Exploring the drivers of vascular plant richness at very fine spatial scale in sub-Mediterranean limestone grasslands (Central Apennines, Italy)

Goffredo Filibeck, Marta G. Sperandii, Manuele Bazzichetto, Leone D. Mancini, Francesco Rossini, Laura Cancellieri

► To cite this version:

Goffredo Filibeck, Marta G. Sperandii, Manuele Bazzichetto, Leone D. Mancini, Francesco Rossini, et al.. Exploring the drivers of vascular plant richness at very fine spatial scale in sub-Mediterranean limestone grasslands (Central Apennines, Italy). *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 2019, 28 (10), pp.2701-2725. 10.1007/s10531-019-01788-7 . hal-02181402

HAL Id: hal-02181402

<https://hal-univ-rennes1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02181402>

Submitted on 13 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Goffredo Filibeck^{1*}, Marta G. Sperandii², Manuele Bazzichetto³, Leone D. Mancini¹, Francesco Rossini¹,
Laura Cancellieri¹

**Exploring the drivers of vascular plant richness at very fine spatial scale in sub-Mediterranean limestone
grasslands (Central Apennines, Italy)**

¹ Department of Agricultural and Forest Sciences (DAFNE), University of Tuscia, I-01100 Viterbo, Italy

² Department of Sciences, University of Roma Tre, I-00146 Rome, Italy

³ UMR CNRS 6553 EcoBio, University of Rennes 1, 263 Avenue du Gal Leclerc, CS 74205, 35042 Rennes
CEDEX, France

*Corresponding author: filibeck@unitus.it, tel. +390761357215

Abstract

Secondary dry grasslands in Europe can host high levels of vascular plant richness at small spatial scales. However, in Southern Europe their biodiversity patterns are largely unexplored. In this work, we aim at: *i*) estimating plant species richness patterns at very fine scales in montane dry grasslands, on limestone bedrock, in Abruzzo Lazio & Molise National Park (Central Apennines, Italy); *ii*) assessing the most important physical and edaphic drivers of biodiversity patterns at multiple plot sizes. We used randomly placed nested-plot series where we measured alpha-diversity at three different plot sizes (1 m², 0.1 m² and 0.01 m²) and within-plot beta-diversity (as expressed by the slope of the species-area curve across plot sizes). Variable selection was performed by means of Random Forests. Relationships between selected variables and diversity measures were then assessed using Regression Trees, Linear and Generalized Linear Models. Overall, results pointed to topographically-controlled edaphic factors (soil pH and silt fraction) as the main drivers positively influencing alpha-diversity at all spatial scales, with a positive effect of rock cover and slope inclination at smaller spatial grains. Beta-diversity was positively influenced by rock cover. We suggest that high-pH, steep and/or rocky sites feature higher species richness because they lack

competitive grass species. Our results are in agreement with previous works underlining the importance of less productive habitats for the conservation of secondary grassland biodiversity.

Keywords

Dry grasslands, Diversity metrics, Multi-scale approach, Soil properties, Species–area relationship, Topographical gradients

Introduction

Fine-scale species richness (species density or alpha-diversity) of vascular plants, i.e. the number of species occurring in fixed-area plots of small size (usually $\leq 100 \text{ m}^2$), and the quest for its environmental determinants have been the subject of important studies and considerable discussion by plant ecologists (reviewed e.g. by Grace 1999). A considerable number of such works focused on European secondary grasslands (e.g. Grime 1973; Al-Mufti et al. 1977; van der Maarel and Sykes, 1993; Pärtel and Zobel 1999; Bennie et al. 2006; Löbel et al. 2006; Turtureanu et al. 2014; Reitalu et al. 2014; Palpurina et al. 2015; Güler et al. 2016). These are semi-natural habitats originated and maintained by disturbance such as livestock grazing, in areas that would be otherwise covered by forest vegetation; however, they are not man-made ecosystems, as livestock disturbance replaces the grazing and browsing by wild prehistoric megaherbivores and by extant ungulates (Pärtel et al. 2005; Feurdean et al. 2018). European secondary grasslands, and especially “dry” grasslands (i.e. those growing on free-draining substrates such as limestone), are of outstanding ecological value since they are among the most diverse plant communities in the world at plot sizes $<100 \text{ m}^2$ (Pärtel et al. 2005; Wilson et al. 2012; Chytrý et al. 2015; Roleček et al. 2014). Moreover, they are an essential part of the cultural landscape of the continent, often resulting from millennia of low-intensity land-use (Poschlod and Wallis DeVries, 2002; Dengler et al., 2014; Feurdean et al. 2018); they host a high proportion of Europe’s endemic plants (Habel et al. 2013); and act as a network of “interglacial refugia” for Pleistocene (ice-age) steppic species (Hájková et al. 2011; Kajtoch et al. 2016; Cancellieri et al. 2017b). European secondary grasslands are currently threatened by grazing abandonment, conversion into cropland, afforestation and transformation into “improved” grasslands (Dolek and Geyer 2002; Habel et al.

2013; Dengler et al. 2014; Burrascano et al. 2016; Feurdean et al. 2018). For these reasons, some types of secondary grasslands are the subject of protection schemes at national (e.g. Kleijn and Sutherland 2003; Knop et al. 2006) and EU level (European Union, 1992).

In this framework, understanding the relationships between variability in species richness and environmental gradients is essential in order to develop management strategies in semi-natural habitats and limit biodiversity losses (Pärtel et al. 2005; Palpurina et al. 2015). However, such relationships are still not well known (Habel et al. 2013; Dengler et al. 2014; Palpurina et al. 2015), and different studies often found different strength and sign of a given environmental factor. In some instances, this can be due to non-linear relationships, as demonstrated by Chytrý et al. (2007) and Palpurina et al. (2017) for pH and by Fraser et al. (2015) for productivity. At the same time, scale-related issues may frequently explain the wide range of richness-environment relationships reported in the literature for semi-natural grasslands (Auestad et al. 2008): the effect and importance of any environmental factor can be different between very fine scales (e.g. $\leq 1 \text{ m}^2$) and larger plot sizes (e.g. 100 m^2), or between alpha- and beta-diversity (de Bello et al. 2007; Auestad et al. 2008; Polyakova et al. 2016). Indeed, biodiversity has many different facets that carry complementary information, so it is important to take into account different diversity metrics, or several spatial scales of the same measurement (e.g. Chiarucci et al. 2011; Pavoine and Bonsall 2011; Dengler et al. 2014). Moreover, from many geographic regions even descriptive information on grassland biodiversity patterns, such as the mean species richness or the most frequent taxa, are still lacking - especially for very fine spatial scales such as 1 m^2 or smaller (Dengler 2009). This is even more so in Southern European landscapes, as they are less studied than their Central European counterparts (Apostolova et al. 2014).

Although richness patterns at very fine spatial scales ($\leq 1 \text{ m}^2$) have to be collected and interpreted with caution because of many potential pitfalls (Gotelli and Colwell 2001; Palmer et al. 2008), these are the scales at which – in herbaceous vegetation – plant individuals interact with each other and build up ecosystem functioning and services (Grime 1998; Wellstein et al. 2014; Borer et al. 2014). In addition, using plots $\leq 1 \text{ m}^2$ ensures that the sampling units are small in relation to the spatial scale of variation in topography and soil heterogeneity (Grime 1973).

80 In the Italian Peninsula, extensive surveys of dry grassland biodiversity patterns and their edaphic drivers at
81 spatial grains $\leq 1 \text{ m}^2$ are lacking: the only paper we are aware of, that analyzed richness patterns and
82 edaphic variables at fine scales (Maccherini 2006), was focused on a very small study area (cf. also
83 Wellstein et al. 2014; Chelli et al. 2017). Nevertheless, the large areas of secondary grasslands in the
84 Apennines chain (the mountain range forming the backbone of the Italian peninsula) are extremely
85 interesting, because of considerable climatic and edaphic gradients (Primi et al. 2016), biogeographical
86 position (e.g. Rosati et al. 2010; Blasi et al. 2012), conservation values (e.g. Manzi 2012; Primi et al. 2016;
87 Cancellieri et al. 2017b) and a long history of sheep grazing and transhumance (Barker et al. 1991; Brown et
88 al. 2013).

89 The present paper thus aims at analysing fine-scale diversity patterns and drivers from a network of
90 secondary dry grasslands within the Central Apennine Mountains. We focused on the karst plateaux of the
91 upper-montane bioclimatic belt: these habitats are characterized by a high topographical heterogeneity at
92 micro- and meso-scale, thus featuring steep gradients of the potential controlling variables for species
93 richness. We used randomized nested plots of three different sizes ($0.01\text{-}0.1\text{-}1 \text{ m}^2$) to answer the following
94 questions: *i*) what are the levels and patterns of very fine-scale species richness at multiple spatial grains
95 (alpha-diversity)? *ii*) what are the patterns of spatial turnover of species across the considered spatial grains
96 (within-plot beta-diversity)? *iii*) what are the main environmental drivers of these diversity metrics, and do
97 they vary between spatial scales?

98

99 **Methods**

100 **Study area**

101 Data were gathered within the “Abruzzo Lazio e Molise” National Park, in the Central Apennine mountains,
102 Italy ($41^{\circ}48'N$, $13^{\circ}50'E$). This protected area was designated in 1922 because it was the last refuge for both
103 the Marsican brown bear (*Ursus arctos* ssp. *marsicanus*) and the Apennine chamois (*Rupicapra pyrenaica*
104 ssp. *ornata*); at the same time, this area was until the 1950's one of the most important centers in Italy for
105 transhumant shepherding (Sievert 1999). The Park's flora comprises >2,000 species, including >30 taxa
106 endemic to the Central Apennine mountains (Conti and Bartolucci 2015); most of the Park's grasslands

correspond to ecosystem types protected under the EU Habitats Directive (Primi et al. 2016). Five patches of secondary dry grassland were chosen as study sites, for a total area of c. 500 ha (**Fig. 1**; additional information are given in **Online Resource 1**). Their elevation ranges between c. 1350-1750 m a.s.l. and they thus belong to the upper-montane phytoclimatic belt of the Apennines (Gerdol et al. 2008). All the studied grassland patches are very similar: they lie on Mesozoic limestone bedrock (Bigi et al. 1986) and are located in large bowl-shaped depressions, hosting in turn a system of different smaller landforms (see photos in **Online Resource 1**) originated by complex interactions between karst, tectonic and glacial processes (Bigi et al., 1986; Galadini and Messina 1990; Cinque et al. 1990). Such micro- and meso-scale landforms include shallow dolines, sinkholes, hillocks, rocky outcrops, karren, etc. Because of karst hydrology, no surface water bodies occur. The grassland patches are surrounded by beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) forest, which is considered the potential vegetation of the area (Filibeck et al. 2015). Within the considered elevation belt, dominant species in the Park's grasslands include *Avenula praetutiana*, *Bromus erectus*, *Festuca circummediterranea*, *Koeleria lobata* and *Phleum ambiguum* on shallow to medium-depth soils; while on deep soils (e.g. in karst hollows) *Agrostis capillaris*, *Festuca microphylla* and *Nardus stricta* often prevail (Bruno and Bazzichelli, 1966). No phytosociological studies targeted the study sites; however, relatively similar habitats in neighbouring areas were described by Lucchese et al. (1995), Blasi et al. (1998) and Di Pietro et al. (2005).

