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Vascular Delivery of Allogeneic MuStem Cells in Dystrophic Dogs Requires Only Short-Term Immunosuppression to Avoid Host Immunity and Generate Clinical/Tissue Benefits

Judith Lorant1,*, Thibaut Larcher1,*, Nicolas Jaulin2, Benoît Hedan3,4, Aurélie Lardenois1, Isabelle Leroux1, Laurence Dubreil1, Mireille Ledevin1, Hélícia Goubin1, Sophie Moullec5, Jack-Yves Deschamps1,5, Chantal Thorin6, Catherine André3,4, Oumeya Adjali2, and Karl Rouger1

Abstract
Growing demonstrations of regenerative potential for some stem cells led recently to promising therapeutic proposals for neuromuscular diseases. We have shown that allogeneic MuStem cell transplantation into Golden Retriever muscular dystrophy (GRMD) dogs under continuous immunosuppression (IS) leads to persistent clinical stabilization and muscle repair. However, long-term IS in medical practice is associated with adverse effects raising safety concerns. Here, we investigate whether the IS removal or its restriction to the transplantation period could be considered. Dogs aged 4–5 months old received vascular infusions of allogeneic MuStem cells without IS (GRMDMu/no-IS) or under transient IS (GRMDMu/tr-IS). At 5 months post-infusion, persisting clinical status improvement of the GRMDMu/tr-IS dogs was observed while GRMDMu/no-IS dogs exhibited no benefit. Histologically, only 9-month-old GRMDMu/tr-IS dogs showed an increased muscle regenerative activity. A mixed cell reaction with the host peripheral blood mononucleated cells (PBMCs) and corresponding donor cells revealed undetectable to weak lymphocyte proliferation in GRMDMu/tr-IS dogs compared with a significant proliferation in GRMDMu/no-IS dogs. Importantly, any dog group showed neither cellular nor humoral anti-dystrophin responses. Our results show that transient IS is necessary and sufficient to sustain allogeneic MuStem cell transplantation benefits and prevent host immunity. These findings provide useful critical insight to designing therapeutic strategies.
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Introduction

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is an X-linked recessive muscle disease, caused by mutations in the dystrophin gene that result in an absence of dystrophin protein at the fiber membrane. It is the most common form of muscular dystrophy and is characterized by extensive and progressive degeneration of skeletal and cardiac muscles. Clinically, it causes progressive muscle weakness leading to reduced motility, wheelchair dependency and severe limitation of life expectancy. As yet, there is no effective treatment for DMD despite the recent development of several therapeutic strategies based on new drugs, viral vector-based dystrophin transfer, oligonucleotide-induced exon skipping, and progenitor/stem cell delivery.

The first candidates tested in cell-based therapy were myoblasts, which are derived from satellite cells (SCs) and correspond to the natural precursors of muscle fibers. Despite encouraging results showing that intramuscular (IM) injections of murine myoblasts restored dystrophin expression in the mdx mouse, subsequent clinical trials of the strategy were less successful, with few dystrophin fibers and no clinical benefit observed. This outcome was attributed to the poor survival and limited migration of injected cells, a low number of donor-derived muscle fibers, and humoral and cellular immune responses of recipients against allogeneic donor cells. The recent identification of tissue-specific progenitors/stem cell populations with in vivo myogenic potential and homing capacities following vascular delivery has provided new impetus to correct the dystrophic phenotype. In scid/mdx mice, IM or intra-arterial (IA) injection of human blood- and muscle-derived AC133+ cells contributed to muscle regeneration, SC replenishment, dystrophin restoration, and recovery of muscle function. Similar results have been obtained with genetically corrected AC133+ cells isolated from DMD patients. Furthermore, IA delivery of wildtype mesoangioblasts (Mabs) corrected the dystrophic phenotype in z-sarcoglycan null mice and even improves mobility in Golden Retriever muscular dystrophy (GRMD) dogs treated with immunosuppressants. By comparison, autologous canine Mabs genetically corrected to express dystrophin appear to be much less effective, suggesting that the allogeneic strategy holds the most promise.

In addition to the successful demonstrations of myogenic potential, concomitant studies have reported that some of these tissue-specific stem cells show immune privileged behavior. After injection into mdx mice, murine muscle-derived stem cells (MDSCs) showed greater dystrophin-restoring ability than myoblasts. This is in part due to their low level of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I expression, which allows them to avoid rapid immune rejection. Human adipose-derived stem cells (hADSCs), when injected intramuscularly into non-immunocompromised mdx mice, withstood rejection up to 6 months after injection and produced large numbers of dystrophin+ fibers. That these cells escape immune recognition may be due in part to their low levels of cell surface class I human leukocyte antigen (HLA) and their lack of class II HLA. Non-immunosuppressed GRMD dogs have also been shown to engraft and express dystrophin several months after local or systemic delivery of hADSCs. Overall, these results strongly suggest that these cells may have specific immunoregulatory properties, as previously demonstrated for mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and Mabs, which can modulate both innate and adaptive immunity. Given the adverse effects associated with long-term immunosuppression (IS) in medical practice, these properties are of major interest for allogeneic stem cell-based strategies. In recent decades, the development of a large panel of new immunosuppressive molecules has significantly increased short-term graft survival rates following organ transplantation. One of the main drugs used is cyclosporin A (CsA). However, long-term CsA use is associated with aggressive toxicity of the kidney, liver and heart as well others adverse effects related to the immunosuppression itself including increased sensitivity to infections and lymphoma formation. Myalgia, cramps, and weakness in skeletal muscle have also been reported. Moreover, both in vitro and in vivo, CsA inhibits myogenic differentiation of the muscle precursor cells, induces their apoptosis and delays muscle regeneration following injury.

