

Climate change going deep: The effects of global climatic alterations on cave ecosystems

Stefano Mammola, Elena Piano, Pedro Cardoso, Philippe Vernon, David Domínguez-Villar, David Culver, Tanja Pipan, Marco Isaia

► To cite this version:

Stefano Mammola, Elena Piano, Pedro Cardoso, Philippe Vernon, David Domínguez-Villar, et al.. Climate change going deep: The effects of global climatic alterations on cave ecosystems. Anthropocene Review, 2019, 6 (1-2), pp.98-116. 10.1177/2053019619851594 . hal-02284202

HAL Id: hal-02284202 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02284202

Submitted on 12 Sep 2019 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1					
2 3	Climate change going deep: the effects of global climatic alterations on cave ecosystems				
1					
5 6 7 8	Stefano Mammola ^{1,2,*} , Elena Piano ¹ , Pedro Cardoso ² , Philippe Vernon ³ , David Domínguez-Villar ⁴ , David C. Culver ⁵ , Tanja Pipan ⁶ , Marco Isaia ^{1,**}				
9 10	1. Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Torino, Torino, Italy				
11	2. Finnish Museum of Natural History. University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland				
12	3. Station Biologique de Paimpont, University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO, Paimpont, France				
13 14	4. School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom				
15	5. American University, Washington D.C., USA				
16	6. ZRC SAZU Karst Research Institute, Postojna, Slovenia				
17					
18 19 20 21 22	* corresponding author: (<u>stefanomammola@gmail.com</u> , tel. 0116704544) ** corresponding author: (<u>marco.isaia@unito.it</u> , tel. 0116704544)				
23	Acknowledgements and author contribution. This review was developed in the frame of the				
24	research project 'The Dark Side of Climate Change' funded by University of Turin and Compagnia				
25	di San Paolo (Grant Award: CSTO162355). SM conceived the idea and led the writing. SM, EP,				
26	PC, PV, DCC, TP and MI provided general arguments for all biological sections. DDV provided				
27	physical and climatological arguments. All authors contributed significantly to the submitted				
28	manuscript through discussions and bibliographic additions.				
29					
30	Conflict of interest. None declared.				
31	Keywords: cave-dwelling species. Global Warming, hypogean babitat, cave meteorology				
51	negwords. Save awening species, clobal warning, hypogean hastat, save meteorology,				
32	superficial subterranean habitats, stygobionts, troglobionts, relative humidity, temperature				
33 34 35 36 37					
38					
39	II. ABIOTIC FEATURES				
40	(1) Subterranean climate				
41	(2) Climate change and the thermal inertia of caves				

- 42 (3) Potential implications of subterranean warming on abiotic features
- 43 (4) Shallow subterranean habitats
- 44 III. BIOTIC FEATURES
- 45 (1) Subterranean organisms
- 46 (2) Potential species sensitivity to climate change
- 47 (3) Eco-evolutionary response to climate change
- 48 (4) Inter-connection with other systems
- 49
- 50 IV. FUTURE DIRECTIONS
- 51 V. CONCLUSIONS

52 ABSTRACT

53 Scientists of different disciplines have recognised the valuable role of terrestrial caves as 54 ideal natural laboratories in which to study multiple eco-evolutionary processes, from 55 genes to ecosystems. Because caves and other subterranean habitats are semi-closed 56 systems characterised by a remarkable thermal stability, they should also represent 57 insightful systems for understanding the effects of climate change on biodiversity in situ. Whilst a number of recent advances have demonstrated how promising this fast moving 58 59 field of research could be, a lack of synthesis is possibly holding back the adoption of 60 caves as standard models for the study of the recent climatic alteration. By linking literature focusing on physics, geology, biology, and ecology, we illustrate the rationale 61 62 supporting the use of subterranean habitats as laboratories for studies of global change biology. We initially discuss the direct relationship between external and internal 63 temperature, the stability of the subterranean climate and the dynamics of its alteration in 64 65 an anthropogenic climate change perspective. Due to their evolution in a stable environment, subterranean species are expected to exhibit low tolerance to climatic 66 perturbations and could theoretically cope with such changes only by shifting their 67 68 distributional range or by adapting to the new environmental conditions. However, they should have more obstacles to overcome than surface species in such shifts, and 69 therefore could be more prone to local extinction. In the face of rapid climate change, 70 71 subterranean habitats can be seen as refugia for some surface species, but at the same 72 time they may turn into dead-end traps for some of their current obligate inhabitants. 73 Together with other species living in confined habitats, we argue that subterranean species 74 are particularly sensitive to climate change, and we stress the urgent need for future 75 research, monitoring programs and conservation measures.

76

77 I. INTRODUCTION

78

Climate change is considered to be one of the most challenging concerns for humanity 79 (Walther et al., 2002; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Scholze et al., 2006; Williams et al. 80 2015). The complexity of the global climate issue stretches far beyond the currently 81 82 observed pattern of global temperature increase (Santer et al., 2003), as it involves a 83 variety of multifaceted ecological responses to climatic variations, such as shifts in species distribution ranges (Chen et al., 2011), phenological displacements (Parmesan and Yohe, 84 85 2003; Root et al., 2003), complex interactions among previously isolated species (Williams and Jackson, 2007; Krosby et al., 2015), extinctions (Thomas et al., 2004; Cahill et al., 86 87 2013) and other unpredictable cascading effects on different ecosystem components 88 (Walther et al., 2002).

89 In order to minimize confounding effects, scientists have often used isolated 90 ecosystems-and specific organisms within them-as models to unravel ecological 91 responses to recent climate alterations, upscaling results and conclusions to a wider range 92 of systems and organisms. Under this perspective, mountain summits, oceanic islands, 93 lakes and other confined habitats have offered insightful models for determining the effects of climate change on biodiversity in situ (Hortal et al., 2014; Warren et al., 2015; Whittaker 94 et al., 2017; Itescu, 2018; Mammola, 2018). Even if the potential of subterranean habitats 95 96 as ideal biological laboratories has been long ago foreseen (Barr, 1968; Poulson and 97 White, 1969; Culver, 1982), little has been written about the specific contribution of cavebased studies to the understanding of patterns and processes in global change biology 98 (Mammola, 2018; Sánchez-Fernández et al., 2018). As a direct consequence, most 99 100 syntheses focusing on the effect of climate change on ecosystems did not feature 101 terrestrial caves in the potential study systems (e.g. Walther et al., 2002; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Scholze et al., 2006). However, there exists an emerging trend in 102

103 environmental, physical, and ecological studies alike to use caves and other subterranean habitats as models for unraveling current climate change dynamics. Recent relevant 104 studies focused on the role of climatic alterations on the geophysical components of the 105 106 cave environment (Domínguez-Villar et al., 2015; Colucci et al., 2016; Pipan et al. 2018), the potential of caves as methane-sinks (Fernandez-Cortes et al., 2015) and the general 107 108 effects of global temperature increase on different subterranean animals (Colson-Proch et al., 2010, Lencioni et al., 2010; Brandmayr et al., 2013; Mermillod-Blondin et al., 2013; 109 110 Rizzo et al., 2015; Sánchez-Fernández et al., 2016; Mammola and Isaia, 2017; Di Lorenzo and Galassi, 2017; Mammola et al., 2018), and microorganisms (Brielmann et al., 2009, 111 112 2011; Gribler et al., 2016).

113 We hypothesize here that caves represent one of the most informative systems 114 for the study of climate change across its biotic and abiotic components, for multiple 115 reasons:

i) they are semi-closed systems that are extensively replicated across the Earth (Culverand Pipan, 2009);

ii) they are buffered from external variations and generally characterised by a remarkable
thermal stability, especially in temperate areas (Badino, 2004, 2010);

iii) temperature inside caves highly correlate with mean annual temperatures on the
 surface (Moore and Nicholas, 1964), at least in temperate areas (Sánchez-Fernández et
 al., 2018); and

iv) subterranean organisms have evolved a suite of morphological and physiological
adaptations to thrive under the peculiar environmental conditions found in caves, which
are often convergent even across phylogenetically distant groups (Culver and Pipan, 2009;
Juan et al., 2010; Protas and Jeffrey, 2012).

However, a lack of synthesis on this topic is possibly holding back the 127 establishment of caves as standard models for the study of the effects of climate change in 128 the field. By bringing together literature focusing on cave biology, ecology, and physics that 129 130 were previously disconnected, we illustrate the rationale supporting the use of subterranean habitats as ideal model for studies of global change biology. We describe the 131 potential effects of the anthropogenic climate alterations on the abiotic and the biotic 132 components of the cave ecosystem, giving special reference to the cave climate, 133 subterranean organisms and the interconnection between caves and other ecosystems 134 (surface and soil). We focused here mostly on terrestrial subterranean habitats and only 135 partly on groundwater systems (see Green et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2013 for more 136 arguments on groundwaters). We did not considered marine caves. A definition of the 137 138 jargon used in the text is presented in Box 1. Throughout the text, the term "cave" is used to refer to the extended network of fissures and cracks that permeate most substrates, 139 140 even if their size is not commensurable to the human-scale (Romero, 2012).

