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Organic selenocyanates as halide receptors: from chelation to one-dimensional systems
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ABSTRACT

Organic selenocyanates were recently identified as strong chalcogen bond donors. They also play an important role in biochemistry. Here, we show that 1,2-bis(selenocyanatomethyl)benzene 1 and 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(selenocyanatomethyl)-benzene 2 crystallize from DMF to afford solvates where two ortho SeCN moieties act as a chelate toward the carbonyl oxygen atom of DMF through strong Se•••O chalcogen bonds. This result led us to explore their ability to also chelate halide anions (Cl−, Br−) in solution as well as in the solid state, an important issue in view of applications in crystal engineering or organocatalysis. NMR titration experiments provide an association constant between 1 and Cl− of 148 M−1. We also observed the recurrent formation of cocrystal salts from the association of the ChB donors 1 and 2 with the onium salts Ph4PCl, Ph4PBr and Bu4NCl. We demonstrate that not only μ2-halide but also μ4-halide structures can be stabilized though ChB interactions, leading to the formation of complex polymeric anionic networks. Continuous shape measure calculations of these μ4-halide structures demonstrate that seesaw symmetry best describes the μ4-Br− bromide structures, while the smaller chloride anions tend to favor a close-to-tetrahedral μ4-Cl− organization, which is also confirmed by DFT calculations. Electrostatic surface potential calculations further demonstrate the efficiency of this chelating ortho-bis(selenocyanatomethyl) motif in 1 and 2, with Vi,max values reaching 50 kcal mol−1, to be compared with the simplest benzyl selenocyanate (36.4 kcal mol−1) or the reference halogen bond donor F5C6–I (35.7 kcal mol−1) in the same conditions.
INTRODUCTION

The study of the halogen bond (XB) in chemistry is currently developing very actively, with numerous implications in crystal engineering, catalysis, molecular materials or biochemistry.\textsuperscript{1,2} With similar characteristics to the XB, the fields of chalcogen, pnictogen and tetrel bonding are also rapidly evolving.\textsuperscript{3} For example, properly activated chalcogen atoms (S, Se, Te) can, similarly to halogen atoms, exhibit σ-holes that facilitate a so-called chalcogen bond (ChB) interaction with Lewis bases. Although a ChB has yet no formal definition,\textsuperscript{4} it can also be regarded as an attractive and directional noncovalent interaction between an electron-deficient chalcogen (partial positive) and an electron rich Lewis base (partial negative). Theoretical investigations show that in contrast to halogen atoms,\textsuperscript{5,6,7} the chalcogen atom can potentially provide two σ-holes, each of them along the C–Ch bonds. Recent results show also that the situation is not that simple and that the location of the maximum (V_{s,max}) of the electrostatic surface potential (ESP) on the chalcogen atom can present large deviations from the C–Ch bond axis.\textsuperscript{8} Because of these deviations and the emergence of two σ-holes, interaction predictability becomes more difficult and applications of ChB in crystal engineering are scarce. Many examples reporting ChB in crystalline compounds\textsuperscript{9} are essentially limited to "amphoteric" symmetric molecules having both ChB donor and acceptor moieties. Examples of these include the earlier structures of Se(CN)\textsubscript{2}, Te(CN)\textsubscript{2} (Scheme 1a-b),\textsuperscript{10,11} telluradiazole derivatives (Scheme 1c)\textsuperscript{12,13} and selenophthalic anhydride (Scheme 1d).\textsuperscript{14} One efficient strategy to potentially favor one σ-hole over the other is to substitute the chalcogen atom with only one strong electron-withdrawing group (EWG), as for example with cationic imidazolium (Scheme 1h) or with nitrile substituents. In that respect, organic selenocyanates R–Se–C≡N were shown to recurrently associate in the solid state through strong Se•••N=C ChBs generating infinite one-dimensional motifs.\textsuperscript{15} Furthermore, their ability to form cocrystals upon interaction with Lewis bases was also demonstrated in the formation of 1:1 adducts between 1,3- or 1,4-bis(selenocyanatomethyl)benzene and 4,4'-bipyridine,\textsuperscript{16} leading to the formation of heterochains similar to 1,3- or 1,4-diiodotetrafluorobenzene with the same ditopic 4,4'-bipyridine.\textsuperscript{17,18} It should also be stressed that such organic selenocyanates have received wide attention for their biological activity.\textsuperscript{19,20,21} Their mode of action is not known but most probably involves a preliminary nucleophilic attack on the Se atom through intermediate ChB-stabilized adducts with the nucleophile.
Besides neutral XB acceptors such as pyridines, σ-hole based interactions with halides has also been the subject of numerous investigations, as the XB interactions are reinforced through charge assistance.\textsuperscript{22,23,24,25,26,27} A coordination chemistry of anions can thus be defined with coordination numbers up to eight, i.e. eight iodine or bromine atoms of XB donors around one single μ\textsubscript{8}-halide anion. A similar "coordination" chemistry of halides through ChB is still in its infancy. It has been originally evaluated only in solution from the evolution of the optical spectrum and/or the NMR signals of ChB donors such as dithienylthiophenes (Scheme 1g)\textsuperscript{34} or benzotelluradiazoles (Scheme 1e).\textsuperscript{35} The formation of the μ\textsubscript{1}- or μ\textsubscript{2}-halide adducts, further rationalized through theoretical calculations,\textsuperscript{36,37} can be used for anion transport across lipid bilayers,\textsuperscript{38,39} a concept extended to oligodithienylthiophene derivatives.\textsuperscript{40} Also in solution, neutral rotaxanes incorporating SeMe moieties, as ChB donors, were used to reveal the local electronic environment of the chalcogen atoms in mechanically bonded rotaxane binding sites.\textsuperscript{41} Another important emerging application of ChB paralleling the work already reported with XB is catalysis. Indeed the dithienylthiophenes mentioned above were also used to activate the transfer hydrogenation of quinolines and imines, involving an initial step where the two conformationally-locked chalcogen atoms activate the pyridinic nitrogen atoms of the substrate.\textsuperscript{42} This work was further extended to another series of ChB donors, namely neutral benzodiselenazole derivatives.\textsuperscript{43} Similarly, in the solvolysis of benzhydryl bromide\textsuperscript{42,44} or 1-chloroisochroman,\textsuperscript{45} considered as
halide abstraction benchmark reactions, ChB donors based on bis(benzimidazolium) cores accelerated reactions by a factor of 10-30 relative to the background reaction. Again here, the initial step is based on the interaction of the chalcogen with the electron-rich bromine or chlorine atoms.