Over the past years, we have isolated delayed adherent MDSCs from healthy dogs, which we have named MuStem cells, and demonstrated that their vascular delivery into GRMD dogs submitted to IS that started 1 week before cell administration and then maintained throughout the experiment (mentioned then as continuous IS) produce striking stabilization and long-term muscle repair. In the present study, we sought to determine the optimal immunosuppressive regimen required to obtain beneficial effects using the aforementioned allogeneic MuStem cells infusion protocol. Specifically, we investigated whether IS could be reduced or limited to the injection time window, without impairing the phenotypic correction previously described with continuous IS.

To this end, we developed a protocol for IA delivery of MuStem cells in 4–5-month-old GRMD dogs using donor/recipient pairs of dogs with identical dog leukocyte antigen (DLA). Longitudinal clinical follow up was performed up to 9 months of age in non-immunosuppressed or transiently immunosuppressed recipient dogs and followed by a comprehensive tissue analysis. Overall, two additional mock groups of non-transplanted dogs receiving or not receiving the transient IS regimen were included in the analysis. Also, immune responses of recipient GRMD dogs to both transplanted MuStem cells and dystrophin protein were monitored.
Materials and Methods

Animals

A total of 27 male Golden Retrievers were included in this study. All dogs were obtained from the Centre d’Elevage du Domaine des Souches (CEDS, Mézilles, France) or the Boisbonne Center for gene and cell therapy (Oniris, Nantes, France). The dogs were housed at the Boisbonne Center in a controlled environment (temperature 21 ± 1°C, 12-hour light/dark cycle). Dogs with muscular dystrophy were identified at birth using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based genotyping, as previously described60. Diagnosis was confirmed by elevated serum creatine kinase levels. A total of seven 2.5-month-old healthy dogs were used for MuStem cell isolation, while control muscle samples were collected from four other dogs. A total of 16 GRMD dogs were subdivided into two main groups each composed of 8 dogs and used for clinical and/or pathophysiological investigations (see Table 1). The first group was composed of dogs that received MuStem cells without IS (GRMDMU/no-IS, n = 4) or with transient IS (GRDMU/tr-IS, n = 4). The second (mock) group were not transplanted with MuStem cells and received either no IS (GRMDμ/no-IS, n = 3)68 or transient IS (GRDMμ/tr-IS, n = 5). The study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the French National Research Council. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation of the Pays de la Loire Region, France (Permit Number: CEEA.2012.121). All surgeries were performed under anesthesia induced with ketamine (Imalgene 1000, Merial, Toulouse, France) / diazepam (Valium, Roche, Boulouge-Billancourt, France) and that was maintained using an inhalational mixture of isoflurane (Vetflurane, Virbac, Magny-en-Vexin, France) and oxygen. To minimize suffering, analgesia treatment was performed with tolfenamic acid (4 mg/kg, Tolfedine, Vetoquinol SA, Magny Vernois, France). Pain was evaluated daily as part of a complete clinical evaluation performed by a veterinarian and analgesia was provided if deemed necessary. Dogs were euthanized by intravenous administration of sodium pentobarbital (2000 mg; Dolethal, Vetoquinol SA, Magny Vernois).

Genotyping of DLA

Using blood samples collected from breeders and litters, DNA was extracted using the NucleoSpin® Blood L kit (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerd, France), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All samples were genotyped using the Illumina Infinium Canine 170 K SNP HD array by Integragen (Evry, France). To identify DLA-identical littermate donor/recipient pairs for dogs to 8, haplotype phasing of MHC loci was performed manually based on single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) positions in CanFam3, CFA12: 0-3 496 085 containing MHC class I, II and III genes, and CFA35: 24 006 657-26 506 199 containing MHC class I genes, as previously described61.