The local climate can be defined as sub-Mediterranean (Filibeck et al., 2015): it features high annual precipitation (c. 1,200-1,500 mm/yr), with a rainfall maximum in autumn and a very sharp drop in summer. This precipitation regime leads to significant drought stress, especially for grassland plants, during July and August (Catorci et al. 2012; Primi et al. 2016). Average annual temperature is between 6-8 °C; winters are characterized by marked frost and abundant snowfall (see Filibeck et al. 2015, for climate diagrams and details).

In the study area, secondary grasslands are maintained by grazing. Transhumant sheep grazing was widely practiced until the 1950's, when ovine farming started to decrease for socio-economic reasons; sheep stocking rate is nowadays drastically lower than in the early twentieth century (Manzi 2012). The decrease of sheep husbandry has resulted in a steep increase in cattle and horse farming; such animals are usually

raised for meat production only and left free-ranging night and day in the wild (Primi et al. 2016). Because of summer drought, mowing has never been a large-scale management system in the region (Manzi 1990), and is currently not practiced at any of our study sites.

Data collection

Data were collected in early summer in 2013 and 2014. Sampling design was based on a grid of 300 x 300 m cells, overlaid on the National Park area, with one random point selected within each cell (tessellation stratified sampling: see e.g. Barabesi et al. 2012; Chiarucci et al. 2012). The five grassland clearings to be investigated were chosen *a priori*, following field reconnaissance and inspection of detailed geological maps that showed they had comparable features. All the random points falling within the five selected grassland patches were retained, resulting in a total of 50 points (**Fig. 1**). At each GPS-located point (hereafter “sampling point”), vascular plants were surveyed using a nested-plot series based on the design proposed by Dengler (2009), as modified by Cancellieri et al. (2017a): one 1 m² squared plot was centred on the random point, and oriented N-S; two 0.1 m² subplots were placed at the NE and SW corners of the 1 m² plot, and two 0.01 m² subplots were placed within each of the 0.1 m² plots (i.e., there are four 0.01 m² subplots at each sampling point) (**Fig. 2** and **Online Resource 1**). The rationale for sampling a higher number of subplots of smaller areas within each nested-plot series is twofold (Dengler 2009): i) it allows to better account for the micro-environmental heterogeneity contained within the largest plot; ii) it allows the use of mean species richness values within each nested series, thus liberating the resulting species-area curve from stochasticity. Moreover, while species counts often do not follow a normal distribution, averaging alpha-diversity values among sub-plots leads to normally distributed data (e.g. Quinn and Keough 2002).

Each nested-plot series was precisely delimited in the field by means of a plastic frame, and was surveyed by at least two people to reduce inter-observer variability (e.g. Morrison 2015). For each plot and subplot, we listed all vascular plant species (presence/absence data only), following the “shoot presence” criterion: that is, a species is recorded as present if the vertical projection of any above-ground part of an individual falls within the plot (Cancellieri et al. 2017a). Plant specimens that could not be identified in the field were

collected and determined in the laboratory. Identification was mostly based on Pignatti (1982); plant names were subsequently standardized following Conti et al. (2005). Given the still poorly-known taxonomy and distribution of the genus *Festuca* in Italy, and considering that this taxon is one of the main builders of the local plant communities, all *Festuca* “species” within each nested-plot series were collected for identification in the laboratory through leaf section, following Foggi and Rossi (1996) and Foggi et al. (1999).

For each nested-plot series, the following environmental variables were measured at 1-m² spatial scale (**Tab. 1**). *Elevation* was measured with a GPS receiver. *Slope inclination* was measured by a clinometer. Slope aspect was measured with a compass and used to calculate *heat-load index* (based on latitude, aspect and inclination) following eq. 2 in McCune and Keon (2002). *Soil depth* was measured at 5 random points with a 65-cm long iron rod (soils deeper than rod length were arbitrarily given a value of 65 cm); the median of the 5 measurements was used in the analyses. Percentage of *stone and rock cover* was visually estimated. *Land-form position* was visually assessed as a categorical variable as follows: “hollow” (indicating the flat or gently sloping position in concave morphologies such as the bottom of dolines, other karst depressions or gullies), “hillside” (for the sloping sides of both meso- and macro-scale landforms), and “hump” (for convex landforms such as hillocks, ridges or rocky outcrops). Soil samples were collected with a hand auger (Eijkelkamp, NL) from the upper 10-cm layer as a mixed sample from 3 randomly placed points within each 1 m² plot, and subsequently air-dried and crushed to pass a 2-mm sieve. *Soil pH* was measured in water (soil-solution suspension of 1:2.5). Soil texture (*clay*, *silt* and *sand* fraction) was determined through the standard sedimentation techniques (Gee and Bauder 1986) adopting the ISSS dimensional limits, without pre-treatment with H₂O₂. *Soil organic matter* was determined using Walkley-Black method. The plot data are available in GrassPlot, the Database of Scale-Dependent Phytodiversity Patterns in Palaeartic Grasslands (GIVD ID EU-00-003) (Dengler et al. 2018).

Data analysis

For each nested-plot series, the following diversity metrics were calculated from the collected data, to be used as response variables in the statistical analysis: species richness of the whole 1 m² plot (hereafter, “1

188 m^2 alpha-diversity"); mean species richness of the two 0.1 m² subplots (hereafter, "0.1 m² alpha-diversity");
189 mean species richness of the four 0.01m² subplots (hereafter, "0.01m² alpha-diversity"); and "z-value", i.e.
190 the slope of the species-area relationship calculated from the three spatial scales at each nested-plot
191 series.

192 We assumed for the species-area curve the Arrhenius (1921) power function:

193
$$S = c A^z$$

194 and we calculated the z-values with linear regression in double-log space (e.g. Chiarucci et al. 2006), i.e.
195 using the equation:

196
$$\log S = \log c + z \log A$$

197 (where S = number of species, A = plot size; c and z are the estimated parameters, i.e. the intercept at A=1
198 and the slope of the regression line, respectively).

199 Fitting of the species-area function was performed for each nested-plot series, using for the 0.1 m² size the
200 mean richness values of the two subplots, and for the 0.01 m² size the mean richness values of the four
201 subplots. The z-values of nested-plot series are useful for comparing the degree of spatial species turnover
202 between different ecological situations (e.g. Chiarucci et al. 2006; de Bello et al. 2007; Dengler 2008;
203 Turtureanu et al. 2014; Polyakova et al. 2016). They are a measure of beta-diversity (i.e. of the variation in
204 the identities of species between plots) at fine spatial scale, as the shape of the species area-curve reflects
205 the level of spatial homogeneity of species distribution and community composition (Storch 2016).

206 Collinearity between the predictor variables, as well as the strength of the univariate relationships between
207 each predictor variable and the diversity metrics, was preliminarily checked using pairwise Spearman
208 correlations. We then excluded the percentage of sand from further analyses because by definition the
209 three soil texture fractions sum up to 100 and, of the three texture components, sand exhibited the highest
210 degree of correlation with other predictor variables. Since the study area had been deliberately defined
211 within a specific elevation belt, and the range of altitudinal variation among plots was thus small, elevation
212 was not included in the analyses. One sampling point presented outlying values from soil analysis, leading
213 to suspect an error occurred in laboratory processing, and was excluded from statistical analysis on
214 diversity-environment relationships, that are thus based on $n=49$.

215 We performed our main statistical analysis through Recursive Partitioning approaches (“Regression Trees”
216 and “Random Forests”: Strobl et al. 2009a). Recursive Partitioning methods have many desirable properties
217 that make them attractive for the ecologist (De'ath and Fabricius 2000): they can successfully handle very
218 high number of predictors, are robust to multicollinearity, independent from any assumptions on the
219 distribution of errors and can successfully handle and reveal complex interactions and non-linear
220 relationships (Sandri and Zuccolotto 2006; Hapfelmeier and Ulm 2013).

221 As a first step, in order to identify the most relevant explanatory variables we used Random Forests (i.e. an
222 ensemble method combining several Classification or Regression Trees), which several studies highlighted
223 as an effective variable selection approach (Sandri and Zuccolotto 2006; Hapfelmeier and Ulm 2013; Svitok
224 et al. 2016). Random Forests were run using R package “party” (function “cforest”: Hothorn et al., 2006b;
225 Strobl et al. 2007; Strobl et al. 2008), as it implements conditional inference trees which are designed to
226 reduce the bias that such tools otherwise have towards highly correlated variables (Strobl et al. 2008,
227 2009b). The number of “trees” in the “forests” was set to 1000, while the number of randomly preselected
228 predictor variables for each split was set to 3, following Strobl et al. (2009a). As Random Forests are, by
229 definition, “random”, repeating the process (i.e. re-running the algorithm) is recommended in order to
230 ensure the robustness and stability of the model (Strobl et al. 2009a; Shih 2011). For this reason, for each of
231 the four response variables a Random Forest was run 10 times. For each Random Forest, a conditional
232 measure of variable importance was computed for all predictors using function “varimp”. We defined as
233 “important” and retained for further analysis only those environmental variables which, in at least six out
234 of the 10 Random Forest tries, featured positive values exceeding the absolute value of the most negative
235 score (cf. Strobl et al. 2009a).

236 As a second step of analysis, individual Regression Trees were built for each response variable, including as
237 predictors those environmental variables identified by the Random Forests as important for each
238 biodiversity measure. Regression Trees have recently proven to be very effective in studying plant diversity
239 drivers (e.g. Chytrý et al. 2007; Palpurina et al. 2015; Svitok et al. 2016). We used them to identify the
240 environmental characteristics most strongly associated with each response variable, assess the sign of the
241 relationship, detect interactions among predictors and estimate the environmental thresholds for species

richness (De'ath and Fabricius 2000; Strobl et al. 2009a). We performed Regression Tree analysis with package “party” in R (function “ctree”, Hothorn et al. 2006a), implementing “Conditional Inference” Trees: these were preferred to the more classical approach, based on the “CART” algorithm, as the latter may be biased towards continuous predictors measured over large scales or categorical predictors with many categories (Hothorn et al. 2006a; Strobl et al. 2009a). Besides, “Conditional Inference” Trees, implementing a statistically robust stopping criterion, do not suffer from overfitting (Strobl et al. 2009a). The algorithm tests the global null hypothesis of independence between any of the input variables and the response; it stops if this hypothesis cannot be rejected, otherwise selects the input variable with strongest association to the response. This association is measured by a p-value corresponding to a test for the partial null hypothesis of a single input variable and the response. The stop criterion is by default based on multiplicity adjusted p-values (Bonferroni correction), but univariate p-values can be alternatively used. This approach ensures that the right sized “tree” is grown and no “pruning” or cross-validation is needed (Hothorn et al. 2006a).