It therefore appears at this stage that ChB interactions with halides, demonstrated from solution studies or theoretically investigated, are furthermore limited to $\mu_1$- or $\mu_2$-halide motifs and have rarely been structurally identified and characterized. A CSD search based on non-bonding distances between halide anions (Cl$^-$, Br$^-$, I$^-$) and selenium atoms provides only examples of selenium-containing cationic molecules. The only reported, crystallographically characterized examples which can be described as a neutral ChB donor interacting with halides is the association between selenocyanogen NCSe–SeCN and PhNMe$_3^+$Br$^-$ to give the $\mu_1$-Br$^-$ complex, or very recently the association of 1,2,5-chalcogenadiazoles (Te, Se, S) with pseudo halides.

As mentioned above, we recently reported that organic selenocyanates such as 1 and 2 (Scheme 1) crystallize with recurrent formation of chains stabilized through intermolecular Se•••NC ChB interactions. Furthermore, recrystallization of 2 from DMF afforded a bis adduct where two neighboring SeCN moieties act as a chelate toward the carbonyl oxygen atom of DMF. We found (see below) that the very same process takes place with the ortho derivative 1. We therefore considered that such ortho-substituted bis(selenocyanotomethyl) derivatives 1 or 2 could be well adapted to the chelation of halide anions, an interesting issue in view of their easy synthesis, and their possible applications in crystal engineering or organocatalysis (see above), as very recently reported by Bryce et al. from solution NMR and solid state studies.

We report here on the formation of cocrystal salts from the association of the ChB donors 1 and 2 with the onium salts Ph$_4$PCl, Ph$_4$PBr and Bu$_4$NCl, demonstrating their ability to chelate halide anions though ChB interactions. Furthermore, we demonstrate here that not only $\mu_2$-halide but also $\mu_4$-halide structures can be stabilized though ChB interactions, leading to the formation of polymeric anionic networks.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The two ChB donors 1 and 2 were prepared from the reaction of the corresponding bromomethyl derivatives with KSeCN, as described earlier. Recrystallization from DMF was shown to form the bis(DMF) adduct with 2, a similar monoadduct is obtained with 1. This solvate 1•DMF crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group P2_1/a, with one molecule in the general position within the unit cell. As shown in Figure 1a and Table 1, the Se•••O interaction is short: the reduction ratio (RR), defined as the ratio of the actual distance over the sum of van der Waals radii, amounts to 0.90. Furthermore, the C–Se•••O angles are close to 180° demonstrating the strong directionality of the interactions. The striking difference between the two DMF adducts is the relative conformation of the SeCN moieties relative to the aromatic plane. They adopt an anti conformation in 1•DMF (Figure 1a) with a Se•••O•••Se angle of 101.4(1)°, while a syn conformation with a very acute Se•••O•••Se angle of 79.2(1)° was found in 2•(DMF)_2 (Figure 1b) which brings the two Se atoms at van der Waals contact (3.75 Å). These two DMF adducts demonstrate not only the ability of this motif to chelate but also its flexibility as it can adopt both syn and anti conformations, with an even stronger interaction in the syn conformation. The cocrystallization of 1 and 2 with tetraphenylphosphonium and tetrabutylammonium halides was therefore undertaken to evaluate their ability to also interact and chelate halide anions, with the added possibility to form extended one-dimensional motifs.

