

**Transmetallation vs adduct Diverse reactivity of
N,O-ketiminato germylene with [Cp*MC12]2 (M = Rh
or Ir; Cp* = η^5 -C5Me5) and MC15 (M = Nb and Ta)**

B. Raghavendra, K. Bakthavachalam, T. Das, T. Roisnel, S.S. Sen, K. Vanka,
S. Ghosh

► **To cite this version:**

B. Raghavendra, K. Bakthavachalam, T. Das, T. Roisnel, S.S. Sen, et al.. Transmetallation vs adduct Diverse reactivity of N,O-ketiminato germylene with [Cp*MC12]2 (M = Rh or Ir; Cp* = η^5 -C5Me5) and MC15 (M = Nb and Ta). Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, Elsevier, 2020, 911, pp.121142. 10.1016/j.jorganchem.2020.121142 . hal-02472935

HAL Id: hal-02472935

<https://hal-univ-rennes1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02472935>

Submitted on 24 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Transmetallation vs Adduct: Diverse Reactivity of N,O-ketiminato germylene with $[\text{Cp}^*\text{MCl}_2]_2$ ($\text{M} = \text{Rh}$ or Ir ; $\text{Cp}^* = \eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5$) and MCl_5 ($\text{M} = \text{Nb}$ and Ta)

Beesam Raghavendra,^{‡a} K. Bakthavachalam,^{‡a} Tamal Das,^b Thierry Roisnel,^c Sakya S. Sen,^{*d} Kumar Vanka,^{*b} and Sundargopal Ghosh^{*a}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India. Tel: +91 44-22574230; Fax: +91 44-22574202; E-mail: sghosh@iitm.ac.in.

^bPhysical and Material Chemistry Division, CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory, Dr Homi Bhabha Road, Pashan, Pune 411008, India.

^cUniv Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes) –UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France.

^dInorganic Chemistry and Catalysis Division, CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory, Dr Homi Bhabha Road, Pashan, Pune 411008, India.

Keywords: Ketoiminate, germylenes, tantalum, iridium, transmetallation, adduct formation.

Abstract:

The reactions of the germylenes, [(Dipp)NCMeCHCORGeCl] (**1a**: R = Me, **1b**: R = Ph) with $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ led to the formation of the adducts [(Dipp)NCMeCHCORGeClIrCl₂Cp*] (**3a**: R = Me and **3b**: R = Ph). On the other hand, $[\text{Rh}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ does not react with the germylenes (**1a** and **1b**). When the reactions of **1a** and **1b** are carried out with $[\text{Cp}^*\text{TaCl}_4]$, the reaction led to decomposition. The reaction of **1a** or **1b** with TaCl_5 yielded the transmetallated products [(Dipp)NCMeCHCORTaCl₄] (**4a**: R = Me, **4b**: R = Ph) with the extrusion of GeCl_2 . Our theoretical studies show that for, the insertion of TaCl_5 to **1a** and the formation of **4a** with concomitant elimination of GeCl_2 is energetically favourable. Extrusion of SnCl_2 is also observed

when the corresponding stannylene, [(Dipp)NCMeCHCOMeSnCl] was reacted with TaCl₅. All these compounds have been characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and the constitution of compounds **1b**, **3b**, and **4a** were confirmed by single-crystal X-ray crystallography.

1. Introduction

The chemistry of the heavier analogues of carbenes has been expanded from synthetic curiosity towards application in synthesis.¹ Heavier carbene analogues are utilized as donor ligands in low-valent main group species² as well as in transition metal complexes.³ The synthesis of heavier analogues of carbenes would not have been possible without the proper choice of ligands. By occupying larger areas of the metal coordination sphere and physically blocking decomposition routes, sterically bulky ligands have often been used to improve the kinetic stability of carbenes and their heavier congeners. The most extensively used monoanionic and bidentate ligands in low-valent group 14 chemistry possessing nitrogen donors with bulky substituents are the β -diketiminato ligands, popularly known as "nacnac". Many variations of the nacnac ligand with respect to the group attached to the nitrogens, have been reported with an extensive series of metal complexes synthesized using these ligands.⁴ Iconic examples of low-valent main group compounds stabilized through the latter ligand system include LAl(I),⁵ LMg(I)-Mg(I)L,⁶ LGe(II)H⁷ (L=HC{C(Me)N(Ar)}₂, Ar = 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃ or 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂), L'E(II) (L' = HC{C(=CH₂)(C-Me)(NAr)₂}, E = Si and Ge).⁸ Compared to β -diketiminates, the class of β -ketoiminato ligands has not earned much interest due to the reduction of sterics around the metal center. In this context, we have recently prepared two new germylenes using N,O ketiminato ligands of compositions (Dipp)NCMeCHCOMeGeCl (**1a**) and (Dipp)NCMeCHCOMeGeN(SiMe₃)₂ (**2a**).⁹ We have further shown that the germylene amide (**2a**) undergoes deprotonation from the backbone methyl upon reacting with [M₂Cl₂(μ -Cl)₂(η^5 -Cp*)₂] (M = Rh and Ir), leading to the formation of a cyclometallated product (Scheme 1).⁹ In this study, we have replaced one of the backbone methyl groups with a phenyl moiety and prepared a new germylene, (Dipp)NCMeCHCOPhGeCl (**1b**). The reaction of **1b** with LiN(TMS)₂ led to the formation of a metathetical product, (Dipp)NCMeCHCOPhGeN(TMS)₂

