

Elucidating in utero fetal demise time to reassemble the pieces of the puzzle?

Nicolas Belhomme, Alain Lescoat, Alice Ballerie, Florence Rouget, Gwenaelle Le Bouar, Philippe Loget, Leïla Caillault, Patrick Jégo

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Belhomme, Alain Lescoat, Alice Ballerie, Florence Rouget, Gwenaelle Le Bouar, et al.. Elucidating in utero fetal demise time to reassemble the pieces of the puzzle?. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*, Taylor & Francis, 2019, 18, pp.1-3. 10.1080/14767058.2019.1580262 . hal-02061953

HAL Id: hal-02061953

<https://hal-univ-rennes1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02061953>

Submitted on 22 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine

Correspondence Letter

Elucidating In Utero Fetal Demise: Time to reassemble the pieces of the puzzle?

Nicolas Belhomme MD^{1,2}, Alain Lescoat MD MSc^{1,3}, Alice Ballerie MD^{1,3}, Florence Rouget MD^{2,3}, Gwenaelle Le Bouar MD^{2,4}, Philippe Loget MD^{2,5}, Leïla Caillault MD¹, Patrick Jego MD PhD^{1,3}

1- Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Immunology, CHU Rennes, University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France

2- Perinatal network, CHU Rennes, University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France

3- University of Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) –UMR_S 1085, F-35000 Rennes, France

4- Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, CHU Rennes, University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France

5- Department of Pathology, CHU Rennes, University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France

Conflicts of Interest: None

Corresponding author: Nicolas Belhomme, Department of Internal Medicine, CHU Rennes, South Hospital, 16 bvd de Bulgarie - 35203 RENNES Cedex 2 - BP 90347

E-Mail : nicolas.belhomme@chu-rennes.fr

Phone: +33 2 99 26 71 28 fax : +33 2 99 26 71 98

Words count: 957

Elucidating In Utero Fetal Demise: Time to reassemble the pieces of the puzzle?

To the editor:

We read with great interest the article by A Manocha et al. [1] recently published in the JFMNM. Through a standardized pathological review, the authors give an interesting insight into the placental findings in a series of 100 intrauterine fetal demise (IUFD) cases, before extending their analysis to determine the plausibility of each pathological finding to contribute to IUFD. They conclude that among all histological patterns, maternal vascular malperfusion (MVM) is the most frequent etiology of IUFD, pregnancy hypertensive disorders being -as a matter of fact- widely encountered.

Acknowledging that placental pathology constitutes a cornerstone of IUFD management, looking at the results of Manocha and colleagues' work beyond the pathologist's lens may offer new perspectives and may also broaden their conclusions.

In their work, the authors report a high prevalence of pre-eclampsia which was found in 39% of IUFD case, while a rate of 9.2% was found in other studies [2]. Moreover, in the definition of pre-eclampsia chosen by the authors, proteinuria needed to be associated with an elevation of blood pressure. This definition is more restrictive than the latter proposed by the ACOG in 2013 [3] and the true prevalence of preeclampsia according to this latter definition may even be higher. Thus, this high prevalence of pre-eclampsia – even possibly underestimated – raises two issues:

a) it may illustrate that placentas are more commonly addressed to the pathologist when IUFD occurs in a context of pre-eclampsia than in the other IUFD cases. This assumption would need to be verified and, if so, may convey an evolution of practices in the field: as well illustrated by the authors, placental examination is the cornerstone of IUFD management [4], this being particularly true in the absence of maternal clinical context (e.g. pre-eclampsia, sepsis...) providing relevant etiological orientations.

b) Consequently, placental data need to be interpreted in the light of a global and exhaustive IUFD assessment, including the collection of all pre-eclampsia risk factors. Indeed, from a pathogenic view-point, it has been proposed to distinguish early and late onset pre-eclampsia which, despite the similarity of their pathological presentation, differ in term of pathogenesis – *i.e.* immune incompatibility for the former, global maternal cardiovascular burden

1
2
3 for the latter – and their outcomes, both regarding the risk of recurrence and the efficacy of
4 prevention, and also probably in the related long-term maternal cardiovascular over-risk [5].
5
6
7