Isolation of Canine MuStem Cells

Tissues were collected from a pool of hindlimb muscles from healthy 2.5-month-old dogs (n = 7) and immediately placed in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; PAA; Les Rumeaux, France) supplemented with 2% 100 U/mL penicillin/0.1mg/mL streptomycin/0.25 μg/mL amphotericin B (PSF; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Tissues were mechanically and enzymatically dissociated to produce a muscle-derived cell suspension. MuStem cells were isolated using a modified pre-plating protocol, as previously described58. Cells were expanded in growth medium composed of 37% Dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (DMEM), 2.5 g/L glucose / 37% M199 (Invitrogen, Cergy-Pontoise, France) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Eurobio, Les Ulis, France), 10% horse serum (Eurobio), 1% PSF, and human recombinant factors [10 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor, 50 ng/mL epidermal growth factor, and 25 ng/mL stem cell factor (PromoCell, Heidelberg, Germany)]. They were cultured under standard conditions (37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2) and passaged every 4 to 5 days when they exhibited approximately 75% confluence. The medium was replaced every 2 days. As described above58, MuStem cells exhibit a marked capacity for expansion and correspond to early myogenic progenitors and uncommitted cells.

Immunosuppressive Treatment

Immunosuppression of GRMD dogs was achieved by daily administration of 27 mg/kg of oral CsA (Neoral®; Novartis, Rueil-Malmaison, France) in combination with 6 mg/kg mycophenolate mofetil (CellCept®; Roche, Paris, France). Ketoconazole (10 mg/kg; Nizoral®, Janssen-Cilag, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France) was also added daily to decrease CsA catabolism. Blood levels of CsA were controlled twice per week and maintained between 250 and 350 ng/mL by individual dose adjustments. Transient IS treatment began 1 week before cell administration and was terminated 2 weeks after the last administration.

Systemic Delivery Procedure

MuStem cells (passage 2–4) were injected as previously described58. Briefly, cells were suspended at 1.5 × 107 cells/mL in 0.9% NaCl/2.5% homologous serum/10 U/mL heparin. A total of eight GRMD dogs (aged 3.25–5.50 months) received two intra-femoral injections of between 6.3 × 107 and 1.2 × 108 MuStem cells/kg at 2-week intervals, using laminar flow at a rate of 15 mL/min (Table 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>ID number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Littermate</th>
<th>Age of death</th>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Immunosuppressive regimen</th>
<th>MuStem cell transplantation</th>
<th>Experiments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MU/no-IS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ICÔNE</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6.3–10.2</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>7.9–9.7</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>INDOU</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8.2–10.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>IRON</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>9.7–11.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU/tr-IS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>GAROU</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>GAUROCHE</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>GEPEHTO</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>HOLLIDAY</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mo/no-IS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>DIEU</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>Aspiration pneumonia</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Clinical follow up</td>
<td>Clinical follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>DOBBY</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>27.25</td>
<td>Digestive complication</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Clinical follow up</td>
<td>Clinical follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>GAMESLE</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Clinical follow up</td>
<td>Clinical follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mo/tr-IS</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>GABIN</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>GRINGO</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>HAMLET</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>HITCHCOK</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>HIZAC</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>End of protocol</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRMD: Golden Retriever muscular dystrophy; IS: immunosuppression.

*Each dog was assigned an identification number and a name. The age of dogs at the beginning of the study period is expressed in months. Cause of death, immunosuppressive treatment status (none or transient), and the number of cells injected are indicated.
Isolation of Canine Peripheral Blood Mononucleated Cells and Splenocytes

Blood samples were collected every 2 weeks from GRMD dogs until sacrifice, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated by gradient-density centrifugation [2000 rpm for 20 min at room temperature (RT)] on Ficoll 1.077 (PAA). PBMC rings were collected in PBS (Lonza)-5% FCS and centrifuged (1800 rpm for 10 min at 4°C). The supernatant was removed and the pellet was washed twice with PBS-5% FCS before centrifugation (1400 rpm for 10 min at 4°C). Cells were counted in Türk and Trypan dye. At necropsy, the spleen was harvested and treated for 45 min with collagenase D (2 mg/mL; Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The reaction was stopped by adding 0.1 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA Sigma-Aldrich, St-Louis, MO, USA), pH 7.2 for 5 min. Freshly isolated splenocytes were washed once with 50 mL PBS-5% FCS. Red blood cells were lysed for 10 min at RT in a lysis buffer (NH4Cl, KHCO3, Na2EDTA) and washed twice. PBMCs and splenocytes were frozen in FCS-10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich, St-Louis, MO, USA) prior to testing.

Clinical Follow Up

GRMD dogs were clinically evaluated every week by the same doctor of veterinary medicine degree (DVM) in a blind manner regarding treatment using a modified version of the grid previously described58,62. A total of six musculoskeletal criteria and items related to general health status were semi-quantitatively scored from 0 to 2 (0 corresponding to a normal appearance, 1 to an intermediate phenotype and 2 to a severe alteration). These parameters, selected to be objective and weakly biased by animal willingness, corresponded to dysphagia, mouth opening, Triceps brachii muscle firmness, suppleness of the hind limbs, tibio-patellar reflex and ability to get over a fence (20 cm high for adult dogs). The clinical score was calculated by summing all parameters and expressed as a percentage of the healthy dog score, that is of 100%.

Muscle Sampling

Fragments of the Triceps brachii muscle were collected from 9-month-old GRMDMu/no-IS (n = 4), GRMDMu/tr-IS (n = 4), and GRDMo/mo-tr-IS (n = 5) dogs, as well as healthy dogs (n = 4), during a complete necropsy examination conducted at the end of the study protocol. Muscle samples were divided into two parts for immunohistochemistry and molecular/biochemical analyses.