(2b) in quantitative yield. Subsequently, we sought to compare the reactions of **1a**, **1b**, **2b** with previously reported **2a**. At the outset, the reactions of **1a**, **1b**, **2b** with rhodium and iridium precursors have three possible outcomes: (a) aliphatic C–H bond activation and subsequent cyclometallation (b) aromatic C–H bond activation and subsequent cyclometallation and (c) stable adduct formation. We have observed that **1a** and **1b** does not undergo deprotonation reaction with the Ir precursor, but forms adducts. The analogous reactions with **2b** led to undefined product. The reactions of **1a** and **1b** with TaCl₅ led to the formation of ketoiminato Ta complexes, [(Dipp)NCMeCHCORTaCl₄] R = Me (**4a**) and R = Ph (**4b**) with the extrusion of GeCl₂. Our results are reported herein.

(Scheme 1 near here)

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis and characterizations of germylenes, **1b-2b**

The phenyl substituted N,O-ketoimine ligand was synthesized by the literature method.¹⁰ The reaction of 1-phenyl butane-1, 3-dione with 2,6-diisopropylaniline in toluene with a catalytic amount of *p*-toluene sulfonic acid led to the formation of the ligand.¹⁰ The phenyl substituted chlorogermylene (Dipp)NCMeCHCOPhGeCl (**1b**) was synthesized from the reaction of GeCl₂·dioxane with one equivalent of potassium salt of ketoimine ligand in THF (Scheme 2). **1b** was purified by crystallization and isolated as pale-yellow crystals in good yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1b** shows a single resonance peak for the γ -proton at δ 6.06 ppm and the corresponding ¹³C NMR appears at δ 99.59 ppm. Two septets appear at δ 3.58 and 2.79 ppm. Further, the solid-state structural analysis of **1b** was carried out using single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

(Scheme 2 near here)

As shown in Fig. 1, the germanium center in **1b** adopts a distorted trigonal pyramidal geometry, in which the three-coordinated germanium is surrounded by one nitrogen, one oxygen, and one chlorine atom. There is a lone pair of electrons on the germanium center. This feature has

been observed in various chlorogermylene complexes, such as $[\text{HC}\{\text{C}(\text{Me})\}_2\text{N}(\text{Dipp})\text{O}]\text{GeCl}$,⁹ $[\text{HC}\{\text{C}(\text{Me})\text{N}(\text{Dipp})\}_2]\text{GeCl}$,¹¹ $[\text{PhC}\{\text{N}(\text{tBu})\}_2]\text{GeCl}$,¹² $[\text{tBuC}\{\text{N}(\text{Dipp})\}_2]\text{GeCl}$,¹³ and $[(\text{tBu})_2\text{ATI}]\text{GeCl}$ (ATI = Aminotroponimate).¹⁴ The Ge1-Cl1 (2.2960(7) Å), Ge1-O1 (1.8864(14) Å), and Ge1-N1 (2.0106(16) Å) bond distances of the six-membered $\{\text{C}_3\text{NGeO}\}$ ring of **1b** are almost identical with that of the reported N,O-ketoiminate-chlorogermylene complex $[\text{HC}\{\text{C}(\text{Me})\}_2\text{N}(\text{Dipp})\text{O}]\text{GeCl}$ [Ge1-Cl1 2.306(3) Å, Ge1-O1 1.902(5) Å, and Ge1-N1 2.011(5) Å]. The O1-Ge1-N1 bond angle of $90.39(6)^\circ$ is slightly higher than the reported $(\text{Dipp})\text{NCMeCHCOMeGeCl}$ [O1-Ge1-N1 $90.0(2)^\circ$].⁹

(Fig. 1 near here)

As shown in Scheme 2, the reaction of **1b** with $[\text{LiN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]$ led to the formation of the metathesis product, $[(\text{Dipp})\text{NCMeCHCOPhGeN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]$, **2b**. **2b** was characterized by ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy. The ^1H NMR spectrum of **2b** displayed a single resonance peak for the γ -proton at δ 5.43 ppm and the corresponding ^{13}C NMR appears at δ 95.82 ppm which is shifted to the higher field region compared to its parent germylene chloride and a single resonance at δ 0.22 ppm for the $\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ group, which indicates the replacement of the chlorine atom by the amide group *via* salt elimination.

2.2. Reactivity of chlorogermynes (**1a-1b**) with $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$

Recently, we have reported that the reaction of a germylene amide $[(\text{Dipp})\text{NCMeCHCOMeGeN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]$ (**2a**) with $[\text{Rh}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ and $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ dimers led to the formation of cyclometallated Rh(III) and Ir(III) complexes *via* deprotonation from the C–H bond of the germylene ligand.⁹ In order to expand this chemistry further, we have probed the reactivity of **2b** with $[\text{Rh}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ as well as $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ dimers. Unfortunately, the reactions led to unidentified products and we were unable to isolate them.

