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Abstract

Aim: To assess the neurological prognosis of comatose survivors of cardiac arrest by early transcranial Doppler sonography (TCD).

Methods: This was a prospective study performed between May 2016 and October 2017 in a medical intensive care unit (ICU) and a cardiac ICU of a university teaching hospital.

All patients older than 18 years who were successfully resuscitated from an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) with persistent coma after the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) were eligible. We excluded patients for whom OHCA was associated with traumatic brain injury, no possibility of TCD measurements, or who were dead before establishing the neurological prognosis.
We measured the pulsatility index (PI) and diastolic flow velocity (DFV) of the right and left middle cerebral arteries within 12 h after ICU admission. The lowest DFV and highest PI values were used for the statistical analysis. The neurological outcome at hospital discharge was evaluated by the cerebral performance category.

Results: Forty-two patients were included in the final analysis: 15 had good and 27 poor neurological outcomes. The PI was higher in the poor outcome (1.49 vs. 1.12, p = 0.01) than good outcome group and the DFV was lower in the poor outcome group (17.3 cm.s\(^{-1}\) vs. 26.0 cm.s\(^{-1}\); p = 0.01).

Conclusion: Data provided by early TCD after ROSC are associated with neurological outcome. The use of TCD could help guide interventions to improve cerebral perfusion after ROSC in patients resuscitated from OHCA.

Keywords: Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, transcranial Doppler sonography, cerebrovascular circulation, prognosis.

Introduction

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a major cause of death and disability, with an incidence rate of 38 to 55 OHCA per 100,000 person-years worldwide (1). Despite recent improvements in the management of OHCA, the survival rate at hospital discharge is still below 10% (2). Two types of events have been shown to be responsible for the death of patients who survive the initial phase of prehospital care: early reperfusion syndrome, which can induce a refractory state of shock, with death within the first 24 h following admission, and delayed death induced by neurological impairment (3). A retrospective analysis found that more than 60% of such patients died due to neurological injury (4). Neurological injury is not only the result of the anoxic period of cardiac arrest, but can also be exacerbated during the post-resuscitation phase due to modifications in cerebral blood flow (CBF).

Transcranial Doppler sonography (TCD) is a non-invasive bedside technique that uses ultrasound to measure cerebrovascular haemodynamics (5). The transtemporal measurement of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) pulsatility index (PI) provides a surrogate of cerebral perfusion by recording the velocity of red blood cells circulating in this vessel (6). A higher PI is induced by an increase in downstream vascular resistance (7). TCD is not currently part of the algorithm recommended in the neurological prognosis strategy for comatose survivors of cardiac arrest (8).
Based on the hypothesis that impaired CBF in the hours following an OHCA is a marker of ongoing cerebral injury, we assessed the relationship between CBF observed by early TCD examination and neurological outcome at hospital discharge.

Methods

Study design

This prospective observational study was conducted between May 2016 and October 2017 in the medical intensive care unit (ICU) and cardiac ICU of a university teaching hospital. The hospital’s ethical committee approved this study (no. 16.34). The ethical committee waived informed consent, as TCD is considered to be observational.

Patient eligibility

All adult patients that were successfully resuscitated from an OHCA with persistent coma after the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) were eligible. Exclusion criteria were associated traumatic brain injury, the inability to perform TCD because of the absence of an acoustic window or because > 12 h had passed since admission, and death from refractory shock within the first 72 h, prior to neurological assessment.

OHCA management

All patients were managed upon admission based on recommendations and received continuous administration of intravenous midazolam and morphine with the objective of attaining a Richmond Assessment Sedation Scale (RASS) of minus five (2,9). Such sedation was maintained for 24 h or more if there was any reason for maintaining deep sedation, especially in cases of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. All patients received targeted temperature management during the first 24 h with an objective of 36°C (10). Arterial line placement for all patients allowed continuous monitoring of arterial pressure. A coronary
angiogram, followed by percutaneous coronary intervention, was performed if the patient’s attending physician deemed it necessary.