141

142 **II. ABIOTIC FEATURES**

143 (1) Subterranean climate

A wealth of literature documents the ecological peculiarity of the subterranean realm, and 144 how distinct it is from surface habitats. Whilst the absence of solar radiation is possibly the 145 146 most crucial factor conditioning the ecology of subsurface habitats (Culver and Pipan, 2015), the most important feature that corroborates the idea that caves are ideal 147 laboratories for the study of climate change in natural environment is their unique climatic 148 149 stability. Indeed, deep subterranean habitats have, in most cases, an almost constant 150 temperature over the year and a relative humidity often close to saturation (Cigna, 2002; Badino, 2010). 151

152 The cave temperature is coupled with surface atmosphere temperature. The surface ground thermal signal is transferred by conduction through the bedrock, eventually 153 reaching the depth of the cave (Domínguez-Villar et al., 2013). External air penetrating 154 155 caves rapidly reaches a nearly constant temperature along the entrance sectors due to the buffering effect associated to the increase of relative humidity, and the progressive 156 equilibration with the temperature of the rock (Wigley and Brown, 1971; Wigley and Brown, 157 1976). As a result of this process, mean air temperature in cave interiors correspond 158 159 almost exactly to the average annual value of the outside temperature (Moore, 1964, Moore and Nicholas, 1964; Figure 1). 160

It is worth noting that, depending on the geophysical characteristics of the 161 ground layers above the cave, thermal differences between the cave and the external 162 mean annual temperature may occur. The main factor affecting diversion of cave 163 164 temperature from the mean annual atmosphere one in temperate climates is related to the type of vegetation cover of the area above the cave, since different levels of shading may 165 166 affect ground temperature (Domínguez-Villar et al., 2013). In addition, variations in solar radiation, the presence of long lasting snow cover and the evaporative cooling in soils 167 caused by evaporation, are other factors which may contribute to explain small thermal 168 169 disequilibria between cave and mean annual atmosphere temperatures (Beltrami and 170 Kellman, 2003; Yzaki et al., 2013). Finally, strong air circulation or significant water 171 streams can impact the cave temperature by the advection or radiation of heat from the 172 fluids (De Freitas and Littlejohn, 1987; Covington et al., 2011), although in those cases the internal temperature is also linked to the external one (Smithson, 1991; Kranjc and Opara, 173 2002). 174

175 One may argue about the possible effect of the geothermal gradient, as 176 temperature below the surface slowly increases with the vertical distance under the

surface (ca. 30 °C/km away from tectonic plates). However, the geothermal gradient is generally so small that tens to hundreds of meters are required to notice a shift in the thermal equilibrium between the external air temperature and the cave one (Luetscher and Jeannin, 2004). Moreover, in karst regions the geothermal gradient is further buffered by the advection of groundwater (Bögli, 1980), limiting the sources of heat variability affecting caves (Badino, 2010).

In light of the intimate relationship of cave temperature with the surface atmosphere temperature, it is no surprise that changes in surface atmosphere temperature results in shifts in the temperature recorded underground (Perrier et al., 2005; Figure 1).

186

187 (2) Climate change and the thermal inertia of caves

188 Theoretical models have predicted that climate warming will impact temperature in caves (Badino, 2004; Covington and Perne, 2015), and model-data comparisons have confirmed 189 such scenario (Domínguez-Villar et al., 2015; Šebela et al., 2015; Pipan et al., 2018), 190 indicating that anthropogenic climate alterations are currently modifying the subterranean 191 192 microclimate. Most caves show fairly stable air temperature in their interiors, as a result of the slow mechanism conveying underground the outer thermal signals by conduction. 193 Consequently, there is lag-time between the air temperature increase recorded at the 194 195 surface and its record in cave interiors. The delay depends on the cave depth (that is, the thickness of the rock above the cave) and on the duration of the anomaly (Domínguez-196 Villar, 2012). In the pioneer synthesis of Moore and Nicholas (1964), the authors 197 198 speculated with delay times in the order of hundreds to thousands of years for climate anomalies at the surface to reach the deeper sectors of karst massifs. In a study set in a 199 200 Slovenian cave located 37 m under the surface, the signal of the onset of global warming

was recorded 20 years later (Domínguez-Villar et al., 2015). However, it should be noticed that at the same site, cave conduits located closer to the surface were expected to record thermal anomalies earlier, whereas cave conduits located deeper into the karst massif are unlikely to have registered the thermal impact of climate warming yet.

205

206 (3) Potential implications of subterranean warming on abiotic features

In the past, caves have suffered climate changes that affected their temperature. Those changes were recorded in cave deposits (e.g., Mangini et al., 2005, Fairchild and Frisia, 209 2014), although during last millennia they had limited impact on the geophysical environment of most caves. An increase in cave temperature is associated to a higher relative content of water vapour required to reach saturation (i.e., 100% relative humidity). However, in most cases this has no environmental implication, since dripping water provides enough moisture to reach saturation.

214 Concentration of CO₂ in caves is a major control on the dissolution and precipitation 215 of carbonates. However, at the inter-annual timescale, the CO₂ concentration does not 216 depend on the cave temperature but on the soil CO₂ production and cave ventilation 217 (Fairchild and Baker, 2012). Therefore, subtle changes in cave temperature are not 218 expected to produce large geophysical changes.

However, the warming rate during the last decades is unusually large compared to changes recorded during previous millennia (Moberg et al., 2005), affecting the magnitude of thermal change per unit of time. Due to the required time to transfer the external thermal signal to caves, a thermal decoupling exists between the external temperature and the cave interior temperature during a climate change period. This decoupling affects the seasonal air flow in caves that, in most cases, is driven by gradients in air density, depending, in turn, from temperature differences between external

226 atmosphere and the cave interior. Thus, in most caves, enhanced ventilation occurs when the external temperature is below the cave temperature, whereas limited ventilation is 227 recorded during the rest of the year (e.g., Kowalczk and Froelich, 2010). Under a scenario 228 229 of thermal decoupling, the thermal difference between the external and the cave atmosphere increases during winters, and decreases during summers. This large thermal 230 decoupling may be affecting the duration of air flow regime in caves, causing longer 231 periods of enhanced ventilation and reducing the periods with limited ventilation. Despite 232 233 their potential implication for cave environments and their importance in determining seasonal concentrations of CO2 and other cave environmental parameters, changes in the 234 235 duration of seasonal air flows have not been studied in detail yet.

236

237 (4) Shallow Subterranean Habitats

Besides caves and the associated networks of fissures, superficial subterranean habitats (SSHs; Box 1) stand out as a group of subterranean habitats which are likely to be affected by the global temperature increase. As the name suggests, these habitats are restricted to areas close to the surface and, compared with caves, have higher energy inputs and higher intrinsic variability, including significant microclimatic variations (Gers, 1998; Pipan et al., 2011; Mammola et al., 2016).

The increased flux of energy from the atmosphere to the subterranean environment induced by climate change is expected to be primarily in the SSHs—see physical models in Mammola et al. (2016)—as well as in the most superficial sectors of caves (Badino, 2004). It is therefore expected that the temperature increase in these habitats will parallel the external one almost synchronically. Compared to the deep subterranean sectors, effects on the SSH fauna are expected to be more immediate.

250

251 **III. BIOTIC FEATURES**

252 (1) Subterranean organisms

The adaptive morphology of subterranean animals has attracted the attention of 253 254 evolutionary biologists since the discovery of life in caves. Subterranean obligate species, either terrestrial (troglobionts) or aquatic (stygobionts), have indeed often evolved 255 256 behavioral, physiological and/or morphological traits to survive the peculiar conditions of the subterranean habitat (Box 1). Morphologically, they often lack eyes and pigmentation, 257 and evolved elongated appendages and an assortment of sensory organs to perceive the 258 environment by senses other than vision. Given the general low energy availability of the 259 260 subterranean environment, they often exhibit low metabolic rates with consequent slow growth rates, high fasting performances, delayed maturation, and extended longevity when 261 262 compared to their surface relatives (Hervant and Renault, 2002; Mezec et al., 2010; Voituron et al., 2011; Fišer et al., 2013). A charismatic example is offered by the first cave 263 species ever described, the olm Proteus anguinus Laurenti. This aguatic cave salamander 264 265 reaches sexual maturity at 16 years, lays eggs every 12 years, has an adult average 266 lifespan of nearly 70 years and a predicted maximum lifespan of over 100 years (Voituron et al., 2011). 267

268

269 (2) Potential species sensitivity to climate change

While much attention has been given to the effects of global climate change on surface organisms, communities and ecosystems, studies about the influence of temperature increase on subterranean biota are still at their infancy (Table 1). The contrasting response obtained on different animal groups indicate that the sensitivity of subterranean species to altered climatic conditions is likely to depend on phylogeny, evolutionary history and by the degree of subterranean adaptations or other functional traits.