The reaction of $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ with **1a** and **1b** gave rise to the formation of germylene adducts, $[(\text{Dipp})\text{NCMeCHCOMeGeClIrCl}_2\text{Cp}^*]$ (**3a**) and $[(\text{Dipp})\text{NCMeCHCOPhGeClIrCl}_2\text{Cp}^*]$ (**3b**), respectively (Scheme 3). We have also studied the reactivity of **1a-b** with the Rh dimer, but unfortunately the germylenes do not react with the Rh-dimer.

(Scheme 3 near here)

Both complexes were characterized by ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy. The ^1H NMR spectra of **3a** and **3b** displayed a septet around δ 3.6 ppm for the isopropyl methine protons and one singlet resonance peak was observed nearly at δ 1.5 ppm for the Cp^* proton. It can be noted here that four doublets appear for each **3a** and **3b**, indicating the overlapping of two septets into one. Additionally, the constitution of complex **3b** was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. The ORTEP diagram for **3b** is shown in Fig. 2. The Ge-Ir bond length of 2.3726(10) Å is slightly shorter as compared to $[\text{IrCl}(\eta^4\text{-cod})\{\text{Ge}(\text{PhC}(\text{NtBu})_2)\}]$ amidinate (2.4203(3) Å).¹⁵ To the best of our knowledge this is the first example of N,O-chelated germanium adduct with a transition metal.

(Fig.2 near here)

2.3. Reactivity of chlorogermynes (**1a-1b**) with TaCl_5

As an extension to this chemistry, we have enthusiastically started the reactivity of the germylenes (**1a-b**) with $[\text{Cp}^*\text{TaCl}_4]$. Unfortunately, the reactions of **1a** or **1b** with $[\text{Cp}^*\text{TaCl}_4]$ led to the immediate formation of a black solution indicating the leaching of the metal. However, when TaCl_5 was used as the metal precursor, it led to a color change from pale yellow to deep orange indicating the formation of a new product (Scheme 4, (a)). The product was crystallized from toluene at -30.0 °C and was isolated as deep orange colored needle shaped crystals. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the formation of an unexpected transmetallated product $[(\text{Dipp})\text{NCMeCHCOMeTaCl}_4]$ (**4a**) instead of the adduct analogous to **3a** and **3b**. The reaction of **1a-1b** with NbCl_5 , we were not able to get any clean product.

The molecular structure and selected bond parameters are shown in Fig. 3. The unit cell contains two analogous but independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, which was crystallized in the monoclinic $P2_1/c$ space group. **4a** exhibits slightly distorted octahedral geometry around the Ta center that is bound to four chlorine atoms, one nitrogen atom, and one oxygen atom. The four Ta-Cl bond distances are almost equal (~ 2.32 Å) and the O1-Ta1-N1 bond angle of $80.04(8)^\circ$ is considerably smaller than a regular octahedral bond angle of 90° . The Ta atom in **4a** lies ~ 0.16 Å above the six-membered C_3NTaO ring.

(Fig.3 near here)

The ^1H NMR spectrum of **4a** displays a single septet corresponding to the isopropyl methine proton instead of showing two septets for the isopropyl methine in **1a**. This spectral evidence corroborates the formation of the new complex.

A significant amount of transmetallation chemistry has been reported in the literature. It can be divided into three types: i) a transition metal replaced by a main group element or vice versa,¹⁶ ii) a main group element replaced by a main group element,¹⁷ and iii) the transition metal replaced by a transition metal.¹⁸ The formation of **4a** from **1a** falls into the first category of transmetallation. One of the interesting facets of the reaction is the extrusion of GeCl_2 . Filippou and coworkers proposed that the reaction of $\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{GeCl}_2\text{H}$ with PMe_3 gave $\text{trans-CpMo}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_3)\text{GeCl}_2\text{H}$ and the mechanism of this ligand exchange reaction involves GeCl_2 extrusion.¹⁹ Recently, Roesler reported a similar mechanism for the formation of a Ni(0) germylene complex. The complex was prepared from a germylenium cation with $\text{Ni}(\text{cod})_2$, which involved Ni-Ge transmetalation, followed by coordination of the extruded GeCl_2 moiety to Ni^{16c} . Unlike these cases, Ta metal is stabilized in an octahedral environment; hence, it is not possible for GeCl_2 to coordinate the metal centre easily.

To prove the formation of the complex (**4a**) by the extrusion of GeCl₂ during the reaction, we have performed the NMR tube reaction between N,O-ketoiminate-chlorogermylene and TaCl₅ in C₆D₆. This showed a clear and complete conversion of **1a** into **4a** within 10 min (Fig. S15). Under similar condition, compound [(Dipp)NCMeCHCOPhTaCl₄] (**4b**) was prepared from **1b** and was confirmed by the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic studies. To check the generality of this reaction, we have carried out the same reaction with analogous N,O-ketoiminate stannylene chloride (**B**), [HC{C(Me)}₂N(Dipp)O]SnCl₂²⁰ which also led to the formation of **4a**. Complex **4a** could be alternatively synthesized from the reaction mixture of the ketoiminate lithium salt (**A**) with TaCl₅ at room temperature (Scheme 4, (b)).