Neuroprotective measures to prevent secondary cerebral injury of systemic origin were implemented (11). Neither department performed invasive monitoring of intracranial pressure.

**Data collection and TCD measurements**

The following parameters were recorded for each eligible patient upon admission: age, gender, mechanism of cardiac arrest (asystole, ventricular fibrillation, pulseless electrical activity), and the time interval between cardiac arrest and resuscitation manoeuvres (no flow), the start of resuscitation manoeuvres and ROSC (low flow), and ROSC and TCD examination.

TCD measurements were performed within 12 h after ICU admission using a 5-MHz probe for all patients (Philips Healthcare™, CX50). We measured systolic flow velocity (SFV), diastolic flow velocity (DFV), mean flow velocity (MFV), and PI of the MCA of each patient for both sides. The PI is a parameter used to assess pulsatility and is defined as the difference between maximum and minimum flow velocity as follows: $\text{PI} = (\text{SFV}-\text{DFV})/\text{MFV}$ (6). Two trained operators performed all measurements.

We recorded parameters that can influence TCD values during measurement (7): the arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (mmHg); mean, systolic, and diastolic blood pressure (mmHg); heart rate; core temperature (°C); haemoglobin level (g.dl⁻¹); and treatment with vasopressors (i.e., epinephrine and/or norepinephrine) at any dose. Serum lactate levels and oxygen partial pressure during the TCD measurements were also measured. If one or more of the biological parameters were not recorded concurrently with the Doppler measurements, the results of the parameter(s) measured closest to the time of the TCD were collected.
The neurological outcome at hospital discharge was evaluated according to the Pittsburgh Cerebral Performance Category (CPC) scale (12,13) by two independent intensivists blinded to the TCD values. If there was a discrepancy, a third intensivist was asked to decide and validate the final result.

Neurological outcomes were divided into good and poor. A good neurological outcome was defined as a CPC of 1 (good recovery) or 2 (moderate disability). A poor neurological outcome was defined as a CPC of 3 (severe disability), 4 (vegetative state), or 5 (death due to a comatose state or brain death) (3,4). Patients who died before that neurological evaluation was possible were excluded from the analysis, as no neurological evaluation could be performed on sedated patients.

The N20 response to early-latency evoked potentials was sought in accordance with the recommended algorithm for determining the neurological prognosis of comatose survivors of a cardiac arrest (8) and a decision to withdraw and withhold life-sustaining healthcare was collectively made after a multimodal evaluation according to this algorithm and blinded to the TCD results.

Statistical analysis

A minimum sample of 20 patients for each group was calculated to detect a difference of 0.5 between PI values of each group with a power of 0.8 and an α risk < 0.05 between patients with good and poor neurologic outcomes (22).

Continuous variables are expressed as medians (interquartile range) and categorical variables as numbers (%). The Mann-Whitney U-test or Fisher’s exact tests were applied, as appropriate, for comparisons between groups. Statistical analyses were performed using Statview 5.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.
Results

Study population

During the study period, 88 cardiac-arrest patients resuscitated with ROSC were screened, 36 with in-hospital cardiac arrest and 52 with OHCA (Figure 1). Among the 52 patients with OHCA, 10 (19.3%) were excluded (two because of the absence of an acoustic window at TCD examination, five because of death before neurological evaluation, and three because > 12 h had passed since admission). Finally, 42 patients (80.7%) met the eligibility criteria. The demographic characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. Among these 42 patients, 15 (35.7%) had a good neurological outcome (11 with CPC = 1 and four with CPC = 2), and 27 (64.3%) had a poor neurological outcome (five with CPC = 3; 11 with CPC = 4; and 11 with CPC = 5) at discharge.

The baseline characteristics, including demographics and haemodynamic parameters did not differ between the good and the poor neurological outcome groups (Table 2).