Immunohistochemistry

Muscle samples were snap-frozen in isopentane (VWR international, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) cooled in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until processing. After incubation for 1 hour at RT in blocking buffer (PBS/5% goat serum), transversal cryosections (12 μm) were successively incubated with mouse monoclonal anti-dystrophin antibody (1:50, overnight at RT; Cat. # NCL-DYS2, Menarini, Rungis, France), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:400, 1 hour at RT; Cat. # ab150113, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and the fluorescent DNA-dye DRAQ5 (1:1000, 15 min, at RT; Cat. # DR05500, Biostatus, Leicestershire, UK). Immunofluorescence labeling was observed using a confocal microscope (Zeiss, Marly-le-Roi, France).

Histomorphometry

Muscle regenerative activity was evaluated using immunolabeling for the developmental isoform of the myosin heavy chain (MyHCd) (1:20; Cat. # NCL-MHCd, Menarini). Microscopic fields were randomly selected to evaluate at least 700 fibers (1010 ± 232 fibers). Endomysial fibrosis was analyzed after immunolabeling for collagen I (1:500; Cat. # 02150026, MP Biomedicals, Illkirch, France). Measurements were performed using Nikon Imaging Software (Nikon, Champigny sur Marne, France). Repeatability was tested by the same observer by analyzing the same sample five times. In all cases, intra-assay variation coefficients were <5%.

Evaluation of Peripheral Blood Mononucleated Cell Proliferation

cMuStem cells (n = 7 independent cell batches) were thawed and seeded at 1 × 10⁴ cells/cm² for 1 week. Next, host PBMCs harvested before and after cell delivery were thawed in cMuStem cell growth medium and cultured overnight at 37°C and 5% CO₂. cMuStem cells were harvested and, together with splenocytes taken from another dog and used as a positive control, were irradiated at 35 Gy. PBMCs were then cultured in quadruplicate at 1 × 10⁵ cells per well in 96-well plates with irradiated cMuStem cells. In parallel, PBMCs were also cultured with control splenocytes or stimulated with 10 μg/mL Concanavalin A (ConA; Sigma-Aldrich) to serve as a positive control of proliferation. cMuStem cells were diluted at 2:1, 1:1, 1:4, 1:16 and 1:64 (MuStem cells: PBMCs) to demonstrate a dose effect. After 5 days of co-culture, cells were incubated overnight with tritiated thymidine (1:40; NET027A001MC, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cells were harvested on a filter using a Perkin Elmer Harvester and radioactivity was measured as counts per minute (cpm) using a MicroBeta plate counter (Perkin Elmer).

Interferon γ ELISpot

The enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISpot) assay was performed using a library of seven pools of 105 peptides each, covering the canine dystrophin sequence. These 15-mers peptides have an overlap of 10 amino acids (Pepscreen, Sigma). After incubation for 18 to 20 hours at 37°C and
5% CO₂, thawed recipient splenocytes were seeded in poly-vinyliden difluoride (PVDF) membrane-bottomed 96-well plates (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) coated overnight with mouse anti-canine interferon (IFN)γ capture antibody (Canine IFNγ ELISpot Development Module; Cat. # SEL781, R&D, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The splenocytes were then stimulated for 24 hours with the peptide pools. Cells and non-bound protein were washed away before the addition of biotinylated goat anti-canine IFNγ detection antibody (Canine IFNγ ELISpot Development Module, R&D) and subsequent overnight incubation at 4°C. Next, streptavidin-AP (ELISpot Blue Color Module) was added for 2 hours at RT. NBT/BCIP substrate (ELISpot Blue Color Module, R&D) was added in each well of the plate for 30 min, and the plates read using an iSpot Reader Spectrum (AID, Strassberg, Germany). The data obtained were analyzed using AID ELISpot software. As controls, splenocytes from transplanted GRMD dogs were either stimulated with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)/ionomycin (positive control, 10 ng/mL and 500 ng/mL, respectively) or cultured in medium alone (negative control). Responses were considered positive when the number of spot-forming colonies per million cells was >50 and at least three-fold higher than that observed for unstimulated cells.

**Western blot Analysis**

Presence of anti-dystrophin immunoglobulin (Ig)G antibodies in sera from transplanted dogs were determined by Western blot, as previously described63. Briefly, muscle protein extracts from healthy or GRMD dogs were subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using 3-8% Tris-Acetate Precast gels (Invitrogen), and then transferred to a Hybond ECL nitrocellulose membrane (Invitrogen). After overnight saturation, membranes were incubated (2 hours, RT) with sera (dilution 1:500) obtained from transplanted dogs at different time points. Subsequently, protein detection was performed by hybridization with peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-dog IgG antibody (1:5000; Cat. # 304-001-003, Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) followed by enhanced chemiluminescence detection (Pierce). Overall, two positive controls were used: (i) an anti-dystrophin IgG-positive canine serum (kindly provided by S. Blot, Veterinary School of Alfort, France) obtained from a GRMD dog immunized against the dystrophin protein and (ii) an anti-dystrophin antibody (1:100; Cat. # NCL-DYS1, Novoceastra) revealed using a peroxidase-conjugated goat antimouse IgG antibody (1:2000; Cat. # P044701, Dako, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