The most important, yet heterogeneous, evidence about the sensitivity of 276 subterranean species to the ongoing climate change derives from physiological tests. As a 277 direct result of a long evolutionary history in a thermally stable environment, it is 278 279 theoretically expected that most subterranean species should exhibit a stenothermal profile (sensu Huey and Kingsolver, 1989), which maximises their physiological 280 281 performance over a narrow temperature range. However, in a climate change perspective, 282 adaptation to narrow ranges of temperature turns out to be a strong limitation. Indeed, 283 while most invertebrates living in the vicinity of the surface have the capacity to withstand temperature variations, most deep subterranean species lack such thermoregulatory 284 285 mechanisms (Novak et al., 2014; Raschmanová et al., 2018). In certain species, even a positive or negative variation of 2°C in respect to their habitat temperature proved to be 286 287 fatal (Mermillod-Blondin et al., 2013). However, the figure remains rather crude, as most studies conducted so far focused on single model taxa and thus lacked a wider 288 289 phylogenetic perspective (but see Pallarés et al., 2019). For instance, tests carried on 290 subterranean beetles are divergent, pointing out a wide thermal niche for numerous 291 species of Cholevidae (Rizzo et al., 2015; Sánchez-Fernández et al., 2016). In addition, it has been shown that two cold stenothermal cave-dwelling beetles in the genus 292 293 Neobathyscia (Coleoptera: Catopidae) have the ability to synthesise heat shock proteins, which provide resistance to heat exposure (Bernabò et al., 2011). The same kind of pattern 294 (inducible HSP70 heat shock response) has been observed in subterranean amphipods in 295 the genus Niphargus (Crustacea: Amphipoda) (Colson-Proch et al., 2010). 296

Also, contrasting results were obtained by different authors focusing on survival and performance patterns in stygobiont crustaceans living in thermally buffered subterranean aquatic habitats. Physiological tests suggested that certain species have lost the mechanisms for withstanding thermal variation, while certain others have not (Mathieu,

1968; Issartel et al., 2005; Colson-Proch et al., 2010; Mermillod-Blondin et al., 2013). 301 Moreover, an intra-specific variability in the thermal performance across different 302 populations of Niphargus rhenorhodanensis Schellenberg was demonstrated (Colson-303 304 Proch et al., 2009). Some of this variability may be the result of different cave habitats. For example, epikarst (an SSH) inhabitants face a wider range of temperatures than do deep 305 306 cave inhabitants (see Pipan et al., 2011). One would expect species facing this increased range of temperatures to have an increased thermal tolerance, but there are no data to 307 308 directly bear on this point. It seems clear that thermal tolerance is necessarily speciesspecific, and the resulting bioindicator potential should be evaluated on a case-by-case 309 310 basis.

311 Apart from thermal tolerance, it is worth noting that air moisture content (i.e. humidity) is one of the most important limiting factors for terrestrial cave obligate species (Howarth, 312 313 1980, 1983; Simões et al., 2015). For example, Howarth (1980) tested in laboratory the longevity of spiderlings of Lycosa howarthi Gertsch (Araneae: Lycosidae) at three distinct 314 values of relative humidity (100%, 95%, and 90%). At 90% the longevity dropped to one-315 fourth of the mean value at 100% (15.4±0.9 versus 61.8±1.3 days), pointing toward a 316 pronounced sensitivity to saturation deficit. Accordingly, the maintenance of high humidity 317 318 levels appears to be essential for the survival of different troglobionts. This is generally explained by the high cuticular permeability of many species, associated with a low 319 320 resistance to desiccation (Howarth, 1980, 1983; Hadley et al., 1981). As previously 321 explained, in caves from regions with limited water infiltration, relative humidity of cave 322 environments may be reduced as a consequence of the cave temperature increase. However, the impacts associated to the alteration of relative humidity due to increased 323 324 temperature is rather limited when compared to role of infiltrating water in karst (Eraso, 1962). Nevertheless, in many regions of the planet, such as the Mediterranean, it adds on 325

top of the decrease in precipitation (Xoplaki et al., 2004) that is reducing infiltration of water in karst, pushing caves towards lower relative humidity scenarios. Consequently, drops in the relative humidity in some regions of the planet are likely to play a critical role in the species response of subterranean species to climate change (Shu et al., 2013).

330

331 (3) Eco-evolutionary response to climate change

Despite the predicted and observed extinction of different taxa across terrestrial and 332 aquatic biomes (Thomas et al., 2004, Cahill et al., 2013), Bellard et al. (2012) underlined 333 how species can respond to climate change by shifting their climatic niche along three 334 non-exclusive axes: time (e.g. phenology), space (e.g. range) and self (e.g. physiology). 335 However, studies observing eco-evolutionary responses mostly concern surface 336 337 organisms, while subterranean species may not be able to adapt in the same way. As a rule of thumb, subterranean species can theoretically couple with climatic alterations only 338 by shifting their distributional range (i.e. spatial information) or by adapting to the new 339 340 environmental conditions (i.e. physiological information). It must be added that it is difficult 341 to integrate temporal information as phenology in caves is not directly linked to climatic factors (e.g. light, temperature; Figure 2). 342

343 If the dispersal capacity is enough to accompany the spatial change in temperatures, one of the most common response of surface organisms to climate change 344 is the altitudinal and/or latitudinal shift of distribution ranges (Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; 345 346 Chen et al., 2011). However, most subterranean systems are geographically isolated, acting as islands for many species (e.g., Cardoso, 2012; Niemiller et al., 2013; Fattorini et 347 348 al., 2016; Rizzo et al., 2017). This ecological feature, together with physiological constraints, caused an extreme reduction in the dispersal potential of most troglobionts 349 350 (Bohonak, 1999). Indeed, as discussed in Juan et al. (2010), a breadth of studies

351 uncovered pronounced genetic structuring and low gene flow between populations inhabiting different caves. Thus, long range dispersal events in troglobionts are extremely 352 unlikely and, in fact, available future projections point at reductions in troglobiont ranges 353 354 rather than range expansions or shifts (Sánchez-Fernández et al., 2016; Mammola et al., 2018). In this respect, due to the same kind of barriers inhibiting local migrations, 355 356 altitudinal shifts may be equally unlikely. However, it is worth noting that isolation between caves should be theoretically higher for troglobionts than for stygobionts, as subterranean 357 waters present broader connections than subterranean terrestrial habitats (Christman and 358 Culver, 2001), often resulting in aquatic subterranean species having wider ranges then 359 360 terrestrial ones (Lamoreaux, 2004). Also, it has been recently shown that adaptation to subterranean habitats is not always a one-way evolutionary path, with subterranean 361 362 species being occasionally able to recolonise and widely disperse in surface habitats (Prendini et al., 2009, Copilas-Ciocianu et al., 2018). 363

At a smaller scale, in response to climate change cave species could 364 theoretically change their spatial distribution inside the cave system itself (Fejér and 365 366 Moldovan, 2013; Mammola et al., 2015, 2017; Lunghi et al., 2017). Evidence suggests that a number of subterranean species are indeed able to seasonally migrate toward greater 367 368 depths and vice versa (e.g., Juberthie, 1969; Crouau-Roy et al., 1992; Tobin et al., 2013). However, a permanent shift in the species spatial niche toward greater depths may be less 369 likely, because this would affect the general energetic needs, as the energy input far from 370 the surface is generally scarcer especially in temperate oligotrophic caves (Gers, 1998; 371 Souza-Silva et al., 2011; Venarsky et al., 2014). Moreover, most of specialised 372 subterranean arthropods are confined in caves lacking climatic heterogeneity to be 373 exploited (Figure 2). 374

375 If enough genetic variability is encompassed by a population, allowing for a change of dominant traits over time, adaptive evolution of physiology may be the best response to 376 climate warming (Bradshaw and Holzapfel, 2006; Visser, 2008; Williams et al., 2008). In 377 378 particular, physiological adaptation to increasing temperatures has been reported in surface organisms, resulting in increased metabolic rate and faster growth (Hughes, 379 380 2000). Although physiological modifications are hard to be measured or predicted, 381 previous studies suggest that subterranean organisms exhibit decreased metabolic 382 plasticity, i.e., show far less elevation of metabolism than their close surface relatives in response to higher temperatures (Dresco-Derouet, 1959; Vandel, 1965; Hervant and 383 384 Mathieu, 1997). Indeed, habitat specialists often present low functional variability due to specialisation (but see Faille et al., 2010; Juan et al., 2010; Ribera et al., 2010). This in 385 turn limits the evolutionary potential even within large populations (Kellermann et al., 386 2006). With a relatively stable habitat and restricted distribution, genetic variability of cave 387 388 populations is in fact often very low (Juan et al., 2010; but see Cieslak et al., 2014; Stern et 389 al., 2017). In addition, cave species typically have low reproductive rates, slow 390 growing/maturing and long life-span (Voituron et al., 2011). These characteristics generally constrain rapid evolutionary change (McKinney, 1997). 391

392

393 (4) Inter-connection with other systems

394

The arguments presented in the previous section illustrate the potential eco-evolutionary responses of cave species to climate change within the subterranean domain. However, it is worth noting that most subterranean habitats are semi-closed systems, thus interconnected and strongly dependent of other environments, especially surface habitats.