2.4. Computational details.

Full quantum chemical calculations were done with density functional theory (DFT) at the dispersion and solvent corrected PBE/TZVP level of theory mainly to understand the mechanism(s) of the reactions occurring in the presence of Ir and TaCl₅ complexes. The experimental results indicated that the reaction between species **1a** and the tantalum complex led to the transmetallated product, but in case of the iridium dimer, only adduct formation was observed.

(Scheme 5 near here)

The DFT calculations showed that the adducts, which are formed between the reaction of [Ir₂Cl₂(μ-Cl)₂(η⁵-Cp*)₂] or TaCl₅ with **1a**, are cyclic germylene intermediates (**3a** and **4a'**), which are thermodynamically feasible by -14.1 kcal/mol and -3.6 kcal/mol respectively (Scheme 5). However, the next step, that is, insertion of Ir between the nitrogen and the oxygen and the elimination of GeCl₂, was calculated to be thermodynamically unfavourable, by 45.8 kcal/mol. This, however, is not the case for **4a'**, in which the insertion and the elimination of GeCl₂ is favourable by -4.4 kcal/mol. The reason why the transmetallated tantalum complex **4a** is stable and the complex **3a'** is observed to be unstable has been established by DFT studies. The DFT studies that we have conducted do not represent a comprehensive mechanism, as that would entail expensive transition

state calculations that are beyond the scope of the current work. However, the computational studies do provide support to the experimental observations by providing insight into the thermodynamics of the different reactions investigated. The cyclopentadienyl ring, attached to Ir in **3a'**, is found to slip from an η^5 - to an η^3 -coordination mode. This loss of hapticity of the Cp ring in **3a'** may be due to the steric constraints induced by the formation of a six-membered heterocyclic ring structure. It is to be noted that there is no loss of hapticity in the complex **3a**: the formation of **3a'** thus involves a loss of hapticity, and would therefore be thermodynamically disfavoured. The DFT optimized three dimensional structures of **3a'** and **4a** are shown in Fig. 4. As shown in Fig. 4, the central metal in complex **4a** is in an octahedral configuration. This may be due to the fact that the smaller chloride ligands face no difficulties adjusting to the steric demands introduced by the formation of the six-membered heterocyclic ring.

(Fig.4 near here)

3. Conclusion

In summary, we have made a phenyl substituted N, O-ketoiminate germylene chloride, **1b** and a germylene amide, **2b**. Treatment of germylene chlorides (**1a** and **1b**) with $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ led to the formation of adducts, **3a** and **3b**, whereas TaCl_5 yielded transmetallated products **4a** and **4b** with the extrusion of GeCl_2 . However, the reactions of **1a** and **1b** with $[\text{Rh}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ and $[\text{Cp}^*\text{TaCl}_4]$ were unsuccessful. The results show that the replacement of one of the backbone methyl groups with a phenyl moiety offered a different reactivity pattern. Computational studies were performed to elucidate the diverse reactivity shown by **1a** and in that connection, theoretical studies show that for the formation of **4a** the insertion of TaCl_5 to **1a** and elimination of GeCl_2 is energetically favourable.

4. Experimental details

4.1. General procedures and instrumentation

All experiments and manipulations were conducted under dry oxygen-free argon using standard Schlenk line techniques or in an Mbraun inert atmosphere dry box containing an atmosphere of purified argon. Acetyl acetone, $\text{GeCl}_2 \cdot \text{dioxane}$, calcium hydride, TaCl_5 and potassium hydride were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. All other starting materials were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. All solvents were dried by standard methods (hexane, toluene and THF from Na/benzophenone ketyl radical) and freshly distilled prior to use. CDCl_3 used for NMR spectral measurements was dried over calcium hydride overnight, distilled and stored in a glovebox. C_6D_6 was dried over sodium metal. ^1H and ^{13}C spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 MHz instrument. Elemental analyses were performed using a Thermo scientific FLASH 2000 Organic Elemental Analyzer. The NMR signals are reported relative to the residual solvent peaks (^1H : CDCl_3 : 7.26 ppm; ^{13}C : 77.1 ppm and ^1H : C_6D_6 : 7.16 ppm; ^{13}C : 128.06 ppm). The following abbreviations are used in connection with NMR; s = singlet; d = doublet; t = triplet; q = quartet; dd = doublet of doublets; sept = septet and m = multiples.

4.2. Synthesis of compounds

4.2.1. Synthesis of [(Dipp)NCMeCHCOPhGeCl] (**1b**)

To a stirred solution of potassium hydride (0.054 g, 1.370 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added α -phenyl-ketimine ligand (0.400 g, 1.246 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 6 h. The reaction mixture was again brought to $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, and a solution of $\text{GeCl}_2 \cdot \text{dioxane}$ (0.288 g, 1.246 mmol) dissolved in THF (10 mL) was added slowly using cannula and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was removed under high vacuum, the residue was dissolved in hexane and filtered through celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and kept at $-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ to afford pale yellow crystals of **1b**. Yield: 0.485 g (91%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6): δ = 7.90 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.15-7.07 (m, 4H), 6.99-6.97 (t, 2H), 6.06 (s, 1H), 3.58 (sept, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (sept, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.87 ppm (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6): δ = 172.16, 171.02, 144.84, 143.84, 138.34, 137.44, 131.69,

127.92, 125.44, 124.63, 99.59, 29.23, 28.58, 26.91, 24.79, 24.27, 24.13, 23.35 ppm. Anal. Calcd for

$C_{22}H_{26}ClGeNO$: C, 61.66; H, 6.12; N, 3.27. Found: C, 61.62; H, 6.10; N, 3.24.