8 Conversely, the authors observed a high rate of early IUFD linked to MVM lesions: in all
9 apparently unexplained case of IUFD, especially of early preterm onset [6], a search for
10 thrombophilia should be performed, as mentioned by the authors. Actually, this evaluation
11 should be limited to antiphospholipid antibodies (APL) and lupus anticoagulant testings, as there
12 is no clear evidence of the impact of inherited thrombophilia on pregnancy loss, as well as of the
13 efficacy of anticoagulants in these situations [7]. Along with APL, antinuclear antibody (ANA)
14 testing is also recommended, combined with a thorough maternal clinical evaluation, given the
15 association between systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and IUFD [8]. Moreover, the search for
16 antiphospholipid antibodies syndrome or other autoimmune disorders may be of great interest
17 with possible far reaching consequences:
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- 26 - In terms of pathogenesis, separating the patients into different groups not only based on
27 histological considerations - a type of lesion being possibly the consequence of different
28 phenomenon- but also on clinical and biological findings (*i.e.* definite APLS, atypical or non-
29 criteria APLS, ANA) is a prerequisite for a close to reality approach of the pathogenic
30 processes leading to maternal vascular complications;
31
32
- 33 - From nosological and etiological viewpoints, a more global and holistic approach may
34 contribute to reframe the classification of IUFD etiologies and to precise the prevalence of
35 auto-immune features as a cause for IUFD. For example, considering MVM lesions in a
36 proven/definite APLS setting should lead to retain the IUFD as from maternal cause rather
37 than from a placental cause, the placental abnormalities being here a manifestation of a
38 maternal immune mediated disease [9]. The same goes for inflammatory lesions, that is to say
39 chronic villitis, and more importantly intervillitis. Indeed, their individualization is
40 important as, once infectious etiologies have been carefully ruled-out, there is growing
41 evidence to support their involvement in adverse obstetric outcomes and their association
42 with autoimmune disorders [10];
43
44
- 45 - In a diagnostic perspective, IUFD may, in rare cases, reveal underlying systemic diseases, and
46 a positivity of ANA may foster the search for other clinical or biological signs of autoimmune
47 disorders and, even in the absence of such signs, may constitute an alarm to carefully screen
48 their emergence in the future for a patient with a history of IUFD and positive ANA.
49
50
- 51 - Most importantly, as concluded by the authors in a therapeutic viewpoint, determining the
52 cause of IUFD aims to better manage future pregnancies. So far, only few standardized
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 treatment regimens have proven to be efficient to limit IUFD recurrence: aspirin in pre-
4 eclampsia, the association of aspirin and heparin in obstetrical APLS, and
5 hydroxychloroquine in SLE (topped by heparin and/or aspirin in cases of associated APLS or
6 in selected high-risk women) [11,12]. Beside these specific cases, data are cruelly missing, and
7 the best therapeutic approaches in pregnancies following MVM or inflammatory lesions
8 related IUFD still need to be determined.
9

10
11
12
13
14 Brightening the dark zones of IUFD etiologies constitutes a challenge which raises the need
15 for developing collaborative networks uniting gynecologists, pediatricians, pathologists,
16 immunologists and internists. Indeed, a close multidisciplinary approach, along with an
17 exhaustive etiological assessment and a classification system integrating the whole relevant data,
18 may constitute a unique opportunity to think outside the box, fostering new innovative
19 therapeutic research for this still poorly understood condition. Beyond considerations based on
20 placental histology, a call for structured multidisciplinary approaches and collaborative networks
21 may represent a turn of the tide to give to IUFD the attention it deserves.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 REFERENCES:

- 32
33
34 [1] Manocha A, Ravikumar G, Crasta J. Placenta in intrauterine fetal demise (IUFD): a
35 comprehensive study from a tertiary care hospital. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2018;6:1–9.
36
37 [2] Stillbirth Collaborative Research Network Writing Group. Causes of death among stillbirths.
38 *JAMA.* 2011;306:2459–68.
39
40 [3] Hypertension in pregnancy. Report of the American College of Obstetricians and
41 Gynecologists' and Task Force on Hypertension in Pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;122:1122–
42 31.
43
44 [4] Heazell AE, Martindale EA. Can post-mortem examination of the placenta help determine the
45 cause of stillbirth? *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2009;29:225–8.
46
47 [5] Redman CW, Sargent IL. Latest advances in understanding preeclampsia. *Science* 2005;10:
48 308:1592–4.
49
50 [6] Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, et al. International consensus statement on an update of
51 the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J Thromb Haemost.*
52 2006;4:295–306.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [7] Bennett SA, Bagot CN, Arya R. Pregnancy loss and thrombophilia: the elusive link. *Br J*
4 *Haematol.* 2012;157:529–42.

5
6 [8] Bundhun PK, Soogund MZ, Huang F. Impact of systemic lupus erythematosus on maternal
7 and fetal outcomes following pregnancy: A meta-analysis of studies published between years
8 2001-2006. *J Autoimmun.* 2017;79:17–27.

9
10
11 [9] Frøen JF, Pinar H, Flenady V et al. Causes of death and associated conditions (Codac): a
12 utilitarian approach to the classification of perinatal deaths. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*
13 2009;10:9–22.

14
15
16 [10] Mekinian A, Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Masseau A, et al. Chronic histiocytic intervillitis:
17 outcome, associated diseases and treatment in a multicenter prospective study. *Autoimmunity*
18 2015;48:40–5.

19
20
21 [11] de Jesus GR, Agmon-Levin N, Andrade CA, et al.
22 14th International Congress on Antiphospholipid Antibodies Task Force report on obstetric
23 antiphospholipid syndrome. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2014;13:795– 813.

24
25
26 [12] Andreoli L, Bertias GK, Agmon-Levin N, et al. EULAR recommendations for women's
27 health and the management of family planning, assisted reproduction, pregnancy and menopause
28 in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and/or antiphospholipid syndrome. *Ann Rheum*
29 *Dis.* 2017;76:476–485.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60