Additionally, we assessed the strength of the relationship between the response variables and the selected predictors through Generalized Linear Models (GLM) and multiple Linear Models. Whenever two predictors (among those selected through the Random Forest output) were highly correlated with each other (i.e. had a Spearman correlation coefficient > 0.6), we retained only the most biologically informative one. Since the explanatory variables are measured in different units, standardized regression coefficients (obtained by subtracting, from each observation, the variable mean and dividing by the standard deviation: e.g. Quinn and Keough 2002) were computed to allow comparison of the effect sizes on the response variable ; for Linear Models we standardized both explanatory and response variables, while for Generalized Linear Models we only transformed predictors. For 1 m² alpha-diversity, a Poisson Generalized Linear Model was fitted, setting “log” as link function. Overdispersion was tested using R package “AER” (function “dispersiontest”: Kleiber and Zeileis 2008). We used an adjusted Pseudo-R² (Guisan and Zimmermann 2000) to quantify the improvement in the deviance explained by the model including the selected predictors over the intercept-only model (R package “modEvA”, function “Dsquared”: Barbosa et al. 2016). For 0.1 m² and 0.01 m² alpha-diversity, as they were obtained averaging the species counts among subplots of the same

size within each nested series, we assumed a Gaussian distribution of errors: thus multiple Linear Models were fitted and adjusted- R^2 was used to quantify explained variability. Z-values were log-transformed before model fitting in order to meet linear-model assumptions.

Finally, to help ecological interpretation of the richness patterns and elucidate their relationship with floristic composition, a PCA (based on a correlation matrix) of the plot $\times$ species matrix was run, adding the 1 m² alpha-diversity as a covariate, in order to underline which taxa were associated with different levels of species richness.

Note that in the results section we shall use p-values as a continuous measure of fit of the null hypothesis to the data, instead of using them for a “significant/non-significant” dichotomy (Wassertein and Lazar 2016; Greenland et al. 2016).

Results

Overall diversity

Across all 50 sampling points, a total of 198 vascular plant species were recorded; 64 species (32% of the total recorded flora) were found at only one nested series (singletons). Mean species richness per plot was 10.3, 18.5 and 28.0 for 0.01 m², 0.1 m² and 1 m², respectively (**Tab.2**). The mean slope (z) of the species-area relationship was 0.238 (**Tab.2**); i.e., richness increased by c. 1.7 times, on average, with a ten-fold increase in plot area. The most frequent species (in terms of proportion of sampling plots where the taxon was recorded) are listed in **Tab. 3**. The full 1m²-plot $\times$ species matrix (including the frequency values of all species) is provided as **Online Resource 3**.

Diversity-environment relationships

Descriptive statistics of the environmental variables are shown in **Tab.1**. Pairwise Spearman’s correlation matrix (**Tab.4**) highlighted that many predictor variables were correlated with each other: most notably, soil pH was positively correlated with stone and rock cover ($r_s=0.64$) and with slope inclination ($r_s=0.48$), and negatively correlated with soil depth ($r_s= -0.61$); stone and rock cover was positively related to slope inclination ($r_s=0.54$). As for the relationships between predictor and dependent variables, species richness

296 at 1 m² spatial scale (1 m² alpha-diversity) was positively correlated with silt % ($r_s=0.38$), soil pH ($r_s=0.37$)
 297 and stone and rock cover ($r_s=0.30$). However, all these relationships became weaker moving to 0.1 and 0.01
 298 m² spatial scales. The z-values were positively correlated with stone and rock cover ($r_s=0.58$); in addition,
 299 they showed a positive correlation with slope inclination ($r_s=0.37$) and a negative relationship with soil
 300 depth ($r_s=-0.48$).

301 In **Tab. 5** it is reported, for each of the four response variables, how many times, out of the 10 Random
 302 Forest tries, each predictor variable turned out to be significant. Both soil pH and silt % were found to be
 303 significant predictors across all 10 runs for all three alpha-diversity spatial scales. Stone and rock cover and
 304 slope inclination were stable outcomes for 1 m² and 0.1 m²; soil depth and land-form position were
 305 constantly significant only at 1 m² spatial scale. As for z-values, soil pH was never a significant predictor,
 306 while land form, stone and rock cover, inclination and soil depth were significant in all runs. Heat-load
 307 index was never significant for any of the dependent variables.

308 The Regression Tree for 1 m² alpha-diversity identified a significant threshold value for pH at 6.4, i.e. the
 309 plots with soil pH smaller than this value hosted, on average, significantly lower richness. The model further
 310 partitioned the group of high-pH sites based on soil texture, showing that the maximum species richness is
 311 attained at sites featuring simultaneously high pH values and high silt % (**fig. 3**). However, as shown above,
 312 within the study area soil pH is positively correlated with slope inclination and stone and rock cover, and
 313 negatively correlated with soil depth; thus, high pH values can also indicate steep slopes or rocky humps
 314 with shallow soil.

315 For 0.1 m² and 0.01 m² alpha-diversity, Regression Tree analysis performed with “ctree” default rules didn’t
 316 yield any statistically significant partition. If univariate p-values were used (see Methods) instead of the
 317 stop criterion based on Bonferroni correction (cf. Nakagawa 2004), then the resulting tree for the 0.1-m²
 318 sub-plots highlighted the role of slope inclination and soil pH (and their interaction). Steeper slopes
 319 (indicating also stony soils, as explained above) showed greater species richness at 0.1 m² spatial scale. The
 320 lowest richness was found when a low slope inclination was combined with a pH value lower than 6.2,
 321 indicating flat bottoms of concave landforms with deep, sub-acid soils (**fig. 4**). As for the 0.01 m² alpha-
 322 diversity, no significant partitions were detected even after the Bonferroni correction was removed.

323 In the Regression Tree for z-values, stone and rock cover was identified as a strongly significant predictor,
 324 with two subsequent partitions based on the same variable (**fig. 5**), thus underlining a regular increase of
 325 the slope of the species-area curve with increasing soil rockiness, and showing that low z-values were
 326 consistently found when stone and rock cover was less than 3%.

327 For the 1 m² alpha-diversity GLM, the predictor variables selected on the basis of Random Forest output
 328 were soil pH, land-form, silt %, slope inclination, soil depth and stone and rock cover. However, as both soil
 329 depth and stone and rock cover were highly correlated with soil pH (Spearman's $r_s > 0.6$), they were not
 330 included in the model. The inclusion of pH as quadratic term (based on a preliminary graphical exploration,
 331 that showed a slightly hump-shaped trend) did not improve significantly the fit of the models as checked
 332 via likelihood ratio test for the GLM and ANOVA for the Linear Models; therefore we retained only the first-
 333 order term for pH in the final models. GLM results (**Tab. 6**) showed that 1 m² alpha-diversity was positively
 334 associated with silt % (standardized coefficient=0.13, $p < 0.001$), and was on average higher in "hump" land-
 335 forms than in hollows and hillsides. Slope inclination and pH were positively, but very weakly, associated
 336 with 1 m² alpha-diversity (stand. coeff.=0.05, $p = 0.1$, for both predictors); however, as soil pH was markedly
 337 correlated with two omitted variables, its parameter estimate may be biased. The adjusted Pseudo-R² of
 338 the model was 0.53 (i.e. the predictors explained 53% of the deviance in 1 m² alpha-diversity).

339 As for 0.1 m² alpha-diversity, the predictor variables selected for fitting the Linear Model were: soil pH,
 340 slope inclination, silt %, clay % and stone and rock cover. As stone and rock cover was highly correlated
 341 with soil pH ($r_s > 0.6$), it was removed from the model. Silt fraction showed a positive relationship with 0.1
 342 m² alpha-diversity (stand. coeff.=0.47, $p < 0.001$); a smaller positive effect, with a high error, was showed by
 343 slope inclination (stand. coeff.=0.23, $p = 0.1$) and soil pH (stand. coeff.=0.22, $p = 0.1$); for this latter, the same
 344 caveats hold as in the 1m² model (**Tab. 6**). The model explained 31% of variability.

345 For 0.01 m² alpha-diversity, variable selection based on Random Forests led to include only silt % and soil
 346 pH. Species richness at this spatial scale showed a positive relationship with silt % (stand. coeff.=0.37;
 347 $p < 0.01$); a smaller positive effect was showed also by soil pH, but with a high standard error and a large p-
 348 value (stand. coeff.=0.18; $p = 0.2$) (**Tab. 6**). The model explained only 13% of variability.

349 Finally, z-values were modelled as a function of land-form position, stone and rock cover, slope inclination,
350 and soil depth. Model results (**Tab. 6**) showed a strong positive effect (stand. coeff.=0.63, $p<0.001$) of stone
351 and rock cover. The model explained 47% of variability.

352 In the PCA of the 1-m² plots × species matrix, species richness was correlated with PC2 (loading = -0.57).
353 The correlation coefficients of the species on this factor, allowing to highlight the taxa that were
354 consistently associated with either the richest or the poorest plots, are listed in **Online Resource 2**.

355

356 **Discussion**

357 ***Overall diversity***

358 Especially at the finest spatial scales, mean and maximum species richness per plot ranked quite high within
359 the context of Palaearctic grasslands, supporting earlier hypothesis that S-European grasslands can host
360 high levels of alpha-diversity (Apostolova et al. 2014): for instance, our maximum of 20 vascular plant
361 species in 0.01 m² quadrats is the second highest among all the Eurasian datasets reported by Dengler et al.
362 (2016) (cf. also Chytrý et al. 2015). At 1 m² scale, the maximum richness value (41 species) was not
363 exceptionally high (cf. Merunková et al. 2012; Wilson et al. 2012), although still within the “upper range” at
364 European scale (Chytrý et al. 2015; Dengler et al. 2016). However, our data stem from a randomized
365 sampling design, while most datasets that contain or approach the European “richness records” originate
366 from plots that were placed subjectively with the aim to record the most species-rich sites (e.g. Merunková
367 et al. 2012). Beta-diversity as expressed by z-values was within the range typically reported for dry
368 grasslands in Europe, and our mean z-value (0.24) was very close to what found in many previous studies
369 (see e.g. Baumann et al. 2016, and references therein).

370 The probabilistic sampling used in this study allowed to quantitatively define (Chiarucci 2007) the most
371 common species within the landscapes studied (**Tab. 3**). Among grasses, the most frequent taxon was
372 *Festuca circummediterranea*, followed by *Poa alpina* and *Koeleria lobata*, pointing to an interesting co-
373 dominance of Mediterranean and Boreal geographic elements. Similarly, among forbs, the Apennine
374 endemic *Viola eugeniae* turned out to be present in 2/3 of the samples, but the most common species was
375 *Hieracium pilosella* (found in 80% of the quadrats), a typical example of the European geographic element.

