BF = breastfeeding; CIT = Citalopram; AD = Antidepressant (SSRI, serotonin and noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors or noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressants); PAR = Paroxetine; UPI - Untreated psychiatric illness.