Figure 1. Detail of the DMF adducts, (a) 1•DMF, and (b) 2•(DMF)_2.
Table 1. Structural characteristics of the ChB interactions in the DMF adducts of 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>Se•••N (Å)</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>C–Se•••N (°)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1•(DMF)</td>
<td>Se1•••O</td>
<td>3.083(5)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>168.9(2)</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se2•••O</td>
<td>3.076(5)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>168.4(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2•(DMF)</td>
<td>Se1•••O</td>
<td>2.95(12)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>171.9(2)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se2•••O</td>
<td>2.94(5)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>175.2(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accordingly, 1 and 2 were associated with the chloride and bromide salts of Ph₄P⁺ and n-Bu₄N⁺ as cations. Several co-crystal salts were obtained, with different stoichiometry, formulated as (1)₂•PPh₄Cl•Et₂O, (1)₂•PPh₄Br, 2•(PPh₄Cl)₂, 2•(PPh₄Br)₂, and 2•Bu₄NCl. Two other phases obtained in moist conditions and incorporating hydrogen-bonded water molecules, are formulated as 2•(PPh₄Cl)₂•(H₂O)₂ and 2•(PPh₄Br)₂•(H₂O)₂. They are isostructural and are described only in the ESI. The different structures can be separated into two groups, according to the actual number of selenium atoms around the halide anion. We will first describe µ₂-halide structures analogous to those observed with neutral DMF, followed by the µ₄-halide structures.

The µ₂-halide chalcogen-bonded structures. 2•(PPh₄Cl)₂ crystallizes in the triclinic P̅1 space group, with the tetradentate ChB donor 2 on an inversion center, and Ph₄PCl in the general position, hence the stoichiometry. As shown in Figure 2, two neighboring SeCN moieties interact with the Cl– anion through short and directional ChB, providing a motif which differs from the DMF solvate by the anti orientation of the two SeCN moieties. Structural characteristics are collected in Table 2. Note that the chloride is otherwise surrounded only by hydrogen atoms at van der Waals contacts. This first example already demonstrates the flexibility of the ChB donors reported here, since in its DMF adduct, a syn orientation of the neighboring SeCN moieties was observed, in contrast with the anti orientation found here. Note also the Se₁•••Cl•••Se₂ angle found here at 93.40(3)°, which is notably smaller than the angle found in the anti configuration of 1•DMF (Figure 1a), a possible consequence of the slightly larger Se•••Cl distances when compared with the Se•••O ones.
**Figure 2.** Detail of the interaction between 2 and Cl\textsuperscript{−} in 2\textsuperscript{•}(PPh\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2}

**Table 2.** Structural characteristics of the ChB interactions in \(\mu\textsubscript{2}\)-halide structures in the cocrystals salts with 2. The ionic radii (rather than the van der Waals radii) were used for Cl\textsuperscript{−} (1.81 Å) and Br\textsuperscript{−} (1.96 Å).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>Se\textsuperscript{•••}Cl/Br (Å)</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>C–Se\textsuperscript{•••}Cl/Br (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{•}(PPh\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Se\textsubscript{1}\textsuperscript{•••}Cl</td>
<td>3.132(5)</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>173.3(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{•••}Cl</td>
<td>3.207(8)</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>173.9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{•}(PPh\textsubscript{4}Br)\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Se\textsubscript{1}\textsuperscript{•••}Br</td>
<td>3.23(1)</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>176.8(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{•••}Br</td>
<td>3.25(1)</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>174.6(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unexpectedly, the analogous Ph\textsubscript{4}PBr bromide salt, albeit precipitating with the same stoichiometry, i.e. 2\textsuperscript{•}(PPh\textsubscript{4}Br)\textsubscript{2}, gives a completely different pattern. It crystallizes in the triclinic system, space group \(\overline{1}\), with the ChB donor molecule 2 on an inversion center and PPh\textsubscript{4}Br in the general position in the unit cell. As shown in Figure 3, the bromide is still interacting with two Se atoms but from two different ChB donor molecules 2, giving rise to complex anionic chains running along the crystallographic \(a\) axis. However, structural characteristics of the ChB interactions (Table 2) are similar to those observed in the Cl\textsuperscript{−} salt, with the only difference being the smaller Se\textsubscript{1}\textsuperscript{•••}Br\textsuperscript{−}•••Se\textsubscript{2} angle at 83.44(3)°.

