Electrokinetic leakage as a tool to probe internal fouling in MF and UF membranes
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Abstract

Tangential electrokinetic measurements are widely used to characterize membrane fouling as the membrane zeta potential is partly governed by the presence of foulant materials on its surface. However, in the case of porous materials as micro- (MF) and ultrafiltration (UF) membranes, a part of the streaming current flows through the porosity of the membrane during measurements. This electrokinetic leakage, is directly impacted by the presence of foulant materials inside the membrane porosity. Hence, this paper investigates for the first time the possibility of using electrokinetic leakage as a probe for detecting internal fouling, taking lipid fouling as example. Firstly, a lab-scale methodology combining “upside-down” fouling experiments with electrokinetic measurements demonstrated that the intensity of the electrokinetic leakage was related to the presence of internal fouling. Secondly, the concept was applied to the pilot-scale MF and UF of an oil-in-water emulsion.
under various transmembrane pressures (TMP). A significant impact of the TMP on the internal fouling of a MF PES membrane was highlighted, whereas almost no impact of the TMP was noticed in the case of an UF PAN membrane. The developed methodology using the quantification of the electrokinetic leakage phenomenon allows distinguishing the contributions of internal and external (surface) fouling. These findings offer new application of tangential electrokinetic measurements to gain more insight into the characterization of membrane fouling.

Highlights:

- New characterization of internal fouling by tangential electrokinetic measurement
- Internal and external fouling contributions to membrane electrokinetic properties
- Impact of the operating transmembrane pressure on internal fouling occurrence
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1 Introduction

Despite the many advantages and applications of membrane filtration, performances keep being hampered by membrane fouling due to deposit and/or adsorption of compounds on the membrane surface and/or inside the membrane porosity. In the light of this, there is an important need of characterization methods in order to strengthen membrane fouling comprehension and thus improve fouling control during large-scale filtrations.

Many strategies have been applied so far to characterize membrane fouling, starting with conventional flux/pressure monitoring often combined with prediction or comprehension models (resistance-in-series, pore blocking, inertial lift models, etc.). These widely used approaches are powerful tools allowing describing membrane fouling through the estimation of various parameters: resistance due to membrane fouling, reversibility of membrane fouling, main fouling mechanisms, filtration cake thickness and porosity, etc. However, their findings are based on estimation models themselves based on macroscopic scale parameters (flux and pressure) and can be far from the microscopic reality occurring around the membrane surface [1].

In view of the foregoing, advanced techniques allowing fouling characterization directly on the membrane surface have been gaining more and more interest these past years, as they can provide precise information about fouling composition, concentration and location on the membrane. The major techniques used for membrane surface characterization have been reviewed by Johnson et al. [2]. It includes spectroscopic techniques such as ATR-FTIR, Raman and XPS spectroscopy, mainly used to investigate the chemical nature of foulant compounds, their location and even their concentration on the membrane surface [3–5]. Imaging techniques (AFM, SEM, TEM, etc.) are also of great interest for membrane fouling characterization as they can bring information about fouling layer thickness and distribution [1]. The study of the modification of the membrane wetting properties (contact angle) and electrokinetic behavior (electrophoresis, streaming and sedimentation potential measurements) induced by the presence of foulant materials on its surface bring useful information as well [2].

However, most of these techniques mainly focus on the membrane surface characterization. Few studies deal with the characterization of internal fouling, even though this latter is often irreversible and causes severe loss of performances and cleaning overcost. Yeo et al. [6] managed to monitor
external and internal organic fouling deposition on and in a hollow fiber membrane using phase-contact XMI, while 3D optical coherence tomography was successfully used by Trinh et al. [7] to characterize external and internal fouling during microfiltration of oil-in-water emulsions. Similarly, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy has been recently used to identify the main fouling mechanism (external fouling layer or irreversible internal fouling) [8]. Apart from these anecdotal applications, there still is a lack of analytical strategies for internal fouling investigation. Considering the well-known impact of fouling on the membrane charge, the investigation of surface and porous structures zeta potentials may bring useful information for external and internal fouling characterization.