376

377 ***Diversity-environment relationship: alpha-diversity***

378 Soil pH and silt fraction were positively correlated with species richness at all spatial scales. Slope
379 inclination, stone and rock cover and “hump” land-form were positively influencing species richness as well,
380 but only at 1 m² and/or 0.1 m² spatial grains, and with weaker effect sizes.

381 There is a huge body of works on the positive (or unimodal) relationship between soil pH and plot-scale
382 diversity in Eurasian grasslands (see references in: Chytrý et al. 2007; Palpurina et al. 2017), although in
383 most studies the quadrat size was larger (10-100 m²) than in the present work (but see Grime 1973). This
384 relationship has been explained assuming that during the glacial phases the acidophilous pool of species
385 underwent a much higher extinction rate than the acidifuge contingent because of the unbalanced
386 distribution of soil types across the ice-age biomes), thus leading to a smaller pool of acidophilous than
387 calcicolous species in the present-day regional floras (Grime 1973; Grace 1999; Ewald 2003; Tyler 2003;
388 Chytrý et al. 2003).

389 However, since many of our environmental variables showed correlated gradients in the field (cf. Bennie et
390 al. 2006; Baumann et al. 2016), it is difficult to separate the role of pH per se from that of other
391 environmental variables. Sites with steep and rocky or shallow soils have necessarily high pH values
392 because of the surfacing limestone material (e.g. Palpurina et al. 2017); on the other hand, because of the
393 widespread calcareous bedrocks, in our study area low pH values are usually confined to concave land-
394 forms (hollows, dolines, bases of slopes), in turn typically associated with deep soils, low rock cover and low
395 slope inclination. Such land-forms can host acid soils, in spite of the base-rich bedrock, mainly because they
396 accumulate clayey and decarbonated soil through colluvial deposition and karstic processes (e.g. Calandra
397 1999); in addition, they may trap huge amounts of wind-blown siliceous materials such as Saharan quartz
398 silt and volcanic ashes (Giraudi 1995; Sauro 2004), and/or host a thick snow cover, favoring podzolization
399 (Hiller et al. 2005). At the same time, dolines and hollows will receive more water and nutrients than
400 convex land-forms or steep slopes (Araya et al. 2011; Batori et al. 2017), host soil types with higher clay
401 fraction and higher available water content (Di Pietro et al. 2005), and undergo lower levels of natural
402 disturbance at micro-scale via summer drought, water run-off and erosion (Bennie et al. 2006).

403 A large number of studies found that grassland species richness in Europe and N-America was reduced on
404 soils with high moisture and nutrients, while attained maximum values at sites with relatively dry, nutrient-
405 poor and shallow soils (reviews by Grace 1999 and by Keddy 2005; see also Bennie et al. 2006; Bonanomi et
406 al. 2006; Maccherini 2006; Moeslund et al. 2013b; Baumann et al. 2016; Filibeck et al. 2016; Kleinebecker et
407 al. 2018; Palpurina et al. 2019). Even in a different floristic kingdom, Cantero et al. (2003) found that in
408 mountain grasslands in Argentina, species richness of 1-m² quadrats had a negative relationship with soil
409 depth and available water, and a positive correlation with stone cover. This is consistent with the classical
410 model of humped-back relationship between productivity and richness, usually explained assuming that in
411 very productive sites a few strong competitors will create closed canopies and accumulate litter, reducing
412 the amount of light reaching soil surface and outcompeting stress-tolerant species that have lower growth
413 rates (e.g. Al-Mufti et al. 1977; Janssens et al. 1998; Grytnes 2000; Stevens and Carson 2002; Pärtel et al.
414 2005; Kleinebecker et al. 2018; but see Šímová et al. 2013 for a review and empirical testing of some
415 alternative or concurrent explanations).

416 Thus, we surmise that, in our study area, the positive effect by soil pH on richness may partly be explained
417 with the different levels of stress controlled by the same topographical factors that influence soil pH
418 (Moeslund et al. 2013a): dry and steep land-forms may promote a higher species density because they
419 favor the coexistence of many small-sized species that colonize “gaps” and are not able to grow in more
420 productive sites dominated by large, mat-forming and litter-accumulating species (Grubb 1976; Bennie et
421 al. 2006; Wellstein et al. 2013, 2014).

422 This hypothesis is supported by the PCA of the plot × species matrix (**Online Resource 2**), revealing that the
423 poorer plots were characterized by perennial grasses known for their typical “competitive” characteristics
424 (Grime 1973) such as *Agrostis capillaris* and *Festuca microphylla*. The former is a patch-forming species,
425 capable of establishing a dense leaf canopy and assuming dominance due to its ability for rapid lateral
426 spread (Grime et al. 2007); the latter belongs to the group of fescues with extra-vaginal branching strategy
427 (Pignatti 1982; for a definition of this trait see e.g. Stace et al. 1992), a character leading to the
428 development of expanded tussocks and mats. Notably, both *A. capillaris* and *F. microphylla* are also well
429 known for being bound to acidic to sub-acid soils throughout their geographic range (e.g. Pignatti 1982;

430 Grime et al. 2007) and, within the pedo-climatic context of the Apennines, to fine-earth deep soils (e.g.
 431 Bruno and Bazzichelli 1966; Pignatti 1982; Blasi et al. 1998). Thus, in our study area, the observed effect of
 432 pH on species richness might actually be caused by vegetation structure patterns led by these two grasses,
 433 unable to establish at high-pH and dry sites. Consistently, among the species significantly associated (in the
 434 PCA) with the poorer plots, there were some herbs characterized by creeping growth-forms (*Potentilla*
 435 *rigoana*, *Veronica serpyllifolia*), a strategy that allows to exploit the shaded micro-habitat under the grass
 436 canopy or to colonize the surface of the thick litter (Grime 1998). On the other hand, the perennial grass
 437 most significantly associated in the PCA with the high-richness plots was *Festuca circummediterranea*, a
 438 fescue that can only develop into isolated tufts with a reduced lateral spread, because of intra-vaginal
 439 shoot system (e.g. Pignatti 1982). Indeed, the other species correlated with the richer plots included either
 440 opportunistic annuals (e.g. *Arenaria serpyllifolia*, *Cynosurus echinatus*, *Geranium molle*, *Medicago lupulina*,
 441 *Trifolium scabrum*) that may fill the “gaps” between the perennial grasses, or typically stress- resistant,
 442 slow-growing plants (e.g. the CAM species *Sedum acre*).
 443 Somewhat similar conclusions were drawn by a study on plant traits and assembly rules in another area of
 444 the Central Apennines (Wellstein et al. 2013, 2014), showing that *Sesleria nitida*, a grass capable of forming
 445 high-density carpets, was the main factor suppressing species coexistence at extremely fine spatial scale
 446 (0.0025 m^2) – but only on N-facing slopes, because on the dryer S-facing slopes it built single, isolated
 447 tussocks leaving large spaces available to other species. However, since in the present study we didn’t
 448 collect data on species cover or traits, further work is necessary to confirm our hypotheses.
 449 Finally, an interesting problem concerns silt fraction: this predictor showed a positive effect on species
 450 richness, even at the 0.01 m^2 grain, and it was the only significant environmental variable to be
 451 uncorrelated with the pH gradient. The strong role of this predictor was quite unexpected and is not easy to
 452 explain. Only a small number of studies on grassland diversity analyzed soil texture, and even these often
 453 failed to find a correlation with species richness (e.g. Turtureanu et al. 2014). The results by Stohlgreen et
 454 al. (1999) and Cousins and Eriksson (2002), showing a positive effect of silty soils on species richness, can’t
 455 be compared with our observations because of their plot sizes being much larger and their pedo-climatic
 456 context very different. Moreover, we acknowledge that the soil texture data used in our study were

457 obtained without the prior destruction of soil organic matter (SOM) with H₂O₂, leading to possible
458 overestimation of silt and underestimation of clay fraction because of silt-sized micro-aggregates made up
459 of SOM-clay complexes (Jensen et al. 2017). However, silty soils are generally better drained than clayey
460 soils, and extremely silt-rich soils may be scarcely fertile as they do not show any structural arrangement
461 because of lack of the binding properties provided by clay (e.g. Thompson 1952). In a phytosociological
462 study performed in a neighboring area, silt fraction was found to be higher in the xerophytic, sparse
463 grasslands of steep slopes, than in the mesic, dense communities of karst hollows (Di Pietro et al. 2005).
464 Thus, the effect of silt might point once again to a negative correlation – within the study region – between
465 species richness and biomass or competition, in turn controlled by topographic-hydrological gradients.

466

467 ***Diversity-environment relationship: spatial scales***

468 We found that the relative importance of some predictors varied with plot size. This was already shown by
469 previous studies dealing with multiple-scale sampling in grasslands (e.g. Auestad et al. 2008; Polyakova et
470 al. 2016). It has been suggested that at extremely fine scales the availability of nutrients will influence the
471 identity of the dominant species, in turn influencing richness; while at larger plot sizes, factors controlling
472 richness via habitat heterogeneity will prevail (Auestad et al. 2008). Although we only had a small range of
473 plot sizes, this may explain while stone and rock cover (a proxy of habitat heterogeneity) had a relevant
474 effect only at 1 m².

475 The overall explanatory power of the predictor variables became weaker with decreasing plot size. This is
476 not unexpected, because very small spatial scales are unavoidably associated with the increasing effects of
477 factors other than the physical variables, such as plant individual number and size (Palmer et al. 2008;
478 Storch 2016), stochastic disturbance and diaspore availability (Zobel et al. 2000), and fine-scale plant
479 interactions (Wellstein et al. 2014). Moreover, environmental variables were measured at the scale of the
480 largest plot, so they might not be representative of the micro-habitat variability between the subplots.

481

482 ***Diversity-environment relationship: z-values***

483 A high z-value (slope) of the species-area curve implies that there is a low mean number of individuals per
484 species (Storch 2016), i.e. each species occurs with scattered individuals leading to a high spatial
485 heterogeneity of the vegetation. This can be in some cases a result of physical habitat heterogeneity.
486 Indeed, we found that z-values were positively correlated with stone and rock cover and with “humps”, and
487 negatively correlated with soil depth: this can be explained as rocky and shallow soils give rise to a “rough”
488 microtopography; while the flat, deep soils of the doline bottoms are rather homogeneous. Although stone
489 and rock cover can also influence z-values via a trivial mechanism (i.e., on very rocky soils there is a higher
490 chance that the subplots of the smallest size will fall on a completely bare surface, thus inflating the
491 steepness of the species-area curve), in our study area rock-free soils are usually found only in concave
492 land-forms, i.e. at sites with high pH and deep soils: hence, there is some overlapping between sites that
493 curtail species richness (alpha-diversity) and sites featuring a low turnover of species between spatial scales
494 (beta-diversity). Our results are thus consistent with Chiarucci et al. (2006), who found a negative
495 relationship between z-values and productivity in Tuscany and in Germany. Polyakova et al. (2016), in
496 primary steppe grasslands in S-Siberia, found a strong positive effect on z-values of soil roughness at micro-
497 scale. In contrast, both Turtureanu et al. (2014) (in secondary grasslands in Romania) and Baumann et al.
498 (2016) (in high-elevation grasslands in the Italian Alps) found that their measured environmental predictors
499 explained little of the variability in z-values. However, comparisons of z-values between different studies
500 have to be interpreted very cautiously, as the results will depend on the size of the plots used (Chiarucci et
501 al. 2006).