**Statistical Analysis**

All data were represented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Clinical scores were compared between GRMD dog groups (from 3 to 8 months of age) using linear models followed by testing using R (version 3.3.2). The residuals of the models fitted were found to follow a normal distribution. Percentages of regenerative fibers and area fractions of fibrosis were compared between GRMDMO/no-IS, GRMDMU/tr-IS, and GRMDMO/no-IS dogs using a two-tailed Mann–Whitney U test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Transiently Immunosuppressed GRMD Dogs Show Improved Clinical Status Following MuStem Cell Transplantation**

The clinical course of the different dog groups was determined based on a weekly scored evaluation and analyzed by linear models that were shown as being significantly predictive of the dog groups (Table S1). The linear models revealed that the clinical scores were always significantly related to the age and to the dog group when GRMDMO/tr-IS versus GRMDMO/no-IS, GRMDMU/no-IS versus GRMDMU_MO/IS, GRMDMU/tr-IS versus GRMDMO/tr-IS and GRMDMU/no-IS versus GRMDMU/no-IS dog groups were compared (Fig. 1; Table 2). Also, the clinical scores were shown to significantly decrease with the age.

The linear model fitted with the GRMDMO/no-IS and GRMDMO/no-IS dog groups showed that the transient IS was not a significant variable (a group = −0.0323; p = 0.229), indicating that alone it did not affect the clinical scores of the GRMD dogs. A progressive clinical impairment in GRMDMU/no-IS dogs was observed clinically between 3 and 8 months of age, with a marked decline in locomotor ability, as also seen in GRMDMO/no-IS dogs. This was confirmed by the linear model that showed a significant decrease of the clinical score with the only MuStem cell infusion (a group = −0.1007; p < 2.0 × 10⁻²; Fig. 1A). This indicated that the sole MuStem cell transplantation has no positive clinical impact in absence of IS. In return, the linear model fitted with the GRMDMU/tr-IS and GRMDMO/TR-IS dog groups importantly pointed that the clinical scores were significantly increased with the MuStem cell transplantation (a group = 0.0483; p < 4.5 × 10⁻²; Fig. 1B), demonstrating that the cell infusion positively acts on the clinical status of the transplanted GRMD dogs when placed under transient IS. Finally, the linear model fitted with the GRMDMU/tr-IS and GRMDMU/no-IS dog groups indicated that the clinical score significantly increased with the transient IS (a group = 0.1125; p < 7.2 × 10⁻⁵; Fig. 1C), indicating that the last one is required to observe the beneficial clinical effect of the allogeneic MuStem cells.

**Transiently Immunosuppressed GRMD Dogs Show Increased Muscle Regenerative Activity Following MuStem Cell Transplantation**

Lesions typically described in GRMD dogs were observed in hematoxylin-eosin-saffron (HES)-stained sections from
9-month-old GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/tr-IS}, GRMD\textsuperscript{no/tr-IS}, and GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/no-IS} dogs. Briefly, muscle fibers displayed some degree of size heterogeneity with the presence of small fibers and large hyaline ones, some of which were centronucleated. Notably, sporadic calcium deposits eliciting focal mononuclear cell infiltration as well as mild endomysial and perimysial fibrosis were observed. Except around calcification foci, very few inflammatory cells were observed without any difference noted between dogs included in the different groups.

Muscle regenerative activity was assessed using specific immunolabeling for the MyHC\textsuperscript{d} the expression of which is restricted to developmental and regeneration processes. In the transient IS dog group, the proportion of MyHC\textsuperscript{d}+ fibers in the Triceps brachii muscle was 39.8 ± 4.8% and 19.3 ± 6.4% in GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/no-IS} and GRMD\textsuperscript{no-tr-IS} dogs respectively, indicating a significant effect of MuStem cell delivery (\(p = 0.005\)) (Fig. 2). Strikingly, the proportion of MyHC\textsuperscript{d}+ fibers in GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/no-IS} dogs was 25.2 ± 3.5% (\(p = 0.005\)), indicating that the formation of newly regenerated muscle fibers was compromised in the absence of IS. These results indicated that transient IS is required in order for MuStem cells to actively and persistently contribute to muscle fiber regeneration. Levels of subsarcolemmal dystrophin expression in muscle sections were low, and below the threshold of detection by Western blot.

