Photoelectrochemical sensing of hydrogen peroxide on hematite

Hiba Saada,^[a,b] Rawa Abdallah,^[b] Jean-François Bergamini,^[a] Stéphanie Fryars,^[a] Vincent Dorcet,^[a] Loïc Joanny,^[a] Francis Gouttefangeas,^[a] Sophie Ollivier,^[a] Gabriel Loget^{*[a]}

[a] H. Saada, Dr. J.-F. Bergamini, S. Fryars, Dr. V. Dorcet, L. Joanny, F. Gouttefangeas, S. Ollivier, Dr. G. Loget
Univ Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes)-UMR6226 - ScanMAT-UMS2001, F-35000 Rennes, France
E-mail: gabriel.loget@univ-rennes1.fr

[b] Dr. Rawa Abdallah
Lebanese University, EDST, AZM Center for Research in Biotechnology and Its Applications, Laboratory of Applied Biotechnology, LBA3B, El Mitein Street, Tripoli, Lebanon

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: Due to its abundance and chemical stability, hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) is a promising *n*-type semiconductor photoelectrode. This is particularly true in the frame of the rapidly developing area of photoelectrochemical (PEC) sensing, where the short excited-state lifetime and the small carrier diffusion length of hematite can be beneficially employed. On the other hand, H₂O₂ is an essential molecule for biological, environmental and industrial applications. In this article, we report a simple method to prepare photoelectroactive hematite layers on fluorine-doped SnO₂ (FTO) and we use these surfaces for H₂O₂ PEC sensing. The so-created sensors allow to reliably detect H₂O₂ down to a sub- μ M concentration with a large linear range and a good reusability.

H₂O₂ is a crucial molecule. First, it is a marker for oxidative stress and a major factor of pathophysiological complications in serious diseases.^[1,2] H₂O₂ is also present in the natural environment^[3] and commonly employed as a reagent in paper, textile or food industry. Hence, fast and accurate monitoring of H₂O₂ is of great interest to medicine, environmental and industrial processing. Analytical protocols based on chromatography,^[4] titration,^[5] spectrophotometry,^[6] fluorescence,^[7] chemiluminescence,^[8] and electrochemistry^[9,10] have been used to detect this molecule and the development of H₂O₂ sensors attracts considerable attention.^[9,11] Photoelectrochemical (PEC) sensing is based on the photoinduced charge transfer at a semiconductor/liquid interface. It is a rather new and rapidly-developing research area which sensing combines aspects of electrochemical and optical sensing.^[12,13] PEC sensing decouples excitation (light) and detection (electrochemical) signals, which is expected to significantly increase the signal-to-noise ratio with respect to electrochemical sensing.^[13] Besides, it is also promising because it enables 2D reaction confinement on the transducer surface (i.e. on the photoelectrode)^[14,15] which can be useful for electrode reuse^[16,17] or for the design of multiplexed arrays.^[18,19] So far, PEC sensing has been mainly employed for the detection of biological macromolecules,^[20] in immuno-^[21] or apta-^[22] assays and has also been explored for the detection of small organic molecules such as antioxidants^[23] or glucose.^[24,25] While H₂O₂ is a widely-employed hole scavenger in the field of energy-related photoelectrochemical research (typically used to probe the upper performance of water-splitting photoanodes),^[26,27] the PEC sensing of H₂O₂ has been only reported on TiO₂,^[28–30] WO₃,^[31] ZnO,^[32,33] BiVO₄,^[34,35] and Si^[36]-based photoanodes and on Cu₂O,^[37] CuO,^[38] CdS,^[39] and CuInS₂^[40]-based photocathodes.

Hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) is a promising photoanode material due to its great abundance, low-cost, chemical stability and its high theoretical photoconversion efficiency.^[41,42] Nevertheless, it also has a short excited-state lifetime and a small hole diffusion length. This is a severe drawback for energy-related applications^[41,42] but can be a great advantage for the design of PEC sensor arrays activated by micrometer-sized spots of light.^[15,17] Hematite photoanodes have been previously employed for the PEC sensing of biological macromolecules,^[43,44] neurotransmitters,^[17] endocrine disruptors,^[45,46] glucose,^[25,44] inorganic pollutants,^[47] and metal cations.^[48] In this paper, we report the preparation of hematite photoanodes by a convenient spin-coating/annealing method and the use of these surfaces for H₂O₂ PEC sensing.

Figure 1. a) Scheme showing the method used to deposit hematite on FTO. b) Colored SEM image showing a cross-section of the hematite deposited on FTO. c) SEM image showing a top view of the hematite coating on FTO. d) XRD pattern of the deposited layer (black line), the red lines indicate the peaks position and relative intensity for hematite (ICSD #15840). e) High-resolution TEM image of the prepared hematite layer, the distance between the (210) planes is indicated. f) [121] zone axis SAED patterns recorded on hematite.

Our hematite precursor is poly(vinylferrocene) (pvf), a Fe-containing polymer, dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF), which was spin-coated onto FTO slides and subsequently annealed, as shown in Figure 1a. A similar approach was previously employed by our group, however, with a low annealing temperature (300 °C) in order to deposit amorphous catalytic FeO_x layers.^[49] In the present work, we wanted to assess the feasibility of growing semiconductor films, we thus employed a higher annealing

COMMUNICATION

temperature for generating crystalline hematite. The effect of the annealing temperature and the number of spin-coating/annealing cycles was evaluated by PEC experiments shown in Figure S3. In agreement with these tests, we decided to use 3 cycles of spin-coating and an annealing temperature of 480 °C, which will be kept constant for the rest of this article. The crystalline nature of the so-synthesized layers is clearly revealed from the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of Figure 1d as all measured diffraction peaks can be assigned to the structure of hematite (ICSD #15840). The surfaces were then analyzed by electron microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 1b,c) revealed that the hematite layer formed a porous crust having a thickness in the range of ~1 μm. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging (Figure 1e) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Figure 1f) further confirmed the crystallinity of the deposited layer.

Figure 2. a) 3D view of the cell used for the PEC experiments. b) CVs recorded in phosphate buffer under illumination (370 nm) in the presence of 0.5 mM H₂O₂ (pink), in the absence of H₂O₂ (red) as well as in the dark in the presence of 0.5 mM H₂O₂ (blue) and in the absence of H₂O₂ (black). c) Chronoamperometry recorded during a PEC sensing experiment at 0.7 V in phosphate buffer. Inset: Tauc plot recorded in phosphate buffer at 0.7 V in the presence of 0.5 mM H₂O₂ with backside illumination. The red circles are experimental points and the black line is a linear fit.

We manufactured a PEC cell by 3D printing, which integrates a light source (a 370 nm LED), as shown in Figure 2a. In this system, the electrolyte volume is 12 mL and the photoanode is illuminated from the backside (through the FTO), which is a configuration beneficial in terms of cell architecture (allowing for miniaturization and minimization of optical losses) but also in terms of photoresponse as this configuration improves electron collection, thus, photocurrent (Figure S3).^[50] Our FTO/α-Fe₂O₃ surfaces were then employed as photoanodes in this cell and assessed for the photoelectrochemical oxidation of H₂O₂, which occurs through the following reaction:^[26]