502

503 **Conclusions**

504 The importance ranking and effect size of the environmental variables were partly different across alpha-
505 diversity spatial scales or between alpha- and beta-diversity; nevertheless, the sites with acid and deep soils
506 had on average lower levels of all the diversity measures, while maximum alpha- and beta-diversity were
507 usually attained at sites with neutro-basic and shallow soils, often on steep or rocky land-forms. These
508 relationships seem to be mediated by competition: at the low-diversity sites, species coexistence is
509 probably suppressed by a small number of carpet-forming species, in turn bound to topographically-

510 controlled “islands” of acidic or deep soils. Further studies will be necessary in the Apennine grasslands to
511 confirm this explanation.

512 However, the observed patterns point to the importance of the less productive and dryer sites for the
513 conservation of secondary grassland plant diversity, as underlined by many previous works (e.g. Grime
514 1973; Moore et al. 1989; Wassen et al. 2005; Bennie et al. 2006; Cingolani et al. 2010; Filibeck et al. 2016;
515 Kleinebecker et al. 2018; Palpurina et al. 2019). In the Apennine mountains, these oligotrophic habitats
516 seem to be not (yet) significantly threatened by atmospheric nitrogen deposition (Bonanomi et al. 2006;
517 Nogueira et al. 2017; but see Ochoa-Hueso et al. 2017), a factor heavily impacting some C- and N-European
518 grasslands (e.g. Stevens et al. 2010). They may be nevertheless at risk because of grazing abandonment
519 (Bonanomi et al. 2006): many sectors of the Apennines have witnessed the development of a closed
520 woodland in a very short time (Bracchetti et al. 2012; Amici et al. 2013). Moreover, in many European and
521 Mediterranean landscapes, shrub and tree colonization seems to be hindered in land-forms with deep
522 clayey soils and to take place preferentially on shallow or rocky soils, probably because of reduced
523 competition by grasses and larger availability of suitable microsites (Grove and Rackham 2001: 57; Rackham
524 2006: 201-202; Pärtel and Helm 2007). This might lead to a proportionally larger loss of those grassland
525 types that host the highest levels of alpha- and beta-diversity.

526

527 **Authors' contribution**

528 G.F. and L.C. conceived the study and the sampling methodology; L.C. coordinated field work; L.D.M.,
529 M.G.S. and G.F. participated in field sampling; L.C. revised critical vascular plants; F.R. supervised soil
530 analysis; M.G.S., M.B. and G.F. performed data analysis; G.F. supervised the overall work and led the
531 writing; all authors discussed the results and contributed to text editing.

532

533 **Acknowledgements**

534 We are grateful to Abruzzo Lazio & Molise National Park agency for having authorized field work (special
535 thanks to C. Gentile and C. Sulli for scientific advice and field support), G. Ubertini for laboratory analysis, S.
536 Soliveres and M. Brandi for advice on recursive partitioning, S. Maccherini and M. Marignani for useful

537 discussions and two anonymous reviewers for their detailed comments on the manuscript. G.F. and F.R.
538 carried out the research in the frame of the MIUR (Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research)
539 initiative “Department of excellence” (Law 232/2016).

540

541 **Online resource 1:** Geographic features and photographs of the study sites

542 **Online resource 2:** Results of the correlation PCA from the plots × species matrix

543 **Online resource 3:** Matrix of 1m² plots × species matrix

544

545 **References**

546 Al-Mufti MM, Sydes CL, Furness SB, Grime JP, Band SR (1977) Quantitative analysis of shoot phenology and
547 dominance in herbaceous vegetation. *J Ecol* 65:759–791

548 Amici V, Santi E, Filibeck G, Diekmann M, Geri F, Landi S, Scoppola A, Chiarucci A (2013) Influence of
549 secondary forest succession on plant diversity patterns in a Mediterranean landscape. *J Biogeogr* 40:
550 2335-2347.

551 Apostolova I, Dengler J, Di Pietro R, Gavilán RG, Tsiripidis I (2014) Dry grasslands of Southern Europe:
552 syntaxonomy, management and conservation. *Hacquetia* 13:1–14

553 Araya YN, Silvertown J, Gowing DJ, McConway KJ, Peter Linder H, Midgley G (2011) A fundamental, eco-
554 hydrological basis for niche segregation in plant communities. *New Phytologist* 189:253–258

555 Arrhenius O (1921) Species and area. *J Ecol* 9:95-99

556 Auestad I, Rydgren K, Økland RH (2008) Scale-dependence of vegetation-environment relationships in semi-
557 natural grasslands. *J Veg Sci* 19:139–148

558 Barabesi L, Franceschi S, Marcheselli M (2012) Properties of design-based estimation under stratified
559 spatial sampling with application to canopy coverage estimation. *The Annals of Applied Statistics* 6:210–
560 228

561 Barbosa AM, Brown JA, Jimenez-Valverde A, Real R (2016) modEvA: Model Evaluation and Analysis. R
562 package version 1.3.2. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=modEvA>

563 Barker G, Grant A, Beavitt P, Christie N, Giorgi J, Hoare P, Leggio T, Migliavacca M (1991) Ancient and
564 modern pastoralism in central Italy: an interdisciplinary study in the Cicolano mountains. *Papers of the*
565 *British School at Rome* 59:15–88

566 Bátori Z, Vojtkó A, Farkas T, Szabó A, Havadtóti K, Vojtkó AE, Tölgyesi C, Cseh V, Erdős L, Maák I, Keppel G
567 (2017) Large- and small -scale environmental factors drive distributions of cool-adapted plants in karstic
568 microrefugia. *Ann Bot* 119:301–30

569 Baumann E, Weiser F, Chiarucci A, Jentsch A, Dengler J (2016) Diversity and functional composition of
570 alpine grasslands along an elevational transect in the Gran Paradiso National Park (NW Italy). *Tuexenia*
571 36:337–358

572 Bennie J, Hill MO, Baxter R, Huntley B (2006) Influence of slope and aspect on long-term vegetation change
573 in British chalk grasslands. *Journal of Ecology* 94:355–368

574 Bigi G, Colacicchi R, Damiani AV, D'Andrea M, Pannuzi L, Parotto M, Praturlon A, Sirna G (1986) Carta
575 Geologica del Parco Nazionale d'Abruzzo. Ente Autonomo Parco Nazionale d'Abruzzo, Pescasseroli.

576 Blasi C, Capotorti G, Fortini P (1998) On the vegetation series in the northern sector of the Simbruini
577 mountains (Central Apennines). *Fitosociologia* 35:85-102

578 Blasi C, Facioni L, Burrascano S, Del Vico E, Tilia A, Rosati L (2012) Submediterranean dry grasslands along
579 the Tyrrhenian sector of central Italy: Synecology, syndynamics and syntaxonomy. *Plant Biosystems* 146:
580 266-290

581 Bonanomi G, Caporaso S, Allegranza M (2006) Short-term effects of nitrogen enrichment, litter removal and
582 cutting on a Mediterranean grassland. *Acta Oecologica* 30:419-425

583 Borer ET, Seabloom EW, Gruner DS, Harpole WS, Hillebrand H et al (2014) Herbivores and nutrients control
584 grassland plant diversity via light limitation. *Nature* 508:517–520

585 Bracchetti L, Carotenuto L, Catorci A (2012) Land-cover changes in a remote area of central Apennines
586 (Italy) and management directions. *Landsc Urb Plann* 104:157-170

587 Brown AG, Hatton J, Selby KA, Leng M, Christie N (2013) Multi-proxy study of Holocene environmental
588 change and human activity in the Central Apennine Mountains, Italy. *J Quatern Sci* 28:71–82

589 Bruno F, Bazzichelli G (1966) Note illustrative alla carta della vegetazione del Parco Nazionale d’Abruzzo.
590 *Annali di Botanica (Roma)* 28:739–778

591 Burrascano S, Chytrý M, Kuemmerle T, Giarrizzo E, Luyssaert S, Sabatini FM, Blasi C (2016) Current
592 European policies are unlikely to jointly foster carbon sequestration and protect biodiversity. *Biol Conserv*
593 201:370-376

594 Calandra R (1999). I suoli di Campo Imperatore. *Braun-Blanquetia* 16:21-32

595 Cancellieri L, Mancini LD, Sperandii MG, Filibeck G (2017a) In and out: effects of shoot- vs. rooted-presence
596 sampling methods on plant diversity measures in mountain grasslands. *Ecological Indicators* 72:315–321

597 Cancellieri L, Sperandii MG, Filibeck G (2017b) First record of the steppic relict *Astragalus exscapus* L.
598 subsp. *exscapus* in the Apennines (Abruzzo, Italy), and biogeographic implications. *Plant Biosystems*
599 151:944–948

600 Cantero JJ, Liira J, Cisneros JM, Gonzalez J, Nuñez C, Petryna L, Cholaky C, Zobel M (2003) Species richness,
601 alien species and plant traits in Central Argentine mountain grasslands. *J Veg Sci* 14:129–136

602 Catorci A, Ottaviani G, Kosić IV, Cesaretti S. (2012). Effect of spatial and temporal patterns of stress and
603 disturbance intensities in a sub-Mediterranean grassland. *Plant Biosystems* 146:352-367

604 Chelli S, Wellstein C, Campetella G, Canullo R, Tonin R, Zerbe S, Gerdol R (2017) Climate change response of
605 vegetation across climatic zones in Italy. *Climate Research* 71:249-262

606 Chiarucci A, Viciani D, Winter C, Diekmann M (2006) Effects of productivity on species–area curves in
607 herbaceous vegetation: evidence from experimental and observational data. *Oikos* 115:475–483