Determined the zeta potential of a membrane provides insight into its surface electrical properties in a given physicochemical environment. It is therefore of great interest when investigating problems of practical relevance such as membrane fouling [9, 10] or ageing [11, 12].

The zeta potential of membranes can be inferred from electrokinetic techniques such as streaming potential and streaming current. From the experimental point of view, the easiest way to perform the electrokinetic characterization of porous membranes is to implement through-pore streaming potential measurements (also known as transversal mode) [13–15]. However, the multilayer structure of commercial membranes used in pressure-driven processes (micro-, ultra- and nano-filtration as well as reverse osmosis) makes the determination of the skin layer properties quite tricky [16–18]. In order to overcome the difficulty inherent in the analysis of through-pore measurements, an alternative measuring method, known as tangential mode and based on the application of the pressure gradient along the membrane skin layer (and not through the membrane thickness) has been proposed and has become the most widely used technique in membrane science [19–22].

When considering tangential electrokinetic measurements, it has been argued that streaming current should be preferred over streaming potential since this latter is likely to be impacted by the extra contribution of the underlying support layer(s) to the overall electrical conductance [23, 24]. Tangential streaming current has been shown to be a reliable technique to highlight the presence of thin coating layers onto the surface of some commercial nanofiltration and reverse osmosis membranes [25]. However, complications have been pointed out when tangential streaming current measurement is applied to porous materials like micro- and ultra-filtration membranes due to the occurrence of a non-negligible streaming current through the membrane porosity[26–28]. This
parasitic contribution to the experimental signal, hereinafter referred as electrokinetic leakage, can be taken into account by applying a protocol consisting in a series of measurements performed by changing the distance between the two membranes samples required for tangential measurements [26–28]. Such a procedure has been successfully applied by Szymczyk et al. (2013) to give evidence for the presence of 4-benzyltriphenylphosphonium groups within pores of an ultrafiltration membrane after its functionalization [28].

In this work, we show for the first time that the electrokinetic leakage phenomenon can be used to gain insight into membrane fouling. Notably, we show that electrokinetic leakage can be used as a probe to highlight the occurrence of internal fouling within the porous structure of micro- and ultrafiltration membranes. The methodology followed in this work also enables distinction between fouling onto the membrane surface and within the membrane pores.

2 Theoretical background

Tangential streaming current measurement consists in applying a pressure gradient along a channel formed by two identical membrane samples facing each other and immersed in an electrolyte solution. While the pressure gradient is applied along the membrane skin layers, the solution is forced to move tangentially to the charged surfaces, pulling the excess of mobile ions within the electrical double layers towards the low-pressure side. It results in an electrical current, known as the streaming current ($I_s$), flowing between the membrane surfaces.

The standard theory implicitly assumes that the channel through which tangential streaming current is measured has impermeable walls. If this condition is fulfilled and the distance between the surfaces of the two membrane samples ($h_{ch}$) is much larger than the Debye length of the measuring solution, the zeta potential ($\zeta$) can be inferred from the streaming current by means of the well-known Smoluchowski equation:

$$I_s = \frac{-W h_{ch} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \Delta P}{\eta L} \zeta$$

where $W$ and $L$ are the channel width and length, respectively, $\varepsilon_0$ the vacuum permittivity ($8.854 \times 10^{-12}$ F m$^{-1}$), $\varepsilon_r$ and $\eta$ the dielectric constant and the dynamic viscosity of the electrolyte solution, respectively, and $\Delta P$ is the pressure difference applied between the channel ends.
Although Eq. (1) is reliable for dense materials, it may break down when applied to ion-permeable materials such as micro- and ultra-filtration membranes, especially if the electrokinetic cell has been designed in such a way that it leaves the membrane support layer(s) exposed to the hydrodynamic flow during streaming current measurements [26, 28]. The reason is that a non-negligible streaming current is likely to flow through the membrane porous structure, which is filled with the measuring solution. This additional streaming current that we shall refer to as “electrokinetic leakage” is not accounted for in Eq. (1), which implicitly assumes that the experimental streaming current only flows along the membrane surfaces. For a given membrane, the occurrence of electrokinetic leakage can be easily confirmed or invalidated by measuring the streaming current for (at least) two different values of \( h_0 \). Indeed, in the case of electrokinetic leakage, the (apparent) zeta potential that would be obtained by means of Eq. (1) would become dependent upon the distance between the membrane samples.