Poor neurological outcome

Eleven (26%) patients died before 72 h from neurological causes and were categorized in the poor outcome group. The N20 response was sought for five patients, including a bilaterally absent for four. Those patients with a bilaterally absent N20 response died within the first 72 h after withdrawal of life-sustaining therapies. One had an intact N20 response but multiple comorbidities, including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, so that the decision to withdraw life-sustaining therapies was made at 72 h. Among the six others, five were in a state of clinical brain death with persistent coma, the absence of brainstem reflexes, and the absence of a breathing drive during apnea, apart from any residual sedation test. The last had a CPC score of 4. Life-sustaining therapies were withdrawn at 72 h for these six patients.
Of note, five patients (9.6%) died prematurely from multiple organ failure while they were still sedated without neurological evaluation and consequently excluded from the final analysis. These patients had TCD values within the normal range with a median IP of 1.16 (0.92–1.4) and median DFV of 30.3 cm.s⁻¹(18.9–41.7).

**TCD measurements**

TCD was performed after admission in the ICU. SFV, DFV, and MFV were recorded. The PI was automatically calculated by the ultrasound software as follows: (SFV- DFV)/MFV. The median time for TCD measurement after ROSC was 330 min (249–491) for the good-outcome group and 303 min (26–464) for the poor-outcome group (p = 0.93). The TCD values were significantly different depending on the neurological outcome (Table 2). The PI was higher in the poor-outcome (1.49 vs. 1.12, p = 0.01) than good-outcome group and the DFV was lower in the poor outcome group (17.3 cm.s⁻¹ vs. 26.0 cm.s⁻¹; p = 0.01).

**Discussion**

We found that patients with poor neurological outcomes after OHCA had a lower DFV and higher PI at admission than patients with good neurological outcomes, despite no differences in systemic arterial pressure.

Various studies have provided conflicting results concerning the association between initial TCD values and neurological outcome. Wessels *et al.* (15) performed serial TCD examinations on various vessels (anterior, middle, and posterior cerebral arteries) during the first 72 h of hospitalization in 39 patients with cardiopulmonary arrest. Of the 39 patients, 22 died or had neurological outcomes considered to be unfavourable. By the fourth hour, the peak systolic and diastolic velocities in the middle cerebral artery were lower in non-survivors than survivors. However, patients with unfavourable neurological outcomes appeared to have higher resistance indexes, even if the result did not reach the significance. To date, only one study has shown a
significant association between values measured by TCD examination and neurological evolution measured by the CPC score. Interestingly, the TCD examination was performed early in the course of ROSC, at three time points: before targeted temperature management, between 12 and 24 h, and between 24 and 48 h during the targeted temperature management phase. However, the study population consisted exclusively of children (16).

The main difference between these studies and ours is that we excluded patients who died prematurely from multi-organ failure without neurological evaluation. Of note, patients who died prematurely in our study had normal TCD values.

Concerning haemodynamic parameters, we found no differences in systolic, diastolic, or mean blood pressure (MBP) between the good-outcome and poor-outcome groups. In particular, only the MBP value did not differentiate patients whose cerebral perfusion was altered, contrary to the TCD parameters. We did not find a statistical difference in arterial blood pressure between our two groups, although we cannot rule out a clinically relevant difference due to the small sample.

The neurological outcome depends on the prompt restoration of systemic circulation and oxygenation after ROSC to meet cerebral oxygen demands (16,17). These studies suggest that CBF and the MCA pulsatility index at the early ROSC phase may correlate with neurological outcome. The high PI associated with decreased DFV observed in our study favours an increase in vascular resistance at the acute phase after a resuscitated cardiac arrest, as observed by other authors (18,19). During the post-resuscitation phase after ROSC, ischaemia-reperfusion phenomena induce disturbances of CBF. Recent studies have described the course of DSC after ROSC, which can be divided into three periods (20,21). Immediately after ROSC, CBF is characterised by early hyperaemia for 30 min, followed by a hypoperfusion phase of 6 to 12 h. The third period shows restoration of normal CBF, increased CBF (22,23), or persistent
decreased CBF from 12 to 72 h after ROSC (22–24). There are several hypotheses that can explain such CBF abnormalities, including the secretion of endothelin, a potent vasoconstrictor that may be involved in the modification of cerebral vascular tone (25). Until recently, it was assumed that under normal circumstances, autoregulation maintains a constant CBF and changes in mean blood pressure within a range of 50-150 mm Hg have a minor influence on CBF (26,27). However, recent studies have shown that autoregulation maintains CBF within a smaller range above baseline MBP (20,28). Several studies showed that cerebral autoregulation is preserved in the aftermath of cardiac arrest (18,29). In contrast, several lines of evidence have demonstrated that there is no regulation of CBF. For example, Sundgreen et al. (30) showed that cerebral autoregulation was either absent or altered, with the curve shifted to the right, in most of a series of 18 patients. Therefore, systemic arterial pressure may not allow prompt monitoring in brain perfusion. In the event of impairment of cerebral autoregulation, minimal variations in MBP are likely to alter CBF without being detectable by conventional monitoring, including continuous monitoring of intracranial pressure.