- 608 Chiarucci, A. (2007) To sample or not to sample? That is the question... for the vegetation scientist. *Folia*
609 *Geobotanica* 42:209-216
- 610 Chiarucci A, Bacaro G, Scheiner SM (2011) Old and new challenges in using species diversity for assessing
611 biodiversity. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 366:2426–2437
- 612 Chiarucci A, Bacaro G, Filibeck G, Landi S, Maccherini S, Scoppola A (2012) Scale dependence of plant
613 species richness in a network of protected areas. *Biodivers Conserv* 21:503–516
- 614 Chytrý M, Tichý L, Roleček J (2003) Local and regional patterns of species richness in central European
615 vegetation types along the pH/calcium gradient. *Folia Geobotanica* 38:429–442.
- 616 Chytrý M, Danihelka J, Ermakov N, Hájek M, Hájková P, Kočí M, Kubešová S, Lustyk P, Otýpková Z, Popov D,
617 Roleček J, Řezníčková M, Šmarda P, Valachovič M (2007) Plant species richness in continental southern
618 Siberia: effects of pH and climate in the context of the species pool hypothesis. *Global Ecol Biogeogr*
619 16:668–678
- 620 Chytrý M, Dražil T, Hájek M, Kalníková V, Preislerová Z, Šibík J, Ujházy K, Axmanová I, Bernátová D, Blanař D,
621 Dančák M, Dřevojan P, Fajmon K, Galvanek D, Hajkova P, Herben T, Hrivnak R, Janeček S, Janišova M,
622 Jiraska Š, Kliment J, Kochjarova , Lepš J, Leskovjanska A, Merunkova K, Mladek J, Slezak M, Šeffer J,
623 Šefferova V, Škodova I, Uhliřova J, Ujhazyova M, Vymazalova M (2015) The most species-rich plant
624 communities in the Czech Republic and Slovakia (with new world records). *Preslia* 87:217–278
- 625 Cingolani AM, Vaieretti MV, Gurvich DE, Giorgis MA, Cabido M (2010) Predicting alpha, beta and gamma
626 plant diversity from physiognomic and physical indicators as a tool for ecosystem monitoring. *Biological*
627 *Conservation* 143:2570–2577
- 628 Cinque A, Liccardo C, Palma B, Pappalardo L, Roszkopf C, Sepe C (1990) Le tracce glaciali nel Parco
629 Nazionale d'Abruzzo (Appennino centrale): nota preliminare. *Geografia Fisica Dinamica Quaternaria*
630 13:121–133
- 631 Conti F, Abbate G, Alessandrini A, Blasi C (2005) An Annotated Checklist of the Italian Vascular Flora.
632 Palombi, Roma

633 Conti F, Bartolucci F (2015) The vascular flora of the National Park of Abruzzo, Lazio and Molise (Central
634 Italy). Springer, CH.

635 Cousins SA, Eriksson O (2002) The influence of management history and habitat on plant species richness in
636 a rural hemiboreal landscape, Sweden. *Landscape Ecology* 17:517-529

637 De'ath G, Fabricius KE (2000) Classification and regression trees: a powerful yet simple technique for
638 ecological data analysis. *Ecology* 81:3178–3192

639 de Bello F, Lepš J, Sebastià MT (2007) Grazing effects on the species–area relationship: variation along a
640 climatic gradient in NE Spain. *J Veg Sci* 18:25–34

641 Dengler J, (2008) Pitfalls in small-scale species-area sampling and analysis. *Folia Geobotanica* 43:269–287

642 Dengler J (2009) A flexible multi-scale approach for standardised recording of plant species richness
643 patterns. *Ecological Indicators* 9:1169–1178

644 Dengler J, Janišová M, Török P, Wellstein C (2014) Biodiversity of Palaearctic grasslands: a synthesis. *Agric
645 Ecosyst Environ* 182:1–14

646 Dengler J, Biurrun I, Apostolova I, Baumann E, Becker T et al (2016) Scale-dependent plant diversity in
647 Palaearctic grasslands: a comparative overview. *Bulletin of the Eurasian Dry Grassland Group* 31:12–26

648 Dengler J, Wagner V, Dembicz I, García-Mijangos I, Naqinezhad A et al (2018) GrassPlot—a database of
649 multi-scale plant diversity in Palaearctic grasslands. *Phytocoenologia* 48:331–347

650 Di Pietro R, De Santis A, Fortini P (2005) A geobotanical survey on acidophilous grasslands in the Abruzzo,
651 Lazio and Molise National Park (Central Italy). *Lazaroa* 26:115–137

652 Dolek M, Geyer A (2002) Conserving biodiversity on calcareous grasslands in the Franconian Jura by grazing:
653 a comprehensive approach. *Biological Conservation* 104:351-360

654 European Union (1992) Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats
655 and of wild fauna and flora. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A31992L0043>.
656 Accessed 15 September 2018

657 Ewald J (2003) The calcareous riddle: why are there so many calciphilous species in the Central European
658 flora? *Folia Geobotanica* 38:357–366

659 Feurdean A, Ruprecht E, Molnár Z, Hutchinson SM, Hickler T (2018): Biodiversity-rich European grasslands:
660 Ancient, forgotten ecosystems. *Biological Conservation* 228:224–232

661 Filibeck G, Adams J, Brunetti M, Di Filippo A, Rosati L, Scoppola A, Piovesan G (2015) Tree ring ecological
662 signal is consistent with floristic composition and plant indicator values in Mediterranean *Fagus sylvatica*
663 forests. *Journal of Ecology* 103:1580–1593

664 Filibeck G, Petrella P, Cornelini P (2016) All ecosystems look messy, but some more so than others: a case-
665 study on the management and acceptance of Mediterranean urban grasslands. *Urban Forestry & Urban*
666 *Greening* 15:32–39

667 Foggi B, Rossi G (1996) A survey of the genus *Festuca* L. (Poaceae) in Italy. I. The species of the summit flora
668 in the Tuscan-Emilian Apennines and Apuan Alps. *Willdenowia* 26:1–33

669 Foggi B, Rossi G, Signorini MA (1999): The *Festuca violacea* aggregate (Poaceae) in the Alps and Apennines
670 (central-southern Europe). *Can J Bot* 77:989–1013

671 Fraser LH, Pither J, Jentsch A, Sternberg M, Zobel M et al (2015) Worldwide evidence of a unimodal
672 relationship between productivity and plant species richness. *Science* 349:302–305

673 Galadini F, Messina P (1990) Osservazioni su un deposito a blocchi al margine SW della conca di
674 Pescasseroli (Italia centrale). *Il Quaternario* 3:23–30

675 Gee GW, Bauder JW (1986) Particle-size Analysis. In: *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1*. Soil Science Society of
676 America, Madison:383–411

677 Gerdol R, Stanisci A, Tomaselli M, Fazzini M (2008) La vegetazione delle montagne italiane. Club Alpino
678 Italiano, Roma

679 Giraudi C (1995) Sedimenti eolici, variazioni climatiche ed influenza antropica: considerazioni su alcune
680 pianure intermontane dell'Appennino Abruzzese. *Il Quaternario* 8:211–216

681 Gotelli NJ, Colwell RK (2001) Quantifying biodiversity: procedures and pitfalls in the measurement and
682 comparison of species richness. *Ecology Letters* 4:379–391

683 Grace JB (1999) The factors controlling species density in herbaceous plant communities: an assessment.
684 *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 2:1–28

685 Greenland S, Senn SJ, Rothman KJ, Carlin JC, Poole C, Goodman SN, Altman DG (2016) Statistical tests,
686 confidence intervals, and power: A guide to misinterpretations. *European Journal of Epidemiology*
687 31:337–50

688 Grime JP (1973) Control of species density in herbaceous vegetation. *Journal of Environmental*
689 *Management* 1:151–167

690 Grime JP (1998) Benefits of plant diversity to ecosystems: immediate, filter and founder effects. *Journal of*
691 *Ecology* 86:902–910

692 Grime JP, Hodgson JG, Hunt R (2007) Comparative plant ecology: a functional approach to common British
693 species. Springer

694 Grove AT, Rackham O (2001) The nature of Mediterranean Europe: an ecological history. Yale University
695 Press, Yale

696 Grubb PJ (1976) A theoretical background to the conservation of ecologically distinct groups of annuals and
697 biennials in the chalk grassland ecosystem. *Biological Conservation* 10(1):53–76

698 Grytnes JA (2000) Fine-scale vascular plant species richness in different alpine vegetation types:
699 relationships with biomass and cover. *J Veg Sci* 11:87–92

700 Guisan A, Zimmermann NE (2000) Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology. *Ecological*
701 *Modelling* 135:147–186

702 Güler B, Jentsch A, Barthä S, Bloor JMG, Campetella G, Canullo R, Házi J, Kreyling J, Pottier J, Szabó G,
703 Terziyska T, Ugurlu E, Wellstein C, Zimmermann Z, Dengler J (2016) How plot shape and dispersion affect

704 plant species richness counts: implications for sampling design and rarefaction analyses. *J Veg Sci* 27:692-
705 703

706 Habel JC, Dengler J, Janišová M, Török P, Wellstein C, Wiezik M (2013) European grassland ecosystems:
707 threatened hotspots of biodiversity. *Biodivers Conserv* 22:2131–2138

708 Hájková P, Roleček J, Hájek M, Horsák M, Fajmon K, Polák M, Jamrichová E (2011) Prehistoric origin of the
709 extremely species-rich semi-dry grasslands in the Bílé Karpaty Mts (Czech Republic and Slovakia). *Preslia*
710 83:185-204

711 Hapfelmeier A, Ulm K (2013) A new variable selection approach using random forests. *Computational*
712 *Statistics & Data Analysis* 60:50–69

713 Hiller B, Nuebel A, Broll G, Holtmeier FK (2005) Snowbeds on silicate rocks in the Upper Engadine (Central
714 Alps, Switzerland)—pedogenesis and interactions among soil, vegetation, and snow cover. *Arctic,*
715 *Antarctic, and Alpine Research* 37(4):465-476

716 Hothorn T, Hornik K, Zeileis A (2006a) Unbiased Recursive Partitioning: A Conditional Inference Framework.
717 *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 15:651–674

718 Hothorn T, Buehlmann P, Dudoit S, Molinaro A, Van Der Laan M (2006b) Survival Ensembles. *Biostatistics*
719 7:355–373

720 Janssens F, Peeters A, Tallowin JRB, Bakker JP, Bekker RM, Fillat F, Oomes MJM (1998) Relationship
721 between soil chemical factors and grassland diversity. *Plant and Soil* 202:69–78

722 Jensen JL, Schjørring P, Watts CW, Christensen BT, Munkholm LJ (2017) Soil texture analysis revisited:
723 Removal of organic matter matters more than ever. *PLoS ONE* 12(5): e0178039

724 Kajtoch Ł, Cieślak E, Varga Z, Paul W, Mazur MA, Sramkó G, Kubisz D (2016) Phylogeographic patterns of
725 steppe species in Eastern Central Europe: a review and the implications for conservation. *Biodiv Conserv*
726 25:2309-2339

727 Keddy P (2005) Putting the Plants Back into Plant Ecology: Six Pragmatic Models for Understanding and
 728 Conserving Plant Diversity. *Annals of Botany* 96:177–189

729 Kleijn D, Sutherland WJ (2003) How effective are European agri-environment schemes in conserving and
 730 promoting biodiversity? *J Appl Ecol* 40:947-969