Eq. (2) has been proposed by Yaroshchuk and Luxbacher [26] to account for the contribution of electrokinetic leakage (see schematic description given in Fig. 1):

\[
I_s^{tot} = I_s^{ch} + 2I_s^{pore} = -\left(\frac{W h_{ch} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \Delta P}{\eta L} \zeta_{surf} + \frac{2W h_{mb}^{eff} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \Delta P}{\eta L} \zeta_{pore}\right)
\]

Where \( I_s^{tot} \), \( I_s^{ch} \) and \( I_s^{pore} \) are the total streaming current (i.e. the current measured experimentally), the streaming current flowing through the channel (i.e. between the membrane surfaces) and the electrokinetic leakage occurring within a single membrane, respectively, \( \zeta_{surf} \) and \( \zeta_{pore} \) are the zeta potentials of the membrane surface and inside the membrane porous body, respectively, and \( h_{mb}^{eff} \) the effective height where the electrokinetic leakage takes place in a single membrane (it includes the membrane thickness, porosity and tortuosity).
Figure 1: Schematic representation of the streaming current distribution when tangential electrokinetic measurements are carried out with porous membranes. The streaming current does not flow only between the membrane surfaces but also through the membranes porous body. The experimental streaming current is then equal to $I_{s}^{ch} + 2I_{s}^{pore}$.

It is worth mentioning that, unlike Eqs. (1) and (2), the softwares associated with the current commercial electrokinetic analyzers use an arbitrary convention stating that the measured streaming current and the zeta potential(s) are of the same sign. This convention will be used in the rest of this manuscript.

According to Eq. (2), the correct value of the zeta potential of the membrane surface can be determined by carrying out a series of streaming current measurements with various channel heights ($h_{ch}$). Indeed, $\zeta_{surf}$ can be obtained from the slope of $I_{s}^{tot}$ vs. $h_{ch}$ while the total electrokinetic leakage ($2I_{s}^{pore}$) is given by the y-intercept (the streaming current that would be measured if the two membrane surfaces were brought into contact, i.e. $h_{ch} = 0$). Eq. (2) also shows that the zeta potential inside the membrane porosity can be determined from the electrokinetic leakage provided if $h_{mb}^{eff}$ is known, which can be achieved by means of additional electric conductance measurements for various $h_{ch}$. Indeed, considering the system described in Fig. 1 the following expression for the cell conductance ($G_{cell}$) can be derived [26,28]:
Where $\lambda_0$ and $\lambda_{mb}$ are the electric conductivities of the measuring solution in the channel between the membrane surfaces and inside the membrane pores, respectively.

3 Materials and methods

3.1 Membranes

Two commercial flat-sheet membranes were used in this work: a microfiltration (MF) polyethersulfone (PES) membrane with an average pore diameter of 0.1 µm (Koch, USA) and an ultrafiltration (UF) polyacrylonitrile (PAN) membrane with a molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of 500kDa (Orelis, France). The following protocol was followed to remove membrane preservatives prior use: sonication first in a 50 v/v % water-ethanol mixture for 10 min followed by sonication in deionized water (DI) water for 10 min (this last step was repeated twice).

