

Interspecific competition impact on organism responses to chemical stress: an SSD based approach

Vincent Baillard, Cécile Sulmon, Anne-kristel Bittebière, Cendrine Mony, Simon Devin, Elise Billoir

▶ To cite this version:

Vincent Baillard, Cécile Sulmon, Anne-kristel Bittebière, Cendrine Mony, Simon Devin, et al.. Interspecific competition impact on organism responses to chemical stress: an SSD based approach. SETAC Europe Meeting, May 2018, Rome, Italy. hal-02492022

HAL Id: hal-02492022 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02492022

Submitted on 31 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




ECOBIO

Interspecific competition impact on organism responses to

chemical stress: an SSD-based approach.



Vincent BAILLARD¹, Cécile SULMON², Anne-Kristel BITTEBIERE³, Cendrine MONY², Simon DEVIN¹, Elise BILLOIR¹





1 : LIEC, CNRS UMR 7360, Université de Lorraine, France 2 : ECOBIO, CNRS UMR 6553, Université de Rennes 1, France 3 : LEHNA, CNRS UMR 5023, Université de Lyon 1, France

Introduction and objectives

Grass strips are vegetated areas that act as buffer strips against agricultural pollutants flows towards streams. They present a community of plant species that are simultaneously exposed to various pollutants \rightarrow interactions between competition and chemical stress responses is an important topic. The objective of this study is to:

- assess whether interspecific competition modifies tolerance of herbaceous plants to the herbicide isoproturon
- evaluate SSD, a tool widely used in risk assessment that permits to integer data from monospecific tests to produce a theoretical sensitivity distribution of the community.





Modelling process (see also comm' WE474 and WE368)

Selection of endpoints for each species (quadratic model) Model fitting (linear, exponential, Hill, Gaussian and log-Gaussian) Best-fit selected according to AIC values Calculation of toxicity values EC_{10 and 50} IC_{10 and 50} BMD_{sd} (x% effect, calculated only (You don't know that one? (x% change compared to with Hill model) Ask me for explanations!) the control)

S1 S3 Geometric mean of Only total dry mass for Most sensitive endpoint for each sp. endpoints for each sp. each sp.

SSD building (3 scenarios)

herbaceous grass species (3 isoproturon tolerance levels * 2 competitiveness levels),

- Bromus erectus as model competitor
- 25-days exposure to 6 isoproturon concentrations, from 0 to 1.75 µM, in presence and absence of *Bromus erectus* (8 replicates).
- measurement of 12 endpoints expected to respond to isoproturon and/or (linked to biomass, photosynthesis, competition stress allocation...).

		Isoproturon tolerance		
		Low	Medium	High
Competitiveness	Low	Poa trivialis	Poa pratensis	Trisetum flavescens
	High	Arrhenatherum elatius	Lolium multiflorum	Dactylis glomerata


Examples of fit

Results and discussions

Toxicity effect Competition effect Absence of competitor Measured endpoint 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 endpoint Presence of competitor +: IC₁₀ 0 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.0 1.0 IPU concentration (µM) Both red endpoint) 80 Effect of competition Effect of toxic 1.0 1.5 IPU concentration (µM) IPU concentration (µM)

Depending on species and endpoints, fitted curves present competition effect (differences at intercept) and/or toxicity effect (differences in toxicity values).

SSD examples (IC10 values)



S1

S2 Absence of competitor Presence of competitor +: HC₅ CDF 0.4 0.05 0.20 0.50 2.00 0.02 IPU concentration (µM) in log scale

In every case, **HC**₅ values derived with BMDsd, $IC_{10 \text{ or } 50}$ and $EC_{10 \text{ or } 50}$, used in every different SSD types used are 1,04 to 7,21 times lower for organisms in presence of the competitor.

The choice of toxicity value used and the handling of multiple toxicity values for a same species can result in important changes in final HC5 values and their differences between situations with and without interspecific competition.

Conclusion

Interspecific competition \rightarrow decrease in tolerance to isoproturon \rightarrow impact on obtained SSD models.