**Figure 3.** Detail of the ChB chains running along \(a\) in 2\textsuperscript{•}(PPh\textsubscript{4}Br)\textsubscript{2}.
The $\mu_4$-halide chalcogen-bonded structures. The tetraphenylphosphonium salts with the ortho-substituted ChB donor molecule 1 were isolated with a different stoichiometry than 2, i.e. $(1)_2\cdot PPh_4Cl\cdot Et_2O$ and $(1)_2\cdot PPh_4Br$, corresponding therefore to four SeCN group for each halide anion, i.e. a $\mu_4$-halide coordination. The Cl$^-$ salt $(1)_2\cdot PPh_4Cl\cdot Et_2O$ crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/n$, with two crystallographically independent ChB donor molecules 1, as well as the PPh$_4$Cl in the general position in the unit cell, with an added Et$_2$O molecule. The Cl$^-$ is interacting here with four selenium atoms through short and directional ChB contacts (Figure 4a, Table 3). One of the four ChB distances is slightly larger than the three others which compare to those found above in $2\cdot (PPh_4Cl)_2$ where the Cl$^-$ anion was interacting with only two Se atoms. This dissymmetry might indicate either steric constraints which limits a complete four-fold coordination, or a weakening of the ChB interactions, as the monovalent chloride anion is now acting as ChB acceptor toward four rather than two ChB donors. This weakening is actually also observed in the bromide salts, as detailed below.

**Figure 4.** Detail of: (a) the Cl$^-$ and (b,c) the Br$^-$ environment in the crystal structures of (a): $(1)_2\cdot PPh_4Cl\cdot Et_2O$ and (b,c): $(1)_2\cdot PPh_4Br$ (orthorhombic phase).
Table 3. Structural characteristics of the ChB interactions in µ₄-halide structures in the cocrystals salts with 1 and 2. The ionic radii (rather than the van der Waals radii) were used for Cl⁻ (1.81 Å) and Br⁻ (1.96 Å) while a van der Waals radius of 1.90 Å was used for selenium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>Se•••Cl/Br (Å)</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>C–Se•••Cl/Br (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)₂·PPh₄Cl·Et₂O</td>
<td>Se₁•••Cl</td>
<td>3.188(2)</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>172.6(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₂•••Cl</td>
<td>3.166(3)</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>174.9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₃•••Cl</td>
<td>3.220(3)</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>179.2(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₄•••Cl</td>
<td>3.473(4)</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>167.7(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)₂·PPh₄Br</td>
<td>Se₁•••Br</td>
<td>3.363(3)</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>177.48(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monoclinic phase</td>
<td>Se₂•••Br</td>
<td>3.225(10)</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>174.86(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₃•••Br</td>
<td>3.358(14)</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>173.64(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₄•••Br</td>
<td>3.552(1)</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>172.88(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)₂·PPh₄Br</td>
<td>Se₁•••Br</td>
<td>3.343(1)</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>176.5(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>orthorhombic phase</td>
<td>Se₂•••Br</td>
<td>3.186(1)</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>175.4(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₃•••Br</td>
<td>3.346(1)</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>171.9(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₄•••Br</td>
<td>3.459(1)</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>172.6(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2·Bu₄NCl</td>
<td>Se₁•••Cl</td>
<td>3.176(3)</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>173.9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₂•••Cl</td>
<td>3.162(2)</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>171.2(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₃•••Cl</td>
<td>3.220(4)</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>174.7(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₄•••Cl</td>
<td>3.202(2)</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2·Bu₄NCl·(PhCN)₀.₅</td>
<td>Se₁•••Cl₁</td>
<td>3.154(9) (×2)</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>169.9(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₂•••Cl₁</td>
<td>3.140(5) (×2)</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₁•••Cl₂</td>
<td>3.092(5) (×2)</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>173.0(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se₂•••Cl₂</td>
<td>3.244(7) (×2)</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>169.7(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a SeCN disordered on two positions