Although this has not been studied in the context of this article, one might also consider that Fenton-type processes can occur at the solid/liquid interface in these conditions. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves shown in Figure 2b and Figure S4 clearly demonstrate the effects of illumination and H₂O₂. Indeed, only small currents are measured in the dark regardless of the presence of H₂O₂ (black and blue CVs, *i* = 0.2 μA at 0.7 V) while illumination promotes the generation of higher currents. The photocurrent recorded in the absence of H₂O₂ (red CV, *i* = 1 μA at 0.7 V) is attributed to water oxidation and the much larger photocurrent measured in the presence of 0.5 mM H₂O₂ (pink CV, *i* = 5.5 μA at 0.7 V) is attributed to reaction (1). The shape of the voltammograms is in good agreement with what has been previously reported for reaction (1) at hematite photoanodes.^[26] Control experiments (Figure S5) performed on bare FTO showed the inactivity of that surface for reaction (1) as well as its negligible photoelectrochemical activity. Overall, these CV results suggest that dark electrochemical oxidation is inefficient and that illuminated FTO/α-Fe₂O₃ surfaces have a strong potential for the electrochemical detection of H₂O₂.

The PEC properties of our hematite surfaces were also assessed by photocurrent spectroscopy. The results obtained at 0.7 V are shown in a Tauc plot (inset of Figure 2c) which reveals that the photoelectrochemical bandgap of our material is 2 eV, in good agreement with the reported values for hematite.^[41,42] Next, we explored the possibility of using these surfaces for H₂O₂ PEC sensing in the 3D printed cell (Figure 2a) under illumination at a constant potential of 0.7 V. After equilibration of the electrochemical signal, micro volumes of H₂O₂ were sequentially injected into the cell and the current was simultaneously recorded. As shown in Figure 2c, the photocurrent increased with the injected volume, allowing for instantaneous sensing of the H₂O₂ concentration.

The detailed PEC sensing is shown in the chronoamperometry and calibration curves of Figure 3. As it can be observed in Figure 3a, we could detect a H₂O₂ concentration as low as 200 nM even if this value falls below the range of the theoretical limit of detection (LOD), generally defined for a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3. This range is represented by pink dotted lines in Figure 3a and 3d (we took the N value as 2 times the noise standard deviation, as shown in Figure S6) and based on Figure 3a, our LOD = 400 nM. The calibration curve, in which the output signal is defined as the photocurrent measured in the presence of H₂O₂ minus the initial photocurrent (*i* - *i*₀), is shown in three concentration ranges in Figures 3d-f. Linear fitting shows that the photoresponse is linear up to 50 μM with a sensitivity of 10.69 nA μM⁻¹ (R² = 0.99952).

COMMUNICATION

Figure 3. a-c) Chronoamperometry curves of H_2O_2 PEC sensing measured at 0.7 V under illumination with different H_2O_2 concentrations ranges. From a) 0 to 1 μM , b) 1 to 10 μM and c) 0.01 to 0.1 mM. d-f) Calibration curves, presented as $i - i_0$ as a function of the H_2O_2 concentration in different ranges. From d) 0 to 1 μM , e) 0 to 50 μM and f) 0 to 0.5 mM. The grey circles are experimentally determined points, the red line is a linear fit including the experimental data recorded from 0 to 50 μM and the blue line is a linear fit including the experimental data recorded from 50 μM to 0.5 mM. The error bar shown in panel e) at 10 μM has been determined from the measurements performed on 6 independent surfaces (see Figure 4a) and equals 2 standard deviations. The pink dotted lines in panel a) and d) show the range of output photocurrent corresponding to a S/N ratio of 3.

After that concentration (the chronoamperometry is shown in Figure S7), the output signal deviates from linearity (dashed red curve in Figure 3f) and follows the following function: $0.00811 [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] (\mu\text{M}) + 0.18865$ ($R^2 = 0.99837$, blue curve in Figure 3f). The performance of PEC sensors is highly dependent on multiple experimental conditions intrinsic to the light source or to the surface and thus it is difficult to directly compare the performance of our system with the one reported by others. Keeping this in mind, we gathered in Table 1 benchmark values reported for H_2O_2 PEC sensors based on several semiconductor photoelectrodes. It shows that our LOD is lower than the ones obtained on BiVO_4 ,^[34,35] Si ,^[36] CuO ^[38] and CdS ^[39]-based photoelectrodes. In addition, our linear range, which spans 2 orders of magnitudes in concentration, is large and greater than the ones reported for TiO_2 ,^[28] WO_3 ,^[31] ZnO ^[32,33] and Cu_2O ^[37]-based photoelectrodes. It is also interesting to note that systems based on the TiO_2/CdS heterojunction^[29,30] exhibit a lower LOD and a wide linear range, however, these systems may have disadvantages from an environmental point of view.