3.2 Membrane fouling

3.2.1 Lab-scale fouling experiments

“Upside down” fouling experiments were performed in order to provide the proof of concept of the methodology we propose in this work, which is based on the variation of the electrokinetic leakage occurring in the porous structures of membranes as a result of internal fouling. The protocol is schematically described in Fig. 2. The membrane coupons were fixed at the bottom of a plastic box using adhesive tape, the membrane skin layer facing the bottom of the box (steps 1 and 2 in Fig. 2). Then, commercial sunflower oil was poured onto the surface of the membrane support and homogeneously spread with a paintbrush. Oil was then let diffuse through the membrane (from the support towards the skin layer) for 4 hours at room temperature (step 3). The remaining oil on the support surface was wiped with a tissue paper prior to membrane characterization.
Figure 2: Experimental protocol for upside down fouling of membrane samples by vegetable oil.

### 3.2.2 Pilot-scale fouling experiments

Pilot-scale fouling experiments were performed using a cross-flow filtration module (Rayflow X100, Orelis-Novasep, France) with a filtration area close to 130 cm². The feed cross-flow circulation was ensured by a peristaltic pump (ref 520S IP31, Watson-Marlow, USA), the cross-flow velocity being around 0.4 m.s⁻¹. Permeation through the membrane was ensured by applying a constant transmembrane pressure difference (TMP) adjusted with a back-pressure valve. Two pressure sensors placed at the module inlet and outlet on the retentate side allowed TMP monitoring and adjustment [29]. Before measurements, the membranes were first cleaned by successive filtrations of alkali solutions according to the following order: mixture of 0.1 g.L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.02 g.L⁻¹ NaClO solution at 30°C (pH ~ 10-11), followed by 2 g.L⁻¹ commercial Ultrasil 110 solution (Ecolab, France) at 45°C. Between each cleaning step, membranes were carefully rinsed in order to remove the remaining chemicals. To this end, successive filtrations of DI water (30°C) without permeate and retentate recirculation and under constant pressure of 0.2 bar were performed until the permeate reached the pH of DI water. At the end of the cleaning step, membranes were compacted by DI water.
filtration at constant TMP (2 bar) and constant temperature (30±1°C) until a steady state water flux was reached (± 5%). The evolution of the permeate flux during the filtration process was measured by collecting the permeate in a beaker placed on an electronic scale (model XL1200C, Precisa, Switzerland).

After membrane compaction, DI water filtration pressure-stepping experiments (5 pressure steps from 0.2 to 1.0 bar) were carried out in order to determine the 30°C water permeability of each coupon.

Fouling experiments were further conducted using a 2% oil-in-water emulsion as the foulant feed (~2 liters). It was used by some of us in a previous study as a model system representative of the supernatant of a concentrated pretreated culture of Parachlorella kessleri microalgae, after bead milling and separation of the cell fragments by centrifugation [29,30]. The aqueous phase had a pH of 7.4 and a conductivity of 790 μS cm⁻¹ and the lipid phase consisted in a mixture of vegetable oils containing 70 wt% of neutral lipids and 30 wt% of polar lipids [29]. Fouling experiments were carried out at two TMP (0.2 and 1.0 bar) so as to get different levels of fouling. Experiments were stopped when a volume reduction ratio of 2 was achieved and the membranes were further rinsed by two successive DI water filtrations under a TMP of 0.2 bar for 15 minutes in order to remove the reversible part of fouling.

3.3 Membrane characterization: Tangential streaming current

Electrokinetic measurements were performed with a Surpass electrokinetic analyzer (Anton Paar GmbH) equipped with an adjustable-gap cell requiring two membrane samples (each one 2 x 1 cm) [28]. For all experiments, the membranes were positioned in the adjustable-gap cell with their skin layers facing each other (as shown schematically in Fig. 1). Experiments were performed at T=22±2°C with 500 mL of a 0.001 M KCl solution, the pH of which was adjusted in the range 4.50 – 5.00 with a 0.05 M HCl solution and kept constant within ± 0.05 throughout the course of the experiment. Prior to measurements, the solution was circulated through the channel for ca. 2 h to allow the sample equilibration. After equilibration, the streaming current was measured and recorded for increasing pressure differences (ΔP) up to 300 mbar. Measurements were repeated by progressively decreasing
the distance between the membrane samples \((h_{ch})\) from \(\sim100\ \mu m\) to \(\sim40\ \mu m\) by means of the micrometric screws of the adjustable-gap cell.