Being light deficient, the subterranean ecosystem is strongly dependent from the outside ecosystems providing the fundamental energy inputs for its maintenance (Gibert

401 and Deharveng, 2002)—although chemolithotrophic organisms may account for primary production within caves (Northup and Lavoie, 2001). Trophic inputs mainly consist of 402 organic materials passively transported underground, as well as by active migration of 403 404 animals within some caves. Consequently, energy flow in a typical subterranean habitat is strongly influenced by seasonal fluctuations (Culver and Pipan, 2009) and the supply of 405 406 organic matter might also increase with current surface land-use changes (Wilhelm et al., 407 2006). Phenological shifts—the advancement or postponing of annual phenomena—in 408 relation to global change have been extensively documented in surface species (Peñuelas and Filella, 2001), especially in plants (Cleland et al., 2007; Wolkovich et al., 2012). It is 409 410 thus theoretically expected that the amount and timing of allochtonous energy inputs in caves will change accordingly, with direct effects on the subterranean biota. 411

412 It is also well documented how climate changes will enhance invasion processes, causing the introduction and spread of alien species (Bellard et al., 2013), 413 which are expected to affect cave biology (Wynne et al., 2014). Accordingly, several 414 415 studies have documented the potential recent spread of pathogen fungi in terrestrial 416 subterranean habitats (Escobar et al., 2014) or of alien species in marine caves (Gerovasileiou et al., 2016). Furthermore, dramatic modification of surface habitats (e.g., 417 418 aridification and deforestation), may also induce changes in the hydrological regimes of 419 caves, with consequent further degradation of the cave ecosystem (Trajano et al., 2009, 420 Bichuette and Trajano, 2010).

From a slightly different perspective, it is likely that some soil and surface organisms may exploit subterranean environments, especially cave entrances and SSHs, as refugial area in a climate change scenario. Indeed, it can be argued that the critical difference between caves or other subterranean habitats and surface habitats is that temperature extremes are buffered. If these extremes are what constitute a physiological

challenge, then these habitats may serve as a refuge. For instance, different soil arthropods (e.g. springtails, woodlouse) may find more favourable conditions (e.g. lower desiccation level, lower predation level) in the subterranean habitats that in surface habitats (Fernandes et al., 2016; Mammola et al., 2016). Similarly, arthropods adapted to cold environments may find safer places for survival in some subterranean habitats as in karst areas (Raschmanova et al., 2015).

These examples do not intend to be exhaustive, but we rather aim to highlight the importance of considering the deep relation between caves and other ecosystems, and thus to consider the reciprocal interaction between the underground and the above-ground world. However, in lack of specific studies involving the collaboration of cave-based scientists and ecologists operating in other research fields, most of these interactions are still difficult to disclose and predict.

438

439 **IV. FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

440 We have discussed that caves represent remarkable examples of natural laboratories in 441 which the climatic conditions are as homogeneous as the one that could be obtained in a laboratory. Thus, in contrast with fluctuating surface temperatures, temperature 442 measurements in caves allow researchers to readily detect temperature variations related 443 to climate warming (see, e.g., Fejér and Moldovan, 2013; Šebela et al., 2015; Domínguez-444 Villar et al., 2015). Currently monitoring programs in caves mostly involves the analysis of 445 446 speleothems, which requires a single visit to obtain a sample (Pipan et al. 2018). Indeed, the sheltered environment in which speleothems grow, and their capacity to preserve even 447 448 sub-annual climatic events, offers a certain potential for their use as climatic archives of the Anthropocene (Fairchild and Frisia, 2014; Fairchild, 2017; Waters et al., 2017). On the 449 450 other hand, long-term monitoring programs within karst settings are currently very rare

(Brookfield et al., 2016; Pipan et al., 2018); we thus point out that monitoring programs
should be established for relevant abiotic and biotic variables—see, e.g., the notable case
of Postojna cave (Šebela and Turk, 2011; Šebela et al., 2015; Pipan et al., 2018).

454 With small distribution ranges (due to the isolation among subterranean systems), small population size (due to low energy availability), and restricted habitat (by 455 definition), cave organisms often fulfil all forms of rarity (Rabinowitz, 1981; Gaston, 1994). 456 457 Species with small range and abundance are expected to have low adaptive potential in the face of environmental change (Williams et al., 2008). Subterranean species are in fact 458 prevalent on conservation priority lists (Martín et al., 2010; Cardoso et al., 2011; IUCN, 459 2017). We have shown that a significant number of subterranean species cannot 460 461 accommodate to changing conditions by dispersal or microhabitat use, and the only possibility to cope with climate change will be to persist in situ. However, if natural 462 463 populations cannot adapt to environmental change by means of adaptive shifts, they should be more prone to local extinction due to the direct effects of climate change than 464 465 their surface counterparts. We therefore advocate the need for long-term monitoring programs for cave species, namely those with high threat status. 466

467 Nevertheless, it is worth noting that not all subterranean species are likely to become 468 extinct in light of a warming climate. Depending on the organisms under consideration and its degree of subterranean adaptation, a variety of possible responses to an altered 469 470 climate has to be expected. Whilst a great deal of attention is paid to troglobionts, there are high-dispersal subterranean species which may, on the contrary, be able to respond 471 with dispersal to climatic alterations (e.g., Mammola and Isaia, 2017). We have also 472 discussed that movements of faunas from surface to subterranean habitats, and vice 473 474 versa, can be expected. The confrontation of these opposite displacements, even if they 475 are currently rare, might become a research priority in the next future, because of the rapid

476 changes observed in surface habitats and the loss of climatic stability of subterranean 477 ones. In that context, analytical approaches typically used in landscape and island 478 ecology—e.g. source-sink and metapopulations dynamics (Pipan et al., 2010; Moldovan et 479 al., 2012; Fattorini et al., 2016; Trajano and de Carvalho, 2017)—will become helpful to 480 document the amplitude of these reciprocal movements.

481 A general caveat to consider in discussing this topic is that studies conducted so far have been mostly correlative, meaning that a causal attribution of recent biological trends 482 483 to climate change in subterranean species is currently lacking. Although it is difficult to overcome this impediment, it is likely that advances can be done both by studying multiple 484 485 subterranean systems and by combining multiple lines of evidence (Mammola and Leroy, 2018; Pipan et al., 2018). For instance, the simultaneous use of physiological data, genetic 486 487 evidence and forecast derived from statistical projections has a great deal of potential. There is little doubt that this integrated approach would greatly benefit the study of climate 488 change dynamics in deep subterranean habitats, prompting a fast and significant advance 489 490 in knowledge.

491 492

493 V. CONCLUSIONS

494

(1) Due to their intrinsic environmental stability, subterranean ecosystems are unique models for the study of global change biology. However, monitoring programs of caves abiotic conditions are rare and we still have a limited understanding of the mechanisms that underlie survival of the most adapted species to climatic and environmental alterations, even more so in tropical regions.

500

501 (2) Most subterranean species are expected to have fine-tuned their thermal physiology to 502 the narrow and stable condition of their habitats, and should have low adaptive potential in

the face of environmental change. The contrasting response obtained on different animal groups suggest that the sensitivity of subterranean species to temperature variations is likely to significantly differ depending on phylogeny, evolutionary history and degree of subterranean adaptation.

507

(3) Subterranean communities are relatively depauperate, with less redundancy in
 ecological roles among species. Disruption of trophic webs and species interactions is
 therefore much likely in such communities.

511

(4) Some external organisms may be able to exploit subterranean environments as refugia in a climate change scenario. However, if caves can be seen as shelters for preadapted surface and soil species in the face of rapid climate change, they may become dead-end traps for their current inhabitants, being characterised by a poor dispersal ability a low adaptation potential.

517

518 (5) When thinking about the ecology of subterranean ecosystems, it is crucial to consider 519 their interconnections with other environments, especially surface habitats. Studies 520 involving the collaboration of cave-based scientists with ecologists operating in other 521 research fields are likely to produce a more realistic picture of the effects of climate change 522 in the underground world.

523

(6) We encourage renewed effort to better characterise the dynamic processes and challenges associated with global climate change in deep subterranean habitats. Evidence arising from such studies would not exclusively be important for the conservation of the subterranean fauna, but more generally they would contribute to increase our

528	understanding about the effects of rapid global changes on the wider variety of
529	ecosystems exhibiting with low thermal seasonality, such as soils and deep sea waters.
530	
531	
532	
533	
534	References
535	Adams RA (2018) Dark side of climate change: species- specific responses and first indications of disruption in spring altitudinal migration in myotis bats. <i>Journal of Zoology</i>
537	304(4): 268–275. doi:10.1111/jzo.12526
538	
539 540	Badino G (2004) Cave temperatures and global climatic change. <i>International Journal of Speleology</i> 33(1): 103–114. doi:10.5038/1827-806X.33.1.10
541	
542 543	Badino G (2010) Underground meteorology. What's the weather underground?. <i>Acta Carsologica</i> 39: 427–448. doi:10.3986/ac.v39i3.74
544	
545 546	Barr TC Jr. (1968) Cave ecology and the evolution of troglobites. In: Dobzhansky T, Hecht MK and Steere WC, <i>Evolutionary Biology</i> . Boston, MA: Springer.
547	
548	Bellard C, Bertelsmeier C, Leadley P et al. (2012) Impacts of climate change on the future
549	of biodiversity. Ecology letters 15(4): 365–377. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01736
550 551	Bellard C. Thuiller W. Leroy B et al. (2013) Will climate change promote future invasions?.
552	<i>Global change biology</i> 19(12): 3740–3748. doi:10.1111/gcb.12344
553	
554 555	Brielmann H, Griebler C, Schmidt SI et al. (2009) Effects of thermal energy discharge on shallow groundwater ecosystems. <i>FEMS Microbiology Ecology</i> 68: 273–286.
556	doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00674.x