4.2.2. Synthesis of [(Dipp)NCMeCHCOPhGeN(SiMe₃)₂] (**2b**)

A 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with **1b** (0.450 g, 1.048 mmol) and LiN(SiMe₃)₂ (0.184, 1.101 mmol) in 20 mL dry THF. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The volatiles were removed under high vacuum and the residue was dissolved in hexane and filtered through celite, and the filtrate was removed under reduced pressure to afford an orange solid of **2b**. Yield: 0.540 g (93%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 7.79–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.06–7.02 (m, 6H), 5.43 (s, 1H), 3.28 (sept, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (sept, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.33 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.30 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.22 ppm (s, 18H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 171.48, 171.16, 143.28, 142.98, 139.71, 138.35, 131.03, 127.67, 125.35, 124.56, 95.82, 29.40, 28.34, 25.33, 24.96, 24.86, 24.19, 6.08 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₄₄GeN₂OSi₂: C, 60.76; H, 8.01; N, 5.06. Found: C, 60.78; H, 8.02; N, 5.03.

4.2.3. Synthesis of [(Dipp)NCMeCHCOMeGeClIrCl₂Cp*] (**3a**)

One equivalent of germylene chloride (**1a**) (0.040 g, 0.108 mmol) and 0.5 equivalents of Ir dimer (0.043 g, 0.054 mmol) are taken in a small vial (15 mL size) inside the glove box. Toluene (4 mL) was added into the mixture of solids at room temperature and stirred for 3 h, during which the color of the solution changed from a pale yellow to a reddish orange. The solvent was removed under high vacuum and the residue was washed with *n*-hexane to obtain the orange color solid, **3a**. Yield: 0.068 g (82%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 7.20–7.09 (m, 3H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 3.55 (sept, 2H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.68 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.54 (d, 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.49 (s, 15H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.16 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 181.64, 176.85, 145.29, 143.80, 139.45, 129.31, 127.97, 125.42, 124.65, 103.55, 91.14, 29.31, 28.68, 25.79, 25.59, 25.22, 24.99, 24.32, 24.15, 22.72, 22.64, 8.96 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₉Cl₃GeIrNO: C, 42.40; H, 5.14; N, 1.83. Found: C, 42.43; H, 5.19; N, 1.82.

4.2.4. Synthesis of [(Dipp)NCMeCHCOPhGeClIrCl₂Cp*] (**3b**)

One equivalent of germylene chloride (**1b**) (0.030 g, 0.069 mmol) and 0.5 equivalents of Ir dimer (0.028 g, 0.034 mmol) are taken in a small vial (15 mL size) inside the glove box. Toluene (4 mL) was added into the mixture of solids at room temperature and stirred for 3 h, during which the color of the solution changed from a pale yellow to a reddish orange. The solvent was removed under high vacuum. The residue was dissolved in hexane, filtered through celite, and kept at room temperature, which afforded reddish orange color crystals of **3b** after two days. Yield: 0.051 g (89%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 7.99-7.96 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.11 (m, 6H), 6.12 (s, 1H), 3.63 (sept, 2H), 1.67 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.57 (d, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 15H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.85 ppm (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 177.51, 174.28, 145.45, 143.89, 139.70, 135.67, 132.68, 129.47, 129.06, 127.92, 125.56, 124.85, 100.53, 91.21, 29.48, 28.82, 25.99, 25.50, 25.19, 25.06, 24.37, 9.18 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₄₁Cl₃GeIrNO: C, 46.48; H, 5.00; N, 1.69. Found: C, 46.49; H, 5.03; N, 1.67.

4.2.5. Synthesis of [(Dipp)NCMeCHCOMeTaCl₄] (**4a**)

Equimolar mixture of germylene chloride (**1a**) (0.060 g, 0.163 mmol) and TaCl₅ (0.058 g, 0.163 mmol) are taken in a 25 mL Schlenk flask inside the glove box. Toluene (4 mL) was added into the mixture of solids at room temperature and stirred for 1 h, during which the color of the solution changed from a pale yellow to a reddish orange solution. The solution was filtered through celite, and kept at -30 °C to afford orange color crystals of **4a**. Yield: 0.080 g (85%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 7.07-7.02 (m, 3H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 3.14 (sept, 2H), 1.43 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 0.90 ppm (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 175.88, 170.06, 146.62, 142.07, 124.95, 113.57, 28.42, 27.01, 25.34, 24.98, 21.26 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₄Cl₄NOTa: C, 35.14; H, 4.16; N, 2.41. Found: C, 35.14; H, 4.15; N, 2.40.