Moreover, it may be important to determine whether TCD values at an early stage after ROSC are predictive of neurological outcome (19,31). Impaired DFV and increased PI are considered to be pathological in severe traumatic brain injury (32) and are used to guide therapy to restore adequate cerebral perfusion. Therefore, normalization of TCD values at early stages of ROSC may represent an interesting approach to improve neurological outcome after OHCA. Heradstveit et al. showed the presence of ischaemic lesions at 24 h in these patients by magnetic resonance imaging with diffusion sequences (33). The final objective would be to improve cerebral perfusion by optimizing local factors (parameters of mechanical ventilation, metabolic coupling, patient posture), as well as systemic factors (stroke volume, myocardial contractility).

Only a small number of patients were excluded because of the impossibility of interpreting the TCD (no window or the passage of too much time after admission). This confirms the feasibility
of the TCD examination in common practice in ICUs during the acute phase after a cardiac arrest. The other exclusions consisted of five patients (9.6%) who died prematurely from multiple organ failure while they were still sedated and could not be neurologically evaluated. This finding is in agreement with the literature, which shows that approximately 10% of patients resuscitated from an OHCA early die of multiple organ failure (4). Such early death should not be considered for the neurological prognosis because the outcome could have been favourable if the patients had survived the initial acute circulatory failure.

Our study had some limitations. First, TCD does not directly measure CBF. Velocities may reflect CBF, provided there is no variation in the calibre of the insonated vessel. The relationship between TCD velocities and CBF are complex and involve many parameters, such as vasoreactivity to hypoxia and hypercapnia, the effect of neuronal metabolism, and the effect of the autonomic nervous system (34,35).

Second, the patients came from two different settings of the same hospital, the results of which merit confirmation on a larger scale. Nevertheless, the management of comatose survivor patients from an OHCA in the ICU is standardized due to the standard edition of international recommendations on the subject (36). Our population was small and heterogenous and a larger study is needed to perform multivariate analyses to identify PI or DVF as independent factors of a poor or good outcome. Furthermore, a larger sample would contribute to a better understanding of the pathophysiology of post-anoxic encephalopathy by providing data from patients with cardiac versus non-cardiac causes of OHCA.

Third, we acknowledge a potential bias given the low interrater reliability of some TCD measurements. All TCD measurements in our study were thus performed by only two trained operators to mitigate such bias.
Only one study has had a larger number of patients than ours; however, it did not show any relationship between TCD values and neurological outcome. This may be because the TCD measurements were performed later, i.e., at 48 h, 72 h, and 7 days after ROSC, including on patients who died before neurological evaluation (31).

**Conclusion**

Data provided by early TCD examination after ROSC are associated with neurological outcome. The use of TCD could help guide interventions to improve cerebral perfusion after ROSC in patients resuscitated from OHCA.