For some experiments, the electrical conductance of the adjustable-gap cell was also measured (for various values of \(h_{ch}\)) in order to evaluate the effective height where the electrokinetic leakage takes place in the membrane \((h_{mb}^{eff})\). For these additional measurements, a more concentrated KCl solution \((0.1\ M)\) was used in order to minimize the impact of the electrical double layers on the electrical conductivity inside the membrane pores [28].

4 Results

4.1 Proof of concept

In a preliminary work, the UF PAN membrane was first fouled according to the “upside down” fouling protocol described in section 3.2.1 and schematically shown in Fig. 2. Next, two membrane samples were positioned in the adjustable-gap cell of the electrokinetic analyzer with their skin layers facing each other (as shown schematically in Fig. 1). For all experiments, a linear variation was observed between the applied pressure and the resulting streaming current, making it possible to unambiguously define the streaming current coefficient as the slope of the \(I_{s}\) vs \(\Delta P\) plots. Fig. 3 shows the variation of the streaming current coefficient as function of the distance between the membrane samples in the adjustable-gap cell \((h_{ch})\). As expected from Eq. (2), a linear variation was observed for both new and fouled membrane samples.
Figure 3: Streaming current coefficient of the pristine and fouled PAN membranes as function of the distance between the samples; measuring solution: 0.001 M KCl solution at pH 4.50 ±0.05.

Extrapolating the streaming current coefficient of the pristine membrane down to $h_{ch} = 0$ (i.e. membrane surfaces in contact with no longer a channel in between) gives evidence of the electrokinetic leakage phenomenon since the $y$-intercept differs from zero, as shown by Eq. (2). It was found to be $-6.2 \times 10^{-8}$ A.bar$^{-1}$, which represents 40% of the experimental signal ($I_{tot}/\Delta P$) measured by setting the distance between the samples at 100 µm and up to 65% of the experimental signal if $h_{ch}$ is set at 40 µm. In the Supplementary Information of this manuscript, the reader can find additional measurements obtained with a track-etched membrane (Fig. S1). As expected, no electrokinetic leakage occurred since track-etched membranes have non-interconnected pores, thus preventing any tangential flow through the porous structure.

Interestingly, an almost six-fold decrease in the magnitude of the electrokinetic leakage was obtained after letting the support layer of the PAN membrane in contact with sunflower oil for four hours as described in section 3.2.1. These results can be explained as follows. With the pristine membranes,
the electrokinetic leakage phenomenon can take place because the membrane pores are filled with the electrolyte solution required for electrokinetic measurements, which creates ion-conductive pathways through which a part of the streaming current, $I_{s}^{\text{pore}}$ in Eq. (2), can flow (see Fig. 4a); the magnitude of the electrokinetic leakage would increase with the membrane thickness, porosity, hydrophilicity and pore surface charge. Because of the hydrophobic character of oil, these conductive pathways are progressively clogged as oil penetrates into the membrane pores (Fig. 4b), which results in a weaker electrokinetic leakage as reported in Fig. 3.

It is worth noting in Fig. 3 that the same slope was obtained in the electrokinetic response of both PAN membrane coupons. According to Eq. (2), this results means that the zeta potential of the skin layer surface ($\zeta_{\text{surf}}$) was not impacted after membrane fouling. Otherwise stated, it means that oil entered the membrane pores from the support layer side but did not cross the membrane skin layer. These results show that the proposed methodology makes it possible to separate the contribution of surface and internal fouling.

As stated in section 2, the effective height where the electrokinetic leakage takes place in a single membrane ($h_{mb}^{\text{eff}}$) can be estimated by means of additional electric conductance measurements. Fig. 5 shows the variation of the cell conductance ($G_{\text{cell}}$) as function of $h_{ch}$. As predicted by Eq. (3), a linear variation of $G_{\text{cell}}$ with $h_{ch}$ was obtained for both new and fouled PAN membranes. A concentrated

---

**Figure 4:** Schematic representation of (a) open conductive pathways allowing electrokinetic leakage through the membrane porosity and (b) clogged conductive pathways leading to electrokinetic leakage disappearance.
solution (0.1 M KCl) was used to minimize the impact of the electrical double layers on the electrical conductivity inside the membrane pores and to reasonably assume $\lambda_{mb} \approx \lambda_0$[28]. The $h_{mb}^{eff}$ values for both membranes were inferred from the y-intercept according to Eq. (3). For the pristine membrane, $h_{mb}^{eff}$ was found to be about 40 $\mu$m while it fell down to 2 $\mu$m for the fouled membrane, thus confirming that the presence of oil inside the membrane pores cut the conduction pathways responsible for the electrokinetic leakage phenomenon.

Figure 5: Cell conductance measured with the PAN membranes as a function of the distance between the samples; measuring solution: 0.1 M KCl solution at pH 4.50 $\pm$0.05.

### 4.2 Application to oil-in-water emulsion filtration

Pilot-scale filtration experiments were then conducted on the 2% oil-in-water emulsion using MF PES and UF PAN membranes. Fig. 6 shows the variation of the streaming current coefficient of the MF PES membrane as function of the distance between the membrane samples in the adjustable-gap cell ($h_{ch}$).
Different electrokinetic responses were obtained for the pristine membrane and the membranes fouled by filtering the 2% oil-in-water emulsion described in section 3.2.2.

The slope of the electrokinetic response was found to increase with fouling, being even steeper after filtration at high TMP (1 bar). Since the slope of the \( I_{\text{tot}}/\Delta P \) vs \( h_{ch} \) plot is proportional to \( \zeta_{surf} \) (see Eq. (2)), it means that (i) the zeta potential of the foulant was higher than that of the bare membrane surface and (ii) the coverage of the PES membrane surface by the oil droplets (surface fouling) increased by applying a higher TMP. The values of \( \zeta_{surf} \) can be straightforwardly deduced from Eq. (2) and are collected in Table 1.

A slight decrease in the electrokinetic leakage is observed after filtration of the emulsion at low TMP (0.2 bar). On the other hand, the electrokinetic leakage almost vanished after filtration at 1 bar (it was divided by a factor of 34 compared with the pristine membrane). These results indicate that a higher

![Figure 6: Streaming current coefficient of the pristine and fouled PES membranes as function of the distance between the samples; measuring solution: 0.001 M KCl solution at pH 5.00 ±0.05.](image)
TMP favored the penetration of the oil droplets into the porous structure of the 0.1 µm PES membrane.

Table 1: Surface zeta potentials ($\zeta_{surf}$) of the various membranes inferred from Eq. (2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Membrane surface zeta potential (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES 0.1 µm</td>
<td>-2.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN 500 kDa</td>
<td>-35.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be noted that the standard Smoluchowski equation (Eq. (1)) could be used with confidence to compute the surface zeta potential of the PES membrane fouled at high TMP since the electrokinetic leakage phenomenon was suppressed under these operating conditions: the surface zeta potential determined from Eq. (1) with $h_{ch} = 100$ µm and from Eq. (2) are -23.2 mV and -23.1 mV, respectively. However it would overestimate the surface zeta potential of the new PES membrane and the membrane fouled at low TMP by 117 % and 21 %, respectively (still considering data for $h_{ch} = 100$ µm in Eq. (1)).

A different behavior was observed with the 500 kDa UF PAN membrane. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 7, the impact of the TMP on both the external and internal fouling was marginal since the electrokinetic response (slope and y-intercept) of membranes fouled at low and high TMP were found to be very close.