4.2.6. Synthesis of [(Dipp)NCMeCHCOPhTaCl₄] (**4b**)

Equimolar mixture of phenyl substituted germylene chloride (**1b**) (0.055 g, 0.128 mmol) and TaCl₅ (0.045 g, 0.128 mmol) are taken in a 25 mL Schlenk flask inside the glove box. Toluene (4 mL) was added into the mixture of solids at room temperature and stirred for 1 h, during which the color of

the solution changed from a pale yellow to a reddish orange solution. The solution was filtered through celite, and dried to afford orange color solid of **4b**. Yield: 0.067 g (82%); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ = 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.01 (m, 4H), 6.95-6.92 (m, 2H), 5.82 (s, 1H), 3.21 (sept, 2H), 1.44 (t, 9H), 0.93 ppm (d, J = 7 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6): δ = 176.86, 165.69, 142.42, 132.95, 132.13, 129.43, 127.71, 125.34, 110.23, 28.79, 27.78, 25.72, 25.28 ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{26}\text{Cl}_4\text{NOTa}$: C, 41.08; H, 4.07; N, 2.18. Found: C, 41.01; H, 4.06; N, 2.21.

4.4. X-ray crystal structure determinations

The crystal data for **1b**, **3b** and **4a** were collected and integrated using a Bruker APEXII AXS diffractometer, equipped with a CCD detector, using Mo $\text{K}\alpha$ radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at 150(2) K. The structures were solved by heavy atom methods using SHELXS-97 or SIR92 and refined using SHELXL-2014.²¹

Crystal data for **1b**

CCDC No. 1894681, $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{26}\text{GeNOCl}$, M. Wt. 428.52, orthorhombic, Space group = $\text{P}2_12_12_1$, a = 10.932(2) Å, b = 12.110(2) Å, c = 15.926(2) Å, α = 90°, β = 90°, γ = 90°, V = 2108.6(3) Å³, Z = 4, ρ_{calc} = 1.3498 mg/m³, μ = 1.590 mm⁻¹, $F(000)$ = 889.5, R_1 = 0.0276, wR_2 = 0.0617, Independent reflections = 4842 [R_{int} = 0.0415, R_{sigma} = 0.0409], Goodness-of-fit on F^2 = 1.029.

Crystal data for **3b**

CCDC No. 1894682, $\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{45}\text{NOCl}_3\text{GeIr}$, M.Wt. 902.89, monoclinic, Space group = $\text{P}2_1/c$, a = 19.5641(15) Å, b = 12.4425(9) Å, c = 16.6456(10) Å, α = 90°, β = 109.604(4)°, γ = 90°, V = 3817.1(5) Å³, Z = 4, ρ_{calc} = 1.571 mg/m³, μ = 4.509 mm⁻¹, $F(000)$ = 1792.0, R_1 = 0.0462, wR_2 = 0.0963, Independent reflections = 6150 [R_{int} = 0.0780, R_{sigma} = 0.0842], Goodness-of-fit on F^2 = 1.047.

CCDC No. 1894683, $C_{17}H_{24}Cl_4TaON$, M.Wt. 581.14, monoclinic, Space group = $P2_1/c$, $a = 14.5412(12) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 13.3067(11) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 21.616(2) \text{ \AA}$, $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 95.151(3)^\circ$, $\gamma = 90^\circ$, $V = 4165.7(6) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 8$, $\rho_{\text{calc}} = 1.8531 \text{ mg/m}^3$, $\mu = 5.795 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, $F(000) = 2256.9$, $R_1 = 0.0218$, $wR_2 = 0.0501$, Independent reflections = 9592 [$R_{\text{int}} = 0.0366$, $R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0290$], Goodness-of-fit on $F^2 = 1.029$.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Science and Engineering Research Board, SERB (Project No. EMR/2015/001274, Ramanujan Research Grant (SB/S2/RJN-073/2015)), New Delhi, India, is acknowledged. B. R. thanks IIT Madras for the institute postdoctoral fellowship. K. B. thanks SERB for the National postdoctoral fellowship (PDF/2016/003998), New Delhi, India. K.V. is grateful to the Department of Science and Technology (DST) (EMR/2014/000013) for providing financial assistance. T. D. thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) for providing a Research Fellowship.

Author Information

‡Both authors contributed equally to this work.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org>

References

- [1] (a) S. B. Kim, P. Sinsermsuksakul, A. S. Hock, R. D. Pike, R.G. Gordon, *Chem. Mater.* 26 (2014) 3065–3073;
(b) T. K. Purkait, A. K. Swarnakar, G. B. De Los Reyes, F. A. Hegmann, E. Rivard, J. G. C. Veinot, *Nanoscale* 7 (2015) 2241–2244;