557 Brielmann H, Lueders T, Schreglmann K et al. (2011) Shallow geothermal energy usage 558 559 and its potential impacts on groundwater ecosystems. Grundwasser 16: 77-91. 560 561 Beltrami H and Kellman L (2003). An examination of short-and long-term air-ground 291-303. temperature coupling. Global planetary change 38(3-4): 562 and 563 doi:10.1016/S0921-8181(03)00112-7 564 Bernabò P, Latella L, Jousson O et al. (2011) Cold stenothermal cave-dwelling beetles do 565 566 have an HSP70 heat shock response. Journal of Thermal Biology 36(3): 206-208. 567 doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2011.03.002 568 Bichuette ME and Trajano E (2010) Conservation of Subterranean Fishes. In: Trajano E, 569 570 Bichuette ME and Kapoor BC *Biology of subterranean fishes*. CRC Press. 571 Bögli A (1980) Karst hydrology and physical speleolology. Berlin: Springer Verlag. 572 573 574 Bohonak AJ (1999) Dispersal, gene flow and population structure. The Quarterly Review of Biology 74(1): 21–45. 575 576 577 Bradshaw WE and Holzapfel CM (2006) Evolutionary response to rapid climate change. 578 Science 312: 1477–1478. doi:10.1126/science.1127000 579 580 Brandmayr P, Giorgi F, Casale A et al. (2013) Hypogean carabid beetles as indicators of 581 global warming?. Environmental Research Letters 8(4): 044047. doi:10.1088/1748-582 9326/8/4/044047 583 Cahill AE, Aiello-Lammens ME, Fisher-Reid MC et al. (2012) How does climate change 584 cause extinction?. Proceeding of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 280: 20121890. 585 586 doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.1890

587

588 Cardoso P (2012) Diversity and community assembly patterns of epigean vs. troglobiont 589 spiders in the Iberian Peninsula. *International Journal of Speleology* 41(1): 83–94. 590 doi:10.5038/1827-806X.41.1.9

591

592 Cardoso P, Borges PA, Triantis KA et al. (2011) Adapting the IUCN Red List criteria for 593 invertebrates. *Biological conservation* 144(10): 2432–2440.

594 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2011.06.020

595

596 Chen IC, Hill JK, Ohlemüller R et al. (2011) Rapid range shifts of species associated with 597 high levels of climate warming. *Science* 333(6045): 1024–1026.

598 doi:10.1126/science.1206432

599

600 Chevaldonné P and Lejeusne C (2003) Regional warming- induced species shift in
601 north- west Mediterranean marine caves. *Ecology Letters* 6(4): 371–379.
602 doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00439

603

604 Christiansen KA (2012) Morphological adaptations. In: White WB and Culver DC 605 *Encyclopedia of caves*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

606

607 Christman MC and Culver DC (2001) The relationship between cave biodiversity and 608 available habitat. *Journal of Biogeography* 28: 367–380. doi:10.1046/j.1365-609 2699.2001.00549

610

Cieslak A, Fresneda J and Ribera I (2014) Life-history specialization was not an
evolutionary dead-end in Pyrenean cave beetles. *Proceeding of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281(1781): 20132978. doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2978

614

615 Cigna AA (2002) Modern trend(s) in cave monitoring. *Acta Carsologica* 31: 35–54.
616 doi:10.3986/ac.v31i1.402

617

- Cleland EE, Chuine I, Menzel A et al. (2007) Shifting plant phenology in response to global
 change. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 22(7): 357–365. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2007.04.003
- 621 Colson-Proch C, Morales A, Hervant F et al. (2010) First cellular approach of the effects of 622 global warming on groundwater organisms: a study of the HSP70 gene expression. *Cell*
- 623 Stress and Chaperones 15(3): 259–270. doi:10.1007/s12192-009-0139-4
- 624
- Colson-Proch C, Renault D, Gravot A et al. (2009) Do current environmental conditions
 explain physiological and metabolic responses of subterranean crustaceans to cold?. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 212(12): 1859–1868. doi:10.1242/jeb.027987
- 628
- 629 Colucci RR, Fontana D, Forte E et al. (2016). Response of ice caves to weather extremes
- 630 in the southeastern Alps, Europe. *Geomorphology* 261: 1–11.
- 631 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.02.017
- 632
- Copilaş-Ciocianu D, Fišer C, Borza P et al. (2018) Is subterranean lifestyle reversible?
 Independent and recent large-scale dispersal into surface waters by two species of the
 groundwater amphipod genus Niphargus. *Molecular phylogenetics and evolution* 119: 37–
 doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2017.10.023
- 637
- 638 Covington MD and Perne M (2015) Consider a cylindrical cave: a physicist's view of cave 639 and karst science. *Acta Carsologica* 44: 363–380. doi:10.3986/ac.v44i3.1925

- 641 Covington MD, Luhmann AJ, Gabrovsek F et al. (2011) Mechanisms of heat exchange
- 642 between water and rock in karst conduits. *Water Resources Research* 47: W10514.
- 643 doi:10.1029/2011WR010683

- 645 Crouau- Roy B, Crouau Y and Ferre C (1992) Dynamic and temporal structure of the
 646 troglobitic beetle Speonomus hydrophilus (Coleoptera: Bathyscimae). *Ecography* 15(1):
 647 12–18. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.1992.tb00002
- 648

649 650	Culver DC (1982). <i>Cave life: evolution and ecology</i> . Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.
651 652 653 654	Culver DC and Pipan T (2009) <i>The Biology of Caves and other Subterranean Habitats.</i> Oxford: Oxford University Press.
655 656 657	Culver DC and Pipan T (2014) Shallow Subterranean Habitats. Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
658 659 660	Culver DC and Pipan T (2015) Shifting paradigms of the evolution of cave life. Acta Carsologica 44(3): 415–425. doi:10.3986/ac.v44i3.1688
661 662	Curl RL (1964) On the definition of a cave. National Speleological Society Bullettin 26: 1–6.
663 664 665 666	De Freitas CR and Littlejohn RN (1987) Cave climate: assessment of heat and moisture exchange. <i>International Journal of Climatology</i> 7(6): 553–569. doi:10.1002/joc.3370070604
667 668 669 670	Di Lorenzo T and Galassi DMP (2017) Effect of temperature rising on the stygobitic crustacean species <i>Diacyclops belgicus</i> : does global warming affect groundwater populations?. <i>Water</i> 9: 951. doi:10.3390/w9120951
671 672	Domínguez-Villar D (2012). Heat flux. In: Fairchild IJ and Baker A Speleothem Science. From processes to past environments. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
673 674 675	Domínguez-Villar D, Lojen S, Krklec K et al. (2015) Is global warming affecting cave
676	temperatures? Experimental and model data from a paradigmatic case study. Climate
677	dynamics 45(3-4): 569-581. doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2226-1
678	

Domínguez-Villar D, Fairchild IJ, Baker A et al. (2013) Reconstruction of cave air 679 temperature based on surface atmosphere temperature and vegetation changes: 680 implications for speleothem palaeoclimate records. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 681 682 369–370: 158–168. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.017 683 684 Dresco-Derouet L (1959) Contribution a l'étude de la biologie de deux Crustacés 685 aquatiques cavernicoles: Caecosphaeroma burgundum D. et Niphargus virei Ch. Vie et 686 687 *Milieu* 10: 321–346. 688 Eraso A (1962) Ideas sobre la climática subterránea. Estudios del grupo Espeleológico 689 Alavés 21-41. 690 691 692 Escobar LE, Lira-Noriega A, Medina-Vogel G et al. (2014) Potential for spread of the 693 white-nose fungus (Pseudogymnoascus destructans) in the Americas: use of Maxent and 694 695 NicheA to assure strict model transference. Geospatial health 9(1): 221-229. 696 Faille A, Ribera I, Deharveng L et al. (2010) A molecular phylogeny shows the single origin 697 698 of the Pyrenean subterranean Trechini ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae). *Molecular* Phylogenetics and Evolution 54: 97–106. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2009.10.008 699 700 701 Fairchild IJ (2017) Geochemical records in Speleothems. In: DellaSala D and Goldstein M 702 Encyclopedia of the Anthropocene. Elsevier Reference Modules. 703 704 Fairchild IJ and Baker A (2012) Speleothem science: from processes to past environments. 705 Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell. 706 707 Fairchild IJ and Frisia S (2014) Definition of the Anthropocene: a view from the underworld. 708 In: Waters C, Zalasiewicz J, Williams JM et al. A Stratigraphical Basis for the 709 Anthropocene. Geological Society Special Publication 395. 710

Fattorini S, Borges PA, Fiasca B et al. (2016) Trapped in the web of water:
Groundwater- fed springs are island- like ecosystems for the meiofauna. *Ecology and evolution* 6(23): 8389–8401. doi:10.1002/ece3.2535