**Transiently Immunosuppressed GRMD Dogs Show no Deleterious Immune Responses to Either MuStem Cells or Dystrophin Following Allogeneic Cell Transplantation**

Host immunity against MuStem cells was examined in a mixed cell reaction (MCR)-based assay in which host PBMCs harvested at different time points (before transplantation, upon cessation of IS, and at euthanasia) were co-cultured with donor MuStem cells at ratios increasing from 1:64 to 2:1 (MuStem cells:PBMCs). In GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/no-IS} dogs, significant PBMC proliferation was observed in the presence of donor MuStem cells (Fig. 3 and Table 3). By contrast, absent or weak PBMC proliferation was observed in GRMD \textsuperscript{MU/tr-IS} dogs. Interestingly, similar results were obtained in the GRMD\textsuperscript{no-tr-IS} group, revealing that the presence of MuStem cells does not result in a host cellular response.

Importantly, cellular and humoral immune responses against dystrophin were also monitored in MuStem cell-

**Fig. 1.** Clinical evaluation of GRMD dogs. Animals were clinically evaluated weekly from 2 months of age and a clinical score was expressed as a percentage of the score of a theoretical healthy dog. Scatterplots and best fit lines of clinical score versus age were sequentially represented by considering two experimental groups. (A). Mock GRMD dogs (GRMD\textsuperscript{no-tr-IS} in purple, \(n = 4\)) and MuStem cell-injected GRMD dogs without IS (GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/no-IS} in orange, \(n = 4\)): MuStem cell delivery (GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/no-IS} dogs) did not mitigate the clinical impairment observed in GRMD\textsuperscript{no-tr-IS} dogs; (B). MuStem cell-injected GRMD dogs with transient IS (GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/tr-IS} in red, \(n = 4\)) and mock GRMD dogs with transient IS (GRMD\textsuperscript{no-tr-IS} in green, \(n = 4\)): Under transient IS, cell infusion allowed an increased clinical score (GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/tr-IS} dogs); (C). MuStem cell-injected GRMD dogs without IS (GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/no-IS} in orange, \(n = 4\)) and MuStem cell-injected GRMD dogs with transient IS (GRMD\textsuperscript{MU/tr-IS} in red, \(n = 4\)): Benefits of MuStem cell delivery in the clinical score were observed when IS was applied. GRMD: Golden Retriever muscular dystrophy; IS: immunosuppression.
Table 2. Clinical evaluation of GRMD dogs.ª

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical score</th>
<th>GRMDmo/tr-IS versus GRMDmo/no-IS</th>
<th>GRMDMLU/tr-IS versus GRMDMLU/no-IS</th>
<th>GRMDMLU/no-IS versus GRMDMLU/tr-IS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>adjusted $R^2 = 0.2341$</td>
<td>adjusted $R^2 = 0.2983$</td>
<td>adjusted $R^2 = 0.1748$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p&lt;3.4 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$p&lt;2.7 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$p&lt;1.1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of dog</td>
<td>$a_{\text{age}} = -0.0554$</td>
<td>$a_{\text{age}} = -0.0646$</td>
<td>$a_{\text{age}} = -0.0372$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p&lt;9.5 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$p&lt;9.4 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$p&lt;2.3 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog group</td>
<td>$a_{\text{group}} = -0.0323$</td>
<td>$a_{\text{group}} = -0.1007$</td>
<td>$a_{\text{group}} = 0.0483$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p = 0.229$</td>
<td>$p&lt;2.0 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$p&lt;4.5 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRMD: Golden Retriever muscular dystrophy; IS: immunosuppression.
ªThe clinical status of the dogs included in the different groups was determined weekly based on a semi-quantitative evaluation. The resulting clinical scores were analyzed using linear models to determine the relation between the clinical score and the age as well as the dog group.

Fig. 2. Systemic delivery of MuStem cells into the Triceps brachii muscle: histological findings. (A). Figure shows HES topographic staining (upper panel) and MyHCd labeling for muscle regeneration (lower panel) in representative muscle sections. Representative images are shown for three groups of 9-month-old GRMD dogs: MuStem cell-injected dogs with transient IS (GRMDMLU/tr-IS, left); non-MuStem cell-injected (mock) GRMD dogs with transient IS (GRMDmo/tr-IS, middle); and MuStem cell-injected dogs without IS (GRMDMLU/no-IS, right). Note the heterogeneity of muscle fiber sizes and the presence of numerous small fibers, especially in GRMDMLU/tr-IS dogs. MyHCd labeling revealed that most of these small fibers were newly regenerated, indicating a global increase in regenerative activity (brown staining) in GRMDMLU/tr-IS versus GRMDMLU/no-IS and GRMDmo/tr-IS muscles samples. Scale bar = 100 μm. (B). Histogram recapitulates percentage of MyHCd+ fibers in GRMDMLU/tr-IS, GRMDMLU/no-IS, and GRMDmo/tr-IS muscles, showing a positive effect of MuStem cell delivery that is abolished in absence of IS. *indicates a significant difference between groups (Kruskal–Wallis, $p<0.005$).