(1)₂·PPh₄Br was obtained as concomitant polymorphs, one crystallizing in the monoclinic P2₁ space group, the other in the orthorhombic P₂₁2₁2₁ space group. A very similar motif is observed around the Br⁻ anion (Figure 4b and 4c) in both polymorphs, which differ only by the relative organization of otherwise identical supramolecular layers (Figure S1 in ESI). The
coordination geometry around the Br$^{-}$ is essentially the same in both phases (See Table 3). These structures provide the very first examples of an $\mu_4$-halide anion coordination through ChB donors. They are also characterized by a peculiar organization around the halide, with the four selenium atoms lying in the same hemisphere, with acute Se•••X•••Se angles. Such geometries are in complete opposition to the classical metal coordination chemistry where overlapping interactions of the ligands orbitals with the $nd$, $(n+1)s$ and $(n+1)p$ orbitals of the metal center, together with the electron count, determines the favored coordination number and geometry, which favors structures where the ligands are farthest apart from each other. This concentration of the chalcogen atoms on one side of the halide, also observed in many instances in XB halide systems, might indicate that the formation of the first XB or ChB interactions with a halide anion, most probably polarizes it to such an extent that the remaining charge is concentrated at the proximity of the first XB or ChB donors, rather than opposite to them (see below).

The $\mu_4$-X$^-$ molecular structures obtained above with the bidentate ChB donor 1 let us infer that similar but polymeric $\mu_4$-halide structures should be obtainable from the tetradentate ChB donor 2. However, cocrystallizations of 2 with PPh$_4$Cl or PPh$_4$Br afforded only hydrated phases formulated as $2\bullet$(PPh$_4$Cl)$_2$$\bullet$(H$_2$O)$_2$ and $2\bullet$(PPh$_4$Br)$_2$$\bullet$(H$_2$O)$_2$ which still exhibit $\mu_2$-halide chalcogen-bonded motifs (See Figures S2, S3 and Table S1 in ESI). On the other hand, attempted cocrystallizations of 2 with the tetrabutylammonium salts $n$-Bu$_4$NCl and $n$-Bu$_4$NBr afforded crystalline phases with $n$-Bu$_4$NCl, actually as two concomitant phases, with one incorporating an extra benzonitrile solvent molecule, but both of them exhibiting these awaited $\mu_4$-X$^-$ structures (Figure 5). $2\bullet$Bu$_4$NCl crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group P2$_1$/c, with the tetradentate ChB donor 2 and the tetrabutylammonium salt in the general position in the unit cell. On the other hand, the PhCN solvate $2\bullet$Bu$_4$NCl•(PhCN)$_{0.5}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group C2/c, with the ChB donor 2 and the Bu$_4$N$^+$ cation in the general position and two crystallographically independent Cl$^-$ anions, each of them located on the two-fold axis along b. Note also that in both structures, one CN moiety is disordered over two close positions, with 50:50 occupancy, and that in $2\bullet$Bu$_4$NCl•(PhCN)$_{0.5}$, the PhCN molecule is disordered on an inversion center. Characteristic bond distances and angles associated with the ChB interactions are collected in Table 3.
Figure 5. Detail of the chains incorporating the \( \mu_4\text{-Cl}^- \) structures in (a) \( 2\text{Bu}_4\text{NCl} \), and (b) \( 2\text{Bu}_4\text{NCl}(\text{PhCN})_{0.5} \). Cations and solvent molecules were omitted for clarity.

The six different \( \mu_4\text{-X}^- \) molecular structures described above raise a question relative to the actual geometry around the halide anion. In classical coordination chemistry involving metallic cations at the coordination center, four-fold coordination is mostly represented by tetrahedral (T\(_d\) symmetry), and square planar (D\(_{4h}\) symmetry) geometries, with a general agreement that metal ions with a d\(^8\) electron configuration prefer the square-planar geometry, whereas d\(^0\) and d\(^{10}\) ions are essentially tetrahedral. Such rules are not expected to be obeyed here and indeed we see in Figure 6 that the six structures reported here vary between the tetrahedron and the so-called seesaw (C\(_{2v}\)) model geometries (Scheme 2), the latter being built from an octahedron with two vacant vertices. A quantitative measure of the proximity of a given geometry to model structures such as tetrahedral or square planar has been reported by Alvarez et al.,\(^ {49,50}\) based on the concept of continuous shape/symmetry measure. It is defined as the distance \( S(G) \) of a molecular structure to the perfect polyhedron belonging to a symmetry point group \( G \), with \( 0 \leq S(G) \leq 100 \) with \( S(G) = 0 \) for the perfect \( G \) geometry. Analysis of the six structures shown in Figure 6 is reported in Table 4. We note that the smallest \( S(G) \) values are actually found with the seesaw symmetry, which describes best the geometry of the \( \mu_4\text{-Br}^- \) bromide structures, while the smaller chloride anions (and shorter Se•••Cl\(^-\) distances) tend to favor a close-to-tetrahedral \( \mu_4\text{-Cl}^- \) organization.
Figure 6. Detail of the $\mu_4$-$X^-$ molecular structures in: (a) $\text{(1)}_2\cdot\text{Ph}_4\text{PCl}$, (b) $\text{(1)}_2\cdot\text{Ph}_4\text{PBr}$, monoclinic phase, (c) $\text{(1)}_2\cdot\text{Ph}_4\text{PBr}$, orthorhombic phase, (d) $\text{2•Bu}_4\text{NCl}$, (e) $\text{2•Bu}_4\text{NCl•(PhCN)}_{0.5}$ around Cl(1), (f) $\text{2•Bu}_4\text{NCl•(PhCN)}_{0.5}$ around Cl(2).