The reproducibility of our preparation and sensing method was tested by comparing the output signal recorded for 10 μM H_2O_2 on 6 independently-prepared photoanodes. The results, presented in Figure 4a, show relatively good reproducibility (standard deviation = 0.02 μA) and an average value for $i - i_0$ of 0.11 μA , which is in good agreement with the sensitivity value previously determined (Figure 3e). The stability and the reusability of our photoanodes were evaluated by performing several sensing measurements with 10 μM H_2O_2 on the same photoanode several days apart. The results, shown in Figure 4b,

demonstrate good stability since no variation of output signal was measured over a week of measurements.

Table 1. Performance reported for H_2O_2 PEC sensing on semiconductors-based photoelectrodes.

semiconductor	linear range (μM)	LOD (μM)	reference
TiO_2	0.5 - 35	0.18	[28]
TiO_2/CdS	1×10^{-3} - 20	0.5×10^{-3}	[29]
TiO_2/CdS	0.1 - 10000	2×10^{-3}	[30]
WO_3	0.02 - 10	3×10^{-3}	[31]
$\text{ZnO}/\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$	1.3×10^{-3} - 80×10^{-3}	0.38×10^{-3}	[32]
ZnO	0.02 - 0.8	2.4×10^{-3}	[33]
BiVO_4	9.5 - 765	1.59	[34]
BiVO_4	50 - 1500	8.5	[35]
Si	10 - 60	2.2	[36]
$\text{Cu}_2\text{O}/\text{TiO}_2$	1 - 15	0.15	[37]
CuO	100 - 1000	180	[38]
CdS	25 - 1000	19	[39]
CuInS_2	0.5 - 530	0.47	[40]
$\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	0.2 - 50	0.4	this work

COMMUNICATION

The effect of light on the output signal was studied by chronoamperometry using intermittent illumination. As it can be seen in Figure 4c, the current was directly controlled by the illumination and it was instantaneously switched off when illumination was stopped. This shows the feasibility of making a fast background signal, which can be very convenient in sensing devices. Finally, we studied the effect of several typical potential organic and inorganic interfering salts (at a concentration of 10 μM), namely, citric acid (CA), oxalic acid (OA), tartaric acid (TA), Na_2SO_4 and KNO_3 .^[31] The chronoamperometry shown in Figure 4d reveal that the presence of these molecules does not impede with the H_2O_2 detection signal, suggesting a good selectivity towards H_2O_2 .

Figure 4. a) Graph showing the output photoresponse obtained for the PEC sensing of 10 μM H_2O_2 on 6 independently-prepared hematite photoanodes. b) Output photoresponse measured with the same hematite photoanode for the PEC sensing of 10 μM H_2O_2 performed several days apart. c) Chronoamperometry recorded under intermittent LED illumination in the presence of 10 μM H_2O_2 . d) Chronoamperometry showing the effect of interfering compounds on PEC sensing.

In conclusion, we reported a simple method to deposit hematite layers on FTO and we employed these surfaces for the PEC sensing of H_2O_2 in a 3D printed photoelectrochemical cell. The system is robust and we were able to experimentally detect H_2O_2 at concentration as low as 200 nM at a physiological pH with a linear photoresponse up to 50 μM . Although more research is still needed to improve this system (i.e. optimization of the layer, study of the effect of a large panel of oxidizable molecules), it is promising, first because H_2O_2 is of great interest in medicinal, environmental and industrial applications, and, second, because hematite is cheap and a good candidate for spatially-resolved PEC sensing.^[15,17]

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by CNRS and the Université de Rennes 1. AZM & SAADE Association is fully acknowledged for financial support. Dr. Olivier Jeannin and Kiseok Oh are acknowledged for helpful discussions.