GRMD: Golden Retriever muscular dystrophy; HES: hematoxylin-eosin-saffron; IS: immunosuppression; MyHCd: developmental isoform of the myosin heavy chain.
transplanted dogs to determine whether the lack of dystrophin expression in these animals was associated with immune rejection. ELISpot assay revealed no IFN-γ secretion after dystrophin peptide stimulation of splenocytes harvested at the end of the study protocol, regardless of IS regimen. This finding indicates that splenocytes do not become reactive following MuStem cell infusion (Fig. 4A). In agreement, Western blot revealed no anti-dystrophin IgG, regardless of time point or IS regimen (Fig. 4B).

Overall, these results summarized in Table 3 indicate that the MuStem cell infusion protocol performed in transiently immunosuppressed dogs generates no cellular or humoral responses against MuStem cells or dystrophin, whereas it triggers an anti-allogeneic antigen immune response when done in the absence of IS.

Discussion

In terms of clinical application, the use of allogeneic cells offers several advantages over ex-vivo genetically corrected autologous cells. These include a better in vitro proliferation capacity, which could facilitate whole-body treatment strategies and/or delivery protocols based on repeated cell infusions, and a higher muscle regenerative index. Allogeneic cell-based therapy allows a better standardization of cell batches for injection by avoiding the use of patient muscle that is highly heterogeneous in terms of damage and cell yield. Finally, in the case of repeated cell delivery, cell banking allows for better clinical reactivity. The main concern regarding the use of allogeneic products for cell therapy is the possibility of immune rejection, which can be ameliorated with immunosuppressive treatment. However, long-term clinical use of immunosuppressants is limited by their numerous and severe adverse effects. In the last decade, certain immunomodulatory properties have been attributed to several somatic stem cells and in particular to MSCs, suggesting that these cells may hold promise as a new strategy for IS.

In 2011, we demonstrated persistent clinical stabilization and increased muscle regeneration following systemic delivery of allogeneic MuStem cells into continuously immunosuppressed GRMD dogs. Here, we show that similar clinical benefits and tissue regeneration can be obtained following MuStem cell delivery into transiently immunosuppressed DLA-haplo- or geno-identical dogs. Cell transplantation performed in the absence of IS produced no beneficial effects and induced a cellular response against allogeneic cells, clearly demonstrating that transient IS is a requirement for MuStem cell transplantation. Longitudinal clinical evaluation revealed remarkable stabilization in transplanted recipients that received transient IS treatment. By contrast, the corresponding recipient group that received no IS regimen displayed progressive impairment. Furthermore, the non-transplanted mock group that received only the transient IS regimen displayed a similar clinical course as those described for the non-transplanted mock group that received any IS, revealing that the transient treatment alone has no positive impact. As a consequence of continuous degeneration, dystrophic muscle is characterized by the presence of pro-inflammatory cytokines and could display immune cell infiltrates, particularly lymphocytes and macrophages. This inflammatory component makes dystrophic muscle tissue a particularly unfavorable environment for cell engraftment and partially explains the need for initial neutralization of the immune response, despite the use of DLA-identical donor-recipient pairs of dogs. Nevertheless, it cannot be disregarded the fact that a neutralization of the immune system subsequently to IS treatment could also interact negatively with the process of cell homing to injured muscles, as it has been demonstrated that immunosuppressants can limit secretion of chemoattractive molecules.
through their action on the calcineurin pathway\textsuperscript{73,74} and/or migration ability of immune cells such as dendritic cells, T lymphocytes or macrophages\textsuperscript{75-77}. Our data demonstrating induction of the host immune response to allogeneic donor antigens are in agreement with previous results obtained after injection of MyoD-transduced canine CD271\textsuperscript{+} MSCs into the Tibialis anterior (TA) muscle of non-immunosuppressed CXMD\textsuperscript{J} dogs\textsuperscript{78}. In that study, analysis of muscle tissue 8 weeks post-injection revealed the presence of numerous CD8\textsuperscript{+} and CD11\textsuperscript{+} cellular infiltrates around degenerating donor fibers, and a relatively low number of dystrophin\textsuperscript{+} cells. Another study in which non-immunosuppressed, double mutant Utrn\textsuperscript{tm1Ked} Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx/J} mice received eight successive intra-peritoneal injections of human pericytes derived from four different tissues reported a lack of detection of both human cells, histological alterations, as well as motor ability\textsuperscript{79}. By contrast, IV and IM injections of hADSCs into non-immunosuppressed SJL\textsuperscript{80} and mdx\textsuperscript{33} mice, respectively, resulted in xenogeneic cell engraftment into host muscles. Indeed, both studies reported the detection of chimeric human/mouse muscle fibers and the re-expression of defective proteins, as well as improved muscle performance. Nonetheless, it is important to note that these data were obtained in two mouse models exhibiting a mild dystrophic phenotype, in contrast to previous results obtained in more clinically relevant DMD models (Dystrophin adn utrophin “double knockout” [DKO] mice and GRMD dogs).
Table 3. Analysis of immune responses against both MuStem cells and dystrophin protein.\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRMD dogs</th>
<th>Anti-MuStem cell immunity</th>
<th>Anti-dystrophin immunity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cellular response (recipient PBMC)</td>
<td>Humoral response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before injection</td>
<td>Arrest of IS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>ID number</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU/no-IS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ICÔNE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>INDOU</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IRON</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU/tr-IS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>GAROU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>GAVROCHE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>GEPETTO</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HOLLIDAY</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results were expressed in cpm

\textsuperscript{a}For the anti-MuStem cell immunity, PBMCs of the recipient GRMD dogs were tested against the corresponding donor’s MuStem cells. The selected dilution (Western blot not performed); ND: not detected; PBMC: peripheral blood mononucleated cell; SD: standard deviation.