Scheme 2

Preliminary DFT structure optimizations were performed on the $\mu_4$-$X^-$ molecular structures found in (1)$_2$•Ph$_4$PCl and (1)$_2$•Ph$_4$PBr in order to determine a minimal energy geometry (in the gas phase). Starting from the experimental geometry without any constraint led to a minimal energy structure very close to the tetrahedral one (Table 4) for both the chloride and the bromide derivatives. On the other hand, constraining the structures to a square-planar geometry during optimization was unsuccessful, and calculations did not converge.
Table 4. Continuous shape measure calculations giving the $S(G)$ distance of the geometry of the six different $\mu_4$-$X^-$ molecular structures shown in Figure 6 to three ideal geometries (See Table S2 in ESI for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mu_4$-$X^-$ structure</th>
<th>seesaw</th>
<th>tetrahedron</th>
<th>square-planar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(1)_2$•$\text{Ph}_4$PCl</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>27.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1)_2$•Cl$^-$ (DFT-optimized)</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>24.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1)_2$•$\text{Ph}_4$PBr monoclinic</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1)_2$•$\text{Ph}_4$PBr orthorhombic</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1)_2$•Br$^-$ (DFT-optimized)</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>23.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2$•Bu$_4$NCl</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>26.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2$•Bu$<em>4$NCl•(PhCN)$</em>{0.5}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>around Cl(1)</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>27.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>around Cl(2)</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>28.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Solution NMR studies of the complexation**

In order to further investigate the ability of such selenocyanate derivatives to interact with halide anions, we have performed $^1$H NMR titrations, where increasing quantities of tetraethylammonium chloride were added to a 0.016M solution of 1 in CDCl$_3$. The CH$_2$ proton singlet resonance exhibits a downfield shift, up to $\Delta\delta = 0.19$ ppm after addition of 4 Cl$^-$ equivalents (Fig S4 in ESI). On the other hand, the signals of the aromatic protons are upfield shifted and split into a complex signal. Based on the methylenic protons, an association constant $K_a$ of 148(1) for the 1:1 complex was determined with the WinEQNMR2 program (Fig S4). This value can be compared with those reported earlier, for example in cationic chelating bis-triazolium derivatives bearing SeMe substituents with $K_a = 652(13)$ in CD$_3$CN, or in neutral bis(2-tellurophene)acetylene derivative with $K_a = 111$ M$^{-1}$ in acetone.$^{34}$