- (c) B. Blom, A. Said, T. Szilvási, P.W. Menezes, G. Tan, J. Baumgartner, M. Driess, *Inorg. Chem.* 54 (2015) 8840–8848.
- [2] (a) F. M. Mück, J. A. Baus, R. Bertermann, C. Burschka, R. Tacke, *Organometallics* 35 (2016) 2583–2588;
- (b) K. Junold, M. Nutz, J. A. Baus, C. Burschka, C. Fonseca Guerra, F. M. Bickelhaupt, R. Tacke, *Chem. Eur. J.* 20 (2014) 12411–12415;
- (c) R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, S. Merkel, D. Stalke, *Chem. Eur. J.* 16 (2010) 85–88;
- (d) B. Blom, G. Klatt, D. Gallego, G. Tan, M. Driess, *Dalton Trans.* 44 (2015) 639–644;
- (e) S. Pahar, S. Karak, M. Pait, K. V. Raj, K. Vanka, S. S. Sen, *Organometallics* 37 (2018) 1206–1213;
- (f) E. Welz, J. Böhnke, R. D. Dewhurst, H. Braunschweig, B. Engels, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 140 (2018) 12580–12591;
- (g) Y.-L. Shan, B.-X. Leong, H.-W. Xi, R. Ganguly, Y. Li, K. H. Lim, C.-W. So, *Dalton Trans.* 46 (2017) 3642–3648;
- (h) J. Lam, B. A. R. Günther, J. M. Farrell, P. Eisenberger, B. P. Bestvater, P.D. Newman, R. L. Melen, C. M. Crudden, D. W. Stephan, *Dalton Trans.* 45 (2016) 15303.
- [3] (a) J. A. Baus, F. M. Mück, H. Schneider, R. Tacke, *Chem. Eur. J.* 23 (2017) 296–303;
- (b) F. M. Mück, J. A. Baus, A. Ulmer, C. Burschka, R. Tacke, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* (2016) 1660–1670;
- (c) D. Gallego, A. Breck, E. Irran, F. Meier, M. Kaupp, M. Driess, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135 (2013) 15617–15626;
- (d) D. Gallego, S. Inoue, B. Blom, M. Driess, *Organometallics* 33 (2014) 6885–6897;
- (e) G. Tan, S. Enthaler, S. Inoue, B. Blom, M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 54 (2015) 2214–2218;
- (f) R. Azhakar, R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, J. Hey, L. Krause, D. Stalke, *Dalton Trans.* 42 (2013) 10277–10281;

- (g) S. Schäfer, R. Köppe, M. T. Gamer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* 50 (2014) 11401–11403;
- (h) S. Schäfer, R. Köppe, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* 22 (2016) 7127–7133;
- (i) S. Yadav, E. Sangtani, D. Dhawan, R. G. Gonnade, D. Ghosh, S. S. Sen, *Dalton Trans.* 46 (2017) 11418–1142;
- (j) S. Khan, S. Pal, N. Kathewad, P. Parameswaran, S. De, I. Purushothaman, *Chem. Commun.* 52 (2016) 3880–3882;
- (k) N. Parvin, S. Pal, S. Khan, S. Das, S. K. Pati, H. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.* 56 (2017) 1706–1712;
- (l) S. Khan, S. K. Ahirwar, S. Pal, N. Parvin, N. Kathewad, *Organometallics* 34 (2015) 5401–5406;
- (m) N. Parvin, R. Dasgupta, S. Pal, S. S. Sen, S. Khan, *Dalton Trans.* 46 (2017) 6528–6532;
- (n) N. Phillips, T. Dodson, R. Tirfoin, J. I. Bates, S. Aldridge, *Chem. Eur. J.* 20 (2014) 16721 – 16731.
- [4] (a) L. Bourget-Merle, M. F. Lappert, J. R. Severn, *Chem. Rev.* 102 (2002) 3031–3066;
- (b) L. Kong, R. Ganguly, Y. Li, R. Kinjo, *Chem. Eur. J.* 22 (2016) 1922 – 1925.
- [5] C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, H.-G. Schmidt, M. Noltemeyer, H. Hao, F. Cimpoesu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 39 (2000) 4274–4276.
- [6] (a) S. P. Green, C. Jones, A. Stasch, *Science* 318 (2007) 1754–1757;
- (b) K. Yuvaraj, I. Douair, A. Paparo, L. Maron, C. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 141 (2019) 8764–8768.
- [7] A. Jana, D. Ghoshal, H. W. Roesky, I. Objartel, G. Schwab, D. Stalke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131 (2009) 1288–1293.
- [8] (a) M. Driess, S. Yao, M. Brym, C. V. Wüllen, D. Lentz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128 (2006) 9628–9629;
- (b) M. Driess, S. Yao, M. Brym, C. V. Wüllen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 45 (2006) 4349–4352;


(2015) 1–28.