Keywords: photoelectrochemistry • photoelectrochemical sensing • photoelectrochemical detection • hydrogen peroxide • hematite

- [1] P. A. McCarthy, A. M. Shah, *Coron. Artery Dis.* 2003, 14, 109.
- [2] E. Suraniti, S. Ben-Amor, P. Landry, M. Rigoulet, E. Fontaine, S. Bottari, A. Devin, N. Sojic, N. Mano, S. Arbault, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2014, 53, 6655.
- [3] W. J. Cooper, R. O. D. G. Zika, *Science* 1983, 220, 711.
- [4] M. Song, J. Wang, B. Chen, L. Wang, *Anal. Chem.* 2017, 89, 11537.
- [5] N. V. Klassen, D. Marchington, H. C. E. McGowan, *Anal. Chem.* 1994, 66, 2921.
- [6] R. F. P. Nogueira, M. C. Oliveira, W. C. Paterlini, *Talanta* 2005, 66, 86.
- [7] F. Rezende, R. P. Brandes, K. Schröder, *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 2017, 29, 585.
- [8] Y. B. Tsaplev, *J. Anal. Chem.* 2012, 67, 506.
- [9] W. Chen, S. Cai, Q.-Q. Ren, W. Wen, Y.-D. Zhao, *Analyst* 2012, 137, 49.
- [10] R. Gulaboski, V. Mirčeski, R. Kappl, M. Hoth, M. Bozem, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2019, 166, G82.
- [11] R. Koncki, *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.* 2002, 32, 79.
- [12] I. Willner, F. Patolsky, J. Wasserman, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2001, 40, 1861.
- [13] J. Shu, D. Tang, *Anal. Chem.* 2019, doi: org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b04199.
- [14] S. Licht, N. Myung, Y. Sun, *Anal. Chem.* 1996, 68, 954.
- [15] Y. B. Vogel, J. J. Gooding, S. Ciampi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2019, 48, 3723.
- [16] I. T. Rodriguez, A. J. Borrill, G. O'Neil, 2019, DOI 10.26434/chemrxiv.10246820.v1.
- [17] D. Seo, S. Y. Lim, J. Lee, J. Yun, T. D. Chung, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2018, 10, 33662.
- [18] J. Wang, J. Long, Z. Liu, W. Wu, C. Hu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2017, 91, 53.
- [19] H. Dai, S. Zhang, Z. Hong, Y. Lin, *Anal. Chem.* 2016, 88, 9532.
- [20] W.-W. Zhao, J.-J. Xu, H.-Y. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 729.
- [21] W.-W. Zhao, J.-J. Xu, H.-Y. Chen, *Anal. Chem.* 2018, 90, 615.
- [22] W.-W. Zhao, J.-J. Xu, H.-Y. Chen, *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 2016, 82, 307.
- [23] L. Wang, D. Han, S. Ni, W. Ma, W. Wang, L. Niu, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 6, 6632.
- [24] J. Tang, Y. Wang, J. Li, P. Da, J. Geng, G. Zheng, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, 2, 6153.
- [25] F. Liu, P. Wang, Q. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Zheng, X. Qin, X. Zhang, Y. Dai, B. Huang, *Electroanalysis* 2019, 31, 1809.
- [26] H. Dotan, K. Sivula, M. Grätzel, A. Rothschild, S. C. Warren, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, 4, 958.
- [27] Y. Y. Avital, H. Dotan, D. Klotz, D. A. Grave, A. Tsyganok, B. Gupta, S. Kolusheva, I. Visoly-Fisher, A. Rothschild, A. Yochelis, *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 4060.
- [28] D. Chen, H. Zhang, X. Li, J. Li, *Anal. Chem.* 2010, 82, 2253.
- [29] W.-W. Zhao, P.-P. Yu, J.-J. Xu, H.-Y. Chen, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2011, 13, 495.
- [30] L. Li, B. Li, H. Liu, M. Li, B. Wang, *J. Alloys Compd.* 2020, 815, 152241.
- [31] X. Zhang, L. Li, X. Peng, R. Chen, K. Huo, P. K. Chu, *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, 108, 491.
- [32] X. Xi, J. Li, H. Wang, Q. Zhao, H. Li, *Microchim. Acta* 2015, 182, 1273.
- [33] F. Khan, N. Akhtar, N. Jalal, I. Hussain, R. Szmigielski, M. Q. Hayat, H. B. Ahmad, W. A. El-Said, M. Yang, H. A. Janjua, *Microchim. Acta* 2019, 186, 127.
- [34] M. Liu, Y.-X. Yu, W.-D. Zhang, *Electroanalysis* 2017, 29, 305.
- [35] Z. Yu, S. Lv, R. Ren, G. Cai, D. Tang, *Microchim. Acta* 2017, 184, 799.
- [36] H. Li, W. Hao, J. Hu, H. Wu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2013, 47, 225.
- [37] Z. Li, Y. Xin, Z. Zhang, *Anal. Chem.* 2015, 87, 10491.
- [38] M. Rehosek, D. Mitoraj, M. Bledowski, R. Beranek, *Electroanalysis* 2016, 28, 2327.
- [39] Z. Yue, W. Zhang, C. Wang, G. Liu, W. Niu, *Mater. Lett.* 2012, 74, 180.
- [40] S. Wang, Y. Zhu, X. Yang, C. Li, *Electroanalysis* 2014, 26, 573.
- [41] A. G. Tamirat, J. Rick, A. A. Dubale, W.-N. Su, B.-J. Hwang, *Nanoscale Horizons* 2016, 1, 243.