**Electrostatic surface potentials (ESP).** The different $\mu_2$-$X^-$, $\mu_4$-$X^-$ structures and NMR studies unambiguously demonstrate the ability of such simple organic selenocyanates to act as chelating ChB donors toward halide anions. Tables 2 and 3 illustrate that the Se•••X$^-$ distances and C–Se•••X$^-$ angles represent strong and directional interactions, with reduction ratio (RR) down
to 0.83, with Cl⁻ and Br⁻ anions. The ability of such ortho-substituted derivatives 1 or 2 to interact with halides can be also rationalized with calculations of the electrostatic surface potentials (ESP) of the ChB donors. As shown in Figure 7a for the simplest monodentate benzylselenocyanate molecule (BzSeCN), a σ-hole is indeed generated in the prolongation of the NC–Se bond, with a $V_{s,max}$ value of 36.4 kcal mol⁻¹. This $V_{s,max}$ value of 36.4 kcal mol⁻¹ in BzSeCN can be also compared with that found, for example, in the prototypical C₆F₅⁻I XB donor in the same calculation conditions, namely 35.7 kcal mol⁻¹. This further demonstrates that the R–SeCN selenocyanate motif not only exhibits the directionality but also the strength of well-established XB donors. As shown in Figure 7b, when moving from this monodentate benzylselenocyanate to the bidentate ChB donor 1 in its anti conformation the σ-holes of both selenium atoms merge into a broad electron-deficient zone characterized by a much larger $V_{s,max}$ value than in BzSeCN, namely 48.3 kcal mol⁻¹. The same calculations performed on the syn conformation of the bidentate ChB donor 1 (Figure 7c) similarly provide a broad electron-deficient zone, with an even larger $V_{s,max}$ value, namely 51.5 kcal mol⁻¹. These striking results explain the efficiency of molecules such as 1 or 2 to chelate halide anions. They indeed combine adapted geometrical requirements for chelation with a strongly enhanced electrostatic interaction. They differ in that respect from the many reported chelating systems based on XB interactions² where halogen atoms are always far apart from each other and do not exhibit such a strong cooperative effect. Similar calculations performed on the tetradentate ChB donor 2 (in its anti and its syn conformations) give a symmetric picture (Figures S5, S6 in ESI) with two identical, cooperatively enhanced electron-deficient zones with a similarly large $V_{s,max}$ values of 50.2 and 54.6 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively.
Figure 7. Computed electrostatic potential on the 0.001 a.u. isodensity surface of: (a) benzylselenocyanate BzSeCN, (b) 1,2-bis(selenocyanatomethyl)benzene 1 in anti conformation, (c) 1,2-bis(selenocyanatomethyl)benzene 1 in syn conformation. Potential scale ranges from −25 kcal mol⁻¹ to 38 kcal/mol⁻¹.

CONCLUSIONS

This work provides strong evidence that organic selenocyanates can indeed interact with halide anions in the solid state to form anionic isolated or extended 1D motifs, based on recurrent μ₂- or μ₄-halide structures. The μ₂-halide chalcogen-bonded motifs are characterized here with acute Se•••X–•••Se angles (< 90°), while the μ₄-halide chalcogen-bonded motifs adopt preferentially the so-called seesaw geometry with Br⁻, and close-to-tetrahedral geometry with Cl⁻. Both molecules 1 and 2, with two neighboring SeCN moieties acting as a pincer, either in syn or anti conformation, generate a broad electron-deficient zone characterized by very large $V_{s,max}$ values of 48–52 kcal mol⁻¹ (calculated on the 0.001 a.u. isodensity surface). Shift of solution NMR signals of 1 with increasing amounts of chloride anion confirm the sizeable interaction with a association constant of 148 M⁻¹, demonstrating that such compounds are particularly well suited to act as halide...
receptors—a very promising property in light of their involvement in organocatalyzed reactions as well as in biological processes.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Synthesis.** 1,2-bis(selenocyanatomethyl)benzene (1) and 1,2,4,5-tetrakis (selenocyanatomethyl) benzene (2) were prepared as previously described. Recrystallization of 1 from DMF with Et2O layering afforded the 1•DMF solvate as colourless crystals.

**Crystal growth**

2•(Ph4PCl)2. In a small tube, 2 (16.1 mg, 0.029 mmol) and Ph4PCl (25.1 mg, 0.067 mmol, 2 equiv) were dissolved in dry benzonitrile (2 mL) at 60°C. After cooling, the solution was layered with dry ether (2 mL) and the tube was left closed for one week, to provide (2)•Ph4PCl as slightly orange crystals. Good elemental analysis could not be obtained probably due to contamination with the hydrated form.

2•(Ph4PBr)2. Same procedure as above with 2 (14.6 mg, 0.026 mmol) and Ph4PBr (22.6 mg, 0.054 mmol, 2 equiv). Good elemental analysis could not be obtained probably due to contamination with the hydrated form.

2•(Ph4PCl)2•(H2O)2. Same procedure as above from 2 (16.7 mg, 0.03 mmol) and Ph4PCl (22.4 mg, 0.059 mmol, 2 equiv), but with one drop of demineralized water added to benzonitrile. M. p. 153°C (decomp.) Elem. Anal. Calcd for 2•(Ph4PBr)2•(H2O)2 (C62H54Cl2N4O2P2Se4, MW = 1335.83 g/mol): C, 55.75; H, 4.07; N, 4.19%. Found C, 55.84, H, 4.09, N, 4.08%.