The surface of the PAN membrane was found to be more negatively charged than the PES membrane (see Table 1). The slope of the electrokinetic response (and thus the surface zeta potential) was found to slightly decrease after fouling, unlike what was observed with the PES membrane for which a strong increase was reported (Fig. 6). It means that the foulant species from the emulsion were less negatively charged than the pristine PAN membrane. The surface zeta potentials of both the new and fouled PAN membranes are given in Table 1.
The PAN membrane appeared to be less prone to internal fouling by the oil-in-water emulsion than the PES membrane since the electrokinetic leakage occurring in the PAN and PES membranes decreased by a factor of 1.7 and 34, respectively, after fouling at high TMP. It results from to the more open porous structure of the MF PES membrane compared with the UF PAN membrane along with the more hydrophobic character of PES [10, 11] favoring interactions with the oil droplets.

![Streaming current coefficient of the pristine and fouled PAN membranes as function of the distance between the samples; measuring solution: 0.001 M KCl solution at pH 5.00 ±0.05.](image)

Interestingly, $\zeta_{surf}$ for the fouled PAN membranes and the PES membrane fouled at high TMP were found to be very close: -26.1, -26.8 and -23.1 mV for the PAN membrane fouled at low TMP, the PAN membrane fouled at high TMP and the PES membrane fouled at high TMP, respectively. Since the bare PAN and PES membranes had substantially different $\zeta_{surf}$ (-35.1 and -2.9 mV, respectively), this finding suggests that (i) the surface of both membranes was fully covered by foulant species from the emulsion and (ii) these foulant species develop an electrokinetic charge density in the
physicochemical environment considered in this study (0.001 M KCl at pH 5), which is characterized by a zeta potential in the range from $\sim -20 \text{ mV}$ to $\sim -30 \text{ mV}$.

Additional electrophoretic light scattering measurements were performed with the emulsion diluted 1000 times in a 0.001 M KCl solution at pH 5. The zeta potential of the emulsion droplets was found to be $-25.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ mV}$, which confirms that the PES membrane surface was fully covered after filtration at high TMP while that of the PAN membrane was covered even at low TMP.

5 Conclusion

The electrokinetic leakage, which is the part of the streaming current that flows through the porosity of a membrane when tangential electrokinetic measurements are carried out, is a parasitic phenomenon that makes more tedious the accurate determination of the surface zeta potential. Nonetheless, since this parasitic contribution is sensitive to local changes inside the membrane porosity it is likely to provide useful information when investigating problems of practical relevance. In this study, we showed for the first time that electrokinetic leakage can serve as a probe for detecting internal fouling in MF and UF membranes, taking lipidic fouling as example. Notably, it was used to highlight the substantial impact of the TMP on the internal fouling of a MF PES membrane caused by filtration of an oil-in-water emulsion. On the other hand, almost no impact of the TMP was noticed in the case of a UF PAN membrane with narrower pores. Moreover, the experimental protocol implemented here to quantify the electrokinetic leakage phenomenon allowed to distinguish between the contribution of internal fouling and that of external (surface) fouling.

This paper offers new prospects for tangential electrokinetic measurements by providing an innovative methodology for the characterization of internal fouling. These findings could find useful application in MF and UF processes for which internal fouling is often responsible of severe productivity decrease and laborious cleaning procedures. Moreover, in view of the vast volumes of oily wastewater produced in various industrial fields (microalgae, food and beverages, oil and gas, metal processing, etc.), the characterization of membrane fouling during oil-in-water emulsions treatment is a key challenge for the development of cost-effective and eco-friendly processing involving membranes filtration.
Interestingly, the methodology proposed in this work is not restricted to membrane fouling studies and it could be applied straightforwardly to other topics of membrane science including membrane functionalization and membrane degradation (physical or chemical ageing). A limitation of this method is that it requires varying the spacing between the membranes, which makes it difficult to apply it on-line with existing membrane modules.
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