714

Fejér A and Moldovan OT (2013) Population size and dispersal patterns for a *Drimeotus*(Coleoptera, Leiodidae, Leptodirini) cave population. *Subterranean Biology* 11: 31–44.
doi:10.3897/subtbiol.11.4974

718

Fernandes CS, Batalha MA and Bichuette ME (2016) Does the cave environment reduce
functional diversity?. *PloS ONE* 11(3): e0151958. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151958

721

Fernandez-Cortes A, Cuezva S, Alvarez-Gallego M et al. (2015) Subterranean
atmospheres may act as daily methane sinks. *Nature communications* 6: 7003.
doi:10.1038/ncomms8003

725

Fišer C, Zagmajster M, and Zakšek V. 2013. Coevolution of life history traits and morphology in female subterranean amphipods. *Oikos* 122: 770–778. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2012.20644.x

729

730 Gaston KJ (1994) Rarity. Dordrecht: Springer.

731

732 Gerovasileiou V, Voultsiadou E, Issaris Y et al. (2016) Alien biodiversity in Mediterranean

733 marine caves. *Marine Ecology* 37(2): 239–256. doi:10.1111/maec.12268

734

735 Gers C (1998) Diversity of energy fluxes and interactions between arthropod communities:

736 from soil to cave. Acta Oecologica 19(3): 205–213. doi:10.1016/S1146-609X(98)80025-8

738	Gibert J and Deharveng L (2002) Subterranean ecosystems: a truncated functional							
739	biodiversity. <i>Bioscience</i> 52: 473–481. doi:10.1641/0006-							
740	3568(2002)052[0473:SEATFB]2.0.CO;2							
741								
742 743 744 745	Green TR, Taniguchi M, Kooi H et al. 2011 Beneath the surface of global change: impacts of climate change on groundwater. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i> 405: 532–560. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.05.002							
746	Griebler C, Brielmann H, Haberer CM et al. (2016) Potential impacts of geothermal energy							
747	use and storage of heat on groundwater quality, biodiversity and ecosystem processes.							
748	Environmental Earth Sciences 75: 1391. doi:10.1007/s12665-016-6207-z							
749								
750	Hadley NF, Ahearn GA and Howarth F (1981) Water and metabolic relations of cave-							
751	adapted and epigean lycosid spiders in Hawaii. Journal of Arachnology 9: 215–222.							
752								
753	Hervant F and Mathieu J (1997) Respiratory adaptations in epigean and hypogean aquatic							
754	crustaceans. Mémoires de Biospéologie 24: 77–82.							
755								
756	Hervant F and Renault D (2002) Long-term fasting and realimentation in hypogean and							
757	epigean isopods: a proposed adaptive strategy for groundwater organisms. Journal of							
758	Experimental Biology 205(14): 2079–2087.							
759								
760	Holt RD (1990) The microevolutionary consequences of climate change. Trends in Ecology							
761	and Evolution 5(9): 311–315. doi:10.1016/0169-5347(90)90088-U							
762								

Hortal J, Nabout JC, Calatayud J et al. (2014) Perspectives on the use of lakes and ponds
as model systems for macroecological research. *Journal of limnology* 73(1): 46–60.
doi:10.4081/jlimnol.2014.887

766

767 Howarth FG (1983) Ecology of cave arthropods. *Annual review of entomology* 28(1): 365768 389. doi:10.1146/annurev.en.28.010183.002053

769

Howarth FG (1980) The Zoogeography of specialized cave animals: a bioclimatic model. *Evolution* 34: 394–406. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1980.tb04827

772

Huey RB and Kingsolver JG (1989) Evolution of thermal sensitivity of ectotherm
performance. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 4(5): 131–135. doi:10.1016/01695347(89)90211-5

776

Issartel J, Hervant F, Voituron Y et al. (2005) Behavioural, ventilatory and respiratory
responses of epigean and hypogean crustaceans to different temperatures. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 141(1): 1–7.

780

Itescu Y (2018) Are island- like systems biologically similar to islands? A review of the
evidence. *Ecography*, early view. doi:10.1111/ecog.03951

783

Juan C, Guzik MT, Jaume D et al. (2010) Evolution in caves: Darwin's 'wrecks of ancient life' in the molecular era. *Molecular Ecology* 19(18): 3865–3880. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04759

787

788 Juberthie C (1969) Relations entre le climat, le microclimat et les Aphaenops cerberus dans le grotte de Sainte-Catherine (Ariege). Annales de Spéléologie 24: 75-104. 789 790 791 Kellermann VM, van Heerwaarden B, Hoffmann AA et al. (2006) Very low additive genetic 792 variance and evolutionary potential in multiple populations of two rainforest Drosophila species. Evolution 60(5): 1104-1108. doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2006.tb01187 793 794 Kowalczk AJ and Froelich PN (2010) Cave air ventilation and CO2 outgassing by radon-795 222 modeling: how fast do caves breathe?. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 289(1-2): 796 797 209-219. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.11.010 798 Kranjc A and Opara B (2002) Temperature monitoring in Škocjanske Jame Caves. Acta 799 800 Carsologica 31: 85–96. doi:10.3986/ac.v31i1.399 801 802 Krosby M, Wilsey CB, McGuire JL et al. (2015) Climate-induced range overlap among closely related species. Nature Climate Change 5(9): 883. doi:10.1038/nclimate2699 803 804 805 IUCN (2017) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2017-3. http://www.iucnredlist.org 806 807 Lamoreaux J (2004) Stygobites are more wide-ranging than troglobites. Journal of Cave 808 809 and Karst Studies 66: 18-19. 810 811 Lencioni V, Bernabò P and Latella L (2010) Cold resistance in two species of cave-dwelling 812 beetles (Coleoptera: Cholevidae). Journal of Thermal Biology 35(7): 354–359. 813 doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2010.07.004 814

815 816 817	Luetscher M and Jeannin PY (2004) Temperature distribution in karst systems: the role of air and water fluxes. <i>Terra Nova</i> 16: 344–350. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3121.2004.00572.x
818 819 820	Lunghi E, Manenti R and Ficetola GF (2017) Cave features, seasonality and subterranean distribution of non-obligate cave dwellers. <i>PeerJ</i> 5: e3169 doi:10.7717/peerj.3169
821	Martín JL, Cardoso P, Arechavaleta M et al. (2010) Using taxonomically unbiased criteria
822	to prioritize resource allocation for oceanic island species conservation. Biodiversity and
823	Conservation 19(6): 1659–1682. doi:10.1007/s10531-010-9795-z
824 825 826 827	Mammola S (2017) Modelling the future spread of native and alien congeneric species in subterranean habitats-the case of Meta cave-dwelling spiders in Great Britain. <i>International Journal of Speleology</i> 46(3): 427–437. doi:10.5038/1827-806
828	
829 830 831	Mammola S (2018) Finding answers in the dark: caves as models in ecology fifty years after Poulson and White. <i>Ecography</i> 41: 1–21. doi:10.1111/ecog.03905
832833834	Mammola S and Isaia M (2017) Rapid poleward distributional shifts in the European cave- dwelling <i>Meta</i> spiders under the influence of competition dynamics. <i>Journal of Biogeography</i> 44(12): 2789–2797. doi:10.1111/jbi.13087
835	
836 837	Mammola S and Leroy B (2018) Applying species distribution models to cves and other subterranean habitats. <i>Ecography</i> 41(7): 1194–1208. doi:10.1111/ecog.03464
838	
839 840 841	Mammola S, Goodacre SL and Isaia M (2018) Climate change may drive cave spiders to extinction. <i>Ecography</i> 41(1): 233–243. doi:10.1111/ecog.02902
842 843 844	Mammola S, Giachino PM, Piano E et al. (2016) Ecology and sampling techniques of an understudied subterranean habitat: the Milieu Souterrain Superficiel (MSS). <i>The Science of Nature</i> 103(11–12): 88. doi:10.1007/s00114-016-1413-9

Mammola S, Piano E, Giachino PM et al. (2015) Seasonal dynamics and micro-climatic
preference of two Alpine endemic hypogean beetles. *International Journal of Speleology*44(3): 239–249. doi:10.5038/1827-806X.44.3.3

849

Mammola S, Piano E, Giachino PM et al. (2017) An ecological survey of the invertebrate community at the epigean/hypogean interface. *Subterranean Biology* 24: 27–52. doi:10.3897/subtbiol.24.21585

853

Mangini A, Spötl C and Vedes P (2005) Reconstruction of temperature in the Central Alps
during the past 2000 yr from a δ¹⁸O stalagmite record. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 235: 741–751. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.05.010

857

Mathieu J (1968) Temperatures letales et acclimatation thermique chez Niphargus *longicaudatus* (Amphipode, Gammaride). *Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France* 93:
595–603.

861

McKinney ML (1997) Extinction vulnerability and selectivity: combining ecological and paleontological views. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 28(1): 495–516. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.28.1.495

865

Mermillod-Blondin F, Lefour C, Lalouette L et al. (2013) Thermal tolerance breadths among groundwater crustaceans living in a thermally constant environment. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 216(9): 1683–1694. doi:10.1242/jeb.081232

869

Mezek T, Simčič T, Arts MT et al. (2010) Effect of fasting on hypogean (*Niphargus stygius*)
and epigean (*Gammarus fossarum*) amphipods: a laboratory study. *Aquatic ecology*,
44(2): 397–408. doi:10.1007/s10452-009-9299-7

873

874 Moberg A, Sonechkin DM, Holmgren K et al. (2005) Highly variable Northern Hemisphere 875 temperatures reconstructed from low- and high-resolution proxy data. Nature 433: 613-876 617. doi:10.1038/nature03265 877 Moldovan OT, Meleg IN and Persoiu A (2012) Habitat fragmentation and its effects on 878 groundwater populations. Ecohydrology 5: 445-452. doi:10.1002/eco.237 879 880 881 Moore GW (1964) Cave temperature. National Speleological Society News 22: 57-60. 882 883 Moore GW and Nicholas G (1964) Out of phase seasonal temperature fluctuations in 884 Cathedral Cave, Kentucky. Geological Society of America Special Paper 76: 313. 885 Niemiller ML, McCandless JR, Reynolds RG et al. (2013) Effects of climatic and geological 886 887 processes during the Pleistocene on the evolutionary history of the northern cavefish, Amblyopsidae). 888 Amblyopsis spelaea (Teleostei: Evolution 67(4): 1011-1025. doi:10.1111/evo.12017 889 890 Northup DE and Lavoie KH (2001) Geomicrobiology of caves: a review. Geomicrobiology 891 892 journal 18(3): 199-222. doi:10.1080/01490450152467750 893 Novak T, Šajna N, Antolinc E et al. (2014) Cold tolerance in terrestrial invertebrates 894 inhabiting subterranean habitats. International Journal of Speleology 43(3): 265-272 895 896 (2014). doi:10.5038/1827-806X.43.3.3 897 Pallarés S, Colado R, Pérez-Fernández T et al. (2019) Heat tolerance and acclimation 898 899 capacity in unrelated subterranean arthropods living under common and stable thermal 900 conditions. BioRxiv: 598458. doi:10.1101/598458 901 902 Peñuelas J and Filella I (2001) Responses to a warming world. Science 294(5543): 793-795. doi:10.1126/science.1066860 903 904

Parmesan C and Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts
across natural systems. *Nature* 421: 37–42. doi:10.1038/nature01286

907

Perrier F, Le Mouël JL, Poirier, JP et al. (2005) Long-term climate change and surface
versus underground temperature measurements in Paris. *International Journal of Climatology* 25: 1619–1631. doi:10.1002/joc.1211

911

Pipan T, Holt N and Culver DC (2010) How to protect a diverse, poorly known, inaccessible
fauna: identification of source and sink habitats in the epikarst. *Aquatic Conservation Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 20: 748–755. doi:10.1002/aqc.1148

915

Pipan T, López H, Oromí P et al. (2011) Temperature variation and the presence of
troglobionts in terrestrial shallow subterranean habitats. Journal of Natural History 45(3–4):
253–273. doi:10.1080/00222933.2010.523797

919

Pipan T, Petrič M, Šebela S et al. (2018) Analyzing climate change and surface-subsurface
 interactions using the Postojna Planina Cave System (Slovenia) as a model system.
 Regional Environmental Change doi:10.1007/s10113-018-1349-z

923

Poulson TL and White WB (1969) The cave environment. *Science* 165: 971–981.

925 doi:10.1126/science.165.3897.971

926

Prendini L, Francke OF and Vignoli V (2009) Troglomorphism, trichobothriotaxy and
typhlochactid phylogeny (Scorpiones, Chactoidea): more evidence that troglobitism is not
an evolutionary dead end. *Cladistics* 25: 1–24. doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.2009.00277.x

930

Protas M and Jeffery WR (2012) Evolution and development in cave animals: from fish to
crustaceans. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology* 1(6): 823–845.
doi:10.1002/wdev.61

934

Raschmanová N, Miklisová D, Kováč L et al. (2015). Community composition and cold
tolerance of soil Collembola in a collapse karst doline with strong microclimate inversion. *Biologia* 70(6): 802–811. doi:10.1515/biolog-2015-0095

938

Raschmanová N, Šustr V, Kováč L et al. (2018) Testing the climatic variability hypothesis
in edaphic and subterranean Collembola (Hexapoda). *Journal of Thermal Biology* 78: 391–
400. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.11.004

942

Rabinowitz D (1981) Seven forms of rarity. In: Synge H Aspects of rare plant conservation.
New York: Wiley.

945

Ribera I, Fresneda J, Bucur R et al. (2010). Ancient origin of a Western Mediterranean
radiation of subterranean beetles. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 10: 29. doi:10.1186/14712148-10-29

949

Rizzo V, Sánchez-Fernández D, Fresneda J et al. (2015) Lack of evolutionary adjustment
to ambient temperature in highly specialized cave beetles. *BMC evolutionary biology*15(1): 10. doi:10.1186/s12862-015-0288-2

953

Rizzo V, Sánchez- Fernández D, Alonso R et al. (2017) Substratum karstificability,
dispersal and genetic structure in a strictly subterranean beetle. *Journal of Biogeography*44(11): 2527–2538. doi:10.1111/jbi.13074

957

958 Romero A (2012) Caves as biological space. *Polymath* 2(3): 1–15.

959

960 Root TL, Price JT, Hall KR et al. (2003) Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and
961 plants. *Nature* 421(6918): 57–60. doi:10.1038/nature01333

963 Sánchez-Fernández D, Rizzo V, Bourdeau C et al. (2018) The deep subterranean
964 environment as a model system in ecological, biogeographical and evolutionary research.
965 Subterranean Biology 25: 1–7. doi:10.3897/subtbiol.25.23530

966

967 Sánchez-Fernández D, Rizzo V, Cieslak A et al. (2016) Thermal niche estimators and the
968 capability of poor dispersal species to cope with climate change. *Scientific Reports* 6:
969 23381. doi:10.1038/srep23381

970

971 Santer BD, Wehner MF, Wigley TML et al. (2003) Contributions of anthropogenic and
972 natural forcing to recent tropopause height changes. *Science* 301(5632): 479–483.
973 doi:10.1126/science.1084123

974

975 Scholze M, Knorr W, Arnell NW et al. (2006) A climate-change risk analysis for world

976 ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103(35): 13116–3120.

977 doi:10.1073/pnas.0601816103

978

979 Šebela S and Turk J (2011) Local characteristics of Postojna Cave climate, air
980 temperature, and pressure monitoring. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* 105: 371–386.
981 doi:10.1007/s00704-011-0397-9

982

Šebela S, Turk J and Pipan T (2015) Cave micro-climate and tourism: towards 200 years
(1819–2015) at Postojnska jama (Slovenia). *Cave and Karst Science* 42(2): 78–85.

985

Shu SS, Jiang WS, Whitten T et al. (2013) Drought and China's cave species. *Science*340(6130); 272. doi:10.1126/science.340.6130.272-a

988

Simões MH, Souza-Silva M and Ferreira RL (2015) Cave physical attributes influencing
the structure of terrestrial invertebrate communities in Neotropics. *Subterranean Biology*16: 103–121. doi:10.3897/subtbiol.16.5470

992

Smithson PA (1991) Inter-relationships between cave and outside air temperatures. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* 44(1): 65–73.

996	Souza-Silva M, Parentoni MR and Ferreira LR (2011) Trophic dynamics in a neotropical
997	limestone cave. Subterranean Biology 9: 127–138. doi:10.3897/subtbiol.9.2515
998	
999	Stern DB, Breinholt J, Pedraza- Lara C et al. (2017) Phylogenetic evidence from
1000	freshwater crayfishes that cave adaptation is not an evolutionary dead-end. Evolution
1001	71(10): 2522–2532. doi:10.1111/evo.13326
1002	
1003	Taylor RG, Scanlon B, Döll P et al. 2013. Ground water and climate change. Nature
1004	Climate Change 3: 322–329. doi:10.1038/nclimate1744
1005	
1006	Thomas CD, Cameron A, Green RE et al. (2004) Extinction risk from climate change.
1007	Nature 427(6970): 145–148. doi:10.1038/nature02121
1008	
1009	Tobin BW, Hutchins BT and Schwartz BF (2013) Spatial and temporal changes in
1010	invertebrate assemblage structure from the entrance to deep-cave zone of a temperate
1011	marble cave. International Journal of Speleology 42(3): 203-214. doi:10.5038/1827-
1012	806X.42.3.4
1013	
1014	Trajano E and Carvalho MR de (2017) Towards a biologically meaningful classification of
1015	subterranean organisms: a critical analysis of the Schiner-Racovitza system from a

historical perspective, difficulties of its application and implications for conservation. *Subterranean Biology* 22: 1–26. doi:10.3897/subtbiol.22.9759

1018

1019 Trajano E, Secutti S and Bichuette ME (2009) Population decline in a Brazilian cave 1020 catfish, *Trichomycterus itacarambiensis* Trajano and Pinna, 1986 (Siluriformes): reduced 1021 flashflood as a probable cause. *Speleobiology Notes* 1: 24–27. doi:10.1590/1982-0224-1022 20170057

1023

1024 Vandel A (1965) *Biospeleology. The biology of cavernicolous animals*. Oxford: Pergamon
 1025 Press.

- 1027 Venarsky MP, Huntsman BM, Huryn AD et al. (2014) Quantitative food web analysis
 1028 supports the energy-limitation hypothesis in cave stream ecosystems. *Oecologia* 1029 176(3): 859–869. doi:10.1007/s00442-014-3042-3
- 1030
- 1031 Visser ME (2008) Keeping up with a warming world; assessing the rate of adaptation to
 1032 climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*,
 1033 275(1635): 649–659. doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2770
- 1034

1035 Voituron Y, De Fraipont M, Issartel J et al. (2010) Extreme lifespan of the human fish
1036 (Proteus anguinus): a challenge for ageing mechanisms. *Biology letters* 7: 105–107.
1037 doi:10.1098/rsbl.2010.0539

1038

1039 Walther GR, Post E, Convey P et al. (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate 1040 change. *Nature* 416(6879): 389–395. doi:10.1038/416389a

1041

Warren BH, Simberloff D, Ricklefs RE et al. (2015) Islands as model systems in ecology
and evolution: prospects fifty years after MacArthur- Wilson. *Ecology Letters* 18(2): 200217. doi:10.1111/ele.12398

1045

Waters CN, Zalasiewicz J, Summerhayes C et al. (2017) Global Boundary Stratotype
Section and Point (GSSP) for the Anthropocene Series: Where and how to look for
potential candidates. *Earth-Science Reviews* 178:379–429.
doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.12.016

1050

1051 Whittaker RJ, Fernández-Palacios JM, Matthews TJ et al. (2017) Island biogeography: 1052 Taking the long view of nature's laboratories. *Science* 357(6354): eaam8326.. 1053 doi:10.1126/science.aam8326

1054

1055 Wigley TML and Brown MC (1971) Geophysical applications of heat and mass transfer in 1056 turbulent pipe flow. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 1: 300–320.

Wigley TML and Brown MC (1976) The physics of Caves. In: Ford TD and Cullingford CHD
 The Science of Speleology. London: Academic Press. London.

1060

1061 Wilhelm FM, Taylor SJ and Adams GL (2006) Comparison of routine metabolic rates of the 1062 stygobite, *Gammarus acherondytes* (Amphipoda: Gammaridae) and the stygophile, 1063 *Gammarus troglophilus*. *Freshwater Biology* 51(6): 1162–1174. doi:10.1111/j.1365-1064 2427.2006.01564.x

1065

1066 Williams JW and Jackson ST (2007) Novel climates, no- analog communities, and 1067 ecological surprises. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 5(9): 475–482. 1068 doi:10.1890/070037

1069

1070 Williams M, Zalasiewicz J, Haff PK et al. (2015) The anthropocene biosphere. *The* 1071 *Anthropocene Review* 2(3): 196–219. doi:10.1177/2053019615591020

1072

1073 Williams SE, Shoo LP, Isaac JL et al. (2008) Towards an integrated framework for 1074 assessing the vulnerability of species to climate change. *PLoS biology* 6(12): e325. 1075 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060325

1076

1077 Wolkovich EM, Cook BI, Allen JM et al. (2012). Warming experiments underpredict plant 1078 phenological responses to climate change. *Nature* 485(7399): 494–497. 1079 doi:10.1038/nature11014

1080

1081 Wynne JJ, Bernard EC, Howarth FG et al. (2014) Disturbance relicts in a rapidly changing 1082 world: the Rapa Nui (Easter Island) factor. *BioScience* 64(8): 711–718. 1083 doi:10.1093/biosci/biu090

1084

Xoplaki E, González-Rouco JF, Luterbacher J et al. (2004) Wet season Mediterranean
precipitation variability: influence of large-scale dynamics and trends. *Climate Dynamics*23: 63–78. doi:10.1007/s00382-004-0422-0

Yazaki T, Iwata Y, Hirota T et al. (2013) Influences of winter climatic conditions on the
relation between annual mean soil and air temperatures from central to northern Japan. *Cold Regions Science and Technology* 85: 217–224.
doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2012.09.009

1093 TABLES & BOXES

1094 Box 1. Glossary

1095 **Shallow Subterranean Habitats (acronym SSH)**. The aphotic subterranean habitats 1096 close to the surface, harbouring species showing subterranean adaptations. These include 1097 small emerging drainages (hypotelminorheic habitats), small cavities in the uppermost 1098 karst layers (epikarst), lava tubes, deep soil and litter strata, talus slopes, surface cracks 1099 and fissures (*Milieu Souterrain Superficiel*; MSS) (Culver & Pipan, 2014).

Subterranean habitats. All the aphotic subterranean spaces harbouring species showing adaptation to subterranean life (troglomorphic traits). These include human-accessible natural subterranean spaces (i.e. "proper caves" *sensu* Curl, 1964), network of fissures with sizes smaller than the human-scale and artificial subterranean habitats (mines, blockhouses, cellars, etc.) (Culver & Pipan, 2009).

Troglobiont/Stygobiont. A terrestrial (troglo-) or aquatic (stygo-) species having its source population in the subterranean habitat (Trajano & Carvalho, 2017). Usually shows pronounced adaptation to the subterranean conditions.

1108 Troglophile/Stygophile. A terrestrial (troglo-) or aquatic (stygo-) species forming source
1109 populations both in subterranean and surface habitats (Trajano & Carvalho, 2017).

Troglomorphism. The suite of morphological, physiological and behavioral adaptations to the subterranean habitat (Christiansen, 2012). Examples of troglomorphic traits include pigment loss, eye regression and parallel increase in the development of other receptors and sensory organs, reduction in metabolic rate, increase in life span.

- 1114
- 1115
- 1116
- 1117

1118 **Table 1**. A selection of recent experimental studies investigating the response of 1119 subterranean organisms to global climate change. Only articles written in English are 1120 reported.

Area	Model organism(s)	Ecological classification	Method(s)	Observed/predicted effect(s)	Reference
Europe	Beetles (various genera)	Troglobiont	Indirect evidence extrapolated from species accumulation curves	Expansion of the spatial niche of cave species toward the surface—i.e., into superficial cavities and Shallow Subterranean Habitats.	Brandmayr et al., 2013
Pyrenees (France, Spain)	Beetles (gen. <i>Troglocharinus</i> + outgroups)	Troglobiont	Physiological tests	 i) Most lineage have lost some of the thermoregulatory mechanisms common in temperate insects ii) Broader thermal tolerance than expected by habitat climatic seasonality 	Rizzo et al. 2015
Pyrenees	Beetles (Tribe Leptodirini)	Troglobiont	i) Species Distribution Modelling ii) Molecular data	A slight future decline in habitat suitability, but a broad thermal tolerance in most	Sanchez- Fernandez et al., 2016
			iii) Physiological test	subterranean species	2010
Jura Mountains (France)	Crustacean (gen. <i>Niphargus</i>)	Stygobiont	Expression gene profile	Subterranean species maintain the expression of heat shock protein	Colson-Proch et al. 2010
Western Alps (Italy)	Spiders (gen. Troglohyphantes)	Troglobiont	Species Distribution Modelling	 i) Future decline in habitat suitability ii) Potential local extinction in a number of populations 	Mammola et al., 2018
Jura Mountains (France)	Aquatic isopods (gen. <i>Proasellus</i>)	Stygobiont	Physiological test	 i) Some species are sensitive to changes in temperature (±2°C), although one exhibited a higher thermal tolerance breadth (11°C) ii) Extinction risk of groundwater endemics is higher than that of widely distributed species (inferred). 	Mermillod- Blondin et al., 2013.
Medio Valdarno porous aquifer (Italy)	Aquatic copepod (<i>Diacyclops</i> <i>belgicus</i> Kiefer)	Stygophile/Stygo biont	Physiological test	No significant variations in the oxygen consumptions to a +3 °C change in temperature	Di Lorenzo & Galassi, 2017
Great Britain	Spiders (gen. <i>Meta</i>)	Troglophile	Species Distribution Modelling	Future poleward shift in the distribution ranges	Mammola 2017
Europe	Spiders (gen. <i>Meta</i>)	Troglophile	Species Distribution Modelling	i) Future poleward shift in the distribution rangesii) Niche overlap between congeneric species	Mammola & Isaia, 2017

1122 FIGURE LEGENDS



1123

1124

Figure 1. The (theoretical) sinusoidal conduction dominating the thermal signal of a cave. Lines show the theoretical annual trend of mean daily temperatures deep inside the cave (filled black line), in the vicinity of the cave entrance (filled grey line) and outside the cave (dotted line). Note the reduction of the signal amplitude with increasing depths (i.e. buffering effect), the delay of the signal (i.e. thermal inertia), and the strict correspondence between the inner temperature and the mean annual temperature outside (highlighted in the y-axis).

1132



Figure 2. Eco-evolutionary response to climate change. Potential shifts in the thermal 1136 niche of a hypothetical troglobiont and stygobiont along three non-exclusive axes 1137 1138 r 1139 е 1140 р 1141 r 1142 е 1143 s 1144 е 1145 n 1146 t 45 1147 i

1148 n