731 Kleiber C, Zeileis A (2008) *Applied Econometrics with R*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

732 Kleinebecker T, Busch V, Hölzel N, Hamer U, Schäfer D, Prati D, Fischer M, Hemp A, Lauterbach R, Klaus VH
 733 (2018) And the winner is....! A test of simple predictors of plant species richness in agricultural grasslands.
 734 *Ecological Indicators* 87:296–301

735 Knop EVA, Kleijn D, Herzog F, Schmid B (2006) Effectiveness of the Swiss agri-environment scheme in
 736 promoting biodiversity. *J Appl Ecol* 43:120-127

737 Kuzemko AA, Steinbauer MJ, Becker T, Didukh YP, Dolnik C, Jeschke M, Naqinezhad A, Ugurlu E, Vassilev K,
 738 Dengler J (2016) Patterns and drivers of phytodiversity in steppe grasslands of Central Podolia (Ukraine).
 739 *Biodivers Conserv* 25:2233–2250

740 Löbel S, Dengler J, Hobohm C (2006) Species richness of vascular plants, bryophytes and lichens in dry
 741 grasslands: The effects of environment, landscape structure and competition. *Folia Geobotanica* 41:377–
 742 393

743 Lucchese F, Persia G, Pignatti S (1995) I prati a *Bromus erectus* Hudson dell'Appennino Laziale.
 744 *Fitosociologia* 30:145–180

745 Maccherini S (2006) Factors associated with species richness in a remnant calcareous grassland. *Grassland*
 746 *Science* 52:181–184

747 Manzi A (1990) La gestione dei pascoli montani in Abruzzo e la Società delle Erbe Seconde di Pescasseroli
 748 ed Opi. *Archivio Botanico* 66:129–142

749 Manzi A (2012) Storia dell'ambiente nell'Appennino centrale: la trasformazione della natura in Abruzzo
 750 dall'ultima glaciazione ai giorni nostri. Chieti: Meta.

751 McCune B, Keon D (2002) Equations for potential annual direct incident radiation and heat load. *J Veg Sci*
752 13:603–606

753 Merunková K, Preislerová Z, Chytrý M (2012) White Carpathian grasslands: can local ecological factors
754 explain their extraordinary species richness? *Preslia* 84: 311–325

755 Moeslund JE, Arge L, Bøcher PK, Dalgaard T, Svenning JC (2013a) Topography as a driver of local terrestrial
756 vascular plant diversity patterns. *Nord J Bot* 31:129–144

757 Moeslund JE, Arge L, Bøcher PK, Dalgaard T, Odgaard MV et al (2013b) Topographically controlled soil
758 moisture is the primary driver of local vegetation patterns across a lowland region. *Ecosphere* 4:1–26

759 Moore DRJ, Keddy PA, Gaudet CL, Wisheu IC (1989) Conservation of wetlands: do infertile wetlands deserve
760 a higher priority? *Biological Conservation* 47:203–217

761 Morrison LW (2015) Observer error in vegetation surveys: a review. *Journal of Plant Ecology* 9: 367–379.

762 Nakagawa S (2004) A farewell to Bonferroni: the problems of low statistical power and publication bias.
763 *Behavioral Ecology* 15:1044–1045

764 Nogueira C, Bugalho MN, Pereira JS, Caldeira MC (2017) Extended autumn drought, but not nitrogen
765 deposition, affects the diversity and productivity of a Mediterranean grassland. *Environmental and*
766 *Experimental Botany* 138:99–108

767 Ochoa-Hueso R., Munzi S, Alonso R, Arróniz-Crespo M, Avila A, et al. (2017) Ecological impacts of
768 atmospheric pollution and interactions with climate change in terrestrial ecosystems of the
769 Mediterranean Basin: Current research and future directions. *Environmental Pollution* 227:194–206

770 Palmer MW, McGlinn DJ, Fridley JD (2008) Artifacts and artificions in biodiversity research. *Folia*
771 *Geobotanica* 43:245–257

772 Palpurina S, Chytrý M, Tzonev R, Danihelka J, Axmanová I, Merunková K, Duchoň M, Karakiev T (2015)
773 Patterns of fine-scale plant species richness in dry grasslands across the eastern Balkan Peninsula. *Acta*
774 *Oecologica* 63:36–46

775 Palpurina S, Wagner V, von Wehrden H, Hájek M, Horsák M et al (2017) The relationship between plant
776 species richness and soil pH vanishes with increasing aridity across Eurasian dry grasslands. *Global Ecology*
777 *and Biogeography* 26:425–434

778 Palpurina S, Chytrý M, Hölzel N, Tichý L, Wagner V et al 2019 The type of nutrient limitation affects the
779 plant species richness–productivity relationship: Evidence from dry grasslands across Eurasia. *Journal of*
780 *Ecology*, in press. doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13084

781 Pärtel M, Bruun HH, Sammul M (2005) Biodiversity in temperate European grasslands: origin and
782 conservation. *Grassland Science in Europe* 10:1-14

783 Pärtel M, Helm A (2007) Invasion of woody species into temperate grasslands: relationship with abiotic and
784 biotic soil resource heterogeneity. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 18:63-70

785 Pärtel M, Zobel M (1999) Small-scale plant species richness in calcareous grasslands determined by the
786 species pool, community age and shoot density. *Ecography* 22:153–159

787 Pavoine S, Bonsall MB (2011) Measuring biodiversity to explain community assembly: a unified approach.
788 *Biol. Rev.* 86:792–812

789 Pignatti S (1982) *Flora d'Italia*. Edagricole, Bologna.

790 Polyakova MA, Dembicz I, Becker T, Becker U, Demina ON, Ermakov N, Filibeck G, Guarino R, Janišová M,
791 Jaunatre R, Kozub L, Steinbauer MJ, Suzuki K, Dengler J (2016) Scale- and taxon-dependent patterns of
792 plant diversity in steppes of Khakassia, South Siberia (Russia). *Biodivers Conserv* 25:2251–2273

793 Poschlod P, WallisDeVries MF (2002) The historical and socioeconomic perspective of calcareous grasslands
794 – lessons from the distant and recent past. *Biological Conservation* 104:361–376

795 Primi R, Filibeck G, Amici A, Bückle C, Cancellieri L, Di Filippo A, Gentile C, Guglielmino A, Latini R, Mancini
796 LD, Mensing SA, Rossi CM, Rossini F, Scoppola A, Sulli C, Venanzi R, Ronchi B, Piovesan G (2016) From
797 Landsat to leafhoppers: a multidisciplinary approach for sustainable stocking assessment and ecological
798 monitoring in mountain grasslands. *Agric Ecosyst Environm* 234:118–133

799 Quinn GP, Keough MJ (2002) *Experimental Design and data Analysis for Biologists*. Cambridge University
800 Press, Cambridge

801 Rackham O (2006) *Woodlands*. Harper Collins, London

802 Reitalu T, Helm A, Pärtel M, Bengtsson K, Gerhold P, Rosén E, Takkis , Znamenskiy S, Prentice HC (2014)
803 Determinants of fine-scale plant diversity in dry calcareous grasslands within the Baltic Sea region. *Agric*
804 *Ecosyst Environ* 182:59–68

805 Roleček J, Čornej II, Tokarjuk AI (2014) Understanding the extreme species richness of semi-dry grasslands
806 in east-central Europe: a comparative approach. *Preslia* 86:13-34

807 Rosati L, Filibeck G, De Lorenzis A, Lattanzi E, Surbera F, Fascetti S, Blasi C. (2010) The forest vegetation of
808 the Alburni Mts. (Cilento N. P., Campania, Southern Italy): Syntaxonomy and phytogeography
809 *Fitosociologia*, 47:17-55

810 Sandri M, Zuccolotto P (2006) Variable selection using random forests. In: Zani S, Cerioli A, Riani M, Vichi M
811 (Eds.) *Data Analysis, Classification and the Forward Search*. In: *Studies in Classification, Data Analysis, and*
812 *Knowledge Organization*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg

813 Sauro U (2004) Altopiani carsici. In: IGM, “Atlante dei Tipi Geografici”, pp. 306–310

814 Sievert J (1999) Abruzzo National Park: Land of Dreams. *Environment and History* 5: 293-307.

815 Šímová I, Li YM, Storch D (2013) Relationship between species richness and productivity in plants: the role
816 of sampling effect, heterogeneity and species pool. *Journal of Ecology* 101:161–170

817 Stace CA, Al-Bermani AKKA, Wilkinson MJ (1992) The distinction between the *Festuca ovina* L. and *Festuca*
818 *rubra* L. aggregates in the British Isles. *Watsonia* 19:107–112

819 Stevens MHH, Carson WP (2002) Resource quantity, not resource heterogeneity, maintains plant diversity.
820 *Ecology Letters* 5:420–426

821 Stevens CJ, Duprè C, Dorland E, Gaudnik C, Gowing DJ, et al. (2010). Nitrogen deposition threatens species
822 richness of grasslands across Europe. *Environmental Pollution* 158:2940-2945

823 Stohlgren TJ, Schell LD, Heuvel BV (1999). How grazing and soil quality affect native and exotic plant
824 diversity in Rocky Mountain grasslands. *Ecological Applications* 9:45-64

825 Storch D (2016) The theory of the nested species–area relationship: geometric foundations of biodiversity
826 scaling. *J Veg Sci* 27:880–891

827 Strobl C, Boulesteix AL, Zeileis A, Hothorn T (2007) Bias in Random Forest Variable Importance Measures:
828 Illustrations, Sources and a Solution. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8:25

829 Strobl C, Boulesteix AL, Kneib T, Augustin T, Zeileis A (2008) Conditional variable importance for random
830 forests. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9:307

831 Strobl C, Malley J, Tutz G (2009a) An introduction to recursive partitioning: rationale, application, and
832 characteristics of classification and regression trees, bagging, and random forests. *Psychological Methods*
833 14:323–348

834 Strobl C, Hothorn T, Zeileis A (2009b) Party on! A new, conditional variable importance measure for random
835 forests available in party package. *The R Journal*. 1/2:14–17

836 Svitok M, Hrivnák R, Kochjarová J, Ořahel'ová H, Paľove-Balang P (2016) Environmental thresholds and
837 predictors of macrophyte species richness in aquatic habitats in central Europe. *Folia Geobotanica*
838 51:227–238

839 Thompson LM (1952) *Soils And Soil Fertility*. McGraw-Hill, New York

840 Turtureanu PD, Palpurina S, Becker T, Dolnik C, Ruprecht E, Sutcliffe LME, Szabó A, Dengler J (2014) Scale-
841 and taxon-dependent biodiversity patterns of dry grassland vegetation in Transylvania. *Agric Ecosyst*
842 *Environ* 182:15–24

843 Tyler G (2003) Some ecophysiological and historical approaches to species richness and calcicole/calcifuge
844 behaviour - contribution to a debate. *Folia Geobotanica* 38:419–428

845 van der Maarel E, Sykes MT (1993) Small-scale plant species turnover in a limestone grassland: the carousel
846 model and some comments on the niche concept. *J Veg Sci* 4:179-188

- 847 Wassen MJ, Venterink HO, Lapshina ED, Tanneberger F (2005) Endangered plants persist under phosphorus
848 limitation. *Nature* 437:547-550
- 849 Wasserstein RL, Lazar NA (2016) The ASA's Statement on p-Values: Context, Process, and Purpose. *The*
850 *American Statistician* 70:129–133
- 851 Wellstein C, Chelli S, Campetella G, Bartha S, Galiè M, Spada F, Canullo R (2013) Intraspecific phenotypic
852 variability of plant functional traits in contrasting mountain grasslands habitats. *Biodiv Cons* 22:2353-2374
- 853 Wellstein C, Campetella G, Spada F, Chelli S, Mucina L, Canullo R, Bartha S (2014) Context-dependent
854 assembly rules and the role of dominating grasses in semi-natural abandoned sub-Mediterranean
855 grasslands. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 182:113–122
- 856 Wilson JB, Peet RK, Dengler J, Pärtel M (2012) Plant species richness: the world records. *J Veg Sci* 23:796–
857 802
- 858 Zobel M, Otsus M, Liira J, Moora M, Möls T (2000) Is small-scale species richness limited by seed availability
859 or microsite availability? *Ecology* 81:3274–3282

860

862
863 **Fig. 1** Study area position (empty square in the inset) and distribution of sampling points (black dots in the
864 main map). Legend of main map: black lines: roads; white broken line: borders of Abruzzo Lazio & Molise
865 National Park; filled squares: towns; filled triangles: main mountain peaks (with elevation, m a.s.l.)