In the present study, tissue regeneration and clinical benefits observed following MuStem cell transplantation in transiently immunosuppressed GRMD dogs were associated with very low subsarcolemmal dystrophin expression, as we previously reported in continuously immunosuppressed GRMD dogs\textsuperscript{58,59}. It has been suggested that the global effect observed following MuStem cell transplantation is primarily due to stimulation of muscle regenerative activity, which preserves muscle architecture despite limited restoration of dystrophin expression. Similar results were described following intra-femoral injection of $5 \times 10^8$ MyoD-transduced CD271\textsuperscript{+} MSCs into immunosuppressed wildtype dogs subjected to prior cardiotoxin-induced TA muscle damage\textsuperscript{78}. Few donor muscle fibers were detected in the damaged muscle 8 weeks post-transplantation, suggesting that the dispersion of infused cells throughout the body only resulted in scattered dystrophin\textsuperscript{+} fibers. We thus hypothesize that the number of donor MuStem cell nuclei per recipient muscle fiber was too low to enable sufficient dystrophin expression. Sampaolesi and coworkers demonstrated that the efficacy of IA delivery of allogeneic Mabs into immunosuppressed GRMD dogs could be significantly improved by increasing the number of successive injections of $5 \times 10^7$ cells from 3 to 5, resulting in a corresponding increase in the proportion of dystrophin\textsuperscript{+} fibers from 2\% to 10\%\textsuperscript{29}. Another study described the presence of few human nuclei and a limited number of dystrophin\textsuperscript{+} fibers with weak immunolabeling 6 months after nine successive IV injections of $5 \times 10^7$ hADSCs/kg in GRMD dogs\textsuperscript{84}. Importantly, the present findings demonstrate that this low level of dystrophin expression is not associated with host cellular or humoral responses against the protein, although we cannot exclude the possibility that serum antibody levels or cell responses were below the limits of detection of the tests used. Similarly, Nitahara-Kasahara and coworkers reported that anti-dystrophin antibodies were undetectable 8 weeks after IM injection of allogeneic MyoD-transduced CD271\textsuperscript{+} MSCs in non-immunosuppressed CXMD\textsubscript{1} dogs, despite the presence of some dystrophin\textsuperscript{+} fibers\textsuperscript{78}. We cannot rule out the possibility that the absence of a humoral anti-dystrophin response may be linked to the engraftment rate of MuStem cells. Interestingly however, GRMD dogs subjected to an adenovirus-associated virus-based gene therapy protocol also show no humoral anti-dystrophin immune response, despite marked restoration of dystrophin expression\textsuperscript{62}. In the present study, the absence of an immune response to dystrophin may be explained by either low levels of dystrophin protein expression, and hence of potential antigens, or the presence in GRMD dogs of some dystrophin-expressing revertant fibers that exert a tolerogenic effect. Nevertheless, this revertant fiber hypothesis is not supported by findings in DMD patients, who can present pre-existing circulating anti-dystrophin T-cells, the levels of which can increase in individuals not treated with corticosteroids\textsuperscript{81,82}. Those observations in human patients suggest that anti-dystrophin immunity must be considered when designing cell therapy trials.

MSCs are implicated in a large number of immunomodulatory pathways owing to their interactions with a broad range of immunomodulatory molecules\textsuperscript{83}. For example, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 and intracellular cell adhesion molecule-1 have been shown to mediate MSC immunomodulation via direct adhesion of MSCs to activated T-cells\textsuperscript{84}. Furthermore, Mabs inhibit T-cell proliferation via a cell
contact-independent mechanism involving indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase and prostaglandin E2. We found that transient immunosuppressive treatment, limited to the infusion period, was sufficient to support the therapeutic effects of MuStem cell transplantation. This suggests that initial inhibition of the immune system is sufficient to allow donor MuStem cells to engraft into recipient muscle tissue, where they subsequently secrete soluble immunomodulatory factors and/or establish contact with immune cell subsets to enable continued engraftment. Further experiments to identify cell surface markers expressed by MuStem cells, as well as the soluble factors they secrete, could significantly contribute to our understanding of this therapeutic strategy.

In summary, the original data presented here show that long-term immunosuppressive treatment is not required to produce therapeutic effects in GRMD dogs undergoing allogeneic MuStem cell transplantation, and that transient IS limited to the transplantation period is sufficient to obtain a sustained beneficial response. While further experiments are required to determine how the therapeutic effects of MuStem cell delivery are maintained after cessation of IS regimen, our results provide critical insight that will enable the design of safer MuStem cell-based trials in the future.
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