2•(Ph4PBr)2•(H2O)2. Same procedure as above from 2 (10.7 mg, 0.019 mmol) and Ph4PBr (16.4 mg, 0.039 mmol, 2 equiv) but with one drop of demineralized water added to benzonitrile. M. p. 171 (decomp). Elem. Anal. Calcd for 2•(Ph4PBr)2•(H2O)2 (C62H54Br2N4O2P2Se4, MW = 1424.74 g/mol): C, 52.27; H, 3.82; N, 3.93%. Found C, 52.42, H, 3.73, N, 3.85%.
(1)\textsubscript{2}•Ph\textsubscript{4}PCl•Et\textsubscript{2}O. 1 (0.0208 g) was dissolved in EtOAc. Ph\textsubscript{4}PCl (0.0119 g) was added to the EtOAc solution of 1, a couple drops of MeOH were added for solubility of the phosphonium salt. Vapor diffusion of ether into the salt solution precipitated white crystals. M. p. 126-127°C (with color change from light orange to gray at 118-120°C). Elem. Anal. Calcd for (1)\textsubscript{2}•Ph\textsubscript{4}PCl (C\textsubscript{44}H\textsubscript{36}ClN\textsubscript{4}PSe\textsubscript{4}, MW = 1003.0634 g/mol): C, 52.69; H, 3.62; N, 5.59%. Found C, 53.30, H, 3.70, N, 5.32%.

(1)\textsubscript{2}•Ph\textsubscript{4}PBr. 1 (0.0193 g) was dissolved in EtOAc. Ph\textsubscript{4}PBr (0.0128 g) was added to the EtOAc solution of 1, a couple drops of MeOH were added for solubility of the phosphonium salt. Vapor diffusion of ether into the salt solution precipitated white crystals. M. p. 133-134°C (with color change from white to gray at 100-110°C). Elem. Anal. Calcd for (1)\textsubscript{2}•Ph\textsubscript{4}PBr (C\textsubscript{44}H\textsubscript{36}BrN\textsubscript{4}PSe\textsubscript{4}, MW = 1047.5144 g/mol): C, 50.45; H, 3.46; N, 5.35%. Found: C, 50.53, H, 3.35, N, 5.35%.

2•Bu\textsubscript{4}NCl and 2•Bu\textsubscript{4}NCl•(PhCN)\textsubscript{0.5}. In a small tube, 2 (9.5 mg, 0.017 mmol, 1 equiv) and n-Bu\textsubscript{4}NCl (9.7mg, 0.034mmol, 2 equiv) was dissolved with 2 mL of dry benzonitrile at 60°C and the solution layered with dry ether (2 mL). The tube was tightly closed, and crystals were obtained after one week, as a mixture of the two polymorphs. The intimate mixture hindered elemental analysis or m.p. determination.

Crystallography. Data were collected on an APEXII, Bruker-AXS diffractometer operating with graphite-monochromated Mo-K\textsubscript{\alpha} radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073 \, \text{\AA}\)), or on D8 VENTURE Bruker AXS diffractometer at 150 K, operating with graphite-monochromated Mo-K\textsubscript{\alpha} radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073 \, \text{\AA}\)) or Cu- K\textsubscript{\alpha} radiation (\(\lambda = 1.54184 \, \text{\AA}\)). The structures were solved by direct methods using the SIR92 program,\textsuperscript{54} and then refined with full-matrix least-square methods based on \(F^2\) (SHELXL-2014/7)\textsuperscript{55} with the aid of the WINGX program.\textsuperscript{56} All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters. H atoms were finally included in their calculated positions. Crystallographic data on X-ray data collection and structure refinements are given in Table S3. CCDC 1865831-1865840 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.
**Theoretical calculations.** DFT calculations were performed with the following conditions, with B3LYP functional, 6-31+G** basis set for C, H, N, F and Br, LANLD2Ddp ECP basis set for Se and I, downloaded from the EMSL database. Geometry optimization (See Table S4 in ESI for details) was performed for all neutral compounds C₆F₅–I, BzSeCN, syn-1, anti-1, and anti-2, with the exception of syn-2 which is not an energy minimum, and the ESP were computed on the 0.001 a.u. isodensity surfaces. Geometrical optimizations of the μ₄-X⁻ molecular structure found in (1)₂•Ph₄PCl and (1)₂•Ph₄PBr were performed in the same conditions.
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Ortho-substituted bis(selenocyanatomethyl)benzene derivatives act as efficient chelating agents for halides through short and directional Se•••X– chalcogen bonding (ChB) interactions. Crystal structures of μ2-halide and μ4-halide motifs are analyzed, together with complementary 1H solution NMR studies and theoretical calculations.