Fig. 2 Layout of the nested-plot series surveyed at each random sampling point.

870

871 **Fig. 3** Regression Tree for 1 m² alpha-diversity as response variable. Variables responsible for tree splitting
 872 are indicated in circles, with associated p-values. For each node, threshold values at which the observations
 873 are split in groups are reported on the tree branches. For each terminal node (cluster of observations), the
 874 boxplot describes the distribution of the response variable. On top of each boxplot, the number of
 875 observations (n) in the group is reported

876

877

878

879 **Fig. 4** Regression Tree for 0.1 m² alpha-diversity as response variable. See caption in Fig. 3 for explanations.

880 Unlike the tree for 1 m², here “univariate p-values” (instead of Bonferroni-corrected) was set as stopping

881 rule

882

Fig. 5 Regression Tree for z-value as response variable. See caption in Fig. 3 for explanations

887 **TABLES**

888

<i>Continuous variables</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Min.</i>	<i>Max.</i>
Elevation (m)	1523	138	1331	1767
Slope inclination (°)	10.3	8.5	0	35
Heat-load index	0.9	0.1	0.57	1.04
Soil depth (median) (cm)	20.7	13.4	4	60
Stone and rock cover (%)	10.3	11.9	0	40
Soil pH	6.5	0.7	4.9	7.6
Silt (%)	20.2	12.1	0	68.5
Clay (%)	14	7.7	0.06	41
Sand (%)	65.9	16.5	3.3	89.8
Soil organic matter (%)	12.08	5.4	0.21	21.5
<i>Categorical variables</i>				
Land-form position (number of cases in each category)	Hollow (16), Hillside (19), Hump (15)			

889

890 **Tab. 1** Summary statistics of the environmental variables measured at each nested-plot series and used as
891 predictor variables in the statistical analysis

892

893

<i>Species richness values</i>					
<i>Area (m²)</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Mean ±SD</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>
1	50	28.0 ±6.38	27	17	41
0.1	100	18.46 ±5.03	17	9	31
0.01	200	10.26±3.48	10	0	20
<i>Diversity metrics</i>					
<i>Name</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Mean ±SD</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>
1 m ² alpha-diversity	50	28.0 ±6.38	27	17	41
0.1 m ² average alpha-diversity	50	18.46 ±4.79	17	10.5	29.5
0.01 m ² average alpha-diversity	50	10.26±2.87	10	4.5	16.25
z-value	50	0.238± 0.063	0.224	0.150	0.443

894

895 **Tab.2** Summary statistics of the vascular plant richness encountered in all plots at different scales, and of
896 the derived biodiversity metrics used as dependent variables in the statistical analysis

897

898
899

Taxon	Frequency (n=50)
<i>Hieracium pilosella</i>	80%
<i>Cerastium tomentosum</i>	76%
<i>Festuca circummediterranea</i>	76%
<i>Veronica arvensis</i>	74%
<i>Euphrasia stricta</i>	72%
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	68%
<i>Achillea millefolium</i> subsp. <i>millefolium</i>	64%
<i>Viola eugeniae</i>	64%
<i>Bunium bulbocastanum</i>	62%
<i>Poa alpina</i> subsp. <i>alpina</i>	62%
<i>Medicago lupulina</i>	60%
<i>Arenaria serpyllifolia</i> subsp. <i>serpyllifolia</i>	58%
<i>Bellis perennis</i>	56%
<i>Koeleria lobata</i>	56%
<i>Avenula praetutiana</i>	50%
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	50%
<i>Potentilla rigoana</i>	50%

900
901
902
903
904

Tab.3 Most common species in the sample, and their frequency expressed as percentage of plots. Only the species occurring in at least 50% of the plots are shown; see Online Resource 3 for full species list and frequency values

905

	Elevation	Slope inclination	Heat-Load index	Stone and rock cover	Soil depth	Clay	Silt	Sand	Soil organic matter	Soil pH	1 m ² alpha-diversity	0.1 m ² alpha-diversity	0.01 m ² alpha-diversity	z-values
Elevation		0.002	0.0406	0.0001	0.3229	0.5566	0.8269	0.7875	0.1089	<0.0001	0.1496	0.1043	0.6268	0.4198
Slope inclination	0.425		0.0064	<0.0001	0.0838	0.1252	0.0553	0.0424	0.0700	0.0004	0.0987	0.0600	0.7375	0.0231
Heat-Load index	-0.291	-0.380		0.0888	0.7618	0.2853	0.4740	0.4275	0.1519	0.4418	0.3290	0.5415	0.9119	0.1512
Stone and rock cover	0.537	0.562	-0.243		0.0007	0.0375	0.8169	0.4132	0.0325	<0.0001	0.0167	0.0947	0.5773	<0.0001
Soil depth	-0.143	-0.247	0.044	-0.464		0.8117	0.6150	0.6368	0.3589	<0.0001	0.1114	0.7386	0.3567	0.0005
Clay	-0.085	-0.220	0.154	-0.295	-0.035		0.4055	0.0001	0.0849	0.4342	0.2178	0.3512	0.4836	0.1790
Silt	0.032	-0.273	0.104	-0.034	0.073	0.120		<0.0001	0.0310	0.5116	0.0329	0.0729	0.0775	0.9096
Sand	0.039	0.288	-0.115	0.118	-0.068	-0.530	-0.868		0.0207	0.1899	0.2058	0.2712	0.2725	0.6742
Soil organic matter	0.229	0.258	-0.206	0.303	-0.133	-0.246	-0.305	0.326		0.0016	0.3513	0.2896	0.5206	0.6732
Soil pH	0.590	0.481	-0.111	0.642	-0.611	-0.113	-0.095	0.189	0.435		0.0063	0.0309	0.4272	0.0314
1 m ² alpha-diversity	0.207	0.236	-0.141	0.337	-0.228	-0.177	0.302	-0.182	0.135	0.381		<0.0001	<0.0001	0.1323
0.1 m ² alpha-diversity	0.232	0.268	-0.088	0.239	-0.048	-0.135	0.256	-0.159	0.153	0.306	0.877		<0.0001	0.6193
0.01 m ² alpha-diversity	0.070	0.049	0.016	-0.081	0.133	-0.101	0.252	-0.158	0.093	0.115	0.702	0.844		0.0002
z-values	0.117	0.321	-0.206	0.579	-0.477	-0.193	-0.016	0.061	0.061	0.305	0.216	-0.072	-0.502	

906

907 **Tab.4** Pair-wise correlation table between all predictor and dependent variables. Below the diagonal:
908 Spearman’s correlation coefficients (r_s). Above the diagonal (in italic): p-values. Note that these are not
909 corrected for multiple testing. Correlation coefficients corresponding to a p-value <0.05 are in bold

910

911

	1 m ² alpha-diversity	0.1 m ² alpha-diversity	0.01 m ² alpha-diversity	z-values
Soil pH	10	10	10	0
Silt	10	10	10	0
Stone and rock cover	10	10	0	10
Slope inclination	9	10	0	10
Soil depth	10	0	2	10
Land-form position	10	0	0	10
Clay	8	9	0	0
Soil organic matter	0	1	1	0
Heat-load index	0	0	0	0

912

913 **Tab. 5** Number of times, out of 10 Random Forest tries, that each predictor variable turned out to be
914 important for each dependent variable, i.e. featured positive values exceeding the absolute value of the
915 most negative score. Variables are listed in decreasing order of number of significant results

916

917

1 m² alpha-diversity

	Stand. Coef.	Std. Error	z	p
Land-form: hollow vs. hump	0.161	0.076	2.12	0.034
Silt (%)	0.128	0.028	4.61	<0.0001
Soil pH	0.054	0.033	1.63	0.104
Slope inclination	0.052	0.037	1.42	0.155
Clay (%)	-0.040	0.029	-1.39	0.166
Land-form: hollow vs. hillside	-0.011	0.087	-0.13	0.897
Adjusted Pseudo-R² = 0.53				

918

919

920

921

0.1 m² alpha-diversity

	Stand. Coef.	Std. Error	t	p
Silt (%)	0.471	0.124	3.81	<0.001
Slope inclination	0.226	0.140	1.62	0.113
Soil pH	0.220	0.134	1.64	0.109
Clay (%)	-0.156	0.129	-1.21	0.233
Adjusted R² = 0.31				

922

923

924

925

0.01 m² alpha-diversity

	Stand. Coef.	Std. Error	t	p
Silt (%)	0.370	0.135	2.75	0.009
Soil pH	0.176	0.135	1.31	0.198
Adjusted R² = 0.13				

926

927

928

Z-values

	Stand. Coef.	Std. Error	t	p
Stone and rock cover	0.627	0.136	4.62	<0.0001
Land-form: hollow vs. hillside	0.503	0.328	1.53	0.133
Slope inclination	-0.268	0.147	-1.82	0.076
Soil depth	-0.247	0.120	-2.06	0.045
Land-form: hollow vs. hump	0.047	0.300	0.16	0.877
Adjusted R² = 0.47				

929

930

931

Tab. 6 Results of the Poisson GLM (for 1 m² alpha-diversity) and of the Linear Models (for the remainder of

932

the response variables), after variable selection based on Random Forest outputs. Within each model,

933 predictor variables are listed in order of decreasing (standardized) effect size. Stand. Coef. = Standardized
934 Coefficient; Std. Error = Standard Error of the coefficients
935

Accepted manuscript