COMMUNICATION

- [42] P. Sharma, J.-W. Jang, J. S. Lee, *ChemCatChem* 2019, 11, 157.
- [43] Z. Li, C. Su, D. Wu, Z. Zhang, *Anal. Chem.* 2018, 90, 961.
- [44] G. M. Ryu, M. Lee, D. S. Choi, C. B. Park, *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2015, 3, 4483.
- [45] Y. Zhang, T. Cao, X. Huang, M. Liu, H. Shi, G. Zhao, *Electroanalysis* 2013, 25, 1787.
- [46] X. Du, L. Dai, D. Jiang, H. Li, N. Hao, T. You, H. Mao, K. Wang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2017, 91, 706.
- [47] F. X. Wang, C. Ye, S. Mo, L. L. Liao, H. Q. Luo, N. B. Li, *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2019, 288, 202.
- [48] J. Tang, J. Li, Y. Zhang, B. Kong, Yiliguma, Y. Wang, Y. Quan, H. Cheng, A. M. Al-Enizi, X. Gong, G. Zheng, *Anal. Chem.* 2015, 87, 6703.
- [49] H. Saada, R. Abdallah, B. Fabre, D. Floner, S. Fryars, A. Vacher, V. Dorcet, C. Meriadec, S. Ababou-Girard, G. Loget, *ChemElectroChem* 2019, 6, 613.
- [50] P. S. Bassi, L. Xianglin, Y. Fang, J. S. C. Loo, J. Barber, L. H. Wong, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, 18, 30370.

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents

We report a straightforward method to prepare α -Fe₂O₃ layers on fluorine-doped SnO₂ and we use these surfaces in a 3D printed photoelectrochemical cell for the PEC sensing of H₂O₂. These systems exhibit a large linear range, a good reusability and allow to reliably detect H₂O₂ down to a sub-micromolar concentration.

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @chimie_ISCR