- [9] K. Bakthavachalam, S. Dutta, C. Arivazhagan, B. Raghavendra, A. Haridas, S. S. Sen, D. Koley, S. Ghosh, *Dalton Trans.* 47 (2018) 15835–15844.
- [10] Z. Liu, H-X. Chen, D. Huang, Y. Zhang, Y-M. Yao, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 749 (2014) 7–12.
- [11] Y. Ding, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer, H.-G. Schmidt, P. P. Power, *Organometallics* 20 (2001) 1190–1194.
- [12] S. Nagendran, S. S. Sen, H. W. Roesky, D. Koley, H. Grubmüller, A. Pal, R. Herbst-Irmer, *Organometallics* 27 (2008) 5459–5463.
- [13] S. P. Green, C. Jones, P. C. Junk, K.-A. Lippert, A. Stasch, *Chem. Commun.* (2006) 3978–3980.
- [14] R. K. Siwatch, S. Kundu, D. Kumar, S. Nagedran, *Organometallics* 30 (2011) 1998–2005.
- [15] L. Álvarez-Rodríguez, J. A. Cabeza, J. M. Fernández-Colinas, P. García-Álvarez, D. Polo. *Organometallics* 35 (2016) 2516–2523.
- [16] (a) X. Yan, C. Xi, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 48 (2015) 935–946;
(b) P. J. Fagan, W. A. Nugent, J. C. Calabrese. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 116 (1994) 1880–1889;
(c) C. Gendy, A. Mansikkamki, J. Valjus, J. Heidebrecht, P. C.-Y. Hui, G. M. Bernard, H. M. Tuononen, R. E. Wasylshen, V. K. Michaelis, R. Roesler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 58 (2019) 154–158.
- [17] (a) R. J. Schwamm, J. R. Harmer, M. Lein, C. M. Fitchett, S. Granville, M. P. Coles, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 54 (2015) 10630–10633;
(b) C. Kaiya, K. Suzuki, M. Yamashita, *Organometallics* 38 (2019) 610–613.
- [18] (a) D. F. Schreiber, Y. Ortin, H. Muller-Bunz, A. D. Phillips, *Organometallics* 30 (2011) 5381–5395;
(b) O. N. Gorunova, I. M. Novitskiy, Y. K. Grishin, I. P. Gloriov, V. A. Roznyatovsky, V. N. Khrustalev, K. A. Kochetkov, V. V. Dunina, *Organometallics* 37 (2018) 2842–2858.

- [19] A. C. Filippou, J. G. Winter, G. Kociok-Köhn, I. Hirlz, J. Organometal Chem. 544 (1997) 225-231.
- [20] H.-M. Kao, S.-M. Ho, I.-C. Chen, P.-C. Kuo, C.-Y. Lin, C.-Y. Tu, C.-H. Hu, J.-H. Huang, G.-H. Lee, Inorganica Chimica Acta 361 (2008) 2792–2798.
- [21] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A. 64 (2008) 112–122.

Table of Content

The reactions of the germylene chlorides with $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*)_2]$ led to adduct formation (see picture right). On the other hand, TaCl_5 led to the formation of transmetallated product with the extrusion of GeCl_2 (see picture left).



Scheme 1 Our previous work on the synthesis of cyclometallated complexes.

Scheme 2 Synthesis of germylenes and their reactivity.

Fig. 1 Single-crystal X-ray structure of **1b**. All the hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths [\AA] and angles [$^\circ$] for **1b**: Ge1-N1 2.0106(16), Ge1-Cl1 2.2960(7), Ge1-O1 1.8864(14); O1-Ge1-N1 90.39(6), N1-Ge1-Cl1 94.39(5), O1-Ge1-Cl1 94.73(5).

Scheme 3 Synthesis of complexes **3a** and **3b**

Fig. 2 Single-crystal X-ray structure of **3b**. All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for **3b**: Ge1-N1 1.936(7), Ge1-Cl1 2.232(3), Ge1-O1 1.839(6), Ge1-Ir1 2.3726(10), Ir1-Cl2 2.405(3), Ir1-Cl3 2.385(2); O1-Ge1-N1 94.3(3), N1-Ge1-Cl1 96.6(2), N1-Ge1-Ir1 131.8(2), Cl1-Ir1-Cl3 89.80(10), O1-Ge1-Cl1 94.55(19), O1-Ge1-Ir1 110.53(18).


Scheme 4 (a): Transmetallation from N, O-ketoiminate germylenes; (b) transmetallation from stannylenchloride and from ketoiminate lithium salt.

Fig.3 Single-crystal X-ray structure of **4a**. All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for **4a**: Ta1-N1 2.265(2), Ta1-O1 1.9040(19), Ta1-Cl1 2.3382(7), Ta1-Cl2 2.3473(7), Ta1-Cl3 2.3236(6), Ta1-Cl4 2.3125(8), O1-Ta1-N1 80.04(8), O1-Ta1-Cl1 94.97(6), O1-Ta1-Cl3 168.45(6), Cl4-Ta1-Cl2 178.73(3), N1-Ta1-Cl3 88.49(6), O1-Ta1-Cl1 94.97(6), N1-Ta1-Cl1 174.16(5).

Scheme 5 Transmetallation reaction mechanism for the iridium and tantalum complexes with germylene **1a**, calculated at the PBE/TZVP level of theory with DFT. ΔG represents the Gibbs free energy of the reaction.


Fig.4 The DFT optimized structures of **3a'** and **4a**. The color scheme is as follows: carbon: black, oxygen: red, nitrogen: blue, chlorine: green, hydrogen: grey, tantalum: violet and iridium: yellow.




Scheme 2



Scheme 3



Scheme 4



Scheme 5





Fig. 1






Fig. 3





Fig. 4



Highlights:

- This manuscript reports the first example of N,O-chelated germanium adduct with a transition metal.
- We have reported the synthesis of transmetallated Ta-complex using ketiminate-germylene chlorides and stannylene chlorides.
- The formation of transmatallated and adduct products were established by DFT analysis.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Journal Pre-proof