**Conflicts of interest**

None

**Conflict of Interest Statement**

All authors have made contribution to the conception of the study, acquisition of data, and analysis of data, and state the absence of conflict of interest in their contribution to this study

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank the ICU staff and cardiology department for their participation in the transcranial Doppler measurements and their involvement in allowing the inclusion of patients admitted after OHCA.
References


Figure 1. Flow chart of patients study

- Potentially Eligible: n=88
  - Neu. Eligible: In hospital cardiac arrest n=36
  - Eligible: n=52
    - Excluded n=10
      - No acoustic window (n=2)
      - Death before neurologic evaluation (n=5)
      - Measurement delay > 12h (n=3)
  - Included: n=42
    - Good outcome group (CPC 1-2)
    - Poor outcome group (CPC 3-5)
Table 1. Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n = 42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (76.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanism of OHCA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asystole, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (59.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular fibrillation, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (40.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coronarography, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>13 (30.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revascularization, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vasopressors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenaline, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (73.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No catecholamine, n (%)</td>
<td>31 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical intensive unit, n (%)</td>
<td>34 (81.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac intensive unit, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>61 (47–71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameters of ROSC</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No flow duration (mn)</td>
<td>2.5 (0–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low flow duration (mn)</td>
<td>21 (10–27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtCO2 post ROSC (mmHg)</td>
<td>39 (33–45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as number (%) or median (interquartile range). OHCA = Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest, ROSC = Return of Spontaneous Circulation, EtCO2 = Expired carbon dioxide.
Table 2. Characteristics of patients by neurologic outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Good outcomes CPC 1-2</th>
<th>Bad outcomes CPC 3-5</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (35.7)</td>
<td>27 (64.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>56 (43–70)</td>
<td>61 (52–73)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No flow duration (mn)</td>
<td>4 (1–5)</td>
<td>2 (0–5)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low flow duration (mn)</td>
<td>15 (5–30)</td>
<td>24 (14–26)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCD measurement delay (mn)</td>
<td>330 (249–491)</td>
<td>303 (261–454)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post ROSC EtCO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>38 (31–40)</td>
<td>43 (33–59)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>113 (104–138)</td>
<td>106 (97–126)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>67 (62–78)</td>
<td>68 (57–85)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>84 (75–85)</td>
<td>80 (69–101)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>83 (67–107)</td>
<td>90 (69–113)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>46 (40–54)</td>
<td>45 (41–53)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>113 (99–171)</td>
<td>104 (83–144)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>13.7 (11.8–15.6)</td>
<td>14 (11.8–15)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>35.4 (34.4–36.1)</td>
<td>35.8 (35.4–36.2)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (86.7)</td>
<td>19 (70.4)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>8 (29.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of OHCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asystole, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
<td>19 (70.4)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular fibrillation, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
<td>8 (29.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronarography, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>6 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revascularization, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
<td>4 (14.8)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catecholamine, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>8 (29.6)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical intensive unit, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
<td>25 (92.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac intensive unit, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
<td>2 (7.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCD measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Value 1 (Range)</td>
<td>Value 2 (Range)</td>
<td>TCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Systolic Flow Velocity (cm.s⁻¹)</td>
<td>67 (53.1 – 96.6)</td>
<td>71.5 (59.4 – 90.2)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Systolic Flow Velocity (cm.s⁻¹)</td>
<td>69.1 (58 – 83.2)</td>
<td>65 (56.7- 84.7)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Mean Flow Velocity (cm.s⁻¹)</td>
<td>42.2 (35.2 – 50)</td>
<td>43.7 (27.6 – 51.7)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Mean Flow Velocity (cm.s⁻¹)</td>
<td>43.8 (35.5 – 51.2)</td>
<td>38.8 (46.4)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Flow Velocity (cm.s⁻¹)</td>
<td>26 (35.5 – 51.2)</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsatility Index</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as number (%) or median (interquartile range). TCD = Transcranial Doppler Sonography, ROSC = Return of Spontaneous Circulation, EtCO2 = Expired carbon dioxide, SBP = Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP = Diastolic Blood Pressure, MBP = Mean Blood Pressure, HR = Heart Rate, PaCO2 = arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide, PaO2 = arterial partial pressure of oxygen, Hb = Haemoglobin, OHCA = Out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest.