

## **Reply to Sahle and Gossa: Technology and geochronology at the earliest known Oldowan site at Ledi-Geraru, Ethiopia**

David Braun, Vera Aldeias, Will Archer, J Ramon Arrowsmith, Niguss Baraki, Christopher Campisano, Alan Deino, Erin Dimaggio, Guillaume Dupont-Nivet, Et Al.

### **► To cite this version:**

David Braun, Vera Aldeias, Will Archer, J Ramon Arrowsmith, Niguss Baraki, et al.. Reply to Sahle and Gossa: Technology and geochronology at the earliest known Oldowan site at Ledi-Geraru, Ethiopia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America , National Academy of Sciences, 2019, 116 (41), pp.20261-20262. 10.1073/pnas.1911952116 . hal-02330482

**HAL Id: hal-02330482**

**<https://hal-univ-rennes1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02330482>**

Submitted on 23 Apr 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

REPLY TO SAHLE AND GOSSA:

# Technology and geochronology at the earliest known Oldowan site at Ledi-Geraru, Ethiopia

David R. Braun<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Vera Aldeias<sup>b,c</sup>, Will Archer<sup>b,d</sup>, J. Ramon Arrowsmith<sup>e</sup>, Niguss Baraki<sup>f</sup>, Christopher J. Campisano<sup>g</sup>, Alan L. Deino<sup>h</sup>, Erin N. DiMaggio<sup>i</sup>, Guillaume Dupont-Nivet<sup>i,k</sup>, Blade Engda<sup>l,m</sup>, David A. Feary<sup>e</sup>, Dominique I. Garelló<sup>e</sup>, Zenash Kerfelew<sup>l</sup>, Shannon P. McPherron<sup>b</sup>, David B. Patterson<sup>a,n</sup>, Jonathan S. Reeves<sup>a</sup>, Jessica C. Thompson<sup>o</sup>, and Kaye E. Reed<sup>g</sup>

Sahle and Gossa (1) identify 2 components of our paper with which they disagree. Their concerns are based on misunderstandings of our paleomagnetic data and the published details of the Bokol Dora 1 (BD 1) artifact assemblage.

The normal paleomagnetic sequence at BD 1 cannot represent the Reunion subchron [2.128 to 2.148 Ma (2)]. This would require one or more of the following scenarios: 1) The age of the Ali Toyta Tuff (ATT) is ~0.5 My too old. There is no evidence to support this in the <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar data; the 95% confidence interval places a minimum age of 2.55 Ma on the juvenile feldspar population. 2) The ATT feldspars were reworked from older eruptions and are unassociated with the vitric component. Geochemical analyses of ~150 glass shards demonstrate that the ATT has a single, homogenous population (3) indicating no incorporation of additional tephra. 3) The normal paleomagnetic interval represents both the Gauss (at/around the ATT) and the Reunion (at/around BD 1), separated by an ~0.5-My unconformity. There is no evidence for an unconformity between these levels in the sedimentological analysis of multiple sections. Any unconformity would have had to remove the reverse polarity sediments of the Matuyama chron while preserving on the brief (20 ky) Reunion subchron. Nearby sedimentation rates range from ~30 cm/ky (4) to ~90 cm/ky (5). Thus, the ~9 m of sediment between the ATT and BD 1 may represent ~10 to 30 ky.

Considering the uncertainty on the ATT age extends to 2.618 Ma, this thickness can be accommodated within the Gauss chron but cannot extend to the Reunion subchron.

Sahle and Gossa's second major concern relates to technological analysis of the BD 1 assemblage. They draw on frequencies of flakes and percussors of the LOM3 assemblage to contend that our analysis "endorses disputed characterization of the Lomekwi assemblage emphasizing percussive activities" (1). However, we show that the proportion of percussive tools at LOM3 is significantly greater than any documented Oldowan assemblage (ref. 3, figure S18). This is emphasized by Harmand et al.'s (6) inference that many of the cores were also used for battering. Sahle and Gossa suggest that the frequency of flakes and fragments at LOM3 indicates a mastery of flake production. However, Harmand et al. (6) documented frequent mistakes in flake production. This questions Sahle and Gossa's inclusion of fragments into their flake frequency estimates at LOM3 and their suggestion that the "inexplicable exclusion of Lomekwi's flake components" (1) led to erroneous interpretations. This is a misrepresentation of our study, as measurements and comparisons of LOM3 flakes appear in 9 of our figures. Sahle and Gossa state that aspects of raw material variability can drive assemblage differences. We agree. We provide detailed comparative information on the raw material context of numerous

<sup>a</sup>Center for the Advanced Study of Human Paleobiology, Department of Anthropology, The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052;

<sup>b</sup>Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute of Evolutionary Anthropology, 04103 Leipzig, Germany; <sup>c</sup>Interdisciplinary Center for Archaeology and the Evolution of Human Behaviour, University of Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal; <sup>d</sup>Archaeology Department, University of Cape Town, 7701 Rondebosch, South Africa; <sup>e</sup>School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287; <sup>f</sup>Department of Archaeology and Heritage Management, Main Campus, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; <sup>g</sup>Institute of Human Origins, School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287; <sup>h</sup>Berkeley Geochronology Center, Berkeley, CA 94709; <sup>i</sup>Department of Geosciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802; <sup>j</sup>CNRS, Géosciences Rennes-UMR 6118, University of Rennes, F-35000 Rennes, France; <sup>k</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Science, Potsdam University, 14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany; <sup>l</sup>Authority for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; <sup>m</sup>University of Laboratoire Paléontologie Évolution Paléocœcosystèmes Paléoprimatologie (UMR 7262), Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France; <sup>n</sup>Department of Biology, University of North Georgia, Dahlonega, GA 30533; and <sup>o</sup>Department of Anthropology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511

Author contributions: D.R.B., V.A., W.A., J.R.A., N.B., C.J.C., A.L.D., E.N.D., G.D.-N., B.E., D.A.F., D.I.G., Z.K., S.P.M., D.B.P., J.S.R., J.C.T., and K.E.R. analyzed data and wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Published under the [PNAS license](#).

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: david\_braun@gwu.edu.

First Published September 17, 2019.

Oldowan assemblages (ref. 3, figure S12). Sahle and Gossa contend that we drew associations between environmental changes, the appearance of early *Homo*, and systematic flake production. We did not. The connection between the appearance of *Homo* and environmental parameters is well documented (7). Previous studies document that systematic flake production increases resource return rates for subsistence opportunities that occur in more open habitats (8, 9).

### Acknowledgments

We thank the Authority for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage, Ethiopian Ministry of Culture and Tourism, for permission to conduct fieldwork at Ledi-Geraru and laboratory research in the National Museum of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, where the BD 1 artifacts are housed. This research was sponsored by National Science Foundation Grants BCS-1460502, BCS-1157346, BCS-1460493, and BCS-1157351. This paper was made possible through the support of a grant from the John Templeton Foundation. The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the John Templeton Foundation.

- 1 Y. Sahle, T. Gossa, More data needed for claims about the earliest Oldowan artifacts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 20259–20260 (2019)
- 2 Gradstein FM, Ogg JG, Schmitz M, Ogg G (2012) *The Geologic Time Scale 2012* (Elsevier), vols. 1 and 2.
- 3 D. R. Braun et al., Earliest known Oldowan artifacts at >2.58 Ma from Ledi-Geraru, Ethiopia, highlight early technological diversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 11712–11717 (2019).
- 4 C. J. Campisano, C. S. Feibel, Connecting local environmental sequences to global climate patterns: Evidence from the hominin-bearing Hadar Formation, Ethiopia. *J. Hum. Evol.* **53**, 515–527 (2007).
- 5 G. Dupont-Nivet et al., “Magnetostratigraphy of the eastern Hadar Basin (Ledi-Geraru research area, Ethiopia) and implications for hominin paleoenvironments” in *The Geological Context of Human Evolution in the Horn of Africa*, J. Quade, J. G. Wynn, Eds. (Geological Society of America, Boulder, CO, 2008), pp. 67–85.
- 6 S. Harmand et al., 3.3-million-year-old stone tools from Lomekwi 3, West Turkana, Kenya. *Nature* **521**, 310–315 (2015).
- 7 E. N. DiMaggio et al., Paleanthropology. Late Pliocene fossiliferous sedimentary record and the environmental context of early *Homo* from Afar, Ethiopia. *Science* **347**, 1355–1359 (2015).
- 8 K. D. Zink, D. E. Lieberman, Impact of meat and Lower Palaeolithic food processing techniques on chewing in humans. *Nature* **531**, 500–503 (2016).
- 9 M. F. Laird, E. R. Vogel, H. Pontzer, Chewing efficiency and occlusal functional morphology in modern humans. *J. Hum. Evol.* **93**, 1–11 (2016).